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Obstructive sleep apnea in women: Prevalence, risk factors and relation to menopausal status

Apneia obstrutiva do sono nas mulheres: Prevalência, factores de risco e relação com a menopausa

Dear Editor,

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is two to five times more prevalent in men than women but this gender-related difference is attenuated after menopause.\(^2,3\) Many of the hypotheses that explain the gender differences in OSA are based on the hormonal dissimilarities between men and women and the protective effect of circulating progesterone in women. So, one would expect that postmenopausal women and the protective effect of circulating progesterone based on the hormonal dissimilarities between men and women may be associated to OSA as part of the aging process itself, which may partially explain the higher prevalence of OSA after menopause.\(^2,3\) HRT showed an independent protective effect for age, BMI and arterial hypertension (p < 0.037) but had no significant polysomnographic differences compared to premenopausal women. AHI was significantly higher for postmenopausal women without HRT compared to those with HRT, but there were no relevant symptomatic differences between the two groups (Table 1). Multiple regression showed that age and BMI independently predicted OSA while depression and/or anxiety was negatively correlated with the risk of OSA. No significant association between OSA and menopause or HRT could be found in multivariate analysis adjusted for age.

In conclusion, age and BMI were the two main independent risk factors for OSA in women. Menopause was associated to OSA as part of the aging process itself, which has been corroborated by previous studies that showed a higher prevalence of OSA after menopause.\(^2,3\) HRT showed no benefits for decreasing the risk for OSA. Clinical presentation was similar between women with or without OSA.

From a total of 745 consecutive female patients, 403 met the inclusion criteria of this study, 55.3% of which had OSA. Univariate analysis showed that OSA women were significantly older (p = 0.000), had more frequent complaints of nocturia and dry mouth (p < 0.025), more cardiovascular risk factors (p = 0.000) and a higher risk for overweight/obesity, diabetes, arterial hypertension and dyslipidemia (p < 0.032). Women without OSA had more daytime sleepiness (p = 0.012), which could be explained by the higher risk for depression and/or anxiety (p = 0.001). After menopause, women with OSA were older (p = 0.000), had more frequent complaints of nocturia (p = 0.000) and a two-to-fourfold higher risk for diabetes, dyslipidaemia and arterial hypertension (p < 0.037) but had no significant polysomnographic differences compared to premenopausal women. Menopause status, according to the WHO classification, and other gynecological details were attained by a preset telephone questionnaire.

Table 1 Comparison of descriptive variables among women submitted to overnight polysomnography between January 2004 and December 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>All women</th>
<th>OSA women</th>
<th>OSA postmenopausal women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 223</td>
<td>n = 64</td>
<td>n = 159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>57.4 ± 10.1</td>
<td>48.4 ± 10.5</td>
<td>61.0 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHI, events/h min</td>
<td>23.9 ± 25.5</td>
<td>21.5 ± 24.9</td>
<td>24.9 ± 25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2 &lt; 90%, %</td>
<td>27.3 ± 54.7</td>
<td>18.7 ± 37.0</td>
<td>30.7 ± 60.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODI, events/h min</td>
<td>17.0 ± 17.1</td>
<td>13.3 ± 14.0</td>
<td>18.5 ± 18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest SpO2, %</td>
<td>80.2 ± 10.2</td>
<td>81.6 ± 10.9</td>
<td>79.6 ± 9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>32.9 ± 8.2</td>
<td>33.1 ± 7.8</td>
<td>32.8 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESS, score</td>
<td>9.4 ± 6.5</td>
<td>9.7 ± 6.2</td>
<td>9.5 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSA-related symptoms, n</td>
<td>4.6 ± 2.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>4.7 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular risk factors, n</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; HRT: hormonal replacement therapy; w/: with; w/o: without; AHI: apnea–hypopnea index; SpO2: oxygen peripheral saturation; ODI: oxygen desaturation index; BMI: body mass index; ESS: Epworth sleepiness scale; ns: not significant. Values are displayed as mean ± standard deviation.
Diagnosis of pulmonary sequestration using imaging methods

Diagnóstico de sequestro pulmonar usando métodos de imagiologia

Dear Editor:

Pulmonary sequestration (PS) is a rare congenital malformation. Several reports describe preoperative diagnostic difficulties with this pathology.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) The authors report a case of intralobar sequestration (IS) and intend to draw attention to the importance of imaging modalities, including Computed Tomography (CT) with contrast for the diagnosis, in order to reduce the number of patients sent for surgery without an accurate diagnosis.

IS corresponds to 75–90% of all sequestrations consisting of non-functioning lung tissue that falls within the normal lung parenchyma without its own visceral pleura; it is usually unrelated to the tracheobronchial tree. It is irrigated by an abnormal systemic artery derived mainly from the aorta. About 20% of cases are irrigated by more than one artery.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) IS presents frequently in adolescents and young adults, but rarely in adults over 40 years, with recurrent infection and hemoptysis; 15% of patients are asymptomatic.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^2\)

The diagnosis is suggested by the identification of the abnormal blood vasculature in imaging studies. The average rate of reported preoperative misdiagnosis in the literature is high. Angiography used to be considered the method of choice for preoperative diagnosis, has been replaced by non-invasive methods. Conventional CT does not consistently show anomalous arterial supply. Thus, CT with contrast has emerged as the imaging test of choice.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\)

Surgical resection is the treatment of choice.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^2\)

Case report: Female patient, Caucasian, 64 years old, house cleaner, no smoking or alcohol habits. Referred for pulmonology evaluation because she had anemia and asthenia with one-year evolution, weight loss (13 kg in six months), a CT showing fibrosis areas, traction bronchiectasis and an encysted and loculated left pleural effusion.

In relation to antecedents, the key was anemia of unknown cause and an episode of respiratory infection which dragged on (one year ago). She did not report any hemoptysis episodes.

A thoracic CT with contrast was performed and showed a vascular image in the internal basal segment of the left lower lobe, 47 mm × 47 mm, with at least two arteries originating from the lower thoracic aorta; this was suggestive of PS. There were also fibrosis areas and bronchiectasis (Fig. 1).

A left lower lobectomy was performed and the anomalous arteries were joined up. An anatomicopathological examination showed a cavitary formation of 5 cm, with apparent communication with the bronchial tree and pulmonary condensation of the surrounding parenchyma. Microscopically, this was a cystic lesion lined with respiratory epithelium; adjacent to this, there were many dilated and mucous retention airspaces, tortuous blood vessels and wall thickening, which are consistent with IS.

A diagnostic hypothesis suggested from the first CT (without contrast) was encysted pleural effusion. The performance of CT with contrast was central to the correct diagnosis of preoperative PS.

In this case, the highlights are the unusual age of onset of clinical presentation, non-specific and uncharacteristic symptomatology, PS irrigated by two arteries and communication with the tracheobronchial tree.
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