



Revista Portuguesa de Pneumologia
ISSN: 0873-2159
sppneumologia@mail.telepac.pt
Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia
Portugal

Sarioglu, N.; Hismiogullari, A.A.; Bilen, C.; Erel, F.
Is the COPD assessment test (CAT) effective in demonstrating the systemic inflammation
and other components in COPD?
Revista Portuguesa de Pneumologia, vol. 22, núm. 1, enero-febrero, 2016, pp. 11-17
Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia
Lisboa, Portugal

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=169743712004>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



Scientific Information System
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal
Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Is the COPD assessment test (CAT) effective in demonstrating the systemic inflammation and other components in COPD?



N. Sarioglu^{a,*}, A.A. Hismiogullari^b, C. Bilen^c, F. Erel^a

^a Balıkesir University Medical Faculty, Department of Pulmonology, Balıkesir, Turkey

^b Balıkesir University Medical Faculty, Department of Biochemistry, Balıkesir, Turkey

^c Balıkesir University Science and Art Faculty, Department of Chemistry/Biochemistry Division, Balıkesir, Turkey

Received 28 April 2015; accepted 2 August 2015

Available online 31 October 2015

KEYWORDS

COPD;
Inflammatory marker;
CAT;
Quality of life

Abstract

Introduction: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is currently a complex, multi-component disorder. The COPD Assessment Test (CAT) has been increasingly used to assess COPD patients. This study aims to investigate the relationship between CAT and inflammation markers and other COPD components.

Methods: We enrolled 110 stable COPD patients and 65 control subjects in this study. All patients completed the CAT questionnaire and the modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) dyspnea scale. The quality of life of these patients was determined with St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ). Levels of TNF α , IL-6, CRP were determined in blood samples.

Results: In COPD patients, serum levels of TNF α (109.5 ± 58 pg/ml), IL-6 (10.3 ± 18 pg/ml), and C-reactive protein (CRP) (1.6 ± 1.7 mg/L) were found to be significantly higher compared to controls (TNF- α : 14.6 ± 18 pg/ml, IL-6: 2.14 ± 1.9 pg/ml, CRP: 0.4 ± 0.3 mg/L, $p < 0.001$). These markers were correlated with smoking (r from 0.27 to 0.35, $p < 0.001$), FEV₁ (r from -0.39 to -0.57 , $p < 0.001$), FVC (r from -0.32 to -0.37 , $p < 0.001$) and FEV₁/FVC (r from -0.31 to -0.66 , $p < 0.001$). The CAT score correlated with GOLD spirometric stages, mMRC dyspnea score, number of exacerbations in the previous year and FEV₁ ($p < 0.001$). There was a significant correlation between levels of CRP and the CAT score ($r = 0.43$, $p < 0.001$) but no similar relationship between levels of TNF α and IL-6 and the CAT was observed.

Conclusion: Systemic inflammation persists in the stable period of COPD. CRP, one of the inflammation markers, was correlated with the CAT. Further studies are required to confirm the relationship between CAT and biomarkers.

© 2015 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: nurhangencer@hotmail.com (N. Sarioglu).

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a significant cause of mortality and morbidity across the modern world. The mortality rate of this disease is increasing and it is predicted that it will become the third leading cause of death worldwide by 2020.¹ It is not possible to define COPD based solely on forced expiratory volume in the 1s (FEV₁) so the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive lung Disease (GOLD) has devised a multidimensional definition to assess COPD.² The new definition includes the prevalence of symptoms and the previous history of exacerbations in addition to the FEV₁ value and also emphasizes the assessment of comorbidities. In order to assess for symptoms, either the modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) or the COPD Assessment Test (CAT) is recommended. Additionally, a disease-specific quality of life scale (SGRQ, SF-36, CCQ) was used to evaluate the impact of the disease on daily life. Some studies have demonstrated a strong relationship between CAT and St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ).^{3,4} Ladeira et al.⁵ showed that CAT was correlated to the BODE index.

COPD is a complex disease and includes genetic, cellular and molecular components. There are many different cells and molecules involved in the inflammatory pathways. Several indicators have been used to demonstrate a potential disease-related systemic inflammation.^{6–9} Airway and lung parenchymal inflammation is a major pathogenic mechanism of COPD. In addition, persistent systemic inflammation may be associated with a various extrapulmonary comorbidities and pulmonary effects.¹⁰ Recent research has provided proof of the existence of distinct "novel systemic inflammatory COPD phenotype."⁷ In a recent study, it has been shown that cardiovascular comorbidity and diabetes were associated with higher levels for some markers of systemic inflammation.¹¹ Therefore, genetic load, systemic inflammation and comorbid diseases are associated with various phenotypes in COPD. It is essential that new perspectives should be developed in the management of this disease.

Currently, CAT has been used increasingly for assessing and monitoring COPD. Although several serum biomarkers have been defined in COPD, there is not one single sufficient and effective single biomarker that can be used to assess the status of COPD patients. It has been shown that biomarkers are associated with several parameters such as inflammation, hospitalization, and mortality.^{7–13} However, the relationship between CAT and biomarkers has not been clearly demonstrated. Therefore, the present study aims to investigate the levels of systemic inflammation in COPD and determine the relationship between CAT and inflammation markers and quality of life.

Materials and methods

Study design

This was a cross-sectional single-visit observational study. One hundred and ten patients with COPD diagnosed according to GOLD criteria¹⁴ and sixty-five control subjects were recruited between February 2013 and August 2013. Control

subjects were chosen from those referred to a pulmonology and internal medicine clinic at Balikesir University Hospital, undergoing routine investigations. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine at Balikesir University.

All patients were subjected to physical examination, chest X-ray, respiratory function test, and routine blood analysis tests. The number of exacerbations in the previous year and the history of smoking were recorded. The CAT, mMRC dyspnea score was carried out via face-to-face interviews by pulmonary specialist. SGRQ scores were reported by the patients. For the healthy control group only a respiratory function test and routine blood analysis tests were done.

The population of the study

Inclusion criteria: Patients were included if they; (1) were older than 40 years; (2) were current or ex-smokers with a smoking history ≥ 10 pack-years; (3) exhibited a post-bronchodilator FEV₁ < 80% and an FEV₁/FVC < 0.7. Control subjects were included if they: (1) were older than 40 years; (2) were free from lung disease as determined by a physician; (3) had a normal spirometry (FEV₁ > 85% and FEV₁/FVC > 0.7); and (4) had a smoking history of < 5 pack-year.

Exclusion criteria: Patients were excluded who; (1) had an exacerbation of COPD within the previous 6 weeks; (2) had a respiratory disorder other than COPD or malignancy; (3) had a chronic inflammatory disease (vasculitis, inflammatory bowel disease, rheumatoid arthritis etc.) (4) had uncontrolled or severe concomitant disease (MI, arrhythmia etc.).

Measurements

Demographic features, age, gender, smoking habits, admission to an emergency service or hospitalization over the past year, accompanying diseases, and existing treatments were all recorded appropriately. We defined an exacerbation as worsening of symptoms that required oral corticosteroids and/or antibiotics and/or hospitalization. Comorbid diseases were established using the clinical history and physical examination findings during the visit and were supported by a review of the available medical records. Current medications including inhalers, antihypertensive or other medications were documented. The height, weight, and BMI indexes were measured, and a spirometry was also performed in accordance with the international guidelines (ATS/ERS).¹⁵ The disease was classified according to the old and new version of GOLD staging.¹⁴ First, GOLD spirometric staging (FEV₁ based (1–4) staging) and second, GOLD staging (A–D class). Dyspnea was assessed by the mMRC dyspnea scale.¹⁶ The patients with COPD were categorized into A, B, C and D combining symptom assessment by mMRC dyspnea scores and exacerbation risk.

CAT: The validity and reliability of the Turkish version of this scale has been previously verified.¹⁷ The CAT includes 8 items and yields total scores ranging from 0 to 40; higher

scores indicate a more severe health status impairment or less successful control of COPD.³

Quality of life Questionnaire: The quality of life was assessed by using the Turkish translation of St. George's Respiratory Diseases Questionnaire (SGRQ).¹⁸

Biomarkers

We chose the biomarkers based on previous studies.^{7,9-13} The blood samples taken from all subjects were centrifuged and stored at -80°C . All samples were analyzed when the study was completed. The serum was studied in a diagnostic device (BioTek, ELx 800, USA) with use of commercial kits (eBioscience, Human TNF- α and Human IL-6 Platinum ELISA, Austria), and with the methods of tumor necrosis alpha (TNF- α) and an interleukin-6 (IL-6) level enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) were evaluated with a clinical chemistry analyzer (Cobas Integra 800, Roche diagnostics) using a commercial kit. The reference value of CRP is 0–0.5 mg/L, while the analyzed lowest value of IL-6 is 0.92 pg/ml. The analyzed lowest value of TNF- α is 2.3 pg/ml. TNF- α and IL-6 concentrations of some samples were below the lower limit of quantification (LLQ). In the analysis of individuals with values below the LLQ, a nominal level of half of the LLQ value was used to avoid a downward bias of the population data.¹⁹

Statistical analysis

The average CRP values of COPD and control groups (3.2 (1.5,7.1), 1.3 (0.6,2.7)) were identified from similar studies.⁷ After that, in each group, the sample size was calculated by using average calculating formula with 80% accuracy and 5% error. Accordingly, the sample size was found to be at least 55 subjects in each group. Results are presented as mean \pm SD, median, or percentage, as appropriate. The Student's *t*-test and ANOVA were used for parametric tests; the Mann–Whitney *U*-test, Kruskal–Wallis and chi-square statistics were used for non-parametric tests for group comparisons. Fisher's exact test evaluated the differences between the percentages of comorbidities and the differences between sexes. The chi-square test evaluated the differences between the percentages of comorbidities. Pair-wise correlation of continuous variables in patients with COPD was examined by Pearson correlation. A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS (version 20.0) software.

Results

Clinical characterization of subjects

The study included 110 patients (mean age of 64 ± 8.9 years; 100 male (90.9%)), and 65 control subjects (mean age of 61.5 ± 9.2 years, 55 male (84.6%)). The demographic and clinical characteristics of the patient and control groups are given in Table 1. Forty-five (40.9%) patients with COPD had an accompanying disease (Ischemic heart disease ($n=21$), hypertension ($n=13$), diabetes mellitus ($n=8$), other ($n=3$)). The mean FEV₁ was 48.8% of the predicted value and mean FEV₁/FVC: 55.4% in the COPD group. The

mean CAT score was $22.6 (\pm 9.2)$, mMRC: $1.9 (\pm 0.9)$ and total SGRQ: $58.4 (\pm 22.2)$. Of the 110 patients, 9.1% were stage 1, 37.3% were stage 2, 42.7% were stage 3, 10.9% were stage 4 according to GOLD spirometric staging. When patients were classified with respect to GOLD staging, 27.3% were group A, 30.9% were group B, 7.3% were group C and 34.5% were group D.

COPD/control comparisons

There was no significant difference between the ages ($p=0.080$, Student's *t*-test) and sexes of the two groups ($p=0.453$, Fisher's exact test) (Table 1). The groups exhibited similar incidences of comorbidities ($p=0.095$, χ^2 test). As expected, COPD patients had significantly lower pulmonary function parameters (FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁/FVC) compared to controls ($p < 0.001$, Student's *t*-test). In general, COPD patients exhibited higher serum levels of CRP (1.6 ± 1.7 mg/L) and TNF- α (109.5 ± 58 pg/ml) and IL-6 (10.3 ± 18 pg/ml) than healthy controls (CRP: 0.4 ± 0.3 mg/L, $p < 0.001$; TNF- α : 14.6 ± 18 pg/ml, $p < 0.001$; IL-6: 2.14 ± 1.9 pg/ml, $p < 0.001$, Student's *t*-test) (Table 1).

Association of CAT questionnaires and patient characteristics

There was a correlation between the CAT score and the GOLD spirometric stage (Pearson's $r=0.43$; $p < 0.001$). The CAT score increased in parallel with disease severity. There was a statistically significant relationship between the CAT score and number of exacerbations in the previous year (Pearson's $r=0.35$, $p < 0.001$), disease duration (Pearson's $r=0.29$, $p < 0.001$), smoking (pack-year) (Pearson's $r=0.27$, $p=0.014$) and mMRC (Pearson's $r=0.59$, $p < 0.001$). The CAT score was found to be significantly correlated with FEV₁ (Pearson's $r=-0.39$, $p < 0.001$) and FVC (Pearson's $r=-0.42$, $p=0.003$). At the same time, a strong correlation was observed between the CAT score and the SGRQ symptom, activity, impact and total scores (Pearson's $r=0.72$; $p < 0.001$).

Association between biomarkers and patient characteristics

Inflammatory markers and pulmonary function parameters were compared. As the FEV₁ decreased, levels of biomarker increased significantly. CRP levels were correlated with FEV₁ (Pearson's $r=-0.39$, $p < 0.001$), FVC (Pearson's $r=-0.33$, $p < 0.001$) and FEV₁/FVC (Pearson's $r=-0.38$, $p < 0.001$). TNF- α levels were also correlated with FEV₁ (Pearson's $r=-0.57$, $p < 0.001$), FVC (Pearson's $r=-0.37$, $p < 0.001$) and FEV₁/FVC (Pearson's $r=-0.66$, $p < 0.001$). IL-6 levels were also correlated with FEV₁ (Pearson's $r=-0.31$, $p < 0.001$), FVC (Pearson's $r=-0.32$, $p < 0.001$) and FEV₁/FVC (Pearson's $r=-0.34$, $p < 0.001$). A significant correlation was observed between CRP, TNF- α , IL-6 and smoking (pack-year) (Pearson's $r=0.35$, $r=0.44$, $r=0.27$, $p < 0.001$, respectively). COPD patients with cardiovascular disease had an increased level of CRP (2.98 ± 2.4 mg/L) compared to patients without comorbidities (1.56 ± 1.5 mg/L, $p=0.008$ ANOVA test).

Table 1 Demographic, functional, clinical features of the patient and control groups.

	Patient (n = 110)	Control (n = 65)	p-value
Age	64.0 ± 8.9	61.5 ± 9.2	0.080
Male n, (%)	100 (90.9)	55 (84.6)	0.224
BMI, kg/m ²	26.5 ± 5.6	25.6 ± 2	0.064
Pack-years	38.9 ± 23	0.1 ± 1.2	<0.001
Co-morbidities, n (%)			
Any	65 (59.1)	42 (64.6)	0.95
Ischemic heart disease	21 (19.1)	11 (16.9)	
HT	13 (11.8)	6 (9.2)	
DM	8 (7.3)	4 (6.2)	
Other	3 (2.7)	2 (3.1)	
mMRC	1.94 ± 0.9	0.04 ± 0.2	<0.001
FEV ₁ , % predicted	48.8 ± 17.8	89.4 ± 5.6	<0.001
FVC, % predicted	68.7 ± 17.8	92.1 ± 5.8	<0.001
FEV ₁ /FVC, %	55.4 ± 12.9	89.6 ± 4.7	<0.001
GOLD spirometric stage, n (%)			
1	10 (9.1)		
2	41 (37.3)		
3	47 (42.7)		
4	12 (10.9)		
GOLD stage, n (%)			
A	30 (27.3)		
B	34 (30.9)		
C	8 (7.3)		
D	38 (34.5)		
SGRQ-total score	58.4 ± 22.2		
CAT score	22.6 ± 9.2		
TNFα (pg/ml)	109.5 ± 58	14.6 ± 18	<0.001
IL-6 (pg/ml)	10.3 ± 18	2.14 ± 1.9	<0.001
CRP (mg/L)	1.6 ± 1.7	0.4 ± 0.3	<0.001

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index, HT: hypertension, DM: diabetes, FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC: forced vital capacity, CAT: COPD assessment test.

followed by LSD post hoc test). There was no significant association with other biomarkers and comorbidities. As the IL-6 increased, the mMRC score increased, but this relationship was not statistically significant ($p=0.06$). When inflammatory markers were compared with CAT score, CRP levels were shown to have a significant correlation ($r=0.43$, $p<0.001$) (Fig. 1) while no correlation was observed with TNF- α and IL-6. There was a correlation between CRP levels and TNF α ($r=0.48$, $p<0.001$). No direct association was observed between biomarkers and A-D class or spirometric stages.

Discussion

Some assessment tools are used such as clinical tests (CAT, BODE), inflammation markers and quality of life questionnaires to evaluate COPD. It is commonly accepted that a multidimensional assessment is required to understand and manage the disease. COPD is a complex disorder with a systemic component and some patients appear to have an inflammatory phenotype. In this study, CAT was performed




Figure 1 The relationship between CAT scores and CRP ($r=0.43$, $p<0.001$).

as a new clinical test, and the relationship of CAT with other COPD components was investigated.

One of these components is systemic inflammation. The biomarkers most often studied in COPD to indicate systemic inflammation which are commonly used are CRP, IL-6, TNF- α , IL-8 and fibrinogen. Several studies have previously reported elevated circulating levels of these markers in patients with stable COPD.^{6-9,20-23} In a recent study, Agustí et al. showed that 30% of COPD patients did not present evidence of systemic inflammation and 16% of the patients did present persistent systemic inflammation.⁷ Interestingly, in this study, the serum levels of TNF- α and IL-8 were found to be higher in smokers with normal spirometry compared to COPD patients. The other markers as white blood cells, IL-6, CRP and fibrinogen were found to be higher in COPD patients than in the smokers with normal spirometry and non-smokers. In our study, the levels of CRP, TNF- α , IL-6 were significantly higher in all COPD patients when compared to the control group. All the biomarkers were found to be correlated with the cumulative smoking exposure and reduction of pulmonary function test parameters (FEV₁, FVC, and FEV₁/FVC). The biomarkers level relate to the degree of airflow obstruction. These results are consistent with those reported in previous cross sectional studies.¹³ In another study which examined the results of ECLIPSE, cardiovascular comorbidities and diabetes were correlated with some systemic inflammation markers.⁹ In our study, COPD patients with cardiovascular disease had an increased level of CRP compared to patients without comorbidities. Increased systemic inflammation in COPD with cardiovascular diseases support a pathophysiological mechanism between COPD and these comorbidities.

The relationship between CAT and systemic inflammation has not been clarified by the studies conducted so far. In one study, a significant correlation was shown to exist between the LCN1, LCN2 and CAT.⁸ In our study, a significant relationship has been shown between CAT and CRP. However, a similar correlation could not be shown with TNF- α and IL-6.

In the present study, COPD patients were categorized into four groups (A–D) and stages (1–4) according to old and new version of GOLD classification. It can be observed that Stage 1 and Stage 4 constitute the smallest proportion of the population with 10% each according to the old classification. In the new version, the population was distributed almost equally among group A, B and D; the smallest distribution was that of group C. In other studies, it has also been shown that group C constitutes the smallest proportion of the distribution.^{24,25} The new classification indicates that there may be patients with multiple symptoms but mild airway obstruction as well as some patients with few symptoms but with severe airway obstructions. Comorbidities and systemic inflammation can lead to increases in exacerbation and symptoms in patients with mild/moderate obstruction, and in this way, it causes these patients to be placed in the high risk groups (C or D class). In one study, it was shown that subtype C includes patients with higher comorbidity status and subtype D includes patients with the most severe exacerbation, a high rate of exacerbation related to hospitalization, a poorest of outcomes.²⁶ In a recent study, Agustí et al. compared two groups with and without persistent systemic inflammation and showed that patients presenting persistent inflammation during

follow-up had increased exacerbation rates per year compared to the other group although pulmonary abnormalities were similar in these two groups.⁷

GOLD recommends the use of CAT or mMRC scale to assess symptoms. We used mMRC scale for group assignment, because when we considered the symptoms on the basis of CAT, the number of the patients with less than 10 breakpoints was very small (8 patients). The higher CAT scores may be associated with the higher perceptions of patients' symptoms. It was also shown that CAT and mMRC are not equivalent, and this may cause some differences in classification.^{24,25,27} In the new classification, the other cut-off points are composed of number of exacerbations per year. The relationship between basal CAT score and the frequency of exacerbations was shown in the COPD patients.²⁸ Pothirat et al. have shown that the change in CAT score during monitoring visits is a useful tool for detecting acute deterioration in health status of COPD patients.²⁹ In our study, a strong relationship was observed between CAT, mMRC and the exacerbation rates. The CAT was also found to be correlated to the duration of illness, and smoking (pack-year). The mean FEV₁ of the patients was found to be 48.8% of the predicted value and the mean CAT score was 22.6. A strong association was shown between CAT and FEV₁, FVC and the GOLD spirometric stage. As the FEV₁ and FVC decreased, the CAT score increased. The CAT score also positively correlated with a heavier GOLD stage. These results show that CAT reflects the severity of disease very well.

Another important component of COPD management is quality of life. A variety of life-questionnaires have been used to evaluate the effects of the disease on daily life. The reliability and validity of the Turkish version of SGRQ has been proved.³⁰ A few studies have shown that significantly correlation was observed between CAT and SGRQ.^{3,4} In a study, it has been reported that CAT is sensitive to the change in health status associated with COPD exacerbations.³¹ In our study, a strong relationship was shown between CAT and SGRQ. Since CAT, compared to SGRQ, is a shorter and easier test to understand, the use of CAT is more practical.

The present study has several limitations, such as cross-sectional design, a single center study and small sample size. In addition, the inflammation markers could not be compared in smokers who have normal lung function because they were not included in the present study. The medications for patients may exert an influence on systemic inflammatory response and health status and they may also affect the results.

In summary, CAT is a test that can be used in the assessment of COPD, since its reliability and validity have been clearly demonstrated. The results of our study indicate the relationship between CAT and CRP as well as other COPD components (clinical, functional parameters). However, longitudinal multicenter studies are required to evaluate the relationship between CAT and biomarkers.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this study.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work center on the publication of patient data and that all the patients included in the study received sufficient information and gave their written informed consent to participate in the study.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors have obtained the written informed consent of the patients or subjects mentioned in the article. The corresponding author is in possession of this document.

Authorship

Study design: NS, FE and AAH; Data collection: NS, CB; Data analysis and interpretation: NS, AAH, and CB; Critical revision of the manuscript: NS, FE, CB and AAH.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest in the preparation of this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Balikesir University Research Funds (Project number: BAP 2012/95). The authors thank Prof. Dr. A. Said BODUR from Balikesir University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Public Health for his assistance in statistical analysis.

References

- Mannino DM, Buist AS. Global burden of COPD: risk factors, prevalence, and future trends. *Lancet*. 2007;370:765–73.
- Vestbo J, Hurd SS, Agustí AG, Jones PW, Vogelmeier C, Anzueto A, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013;187:347–65.
- Jones PW, Harding G, Berry P, Wiklund I, Chen WH, Kline Leidy N. Development and first validation of the COPD Assessment Test. *Eur Respir J*. 2009;34:648–54.
- Ringbaek T, Martinez G, Lange P. A comparison of the assessment of quality of life with CAT, CCQ, and SGRQ in COPD patients participating in pulmonary rehabilitation. *COPD*. 2012;9:12–5.
- Ladeira I, Gomes T, Castro A, Ribeiro C, Guimarães M, Taveira N. The overall impact of COPD (CAT) and BODE index on COPD male patients: correlation? *Rev Port Pneumol (Barc)*. 2015;21:11–5.
- Faner R, Tal-Singer R, Riley JH, Celli B, Vestbo J, MacNee W, et al. Lessons from ECLIPSE: a review of COPD biomarkers. *Thorax*. 2014;69:666–72.
- Agustí A, Edwards LD, Rennard SI, MacNee W, Tal-Singer R, Miller BE, et al. Persistent systemic inflammation is associated with poor clinical outcomes in COPD: a novel phenotype. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7:e37483.
- Wang XR, Li YP, Gao S, Xia W, Gao K, Kong QH, et al. Increased serum levels of lipocalin-1 and -2 in patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2014;27:543–9.
- de Moraes MR, da Costa AC, Corrêa Kde S, Junqueira-Kipnis AP, Rabahi MF. Interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 blood levels' poor association with the severity and clinical profile of ex-smokers with COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2014;29:735–43.
- Kostikas K, Bakakos P, Papiris S, Stolz D, Celli BR. Systemic biomarkers in the evaluation and management of COPD patients: are we getting closer to clinical application? *Curr Drug Targets*. 2013;14:177–91.
- Miller J, Edwards LD, Agustí A, Bakke P, Calverley PM, Celli B, et al. Comorbidity: systemic inflammation and outcomes in the ECLIPSE cohort. *Respir Med*. 2013;107:1376–84.
- Celli BR, Locantore N, Yates J, Tal-Singer R, Miller BE, Bakke P, et al. Inflammatory biomarkers improve clinical prediction of mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2012;185:1065–72.
- Pinto-Plata V, Casanova C, Müllerova H, de Torres JP, Corado H, Varo N, et al. Inflammatory and repair serum biomarker pattern: association to clinical outcomes in COPD. *Respir Res*. 2012;20:71.
- Global strategy for the diagnosis, management and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease; 2011. <http://www.goldcopd.org/guidelines-global-strategy-for-diagnosis-management.html> [accessed 04.04.12].
- Brusasco V, Crapo R, Viegi G, American Thoracic Society, European Respiratory Society. Coming together: the ATS/ERS consensus on clinical pulmonary function testing. *Eur Respir J*. 2005;26:1–2.
- Bestall JC, Paul EA, Garrod R, Garnham R, Jones PW, Wedzicha JA. Usefulness of the Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea scale as a measure of disability in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax*. 1999;54:581–6.
- Yorgancıoğlu A, Polatlı M, Aydemir Ö, Yılmaz Demirci N, Kırkı G, Naycı Atış S, et al. Reliability and validity of Turkish version of COPD assessment test. *Tuberk Toraks*. 2012;60:314–20.
- Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM. The St George's Respiratory Questionnaire. *Respir Med*. 1991;85 Suppl. B:25–31, discussion 33–7.
- Muir K, Gomeni R. Non-compartmental analysis. In: Bonate PL, Howard DR, editors. *Pharmacokinetics in drug development: clinical study design and analysis*. Arlington, VA: AAPS Press; 2004. p. 235–66.
- Sarioglu N, Alpaydin AO, Coskun AS, Celik P, Ozyurt BC, Yorgancıoğlu A. Relationship between BODE index: quality of life and inflammatory cytokines in COPD patients. *Multidiscip Respir Med*. 2010;5(2):84–91.
- Man WQ, Man SF, Senthilselvan A, Sin DD. Association between chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and systemic inflammation: a systematic review and a meta-analysis. *Thorax*. 2004;59:574–80.
- García-Río F, Miravittles M, Soriano JB, Muñoz L, Duran-Tauleria E, Sánchez G, et al. Systemic inflammation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a population-based study. *Respir Res*. 2010;11:63.
- Kolsum U, Roy K, Starkey C, Borrill Z, Truman N, Vestbo J, et al. The repeatability of interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and C-reactive protein in COPD patients over one year. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2009;4:149–56.
- Han MK, Muellerova H, Curran-Everett D, Dransfield MT, Washko GR, Regan EA, et al. GOLD 2011 disease severity classification in COPD Gene: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2013;1:43–50.
- Kim S, Oh J, Kim YI, Ban HJ, Kwon YS, Oh IJ, et al. Differences in classification of COPD group using COPD assessment test (CAT) or modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) dyspnea scores: a cross-sectional analyses. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2013;13:35.
- Rieger-Reyes C, García-Tirado FJ, Rubio-Galán FJ, Marín-Trigo JM. Classification of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease severity according to the new global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease 2011 guidelines: COPD assessment test versus modified medical research council scale. *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2014;50:129–34.

27. Arostegui I, Esteban C, García-Gutierrez S, Bare M, Fernández-de-Larrea N, Briones E, et al. Subtypes of patients experiencing exacerbations of COPD and associations with outcomes. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e98580, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098580>.
28. Varol Y, Ozacar R, Balci G, Usta L, Taymaz Z. Assessing the effectiveness of the COPD assessment test (CAT) to evaluate COPD severity and exacerbation rates. COPD. 2014;11:221–5.
29. Pothirat C, Chaiwong W, Limsukon A, Deesomchok A, Liwisakun C, Bumroongkit C, et al. Detection of acute deterioration in health status visit among COPD patients by monitoring COPD assessment test score. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2015;3:277–82.
30. Polatlı M, Yorgancıoğlu A, Aydemir Ö, Yılmaz Demirci N, Kırkıl G, Atış Naycı S, et al. Validity and reliability of Turkish version of St. George's respiratory questionnaire. Tuberk Toraks. 2013;61:81–7.
31. Agustí A, Soler JJ, Molina J, Muñoz MJ, García-Losa M, Roset M, et al. Is the CAT questionnaire sensitive to changes in health status in patients with severe COPD exacerbations? COPD. 2012;9:492–8.