



Archivos de Medicina Veterinaria

ISSN: 0301-732X

archmv@uach.cl

Universidad Austral de Chile

Chile

Moura, AB; Souza, AP; Sartor, AA; Bellato, V; Teixeira, EB
Neospora caninum antibodies in dairy cattle of Lages Municipality, Santa Catarina State, Brazil
Archivos de Medicina Veterinaria, vol. 44, núm. 2, mayo-agosto, 2012, pp. 117-122
Universidad Austral de Chile
Valdivia, Chile

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=173023986004>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

***Neospora caninum* antibodies in dairy cattle of Lages Municipality, Santa Catarina State, Brazil**

Anticuerpos séricos contra *Neospora caninum* en rebaños lecheros de la ciudad de Lages, Estado de Santa Catarina, Brasil

AB Moura*, AP Souza, AA Sartor, V Bellato, EB Teixeira

Departamento de Medicina Veterinária, Centro de Ciências Agroveterinárias, Universidade do Estado de Santa Catarina, Brasil.

RESUMEN

Los bovinos son los principales hospederos intermediarios del coccidio *Neospora caninum*. En esta especie se concentran la mayoría de los daños reproductivos. A fin de determinar la prevalencia de *N. caninum* e identificar factores de riesgo para la infección en el ganado lechero de la ciudad de Lages, Estado de Santa Catarina, se tomaron muestras de sangre de bovinos (n = 373) y los perros (n = 33) en 19 propiedades. La detección de anticuerpos contra *N. caninum* fue realizada por medio de IFA. Fueron consideradas positivas las muestras con títulos ≥ 200 (ganado bovino) y ≥ 50 (perros). Informaciones relacionadas con la categoría de los animales, la raza, la frecuencia y tipo de trastornos de la reproducción, la producción de leche y la presencia de los perros fueron obtenidos mediante un cuestionario. Los datos fueron analizados con la prueba exacta de Fisher y χ^2 ($P \leq 0,05$). De las muestras de ganado y canino evaluados, 86 (23,1%) y 7 (21,2%) fueron positivas a *N. caninum*, respectivamente. Se encontró correlación entre los animales con baja producción y la seropositividad a *N. caninum* ($P = 0,003$). La presencia de perros positivos en las propiedades no representa un factor de riesgo de positividad en el ganado vacuno. No se observó una asociación estadísticamente significativa entre trastornos reproductivos con la aparición de anticuerpos contra *N. caninum* en bovinos evaluados. Los resultados obtenidos indican que *N. caninum* está presente en el ganado lechero de la ciudad de Lages, Santa Catarina.

Key words: *Neospora caninum*, dairy cattle, risk factors, Santa Catarina State.

Palabras clave: *Neospora caninum*, bovinos lecheros, factores de riesgo, Santa Catarina.

INTRODUCTION

Bovine are the main intermediate host of *Neospora caninum*, a coccidian protozoan. This protozoan parasite is a major cause of bovine abortions and neonatal mortality worldwide (Thilsted and Dubey 1989). Infection occurs following ingestion of sporulated oocysts or introduction by the transplacental route (Dubey and Lindsay 1996). Calves congenitally infected can develop the clinical disease or maintain the infection in the herd through vertical transmission, which is the main route of transmission of *N. caninum* in dairy cattle (Davison *et al* 1999^a).

Research has demonstrated that neosporosis is the major cause of abortions in bovine (Sadrebazzaz *et al* 2007), with abortions being a common clinical sign of infection. Nevertheless, in some countries or regions, other causes of abortion (infectious or not) may be more important than *N. caninum* infection. Flausino *et al* (2006) observed a strong association among abortions and seropositivity for *N. caninum* in dairy herds in Rio de Janeiro State. Recurrent abortions in the same cow are an indication of possible *N. caninum* infection (Locatelli-Dittrich *et al* 2004). Aborted fetuses and calves congenitally infected can present viable

cysts of the parasite in their tissues, and become a source of infection for definitive hosts such as dogs, that may feed on infected carcasses. In addition to reproductive disorders, *N. caninum* is implicated as a factor in reduced milk production (Thurmond and Hietala 1997, Hernandez *et al* 2001, Hobson *et al* 2002) and lower concentrations of fat and protein in milk (Tiwari *et al* 2007). Cruz *et al* (2011) demonstrated the importance of neosporosis on health herd in dairy cattle.

There is no evidence that *N. caninum* infects humans, however, the prevalence of antibodies against this agent in humans has been reported (Almeida 2004, Lobato *et al* 2006). Experimental inoculations in primates demonstrated congenital transmission of the protozoa and showed that clinical neosporosis in primates was similar to congenital toxoplasmosis in humans (Baar *et al* 1994).

In Brazil, the prevalence of antibodies against *N. caninum* in cattle ranges from 6.8% to 67.8% in the different regions of the country (Gennari 2004). Melo *et al* (2001) analyzed the frequency of *N. caninum* infection in 18 dairy herds in the state of Minas Gerais and reported a cattle seroprevalence ranging from 0% to 72.73%. Table 1 displays the importance and the presence of *N. caninum* infection in bovine of several regions of Brazil. Although an outbreak of abortions due to *N. caninum* was confirmed in the Santa Catarina State (Corbellini *et al* 2001), no epidemiologic studies were done to establish the

Accepted: 05.04.2012.

* Av. Luís de Camões, 2090, Lages, SC, Brazil; a2abm@cav.udesc.br

Table 1. Prevalence of antibodies against *Neospora caninum* in bovine in Brazil, technique employed and reference.
Prevalencia de anticuerpos contra *Neospora caninum* en bovinos en Brasil, técnica empleada y referencia.

Reference	County	Samples evaluated	Occurrence (%)	Technique (Cut off)
Hasegawa <i>et al</i> (2004)	SP	777	15.57	IFAT (1:200)
Locatelli-Dittrich <i>et al</i> (2004)	PR	172	34.8	**
Ogawa <i>et al</i> (2005)	PR	385	12	IFAT (1:200)
Rodrigues and Cury (2005)	MG	245	4.48	IFAT internet
Vogel <i>et al</i> (2006)	RS	798	11.4	ELISA (**)
Munhoz <i>et al</i> (2006)	RJ*	303	25.7	ELISA (**)
	RJ*	260	20.4	ELISA (**)
Mineo <i>et al</i> (2006)	MG*	174	20	ELISA (1:100)
	MG*	69	10	ELISA (1:100)
Melo <i>et al</i> (2006)	GO	930	30.4	IFAT (1:250)
Guimarães <i>et al</i> (2006)	MG	362	90	IFAT (1:200)
Juliano <i>et al</i> (2006)	GO and TO	468	38.24	ELISA (**)
Oshiro <i>et al</i> (2007)	MS	2,448	14.9	IFAT (1:50)
Guedes <i>et al</i> (2008)	MG*	559	91.2	IFAT (1:200)
	MG*	575	97.2	IFAT (1:200)
Locatelli-Dittrich <i>et al</i> (2008)	PR	1,263	33	ELISA (**)
Minervino <i>et al</i> (2008)	PA	160	19	IFAT (1:100)
Mello <i>et al</i> (2008)	MS	392	9.17	IFAT (1:50)

ELISA = Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

IFAT = Indirect immunofluorescent antibody test (Técnica de inmunofluorescencia indirecta).

* Municipality or herds distinct.

** Not Described.

* Ciudades o rebaños separadas.

** No se describe.

seroprevalence of *N. caninum*. This study was designed to examine seroprevalence of *N. caninum* in dairy cattle of Lages municipality, Santa Catarina State and risk factors for neosporosis infection.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Between August 2007 and February 2008, blood was collected from 373 bovines on 19 dairy farms in Lages Municipality, situated in the mountain region of the Santa Catarina State, southern Brazil (27°48'57"S, 50°20'33"W) at an altitude of 916 m, with a mean annual temperature of 14.3°C. The size sample considered a prevalence of 20%, error of 2.5% and a confidence level of 95% (EPI-INFO 6.0). Blood samples were randomly collected from calves, heifers, lactating cows, dry cows and bulls. Nearly 84% of the animals were lactating cows randomly selected among the adult female cattle. The occurrence of abortions was not used as a criterion to select the farms or the animals. Sera were obtained by centrifugation and kept at -20°C until assayed.

An indirect immunofluorescent antibody test (IFAT) was performed according to Conrad *et al* (1993) using tachyzoites of the NC1 strain of *N. caninum* (Dubey *et al* 1988^b), and a commercial fluorescein-labeled whole

rabbit anti-bovine IgG (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) was used as the secondary antibody. Complete tachyzoite peripheral fluorescence (Paré *et al* 1995) at a dilution of 1:200 was considered positive for *N. caninum* antibodies in cattle (Dubey and Lindsay 1996). Negative and positive control sera were used on each slide. Positive sera were diluted two-fold up to the maximum titer.

Additional blood samples were gathered from dogs (n = 33) that coexisted with bovine on the evaluated properties. Dog blood was analyzed for *N. caninum* antibodies using the IFAT method (cut-off ≥ 50 , Silva *et al* 2007).

Herd data (breed, management, frequency and type of reproductive or neurologic disorders in the last 12 months, production of milk, diet and presence of dogs) was obtained from the owners.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data were tabulated and analyzed statistically by using Fisher's exact¹ and qui-square tests ($P \leq 0.05$) to correlate the results of serology with potencial risk factors.

¹ R Development Core Team. 2009. R: A language and environment for statistical computing R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL <http://www.R-project.org>.

RESULTS

Neospora caninum antibodies were found in 23.1% (86 positive/373 tested) of cattle and 21.2% (7/33) of dogs. The titers detected in bovine serum samples were 1:200 (18), 1:400 (23), 1:800 (29), 1:1600 (14) and 1:3200 (2). Serological evidence of neosporosis was found on all farms included in the study (table 2), suggesting widespread occurrence of the protozoan among dairies of Lages, Santa Catarina.

Infection was primarily found in animals with low milk production ($P = 0.008$). More than half (55.6%) of the cows with low production (< 10 liters of milk per day) were *N. caninum* seropositive (table 3).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, *N. caninum* seropositivity was similar to the 19% prevalence reported by Minervino *et al* (2008) in Santarém, Pará State, 23.6% described by Ragozo *et al* (2003) and 26% related by Romero-Salas *et al* (2010). Alternatively, Ogawa *et al* (2005), Vogel *et al* (2006), Corbellini *et al* (2006) and Oshiro *et al* (2007) reported lower seropositive values of 12%, 11.4%, 17.8% and 14.9%, respectively. In contrast, Boaventura *et al* (2006), Guimarães *et al* (2006), Locatelli-Dittrich *et al* (2008) and Benetti *et al* (2009), found 40.7%, 90%, 33% and 53.5% of positive animals had antibodies against *N. caninum*. Ragozo *et al* (2003) and Guimarães Junior *et al* (2004) both reported the highest rates of seropositivity were from older cows. A similar predominance was observed in this study, where 84% of positive are lactating cows, but the difference was not significant (table 3). Horizontal transmission within dairies endemically infected normally shows a predominance of infection in older cattle (Davison *et al* 1999^a). Therefore, the results suggested infection in the herds in this study occurred by horizontal transmission, which has been reported by others (Davison *et al* 1999^a, Dyer *et al* 2000).

The low productivity cows, with bigger seropositive rates, were from two farms with less technological systems, suggesting management practices could have contributed to a higher prevalence of *N. caninum* antibodies in these herds. The same was observed by Corbellini *et al* (2006) and Wang *et al* (2010).

The prevalence of neosporosis in bovines is higher in aborting cows when compared to normally calving cattle (Davison *et al* 1999^b, De Meerschman *et al* 2002, Ghalmi *et al* 2007, Almería *et al* 2009), although Oshiro *et al* (2007) found a positive correlation between low titers of bovine *N. caninum* antibodies and abortions. In this study, no significant association was observed between the prevalence of antibodies against *N. caninum* and reproductive disorders in bovine, in agreement with findings of Aguiar *et al* (2006). Similarly, Paz *et al* (2007) found no association between *N. caninum* seropositivity

Table 2. Positive dairy cattle (IFAT, $\geq 1:200$) for *Neospora caninum*, per farm, from the municipality of Lages, Santa Catarina State, for analyzed variable and total. 2008.

Ganado lechero positivo (IFAT $\geq 1:200$) por *Neospora caninum*, por granja, del municipio de Lages, Estado de Santa Catarina, para variables analizadas y total. 2008.

Farm	Bovines Evaluated	Bovines Positive (%)	
01	19	03	(15.8)
02	19	03	(15.8)
03	33	07	(21.2)
04	37	07	(18.9)
05	24	04	(16.7)
06	12	01	(8.3)
07	24	05	(20.8)
08	15	05	(33.3)
09	35	15	(42.9)
10	25	05	(20)
11	13	09	(69.2)
12	13	04	(30.8)
13	26	02	(7.7)
14	18	02	(11.1)
15	05	01	(20)
16	06	03	(50)
17	16	05	(31.3)
18	12	01	(8.3)
19	21	04	(19.1)
Total	373	86	(23.1)

and pregnancy rates in cows belonging to a surrogate herd submitted to embryo transfer technology.

Although Basso *et al* (2010) observed the horizontal infection route determines the occurrence of epidemic abortions, the abortion rate was not increased in a dairy herd infected by horizontal transmission (Dijkstra *et al* 2002), which suggested the existence of low virulent strains of the protozoan, such as observed for *T. gondii*. The identification and characterization of *N. caninum* strains of low virulence could explain the lack of an association between seroprevalence for neosporosis and reproductive disorders in the dairies included in the present study (Dubey *et al* 2006, Rojo-Montejo *et al* 2009^a, Rojo-Montejo *et al* 2009^b).

At least one dog positive for neosporosis was detected in six (31.6%) of 19 evaluated dairies. However, we found no correlation between *N. caninum* seroprevalence in cattle and the presence of infected dogs. Similarly, Aguiar *et al* (2006), Locatelli-Dittrich *et al* (2008) and Mello *et al* (2008) did not observe any correlation between presence of dogs and seropositivity for *N. caninum* in cows. In contrast, Guimarães Junior *et al* (2004) reported a positive correlation between the presence of dogs and the prevalence

Table 3. Frequency of positive dairy cattle (IFAT, $\geq 1:200$) for *Neospora caninum* from the municipality of Lages, Santa Catarina State, for analyzed variable and total. 2008.

Frecuencia de ganado lechero positivo (IFAT $\geq 1:200$) por *Neospora caninum* del municipio de Lages, Estado de Santa Catarina, para variables analizadas y total. 2008.

Variable	Category	Animals		Positives ¹		Positives ²		P
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
Category Group	Calf	4	1.1	1	25.0	1	1	0.985
	Heifer	33	8.8	7	21.2	7	8	
	Lactating Cow	314	84.2	72	22.9	72	84	
	Dry Cow	19	5.1	5	26.3	5	6	
	Bull	3	0.8	1	33.3	1	1	
Breed	Holstein	164	44.0	38	23.2	38	44	0.3207
	Jersey	179	48.0	37	20.7	37	43	
	Flamenga	5	1.3	1	20.0	1	1	
	Cross Breed	7	1.9	4	57.1	4	5	
	Gir	4	1.1	2	50.0	2	2	
	Mini-Jersey	12	3.2	4	33.3	4	5	
	Lageano	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0	
	Norman	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0	
Milk Production	High	221	59.2	45	20.4	45	52	0.008
	Middle	73	19.6	17	23.3	17	20	
	Low	18	4.8	10	55.6	10	12	
	No lactation	61	16.4	14	23.0	14	16	
Reproductive and Neurologic Disorders	No Problems	291	78.0	65	22.3	65	76	0.528
	Abortions	21	5.6	5	23.8	5	6	
	Return Season	53	14.2	13	24.5	13	15	
	Stillborn	6	1.6	2	33.3	2	2	
	Mummified	1	0.3	1	100.0	1	1	
	Neurologic	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0	
Total		373	100	86	—	86	100	

Positive¹ = Relation among the positive animals within a category and the total of animals of its category.

Positive² = Relation among the positive animals within a category and the total of positive animals.

P = descriptive level of the χ^2 test.

Positives¹ = Relación entre los animales positivos dentro de la categoría y el número total de animales de su categoría.

Positives² = Relación entre los animales positivos dentro de la categoría y el total de animales positivos.

P = Nivel descriptivo de la prueba χ^2 .

of *N. caninum* antibodies in cattle and Vega *et al* (2010) suggest that a close relationship between definitive and intermediate host, can facilitate the horizontal transmission. Interestingly, Barling *et al* (2001) suggested the presence of a dog among the cattle provided a protective factor against *N. caninum* infection. Perhaps the presence of a cattle-working dog prevented contamination of feed and water sources by other canids (stray and wild dogs) that might be more important sources of infection than tame dogs.

This study showed that *N. caninum* is present in dairy herds of Lages Municipality, Santa Catarina State with a prevalence of 23.1%, which is within the range found in other important regions of cattle production in Brazil. Although age did not affect the presence of the protozoan ($P > 0.05$), the high percentage of positive cows suggest horizontal transmission of the agent inside the evaluated herds. The prevalence of antibodies against *N. caninum* was not associated with the existence of bovine reproductive disorders and the presence of dogs positive for *N. caninum*.

did not increase the risk factor for bovine neosporosis in the evaluated dairies.

SUMMARY

Bovines are the main intermediary host of the protozoan *Neospora caninum*, which is a major cause of bovine abortions and neonatal mortality worldwide. Sera were collected from 373 dairy cattle and 33 dogs on 19 dairy farms in Lages city, Santa Catarina State, Brazil, to determine the prevalence of *N. caninum* antibodies and risk factors. Tests for *N. caninum* antibodies were done using an indirect immunofluorescent antibody test (IFAT). Positive reactions with titers $\geq 1:200$ (cattle) and $\geq 1:50$ (dogs) were found in 86 (23.1%) and seven (21.2%) bovines and dogs, respectively. Of the bovines, four (1.1%) were calves, 33 (8.8%) were heifers, 314 (84.2%) were lactating cows, 19 (5.1%) were dry cows and three (0.8%) were bulls. Infection was primarily found in animals with low milk production ($P = 0.008$). The presence of seropositive dogs on the properties did not affect the prevalence of bovine infection. No correlation between bovine reproductive disorders and the prevalence of *N. caninum* antibodies was observed. Our results showed that *N. caninum* infection is widespread among dairy cattle of Lages, Santa Catarina.

REFERENCES

- Aguiar DM, GT Cavalcante, AAR Rodrigues, MB Labruna, LMA Camargo, EP Camargo, SM Gennari. 2006. Prevalence of anti-*Neospora caninum* antibodies in cattle and dogs from Western Amazon, Brazil, in association with some possible risk factors. *Vet Parasitol* 142, 71-77.
- Almeida MAO. 2004. Epidemiology of *Neospora caninum*. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 13, 38-40.
- Almería S, F López-Gatius, I García-Ispuerto, C Nogareda, G Bech-Sàbat, B Serrano, P Santolaria, JL Yáñez. 2009. Effects of crossbreed pregnancies on the abortion risk of *Neospora caninum*-infected dairy cows *Vet Parasitol* 163, 323-329.
- Baar BC, PA Conrad, KW Sverlow, AF Tarantal, AG Hendrickx. 1994. Experimental fetal and transplacental *Neospora* infection in the nonhuman primate. *Lab Invest* 71, 236-242.
- Barling KS, JW McNeill, JC Paschal, FT Mccollum Iii, TM Craig, LG Adams, JA Thompson. 2001. Ranch-management factors associated with antibody seropositivity for *Neospora caninum* in consignments of beef calves in Texas USA. *Prev Vet Med* 52, 53-61.
- Basso W, S Schares, L Minke, A Barwald, A Maksimov, M Peters, C Schulze, M Muller, FJ Conraths, G Schares. 2010. Microsatellite typing and avidity analysis suggest a common source of infection in herds with epidemic *Neospora caninum*-associated bovine abortion. *Vet Parasitol* 173, 24-31.
- Benetti AH, FB Schein, TR Santos, GH Toniollo, AJ Costa, JR Mineo, J Lobato, DAO Silva, SM Gennari. 2009. Inquiry of antibodies anti-*Neospora caninum* in dairy cattle, dogs and rural workers of the south-west region of Mato Grosso State. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 18, 29-33. Supl 1.
- Boaventura CM, VSF Oliveira, PPR Fernandes, GA Oliveira, AC Silva. 2006. Ocorrência de anticorpos anti-*Neospora caninum* em bovinos do estado de Goiás In: CBPV (Ed). *14th Congresso Brasileiro de Parasitologia Veterinária*, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil.
- Conrad PA, BC Barr, KW Sverlow, M Anderson, B Daft, H Kinde, JP Dubey, L Munson, A Ardans. 1993. *In vitro* isolation and characterization of a *Neospora* sp from aborted bovine fetuses. *Parasitology* 106, 239-249.
- Corbellini LG, D Driemeier, AM Mori, SD Traverso. 2001. Avaliação de um surto de aborto por *Neospora caninum* em uma propriedade leiteira do Estado de Santa Catarina *Rev Bras Reprod Animal* 25, 258-259.
- Corbellini LG, DR Smith, CA Pescador, M Schmitz, A Correa, DJ Steffen, D Driemeier. 2006. Herd-level risk factors for *Neospora caninum* seroprevalence in dairy farms in southern Brazil. *Prev Vet Med* 74, 130-141.
- Cruz CEF, DL Raymundo, C Cerva, SP Pavarini, AGC Dalto, LG Corbellini, D Driemeier. 2011. Records of performance and sanitary status from a dairy cattle herd in southern Brazil. *Pesq Vet Bras* 31, 1-9.
- Davison HC, NP French, AJ Trees. 1999^a. Herd-specific and age-specific seroprevalence of *Neospora caninum* in 14 British dairy herds. *Vet Rec* 144, 547-550.
- Davison HC, A Otter, AJ Trees. 1999^b. Significance of *Neospora caninum* in British dairy cattle determined by estimation of seroprevalence in normally calving cattle and aborting cattle. *Int J Parasitol* 29, 1189-1194.
- De Meerschman F, N Speybroeck, D Berkvens, C Rettignera, C Focant, T Leclipteux, D Cassart, B Losson. 2002. Fetal infection with *Neospora caninum* in dairy and beef cattle in Belgium. *Theriogenology* 58, 933-945.
- Dijkstra T, HW Barkema, C Björkman, W Andwouda. 2002. A high rate of seroconversion for *Neospora caninum* in a dairy herd without an obvious increased incidence of abortions. *Vet Parasitol* 109, 203-211.
- Dubey JP, AL Hatel, DL Lindsay, MJ Topper. 1988. Neonatal *Neospora caninum* infection in dogs: Isolation of the causative agent and experimental transmission. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 193, 1259.
- Dubey JP, DS Lindsay. 1996. A review of *Neospora caninum* and neosporosis. *Vet Parasitol* 67, 1-59.
- Dubey JP, D Buxton, W Wouda. 2006. Pathogenesis of bovine neosporosis. *J Comp Pathol* 134, 267-289.
- Dyer RM, MC Jenkins, OC Kwok, LW Douglas, JP Dubey. 2000. Serologic survey of *Neospora caninum* infection in a closed dairy cattle herd in Maryland: risk of serologic reactivity by production groups. *Vet Parasitol* 90, 171-181.
- Flausino W, AD Munhoz, MJS Pereira, CWG Lopes. 2006. Dinâmica da infecção por *Neospora caninum* e a presença de abortos em bovinos leiteiros na mesorregião sul fluminense, estado do Rio de Janeiro. In: CBPV (ed). *14th Congresso Brasileiro de Parasitologia Veterinária*, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil.
- Gennari SM. 2004. *Neospora caninum* no Brasil: Situação atual da pesquisa. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 13, 23-27.
- Ghalimi F, B China, B Losson. 2007. Diagnostic et surveillance epidemiologique de *Neospora caninum*. *Ann Med Vet* 151, 123-149.
- Guedes MHP, AM Guimarães, CMBM Rocha, C Hirsch. 2008. Frequência de anticorpos anti-*Neospora caninum* em vacas e fetos provenientes de municípios do sul de Minas Gerais. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 17, 189-194.
- Guimarães Junior JS, SLP Souza, DP Bergamaschi, SM Gennari. 2004. Prevalence of *Neospora caninum* antibodies and factors associated with their presence in dairy cattle of the north of Paraná State, Brazil. *Vet Parasitol* 124, 1-8.
- Guimarães AM, C Hirsch, GC Santana, MA Pereira, CMBM Rocha, MHP Guedes. 2006. Soroprevalência e fatores de risco para *Neospora caninum* em rebanhos leiteiros do sul de Minas Gerais. In: CBPV (Ed). *14th Congresso Brasileiro de Parasitologia Veterinária*. Anais.
- Hasegawa MY, IF Sartor, AMO Canavessi, RD Pinckney. 2004. Ocorrência de anticorpos anti-*Neospora caninum* em bovinos de corte e em cães da região de Avaré, Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. *Semina* 25, 45-50.
- Hernandez J, C Risco, A Donovan. 2001. Association between exposure to *Neospora caninum* and milk production in dairy cows. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 219, 632-635.
- Hobson JC, TF Duffield, D Kelton, K Lissemore, SK Hietala, KE Leslie, B McEwen, G Cramer, AS Peregrine. 2002. *Neospora caninum* serostatus and milk production of Holstein cattle. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 221, 1160-1164.
- Juliano RS, MCS Fioravanti, AC Silva, VSF Oliveira, PR Fernandes, CM Boaventura, ARB Silva, Souza, SN, GA Oliveira. 2006. Ocorrência de anticorpos anti-*Neospora caninum* e anti-*Toxoplasma gondii*

- em rebanhos da raça curraleiro. In: CBPV (ed). *14th Congresso Brasileiro de Parasitologia Veterinária*, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil.
- Lobato J, DAO Silva, TWP Mineo, JDHF Amaral, GR Silva Segundo, JM Costa-Cruz, MS Ferreira, AS Borges, JR Mineo. 2006. Detection of immunoglobulin G antibodies to *Neospora caninum* in humans: High seropositivity rates in patients who are Infected by Human Immunodeficiency Virus or have neurological disorders. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 13, 84-89.
- Locatelli-Dittrich R, V Thomaz-Soccol, RRTB Richartz, ME Gasino-Joineau, RVD Vinne, RD Pinckney. 2004. Isolamento de *Neospora caninum* de feto bovino de rebanho leiteiro no Paraná. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 13, 103-109.
- Locatelli-Dittrich R, PC Machado Jr, N Fridlund-Plugge, RRTB Richartz, F Montiani-Ferreira, LFL Patrício, MAC Patrício, MG Joineau, M Pieppe. 2008. Determinação e correlação de anticorpos anti-*Neospora caninum* em bovinos e cães do Paraná, Brasil. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 17, 191-196.
- Mello RC, R Andreotti, JC Barros, RGP Tomich, AKM Mello, AI Campolim, AO Pellegrin. 2008. Levantamento epidemiológico de *Neospora caninum* em bovinos de assentamentos rurais em Corumbá, MS. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 17, 311-316.
- Melo CB, RC Leite, GN Souza, RC Leite. 2001. Frequência de infecção por *Neospora caninum* em dois diferentes sistemas de produção de leite e fatores predisponentes à infecção em bovinos em Minas Gerais. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 10, 67-74.
- Melo DPG, AC Silva, LM Ortega-Mora, SA Bastos, CM Boaventura. 2006. Prevalência de anticorpos anti-*Neospora caninum* em bovinos das microrregiões de Goiânia e Anápolis, Goiás, Brasil. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 15, 105-109.
- Mineo TWP, S Alenius, K Näslund, HJ Montassier, C Björkman. 2006. Distribution of antibodies against *Neospora caninum*, BVBD and BHV-1 among cows in Brazilian dairy herds with reproductive disorders. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 15, 188-192.
- Minervino AHH, AMA Ragozo, RM Monteiro, EL Ortolani, SM Gennari. 2008. Prevalence of *Neospora caninum* antibodies in cattle from Santarém, Pará, Brazil. *Res Vet Sci* 84, 254-256.
- Munhoz AD, W Flausino, RT Silva, CRR Almeida, CWG Lopes. 2006. Distribuição de anticorpos contra *Neospora caninum* em vacas leiteiras dos municípios de Resende e Rio Claro, estado do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 15, 101-104.
- Ogawa L, RL Freire, O Vidotto, LFP Gondin, IT Navarro. 2005. Occurrence of antibodies to *Neospora caninum* and *Toxoplasma gondii* in dairy cattle from the northern region of the Paraná State, Brazil. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 57, 312-316.
- Oshiro LM, MFC Matos, JM Oliveira, LARC Monteiro, R Andreotti. 2007. Prevalence of anti-*Neospora caninum* antibodies in cattle from the State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 16, 133-138.
- Paré J, SK Hietala, MC Thurmond. 1995. Interpretation of an indirect fluorescent antibody test for diagnosis of *Neospora* sp infection in cattle. *J Vet Diagn Invest* 7, 273-275.
- Paz GF, RC Leite, MA Rocha. 2007. Associação entre sorologia para *Neospora caninum* e taxa de prenhez em vacas receptoras de embriões. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 59, 1323-1325.
- Ragozo AMA, VSO Paula, SLP Souza, DP Bergamaschi, SM Genari. 2003. Ocorrência de anticorpos anti-*Neospora caninum* em soros bovinos procedentes de seis estados brasileiros. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 12, 33-37.
- Rojo-Montejo S, E Collantes-Fernández, J Regidor-Cerrillo, G Álvarez-García, V Marugán-Hernández, S Pedraza-Díaz, J Blanco-Murcia, A Prenafeta, LM Ortega-Mora. 2009^a. Isolation and characterization of a bovine isolate of *Neospora caninum* with low virulence. *Vet Parasitol* 159, 7-16.
- Rojo-Montejo S, E Collantes-Fernandez, J Blanco-Murcia, A Rodriguez-Bertos, V Risco-Castillo, LM Ortega-Mora. 2009^b. Experimental infection with a low virulence isolate of *Neospora caninum* at 70 days gestation in cattle did not result in foetopathy. *Vet Res* 40, 40-49.
- Romero-Salas D, Z García-Vazquez, F Montiel-Palacios, T Montiel-Pena, M Aguilar-Dominguez, L Medina-Esparza, C Cruz-Vazquez. 2010. Seroprevalence of *Neospora caninum* antibodies in cattle in Veracruz, México. *J An Vet Adv* 9, 1445-1451.
- Sadrebazzaz A, G Habibi, H Haddadzadeh, J Ashrafi. 2007. Evaluation of bovine abortion associated with *Neospora caninum* by different diagnostic techniques in Mashhad, Iran. *Parasitol Res* 100, 1257-1260.
- Silva DAO, J Lobato, TWP Mineo, JR Mineo. 2007. Evaluation of serological tests for the diagnosis of *Neospora caninum* infection in dogs: optimization of cut off titers and inhibition studies of cross-reactivity with *Toxoplasma gondii*. *Vet Parasitol* 143, 234-244.
- Thilsted JP, JP Dubey. 1989. Neosporosis-like abortions in a herd of dairy cattle. *J Vet Diagn Invest* 1, 205-209.
- Thurmond MC, SK Hietala. 1997. Effect of *Neospora caninum* infection on milk production in first-lactation dairy cows. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 210, 672-674.
- Tiwari A, JA Vanleeuwen, IR Dohoo, GP Keefe, JP Haddad, R Tremblay, HM Scott, T Whiting. 2007. Production effects of pathogens causing bovine leukosis, bovine viral diarrhea, paratuberculosis, and neosporosis. *J Dairy Sci* 90, 659-669.
- Vega OL, VA Chavez, PN Falcon, AE Casas, ChN Puray. 2010. Prevalence of *Neospora caninum* in shepherd dogs of a livestock farm in the southern highlands of Peru. *Rev Invest Vet Peru* 21, 80-86.
- Vogel FSF, S Arenhart, FV Bauermann. 2006. Anticorpos anti-*Neospora caninum* em bovinos, ovinos e bubalinos no Estado do Rio Grande do Sul. *Cienc Rural* 36, 1948-1951.
- Wang CR, Y Wang, X Zou, Y Zhai, J Gao, M Hou, X-Q Zhu. 2010. Seroprevalence of *Neospora caninum* infection in dairy cattle in northeastern China. *J Parasitol* 96, 451-452.