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**A B S T R A C T**

**Background:** Analysis of genetic diversity is important for the authentication of a species. Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) is a subtropical evergreen tree. Recently, *L. chinensis* has been characterized by an improved random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) analysis. The goal of this study was to develop sequence-characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers from the improved RAPD fragments for the genetic analysis of *L. chinensis*.

**Results:** The improved RAPD fragments from *L. chinensis* were cloned, sequenced and converted into stable SCAR markers. Sequencing of three cloned RAPD fragments revealed that the clone L7-16 consisted of 222 nucleotides (GenBank accession number KM235222), clone L9-6 consisted of 648 nucleotides (GenBank accession number KM235223), and clone L11-26 consisted of 369 nucleotides (GenBank accession number KM235224). Then, specific primers for SCAR markers L7-16, L9-6, and L11-26 were designed and synthesized. PCR amplification was performed using DNA templates from 24 different samples, including 6 samples of *L. chinensis* and other plants. The SCAR marker L9-6 was specific for all of the *L. chinensis* samples, the SCAR marker L11-26 specific for five *L. chinensis* samples, and the SCAR marker L7-16 only specific for the samples from Luzhou.

**Conclusions:** This study developed stable SCAR markers for the identification of *L. chinensis* by the cloning of the improved RAPD fragments. Combining RAPD and SCAR markers provides a simple and reliable tool for the genetic characterization of plant species.

© 2014 Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Analysis of genetic diversity is important for the identification and authentication of a species. This is also important for the genetic profiling and conservation of organisms. For the analysis of genetic diversity, a number of molecular marker techniques have been developed over the last thirty years. These include random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), simple sequence repeat (SSR), inter-retrotransposon amplified polymorphism (IRAP), inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR), internal transcribed spacer (ITS), restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis. These analyses have all been used for the genetic characterization and authentication of unicellular and multicellular organisms [1,2,3,4].

Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn., *L. chinensis*), belongs to the family Sapindaceae, and a tropical and subtropical evergreen tree from Hainan, Guangdong, and Fujian in China. It has been cultivated in China since 2000 B.C., and cultivated in many other parts of the world, particularly in Southeast Asia. Litchi is an edible fruit and is used in traditional medicine. As a traditional medicine, the fruit and its secondary metabolic products have been reported to have anticancer, anti-inflammatory, antifungal, antiviral, antioxidant, antiplatelet and anticoagulant, and antidiabetic activities [5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12].

There are numerous litchi cultivars and therefore considerable confusion has arisen regarding their naming and identification. The same cultivar grown in different climates may produce different fruits. Cultivars also have different synonyms in various parts of the world. Therefore, the nutritional or medicinal values of litchi may vary. There are limited studies on genetic diversity of this edible and medicinal species. Recently, we characterized litchi cultivars by employing an improved RAPD and ISSR analysis [13].

Sequence-characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers are stable molecular markers derived from RAPD. The basic principle is to convert the dominant markers into co-dominant markers to reduce the tediousness of RAPD by molecular cloning [14,15,16]. SCAR markers usually have a high level of polymorphism owing to higher annealing temperatures, and longer primers with sequence specificity [17]. When RAPD is combined with SCAR markers, the procedure becomes a
simple PCR analysis using PCR primers designed from the sequence of RAPD amplicons [13,16,17], as indicated in our earlier research [18,19,20,21]. In this study, we used DNA samples of _L. chinensis_ collected from Fujian, Hainan, Guangdong, Guangxi and Sichuan, and a DNA sample of _Dimocarpus confindis_ from Guangxi to improve RAPD amplification from our previous study [13]. The RAPD bands were cut and purified from agarose gel, followed by DNA ligation and sequencing. Then the SCAR markers were developed for authentication and validation of _L. chinensis_.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. DNA extraction of _L. chinensis_ and other samples

DNA was extracted from fresh tender leaves of different samples of _L. chinensis_ (Table 1) and other species by using a previously described slightly modified CTAB method, diluted with 1 × TE buffer to make the final concentration of 10 ng/μL, and stored at -20°C [3,13,18,19].

#### 2.2. Amplification of DNA by improved RAPD

In improved RAPD PCR, the DNA of _L. chinensis_ and _D. confindis_ was initially amplified using random primers SBC-I10, SBC-A12 and SBC-A16 and Tiangen reagents (Beijing, China). A total of 15 μL PCR reaction system consisted of 7.5 μL 2× Taq PCR MasterMix, 1.5 μL 2.5 μM primer, 1.5 μL genomic DNA, and 0.05 μL of dNTPs. Amplification reactions were performed in an Applied Biosystems Veriti® 96-Well Thermal Cycler (Life Technology, USA) under the following program: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 40 s, annealing at 36°C for 60 s with the RAMP rate from annealing to extension being 0.125°C/s (5% ramp rate), extension at 72°C for 40 s, and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were loaded into a 1.5% agarose gel for electrophoresis [18].

#### 2.3. Cloning, identification and sequencing of DNA fragments

Three different bright bands were excised from the agarose gel and purified by using TIANgel Mini Purification Kit (DP209, China). Purified DNA fragments were ligated into pGEM-T vector (No. VT202) (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China) and transformed into _Escherichia coli_ (E. coli) DH5α competent cells. The recombinant clones were selected on LB agar plates, containing 100 μg/mL of ampicillin, 40 μg/mL of X-gal and 40 μg/mL of IPTG at 37°C overnight. The white colonies were screened out by blue white screening method. The insertion was verified by PCR using T7/SP6 primer pairs (T7 primer: 5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG-3', SP6 primer: 5'-AATATTAGTGACACTATAGAA-3'), and then by EcoR I digestion for a 1% agarose gel electrophoresis [18,19,20]. The cloned DNA fragments were then sequenced using the Sanger method.

#### 2.4. Bioinformatics analysis by online program BLAST

The sequenced DNA was blasted in GenBank database by online program (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) to search for homologous sequences from different species and the homology analyzed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Species/cultivars</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>D. confindis</em></td>
<td>Nanning, Guangxi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Heiyi, <em>L. chinensis</em></td>
<td>Quanzhou, Fujian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Longqiao, <em>L. chinensis</em></td>
<td>Wanning, Hainan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Samayuehong, <em>L. chinensis</em></td>
<td>Dongguan, Guangdong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hei, <em>L. chinensis</em></td>
<td>Yulin, Guangxi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Dahongpao, <em>L. chinensis</em></td>
<td>Zhangba, Luzhou, Sichuan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Dahongpao, <em>L. chinensis</em></td>
<td>Hejiang, Luzhou, Sichuan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.5. Design of SCAR primers

The nucleotide sequence of each of the cloned RAPD fragment was used to design SCAR primers using online Primer 3 (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/primer3/). The sequences of primers, optimized PCR conditions and amplification lengths are listed in Table 2.

#### 2.6. Development of SCAR markers and SCAR analysis

To develop SCAR markers, the PCR amplification was performed using the DNA template from 11 different species, including six cultivars of _L. chinensis_ and another 10 medicinal plants (13 samples in total). The 10 μL PCR reaction system was prepared as follows: 5 μL of 2× Taq PCR MasterMix, 1 μL of 2.5 μM SCAR primers, and 1 μL of genomic DNA (10 ng), with the remaining volumes filled by ddH2O. PCR was performed in an Applied Biosystems Veriti® 96-Well Thermal Cycler (Life Technology, USA) with an initial pre-denaturation for 90 s at 95°C followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 40 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 40 s. The amplified PCR products were puriﬁed by electrophoresis on a 1.8% agarose gel in 1 × TAE buffer. Gels were visualized by 0.5 μg/mL ethidium bromide staining and the images documented using the ChemiDoc XRS (Bio-Rad, USA).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Cloning of RAPD amplification fragments

Three RAPD primers SBC-I10 (110), SBC-A12 (12) and SBC-A16 (16) were used for improved RAPD amplification in six samples of _L. chinensis_ and one sample of _D. confindis_ (Table 1) [13]. The results are shown in Fig. 1, where the blue arrows indicate the bands labeled with L7 by primer I10 (Fig. 1a), L9 by primer A12 (Fig. 1b) and L11 by primer A16 (Fig. 1c). The indicated bands were cut from the agarose gel and purified, and ligated to T-vector by AT cloning. The blue and white screening method was adopted firstly to screen the positive clones on LB agar plate (data not shown). The white clones were then identified by PCR amplification using SP6/T7 primer pair (Fig. 2a, b and c). The selected positive clones L7-16, L9-6 and L11-26 were finally identified by extraction of plasmid and DNA digestion by using EcoR I enzyme (Fig. 2d). In Fig. 2d, clone L7-16 is shown in lane 2 as an inserted DNA-fragment with ~250 bp in size, clone L9-6 is shown in lane 4 as two inserted DNA-fragments with ~550 bp and ~150 bp in size, and clone L11-26 is shown in lane 6 as an inserted DNA-fragment with ~400 bp in size, respectively. Clones L7-16, L9-6 and L11-26 were finally selected for Sanger sequencing.

#### 3.2. Sequencing and characterization of _L. chinensis_-specific RAPD fragments

Sequencing of the above mentioned three cloned RAPD fragments of _L. chinensis_ revealed that clone L7-16 consisted of 222 nucleotides, which was deposited into GenBank with accession number KM235222 (Fig. 3a); clone L9-6 consisted of 648 nucleotides, which was deposited into GenBank with accession number KM235223 (Fig. 3b); and clone L11-26 consisted of 369 nucleotides, which was deposited into GenBank with accession number KM235224 (Fig. 3c).

BLAST searches of the nucleotide sequences in GenBank database indicated that no clone showed any significant identity to that of any other species (data not shown).

#### 3.3. Development of _L. chinensis_-specific SCAR markers, and analysis of the PCR amplicons in different species

To generate stable _L. chinensis_-specific diagnostic SCAR markers from RAPD markers, three pairs of primers (L7-16, L9-6 and L11-26)
Table 2
Sequences of SCAR primers, PCR condition and product size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAR</th>
<th>5′-primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5′–3′)</th>
<th>3′-primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5′–3′)</th>
<th>Size (bp)</th>
<th>Tm (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>L7-18L</td>
<td>AGGTCAGGGTTCAGGATAGATT</td>
<td>L7-18R</td>
<td>TGGCAAGGTCTGGTCTCAAA</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>L9-6L</td>
<td>TATCCAAAAACGCGCCATTA</td>
<td>L9-6R</td>
<td>ACAGAAGCTTCCACACT</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L11</td>
<td>L11-26L</td>
<td>TCCTTCAGGGATCCCTTTTGG</td>
<td>L11-26R</td>
<td>GATCCCTAGCACCACCTGGA</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3.3) were designed and synthesized, based on cloned sequences (Fig. 3). The designed SCAR primer pairs were then used to amplify the genomic DNA from 24 of the collected DNA samples to test the species-specificity in amplification. The amplification results are shown in Fig. 4. The PCR results by SCAR marker L7-16 (Fig. 4a) indicated that the PCR products with expected size were observed only in two Luzhou samples of L. chinensis (Zhangba and Hejiang samples respectively), without any amplification in the other four L. chinensis samples or other species. This indicated that the SCAR marker L7-16 is Luzhou-specific. The lack of this specific amplicons in the L. chinensis samples from Fujian, Hainan, Guangdong, Guangxi, and other species samples indicates the efficacy of this marker in distinguishing the Luzhou samples from other cultivars or species. It should be clarified that one sample of Lonicera japonica (lane 24 in Fig. 4a) showed a weak band of bigger size than expected, which might be a non-specific band. From Fig. 4b, we found that the PCR products with expected size were observed in all six of L. chinensis samples amplified by SCAR markers L9-6, without any amplification found in other species, indicating that this SCAR marker is L. chinensis-specific. From Fig. 4c, we found that the PCR products with expected size were observed in four of the L. chinensis samples amplified by SCAR markers L11-26, without any amplification found in the other species and Zhangba sample, indicating that this SCAR marker is L. chinensis-specific. Negative controls without a DNA template did not show any PCR product (data not shown). Therefore, L. chinensis-specific SCAR markers were successfully developed, and can be used to authenticate individual cultivars.

4. Discussion

In modern bio-molecular science, marker technologies have become significant tools. These technologies can help systematic biologists identify cultivars, species and populations [19]. RAPD is one of the frontline techniques, and in recent years, a number of plants or other organisms have been characterized by standard and improved RAPD analysis [3,13,21,22,23]. The technology has also been found to help study genetic diversity in newly found or modified species [24,25,26,27,28]. It has been reported that the conversion of RAPD markers into SCAR markers can improve their specificity and stability. This makes it more convenient and efficient in the analysis of different cultivars from different species [18,19]. As SCAR markers can identify a single or a few bands instead of a complex pattern, they are more accurate than RAPD, SSR, ISSR, RFLP, IRAP or AFLP alone. So the identification of organisms becomes more authentic and well-verified if RAPD analysis is combined with SCAR markers.

There are three methods for the screening of positive clones using molecular cloning: blue white screening, PCR of bacteria using T7/SP6 primers, and DNA digestion. Then Sanger sequencing is performed after verifying positive clones. The EcoRI digestion can be performed on plasmids because the pGM-T vector has two EcoRI sites at both sides near the ligation position. However, when the plasmid of clone L9-6 was digested by the EcoRI restriction enzyme, there were two inserted DNA-fragments about ~550 bp and ~150 bp in size (Fig. 2d, lane 4). This Sanger sequencing revealed that there was an EcoRI site inside the RAPD fragment.

D. confinis, also known as “longli” in Chinese, is similar in the morphology of tree and fruit with that of L. chinensis and Dimocarpus longan. D. confinis is a very ancient species in Guangxi, and was first described by Dacheng Fan in his book “Guihai Yuheng zhi” in 1162. A wild D. confinis variety from Guangxi was described previously [18]. However, it is difficult to distinguish D. confinis from L. chinensis and D. longan using plant morphology. In a recent study, improved RAPD analysis described the genetic distance between the samples of this plant collected from different locations in southern China [13]. In our study, we generated SCAR markers from the previously developed RAPD markers [13]. The SCAR markers L9-6 and L11-26 are specific to the DNAs from all six L. chinensis cultivars or five cultivars respectively, as they showed no PCR amplification in the DNA of other species, including D. confinis, D. longan, Canarium album, Gardenia jasminoides, Penthorum chinense Pursh, Penthorum sedoideas, Viola philippica, Castordia elata, and L. japonica. All three markers distinguished L. chinensis from D. confinis and D. longan, whereas marker L7-16 was found only in Luzhou samples. These findings confirm the results of our previous study, which revealed that Luzhou is geographically isolated from other regions, and therefore the two Luzhou samples were large genetically distant than the samples from other regions [13]. Some previous studies reported on the RAPD-SCAR technique for the characterization of other plants, such as D. longan [18] and L. japonica [19]. However, this is the first RAPD-SCAR study on L. chinensis.

5. Concluding remarks

In this study, we developed SCAR markers specific for the authentication of L. chinensis. The results of this study also indicate that the improved RAPD analysis has the potential for the genetic

Fig. 1. RAPD products for cloning from litchi. (A) RAPD DNA fragment L7 from primer SBS-110. The blue arrow indicates the band cut from an improved RAPD fragment. (B) RAPD DNA fragment L9 from primer SBS-A12. The blue arrow indicates the band cut from an improved RAPD fragment. (C) RAPD DNA fragment L11 from primer SBS-A16. The blue arrow indicates the band cut from an improved RAPD fragment. Lanes 1–7 indicate D. confinis or the L. chinensis cultivars listed in Table 1.
analysis of any species. The RAPD fragments can be cloned to generate
diagnostic SCAR markers that are stable and specific. Thus, the
combination of the two techniques provides a simple and reliable tool
for the genetic characterization of any plant species.
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Fig. 2. Identification of positive clones of RAPD fragments. (A) Identification of RAPD DNA
fragment L7 by bacteria PCR amplification with vector T7/SP6 primers. (B) Identification of
RAPD DNA fragment L9 by bacteria PCR amplification with vector T7/SP6 primers. (C)
Identification of RAPD DNA fragment L11 by bacteria PCR. The possible positive clones,
L7-16 (red), L9-6 (red), and L11-26 (red), were selected for further plasmid extraction
and DNA digestion. (D) Identification of positive clones L7-16, L9-6 and L11-26,
respectively by plasmid DNA digestion by EcoRI. Lanes 1 and 2 indicate plasmid L7-16
without or with EcoRI digestion; lanes 3 and 4 indicate plasmid L9-6 without or with
EcoRI digestion; and lanes 5 and 6 indicate plasmid L11-26 without or with EcoRI digestion.
The blue arrows indicate expected bands of insert(s) ligated from RAPD DNA
fragments. Lane M indicates the DNA molecular weight marker DL2000 with the
fragment 2000, 1000, 750, 500, 250, and 100 bp.

Fig. 3. Cloned sequence by Sanger-sequencing. The sequences of clone L7-16 (A). The
sequences of clone L9-6 (B). The sequences of clone L11-26 (C).

Fig. 4. Development of SCAR markers of L7-16, L9-6 and L11-26, and analysis of the PCR
amplicons of different species. (A) SCAR marker L7-16. B. SCAR marker L9-6. C. SCAR
marker L11-26. Lanes 1–7 indicate D. confinis or L. chinensis cultivars listed in Table 1;
Lanes 8–12 are samples of D. longan collected from Sichuan, Guangdong, Guangxi,
Fujian, and Hainan, respectively; lanes 13 and 14 are samples of C. album from Sichuan
and Fujian; lanes 15–17 are samples of G. lucidum; lane 18 is G. jasminoides; lane 19 is
P. chinense from Culin County in Sichuan; lane 20 is P. sedoides; lane 21 is V. philippica;
lane 22 is G. elata from Liangshan City in Sichuan; lanes 23–24 are samples of the
L. japonica from Leshan City of Sichuan and Shenzhen City of Guangdong. Lane M
indicates the DNA molecular weight marker DL2000.
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