



Latin American Journal of Aquatic
Research

E-ISSN: 0718-560X

lajar@pucv.cl

Pontificia Universidad Católica de
Valparaíso
Chile

von Dassow, Peter; Collado-Fabbri, Silvana

Biological oceanography, biogeochemical cycles, and pelagic ecosystem functioning of
the east-central South Pacific Gyre: focus on Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island
Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research, vol. 42, núm. 4, octubre, 2014, pp. 703-742

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso
Valparaíso, Chile

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=175032366004>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

Review

Biological oceanography, biogeochemical cycles, and pelagic ecosystem functioning of the east-central South Pacific Gyre: focus on Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island

Peter von Dassow^{1,2,3} & Silvana Collado-Fabbri⁴

¹Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile
Av. Bernardo O'Higgins 340, Santiago, Chile

²CNRS, Sorbonne Universités UPMC Université de Paris VI, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile
Universidad Austral de Chile, UMI 3614 Evolutionary Biology and Ecology of Algae

³Instituto Milenio de Oceanografía, Chile

⁴Departamento de Oceanografía, Universidad de Concepción
Barrio Universitario, P.O. Box 160-C, Concepción

ABSTRACT. The Exclusive Economic Zone of Chile defined by Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island is in the South Pacific Sub-tropical Gyre (SPSG), putting it at the center of the most oligotrophic and biomass poor waters in the world. Only 10 biological oceanographic expeditions have entered this zone in 105 years (1905-2010). We review key aspects of the plankton ecosystem and biogeochemical function relevant for the understanding of and conservation planning for marine environments. Plankton production is limited by lack of dissolved inorganic fixed nitrogen, not phosphorous. Higher organic nitrogen levels might be biologically unavailable. Short-term experiments suggested iron is not limiting, yet iron still likely limits nitrogen fixation, and thus production, at longer time scales, as the presence of nitrogen-fixers is exceptionally low compared to other ocean gyres. Plankton function is dominated by the smallest unicellular organisms, picoplankton (<3 µm in diameter). The SPSG represents a center of high biodiversity for picoplankton, as well as heterotrophic organisms such as tintinnids, siphonophores, and possibly amphipods, although data for key zooplankton, such as copepods, are lacking. Many groups exhibit negative relationships between diversity and total plankton biomass. High diversity might result from dispersal from a very large metacommunity and minimal competition within functional groups. Whether an island-mass effect causes a real or apparent increase in plankton biomass around Easter Island must be confirmed by high-resolution sampling *in situ*. Long-term threats to the planktonic ecosystem may include climate change-enhanced ocean stratification and plastic marine debris accumulation. Finally, priorities for future research are highlighted.

Keywords: Easter Island, Salas y Gómez Island, oceanography, plankton community, biogeochemical function, pelagic ecosystem.

Oceanografía biológica, ciclos biogeoquímicos y funcionamiento del ecosistema pelágico de la región centro-este del Giro del Pacífico Sur: centrado en Isla de Pascua e Isla Salas y Gómez

RESUMEN. La Zona Económica Exclusiva definida para Isla de Pascua e Isla Salas y Gómez está en el Giro Subtropical del Pacífico Sur (GSPS), en el centro de las aguas más oligotróficas y con menor biomasa del mundo. Sólo 10 expediciones oceanográfico-biológicas han entrado en esta zona en 105 años (1905-2010). Revisamos los aspectos clave del ecosistema planctónico y la función biogeoquímica relevante para su comprensión y planificación de su conservación en ambientes marinos. La producción de plancton está limitada por el nitrógeno inorgánico disuelto y no por el fósforo. Los niveles más altos de nitrógeno orgánico pueden no estar biodisponibles. Experimentos sugirieron que el hierro no es limitante, sin embargo, probablemente limita la fijación de nitrógeno y así la producción a escalas de tiempo mayores, ya que los fijadores de nitrógeno son excepcionalmente escasos en comparación con otros giros oceánicos. El plancton está dominado por picoplankton (<3 µm de diámetro). El GSPS representa un centro de alta biodiversidad para el picoplankton, así como para heterótrofos como tintínidos, sifonóforos y posiblemente anfípodos, aunque faltan datos de zooplankton clave (copépodos). Muchos grupos muestran relaciones negativas entre diversidad y biomasa total.

La alta diversidad puede deberse a la dispersión de una gran metacomunidad y una mínima competencia entre los grupos funcionales. Si el efecto masa de isla provoca un aumento en la biomasa planctónica alrededor de Isla de Pascua debe ser confirmado por muestreos *in situ* de alta resolución. Dentro de las amenazas a largo plazo se incluyen mayor estratificación por cambio climático y acumulación de desechos plásticos. Por último, se destacan las prioridades para la investigación futura.

Palabras clave: Isla de Pascua, Isla Salas y Gómez, oceanografía, comunidad planctónica, función biogeoquímica, ecosistema pelágico.

Corresponding author: Peter von Dassow (pvondassow@bio.puc.cl)

INTRODUCTION

The most striking characteristic of the ocean near Easter Island is its clarity, representing the poorest plankton productivity on Earth, in the eastern-central part of the South Pacific Sub-tropical Gyre (SPSG) (Morel *et al.*, 2010). This is a fundamental aspect defining the structure and function of both pelagic and benthic communities, as well as barriers to connectivity among ocean islands and between island habitats and the continents. Therefore, understanding the pelagic nutrient and trophic status in the eastern-central SPSG is essential to evaluate environmental risks implied by global changes or local-scale human activities. This is the motivation for the review of published literature and other available information (*e.g.*, unpublished data archives) about plankton oceanography and biogeochemistry, both of the SPSG and especially the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) defined by Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island.

Since the EEZ does not coincide with any specific ocean region, based on physical, chemical, or biological characteristics, we could not limit this review to data exclusively obtained within the EEZ (where conservation decisions are made). Also, despite relatively recent reviews of the geology and biology of the Nazca and Salas y Gómez province (Galvez-Larach, 2009), knowledge of plankton oceanography and biogeochemistry in the SPSG system is poor and decreases from west to east, with the greatest gaps in the EEZ.

In this article we first discuss general principals of the plankton ecosystem, biogeochemical functions in sub-tropical gyres worldwide and the prognostics for these systems in a changing ocean. Second, we review scientific efforts in biological oceanography near Easter and Salas y Gómez islands, summarizing the most important scientific expeditions to the Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island EEZ that contribute to knowledge of planktonic ecosystems and biogeochemical function. Third, we review current knowledge and data on biogeochemical function in the SPSG, focusing on the central eastern section. Fourth, we analyze grand patterns of biodiversity apparent in

different planktonic organisms from the coastal upwelling system of Chile crossing the SPSG, which includes the strongest known productivity gradient. Fifth, we discuss the possibility of a pelagic biomass increase near Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island, *i.e.*, a possible island mass effect, which would have clear relevance for marine conservation in the EEZ. Finally, we discuss priorities for future research in this region. This article both reviews published literature and presents new analysis of data from public databases. In terms of published literature, we concentrate mostly on the epi-pelagic realm, as the abysso-pelagic realm is essentially unexplored in this region, and only a small number samples have been taken in the upper depths of the meso-pelagic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

While much of the data available on biological oceanography in the EEZ can be found published in peer-reviewed international journals, important extant data is not easily obtained since it has only been recorded in government databases or reports (grey literature). This is the case for the data from a cruise (IFOP, 1979b) by the Instituto de Fomento Pesquero-Chile (IFOP) in 1979 (Rojas-Jara, 1980), which collected extensive data on animal zooplankton biomass and diversity in the area, and data from the CIMAR-5 cruise to the EEZ in 1999 (Rojas *et al.*, 2004), much of which has not been published in scientific journals but is available at the CIMAR website (http://www.shoa.cl/n_cendhoc/productos/cimar-5/). Thus, we also analyze or re-analyze diversity and biomass patterns from data sets in “grey literature” to compare them with those observed in various plankton groups on the eastern side of the SPSG in peer-reviewed journals.

Incidence and/or abundance data from CIMAR-5 were analyzed for Bray-Curtis similarity using the software PRIMER 6 and EstimateS (Colwell, 2005) to explore relationships between oceanographic characteristics and species-composition. Finally, data were plotted onto maps of Level 3-processed surface chlorophyll data from the SeaWiFS satellite mission

(downloaded from the NASA Ocean Color website at <http://oceandata.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/> and processed with the software or of bathymetry using the software Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, R., Ocean Data View, <http://odv.awi.de> Seadas 6.2).

General biological and biogeochemical characteristics of sub-tropical ocean gyres

Sub-tropical ocean gyres are oligotrophic areas characterized by very low levels of nutrients in a stratified upper water column (euphotic zone, mixed layer), low biomass and low primary production. Despite low average biomass and productivity, oligotrophic gyres contribute 23-29% of total ocean primary productivity worldwide due to their large areas (Behrenfeld & Falkowski, 1997; Falkowski *et al.*, 2003). The majority of this production is based on the recycling of nutrients within the euphotic zone, which means that the fraction of carbon (C) fixed by photosynthesis that is exported to deeper layers is small (between 0-0.2) compared to mesotrophic or eutrophic regions (where the fraction is >0.4). In total, oligotrophic regions are expected to contribute about 10% of total export production (Falkowski *et al.*, 2003).

In sub-tropical gyres, community composition and biomass are expected to exhibit relatively low spatial and temporal (*e.g.*, seasonal) variability in comparison to coastal, temperate, sub-polar, or polar regions (Doney *et al.*, 2003; Behrenfeld *et al.*, 2006; Dandonneau *et al.*, 2008). In contrast to productive waters, seasonal variations in sea surface chlorophyll observed from satellites in ultra-oligotrophic waters are exceptionally tightly coupled to variability in colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM). This suggests that these variations might not reflect true changes in biomass and productivity, but rather seasonal changes in light and mixing regimes (the rate of turbulent injection of more heavily pigmented cells and unbleached CDOM into surface waters, which become bleached by time in sunlight) (Behrenfeld *et al.*, 2006; Morel *et al.*, 2010).

In the last decade it has been suggested that the metabolic balance of oligotrophic gyres is heterotrophic (consuming more organic C and O₂ than is produced) (Del Giorgio & Duarte, 2002; Duarte & Regaudie-de-Gioux, 2009). Nevertheless, *in situ* dissolved O₂ data have been interpreted to indicate that these regions are still net positive producers of O₂ (Riser & Johnson, 2008). This debate highlights the importance of considering possible external subsidies of matter and energy in oligotrophic systems, such as the westward propagating meso-scale eddies discussed by Andrade *et al.* (2014). Sub-tropical gyres are in fact zones of particulate matter accumulation, as evidenced

in the accumulation of plastic garbage in each ocean gyre (Law *et al.*, 2010; Maximenko *et al.*, 2012; Eriksen *et al.*, 2013), demonstrating that mechanisms exist to import matter from external sources. It is not yet clear how quantitatively important such imports of external matter are to gyres in comparison to local primary productivity.

Biological oceanography and biogeochemistry of the Easter Island Salas y Gómez Island EEZ: review of scientific efforts

With an area of 18×10^6 km², the SPSG is the largest of the sub-tropical gyres yet one of the least studied ocean regions, particularly the central eastern section within which Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island are located. Between 1904 and 2010, only 10 expeditions entered this region with the capacity for biological and chemical oceanography and/or investigating plankton communities. Six other expeditions passed close (but did not enter) the EEZ, obtaining relevant data on the central eastern part of the SPSG (Table 1, Fig. 1). Of these 16 cruises, most conducted sampling at the end of austral spring/beginning of austral summer (10 cruises occurring Oct-Feb). The BIGRAPA expedition of 2010, from which data is just beginning to be published, was the only one to occur in austral winter (Aug.). Important physical-chemical data from two cruises (CIMAR-5 and IFOP, 1979) have never been published in peer-reviewed journals (data is available from Rojas-Jara, 1980 and Rojas *et al.*, 2004; as this data may not be easily accessible to most readers, we provide two supplementary files compiling relevant data). The Easter Island- Salas y Gómez Island EEZ thus remains very poor in data coverage despite its oceanographic importance.

Pelagic biogeochemistry of the south Pacific sub-tropical gyre and the Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island, EEZ

In a study of six oligotrophic gyres using 10 years of accumulated satellite data, an area centered near Easter Island (100°-125°W, 20°-30°S) was found to have the lowest concentrations of pigments and colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) (Morel *et al.*, 2010). Likewise, the clearest natural ocean waters on Earth, with a light scattering coefficient at 350 nm indistinguishable from purified seawater, was detected at depths of 300-350 m between the longitudes of 115°-118°W, just outside the Easter Island EEZ (Twardowski *et al.*, 2007). In this sense, the waters of the SPSG near Easter Island represent an extreme environment. Key biogeochemical and ecological parameters are summarized in Table 2 and discussed below.

Chlorophyll and CDOM exhibit very tightly coupled seasonal co-variations, suggesting that seasonal variations in sea surface chlorophyll might not reflect true

Table 1. Cruises and expeditions yielding information on the plankton ecosystem and/or pelagic biogeochemical function within or nearby to the Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island EEZ. Data-types obtained: zoo: zooplankton, nut: nutrients, CTD: profile of salinity, temperature, and density with depth, HCs: hydrocarbons, chl: chlorophyll, phyt: phytoplankton, DOM: dissolved organic material, POM: particulate organic material, DOC: dissolved organic carbon, POC: particulate organic carbon, C-ant: anthropogenic carbon, C-fix: carbon fixation, N-fix: fixation of N₂, Fe: iron, bact: bacteria, peuk: picoeukaryotes, sed: sediments). Key citations are listed below and represent either the official cruise report and/or the key publication from which data was used in the present study.

Cruise, Ship	Year	Month	Within EEZ	Data types obtained
Expedition to the Eastern Tropical Pacific, <i>Albatross</i> ¹	1904-1905	Dec-Jan	Yes	zoo
Downwind, <i>R/V Spencer Baird</i> & <i>R/V Horizon</i> ²	1957-1958	Oct-Feb	Yes	zoo
EASTROPAC, <i>R/V Jordan</i> ³	1967	Mar	No	zoo
<i>IV R/V Academician Kurchatov</i> ⁴	1968	Nov	No	zoo
SCORPIO, <i>USNS Eltanin</i> ⁵	1969	Mar	No	nut
IFOP-1979b, <i>B/P "Kaki Maru"</i> ⁶	1979	Oct-Nov	Yes	zoo
<i>R/V Professor Stokman</i> ⁷	1987	May	No	zoo
<i>lancha L/R Tokerau</i> ⁸	1992	May	Yes	zoo
WOCE P18, <i>R/V Discoverer</i> ⁹	1994	Mar	Yes	nut
<i>R/V AGOR Vidal Gormaz</i> ¹⁰	1994	May	Yes	CTD, nut, zoo
CIMAR-5, <i>R/V AGOR Vidal Gormaz</i> ¹¹	1999	Oct	Yes	CTD, HCs, chl, zoo
BEAGLE, <i>R/V Mirai</i> ¹²	2003	Oct	No	chl, fito
BIOSCOPE, <i>R/V L'Atalante</i> ¹³	2004	Nov	Yes	CTD, nut, DOM, POM, DOC, POC, C-ant, C-fix, N-fix, Fe, chl, bact, peuk, phyt, zoo
KNOX-02RR, <i>R/V Roger Revelle</i> ¹⁴	2007	Jan-Apr	No	sed, bact, C-fix, N-fix
WOCE P18, <i>R/V Ronald Brown</i> ¹⁵	2008	Jan	Yes	nut
BIGRAPA, <i>R/V Melville</i> ¹⁶	2010	Nov-Dec	Yes	nut, bact, phyt

¹Agassiz (1906); ²Fisher (1958); ³Mullin (1969); ⁴Heinrich (1971); ⁵Sagi (1977); ⁶Rojas-Jara (1980); ⁷Vinogradov (1991); ⁸Palma (1999); ⁹WOCE cruise number 31DSCGC94; downloaded from Clivar & Carbon Hydrographic Database at <http://cchdo.ucsd.edu>, ¹⁰Robledo & Mujica (1999); ¹¹Rojas *et al.* (2004); downloaded from http://www.shoa.cl/n_cendhoc/productos/cimar-5/; ¹²Grob *et al.* (2007b); ¹³Claustre *et al.* (2008); ¹⁴D'Hondt *et al.* (2009, 2011); ¹⁵WOCE cruise number 33RO20071215, downloaded from Clivar & Carbon Hydrographic Database at <http://cchdo.ucsd.edu>, ¹⁶Farías, *et al.* (2013).

biomass variations. Instead variations in the depth of the mixed layer and level of mixing might cause more unbleached CDOM and higher pigmented cells to be transported towards the surface in the winter, whereas cells and CDOM near the surface in the summer have been exposed to solar bleaching for longer on average (Morel *et al.*, 2010).

As is typical of oligotrophic waters worldwide, this plankton ecosystem is dominated by the smallest size fraction of cells, picoplankton (0.2-3 µm diameter). Size-fractionated chlorophyll measurements in the CIMAR-5 dataset indicated that 60-70% of total chlorophyll passed through a 2.0 µm filter (Rojas *et al.*, 2004). During the BIOSCOPE cruise, distinct approaches yielded similar conclusions. One approach, relating size classes to accessory pigments, estimated that picoplankton contributed 53% (49-57%) of total phytoplankton biomass, while microplankton (20-200 µm) contributed only 6.5% (range 6.2-7.2%) (Ras *et al.*, 2008). Likewise, combining optical measurements

with flow cytometry estimated that eukaryotic picoplankton contributed 30-50% of total phytoplankton biomass (Grob *et al.*, 2007a). Nevertheless, phototrophic biomass was only a small fraction of total biomass: Heterotrophic bacterioplankton and small detritus particles contributed up to 83% of total particulate organic carbon in the SPSG. Bacterioplankton also represented 83% of cells ($\approx 4 \times 10^5$ mL⁻¹ near Easter Island), the green cyanobacterium *Prochlorococcus* represented about 27% of all cells ($< 2 \times 10^3$ mL⁻¹ at surface to $\approx 1.5 \times 10^5$ mL⁻¹ at the chlorophyll max depth), while eukaryotic picoplankton never represented more than 3% numerically of all cells but contributed approximately half of photosynthetic carbon biomass (Grob *et al.*, 2007a). Along a similar transect (the Beagle cruise) across the SPSG further south (at 32.5°S) these proportions were often even higher (Grob *et al.*, 2007b). Meanwhile, in the stations nearest to the Easter Island EEZ, diatoms and dinoflagellates >15 µm were in the ranges 9-14 L⁻¹ and

Table 2. Example ranges of biogeochemically-important variables in the central-eastern SPSG, and associated references. Primary productivity ranges are given per volume (by sample) and integrated over the water column. Export production estimated at 400 m (Export >400 m). Organic C burial rates were measured at the seafloor.

Variable	Ranges	Reference
Nitrate	$\leq 0.01 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ $0.05 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ $\leq 0.1 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ $0-0.3 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ $0.044-1 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$	Raimbault <i>et al.</i> (2008) Fariás <i>et al.</i> (2013) WOCE P18, 1994 WOCE P18, 2008 Rojas (2004) (CIMAR-5)
Phosphate	$\approx 0.1 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ $0-0.1 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ $0.1-0.12 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ $0.014-0.26 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$	Raimbault <i>et al.</i> (2008) WOCE P18, 1994 WOCE P18, 2008 Rojas (2004) (CIMAR-5)
Silicate	$1-2 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$	Raimbault & Garcia (2008); WOCE P18, 1994; WOCE P18, 2008
Dissolved Fe	$0.08-0.15 \text{ nmol L}^{-1}$	Blain <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Chl <i>in situ</i> range	$0.017-0.2 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ $0.011-0.13 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$	Ras <i>et al.</i> (2008) (BIOCOPE) Rojas, (2004) (CIMAR-5)
Chl satellite range (mean)	$0.02-0.03 (0.026) \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ $0.017-0.051 (0.027) \text{ mg m}^{-3}$	Morel <i>et al.</i> (2008) Andrade <i>et al.</i> (2014)
DOC (0-200 m)	$60-70 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \approx 100 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$	WOCE P18 2008 Raimbault & Garcia (2008)
DON (0-200 m)	$\approx 5-7 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$	Raimbault & Garcia (2008)
DOP (0-200 m)	$\approx 0.1-0.2 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$	Raimbault & Garcia (2008)
POC (0-200 m) $>0.7 \mu\text{m} > \approx 0.2 \mu\text{m}$	$\approx 0.5-3 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1} \approx 1-5 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$	Raimbault & Garcia (2008)
Primary production (per sample, integrated water column)	$1-2 \mu\text{g C L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$, $150 \text{ mg C m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$	Raimbault & Garcia (2008)
Heterotroph. bacterioplank.	$< 400 \times 10^3 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}$	Grob <i>et al.</i> (2007a)
<i>Synechococcus</i>	$< 10 \times 10^3 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}$	Grob <i>et al.</i> (2007a)
<i>Prochlorococcus</i>	$\approx 1.5 \times 10^3 - 1.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}$	Grob <i>et al.</i> (2007a)
Picophytoeukaryotes	$1000-8000 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}$	Grob <i>et al.</i> (2007a)
Diatoms $>15 \mu\text{m}$	$1-14 \text{ cells L}^{-1}$	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Dinoflagellates, total	$31-43 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}$	Masquillier & Vaultot (2008)
% autotrophic cells $> 15 \mu\text{m}$	32-49% $23-36 \text{ cells L}^{-1} (\approx 0.1\% \text{ total})$	Masquillier & Vaultot (2008) Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
% heterotroph, all eukary.	25%-80%	Masquillier & Vaultot (2008)
Total ciliates	$\leq 4500 \text{ cells L}^{-1}$	Masquillier & Vaultot (2008)
Tintinnid ciliates	$4-10 \text{ cells L}^{-1}$	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Surface animal zooplank. biovolume	$4.3-67.8 (17.1) \text{ mL } 1000 \text{ m}^{-3}$ $1-38 (11.8) \text{ mL } 1000 \text{ m}^{-3}$	Rojas (2004)(CIMAR-5) Rojas (1979) (IFOP)
Export to $>400 \text{ m}$	$30 \text{ mg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$	Guidi <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Seafloor sedimentation rate	$0.017 \text{ cm kyr}^{-1} (35 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ kyr}^{-1})$	D'Hondt <i>et al.</i> (2009; 2011)
Organic C burial rate	$1.7 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol C cm}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$	D'Hondt <i>et al.</i> (2009)

$23-36 \text{ L}^{-1}$, respectively (Gómez *et al.*, 2007), or on the order of 10 million times less abundant than heterotrophic bacterioplankton, 4 million times less abundant than *Prochlorococcus*, and 50-250,000 times less abundant than eukaryotic picoplankton.

The eukaryotic microbes detected highlight the potential importance of heterotrophy in central SPSG surface waters. Throughout the gyre between 0-50 m depths, heterotrophs were up to 75-80% of all eukaryotic cells when measured directly by epifluorescence microscopy (Masquellier & Vaultot, 2008). In deeper euphotic zone communities, where photo-

synthetic cells dominated, dot-blot, clone library, and molecular characterization of cells sorted by flow cytometry found that phylogenetic groups that are usually mixotrophic, such as Haptophytes (Prymnesiophytes) or dinoflagellates, or that might contain mixotrophs (such as the Chrysophyceae among photosynthetic Heterokonts/Stramenopiles) were important or dominant among chlorophyll-containing cells (Lepère *et al.*, 2009; Shi *et al.*, 2009, 2011). Relatively high abundances of bacteriochlorophyll-containing bacteria (heterotrophs capable of performing anoxygenic photosynthesis) were also




Figure 1. Map of the study area, showing the station locations of cruises discussed in the text and bathymetry. For clarity, the CIMAR-5 and IFOP-1979 cruises are not included in this map, as maps of the stations from those cruises are included in subsequent figures. The WOCE P18 cruise track is represented by a dashed line without indicating individual stations, which were spaced at 0.5° . The orange dotted line represents the boundaries of the EEZ.

reported in the oligotrophic SPSG, again indicating the importance of organisms with mixed heterotrophic and phototrophic metabolisms in this environment (Lami *et al.*, 2007).

Vertical biomass distribution in the SPSG consists of low concentrations near the surface with very deep maxima, except in the case of bacterioplankton and particulate organic matter (POM). The maximum concentration of photosynthetic biomass and pigments is usually quite deep in stratified mesotrophic and oligotrophic waters, especially in tropical and sub-tropical latitudes, forming a Deep Chlorophyll Max (DCM) (Mann & Lazier, 2006). The DCM depth reported from the BIOSOPE cruise was located between 100-250 m, mostly centered at >150 m (Grob *et al.*, 2007a; Ras *et al.*, 2008). The maximum concentration of *Prochlorococcus* cells was normally at 80-150 m while that of pico-eukaryotes was similar to that of total chlorophyll (100-250 m). Larger particulate matter also showed maxima below 100 m (Guidi *et al.*, 2008; Stemmann *et al.*, 2008). In contrast, both heterotrophic bacterioplankton and smaller particulate organic matter exhibited more homogeneous vertical distributions in the surface, decreasing below the euphotic zone (Grob *et al.*, 2007a; Raimbault *et al.*, 2008). Vertical distributions of

chlorophyll, *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus*, and eukaryote pico-phytoplankton were reported as more homogeneous and shallower (in the first 100 m) in a transect across the SPSG at 32.5°S on the BEAGLE cruise in the spring of 2003 (measurements made by the same investigators as on the BIOSOPE cruise) (Grob *et al.*, 2007b), indicating that vertical distribution may change strongly with latitude. A major caveat interpreting phytoplankton biomass patterns from the CIMAR-5 data is that chlorophyll measurements were rarely made below 100 m and no measurements of cell abundances were reported.

Meso-plankton biomass (organisms $>200\ \mu\text{m}$, mostly animals), has been reported to be extremely low in the SPSG, both when captured by nets and when measured by SONAR scattering (Fisher, 1958). On the CIMAR-5 cruise, the bio-volume of zooplankton captured at stations within the EEZ was on average only 10% of the bio-volume captured at stations within the area of coastal upwelling in the Humboldt Current System (between 70°W - 76°W) (Fig. 2). The IFOP-1979a cruise in the EEZ reported zooplankton bio-volumes that were 20% of those found on the IFOP-1979b cruise (data from $<76^\circ\text{W}$ in waters off northern Chile) ($10 \pm 9\ \text{mL } 1000\ \text{m}^{-3}$ vs $49 \pm 25\ \text{mL } 1000\ \text{m}^{-3}$). Both the IFOP-

1979b and CIMAR-5 cruise data measured zooplankton biomass with integrated net tows, only reaching depths of 300 and 200 m, respectively. Both zooplankton and phytoplankton typically exhibit pronounced heterogeneous vertical distributions in open oceans (Longhurst, 1976). The deep scattering layer (where zooplankton is of highest concentration during the day and reflects SONAR signals) was found to be diffuse but located well below 300 m in waters near Easter Island on the Downwind cruise (Fisher, 1958). Also, some zooplankton, such as many euphausiids (Brinton, 1967), have sufficient visual detection and jump responses to avoid nets during daytime. Copepods and euphausiids typically exhibit pronounced diel vertical migration (Brinton, 1967; Bollens & Frost, 1989). Thus, the IFOP-979 and CIMAR-5 methodology may miss an important fraction of integrated zooplankton bio-volumes.

Direct measures of total primary production showed primary production throughout the central gyre to be very low, less than $0.2 \mu\text{g C L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ or $150 \text{ mg C m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ (Raimbault & Garcia, 2008). This is much lower than the long-term climatological ranges for oligotrophic stations in the North Atlantic (Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study) and North Pacific (Hawaii Ocean Time-series), where primary productivity ranges from approximately 300 to $600 \text{ mg C m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ (Falkowski *et al.*, 2003). Curiously, despite the previously mentioned changes in vertical distributions of phytoplankton, Raimbault & Garcia (2008) reported no deep productivity maximum.

The *f* ratio represents the ratio of “new” production to total primary production, which includes the proportion of production based on recycling of nutrients within the euphotic zone, and generally ranges from below 0.2 in oligotrophic waters to 0.4–0.7 in highly productive waters (Falkowski *et al.*, 2003). The *f* ratios estimated in the SPSG reached minimums near 0 at the longitude of Easter Island (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008). This ratio also determines the proportion of primary production that can be exported to deeper waters (Falkowski *et al.*, 2003). Indeed, the rate of accumulation of sediments on the ocean floor was reported to be extremely low on the Knox 02RR cruise just west of the EEZ (27.68°S, 117.57°W) at only 35 mg cm^{-2} per 1000 years (D’Hondt *et al.*, 2009, 2011). Thus, Easter Island is in the middle of the least productive waters on earth. However, there is a measurable export production. Export to depths of >400 m was estimated to be $30 \text{ mg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ (>230 times lower than in coastal waters of Chile) (Guidi *et al.*, 2008). This falling organic C will be sequestered for long periods of time due to the scarcity of meteorological events that can mix those waters to the surface, and is an essential resource for deep-sea communities.

The scarce biomass and low primary production in the SPSG has been explained by the lack of fixed dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN, including nitrate, nitrite, and ammonia) in the euphotic zone. Nitrate levels in the euphotic zone in the SPSG have consistently been reported to range from below detection limits to only $0.01 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ in the gyre center (100°–125°W), including within the EEZ (Sagi, 1977; Bonnet *et al.*, 2008; Raimbault *et al.*, 2008; Farías *et al.*, 2013). Other forms of DIN were also undetectable or very low ($<0.05 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) near the surface (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008). Levels of phosphate (P) were reported to have minimums of $0.1 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ in the surface, only reaching $>0.5 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ below 250 m (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008). These DIN:P ratios are much less than the 16:1 (Redfield ratio) required for balanced phytoplankton growth, and despite the relatively low P levels, P is not limiting. Dissolved silica is also low but appears not to be limiting, with values of $1\text{--}2 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ throughout the SPSG (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008). High $^{15}\text{N}:^{14}\text{N}$ ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) ratios in DIN were interpreted to indicate that sub-surface waters originated from the South American coastal upwelling zone, where anoxic marine zones lead to a high loss of nitrate, leaving low N:P ratios (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008).

Curiously, concentrations of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) were much higher, reaching levels $>5 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ even near the surface in central gyre waters (including stations near Easter Island), although dissolved organic phosphorous was similar to phosphate levels (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008). Relatively high levels of dissolved organic carbon (DOC up to $125 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) have been reported in the SPSG. This DOC is, in general, N-poor, which has been suggested to limit its assimilation and metabolism by heterotrophic microbes (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008). That explanation would make sense if a large portion of the DON pool was in forms that also cannot be metabolized by phytoplankton, which would otherwise be expected to use this source of fixed N. Consistently, microcosm experiments have shown that planktonic microbial communities in the SPSG responded strongly to the addition of nitrate, indicating that primary and secondary production are indeed strongly limited by the lack of fixed N (Bonnet *et al.*, 2008).

Major differences between the central eastern SPSG and other oligotrophic gyres are related to the role of N_2 -fixation in the N cycle and responses to iron (Fe) fertilization. The availability of dissolved phosphorous, despite extremely low DIN, would normally suggest a good niche for N_2 -fixing organisms. In the oligotrophic Atlantic sub-tropical gyres, N_2 -fixing cyanobacteria can provide up to half of new production (Mouriño-Carballido *et al.*, 2011), and N_2 -fixers are important in the North Pacific gyre (Karl *et al.*, 1997, 2012) and in the western SPSG (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008). N_2 -fixation




Figure 2. Bio-volume of total zooplankton in stations sampled in the CIMAR-5 cruise transect from the Chilean coast to Easter Island. The dotted orange line indicates the boundary of the EEZ.

has been demonstrated in the SPSG, including in waters near Eastern Island, but, in contrast with other gyres, N_2 -fixation is very limited and represents only a small fraction of total N cycling in all of the central and eastern SPSG. Nevertheless, in the central and eastern SPSG, N_2 -fixation, not injection of NID from deeper waters by upwelling or turbulence, was estimated to be responsible for almost all new production (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008).

N_2 -fixation has a high Fe demand. Levels of dissolved Fe have been found to be exceptionally low in the central eastern SPSG, ranging from 0.08-0.15 nM in the upper 300 m (Blain *et al.*, 2008), where sub-surface waters are very old and dust deposition is extremely low (Wagener *et al.*, 2008). In other oligotrophic environments, N_2 -fixation is supported by dust deposition. For example, sub-tropical Atlantic N_2 -fixation by communities dominated by the colonial cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium* is stimulated by the high input of Saharan dust which provides Fe and P (Mills *et al.*, 2004; Moore *et al.*, 2009). Based on these considerations, one might expect the SPSG waters to respond to Fe or dust addition. This could be relevant to communities near Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island, as natural Fe-fertilization by islands supports strong increases in productivity in other ocean regions (Blain *et al.*, 2008; Pollard *et al.*, 2009).

Despite this expectation, short-term on-board microcosm experiments with SPSG phytoplankton communities close to Easter Island found that primary production was stimulated only when nitrate was added, not when Fe alone was added, nor did Fe addition increase photosynthetic efficiency (Bonnet *et*

al., 2008). In contrast, similar experiments conducted on communities from a High Nitrate Low Chlorophyll: region (HNLC; where chlorophyll is low despite relatively high surface nitrate levels) in the western SPSG found that primary production and photosynthetic efficiency was strongly stimulated by Fe addition alone (Bonnet *et al.*, 2008). This lack of a short-term response could be due to co-limitation by P (N_2 -fixation has higher P requirements as well) or the absence or incompatibility of organic ligands to maintain bio-available Fe. Alternatively, the biomass of N_2 -fixers that could respond may have been too low for those short-term experiments, and the sparse phototrophic community available included organisms whose physiologies are highly adapted to Fe-limitation.

Although large colonies of N_2 -fixing cyanobacteria have long been considered important in the Atlantic gyres (*e.g.*, Mouriño-Carballido *et al.*, 2011) and in the western and southern extremes of the SPSG (Campbell *et al.*, 2005; Moutin *et al.*, 2005; Hashihama *et al.*, 2010), these organisms have not been reported in the central or eastern regions of the SPSG (*e.g.*, Halm *et al.*, 2012). Curiously, analysis of satellite data suggested *Trichodesmium* blooms might occur in the tropical-central SPSG during the months of January-February (Westberry & Siegel, 2006). This has yet to be confirmed by *in situ* samples. *Rhizosolenia* and *Hemiaulus*, two diatom genera in which many species contain N_2 -fixing cyanobacterial endosymbionts, do appear to be well represented in the central and eastern area of the SPSG, including waters near Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island in the CIMAR-5 data. These two genera may be very important in new and export

production in the North Pacific Sub-tropical Gyre (Karl *et al.*, 2012). Nevertheless, a recent study found that heterotrophic N₂-fixing bacteria and possibly photoheterotrophic unicellular cyanobacteria appeared to dominate N₂ fixation in the SPSG (Halm *et al.*, 2012), which is very different from the N₂-fixing communities dominated by phototrophs seen in other gyre systems (see above) and in the western SPSG (Hashihama *et al.*, 2010). As heterotrophs, these organisms are clearly different than the better-known colonial N₂-fixing cyanobacteria, and might respond differently to Fe-addition if, for example, they were also limited by availability of organic substrate. In summary, these considerations suggest the ecosystem might respond to longer-term increases in Fe-availability despite the lack of response in short-term experiments.

Biodiversity patterns in the eastern SPSG and the Easter Island/Salas y Gómez Island EEZ

With a few exceptions, almost all studies of plankton biodiversity in the SPSG have aimed to describe taxonomic/phylogenetic richness or the distribution of particular groups at large scales. The most important studies focused on broad phylogenetic description of eukaryotic picoplankton diversity, diatom and dinoflagellate, tintinnid ciliate, and siphonophore diversity, with especially detailed and enlightening studies of tintinnids and siphonophores (Dolan *et al.*, 2007; Palma & Silva, 2006). Diversity patterns suggest that the SPSG exhibits very high total plankton diversity but the taxonomic levels at which high diversity is exhibited varies between groups.

The most detailed analysis of diversity patterns for unicellular organisms across the SPSG and surrounding productive waters was performed on tintinnid ciliates, an important functional group of herbivores controlling pico- and nanoplanktonic microbes, from samples taken on the BIOSOPE-2004 cruise (Dolan *et al.*, 2007). A total of 149 morphotypes were reported across the entire South Pacific basin, a figure which is very high (the same authors found only 87 morphospecies in a transect from the northern Mediterranean to the south of the Indian Ocean using comparable methodology). Species-richness remained high at all stations across the SPSG (≈ 30 /station), with 19-41 species registered at each station within the Easter Island/ Salas y Gómez Island EEZ. Diversity indices correlated negatively with community biomass (approximated by chlorophyll) and the total concentrations of tintinnid cells, while correlating positively with the DCM. In other words, tintinnid diversity increased as community biomass and productivity declined. An interesting conclusion of this study was that rank-abundance

distributions for tintinnids generally followed log-series curves, which the authors interpreted to suggest that the ecologically equivalent forms accumulated by high dispersal and random colonization from a very large and diverse meta-community, and species were not subject to high competition within the functional group (essentially community biomass was too low to provide opportunities for competition).

In functional diversity, the dominant eukaryotic microbes from the surface until the DCM were heterotrophic nano- and pico-eukaryotes (Masquelier & Vault, 2008). Between 150-200 m, heterotrophs represented only 20-40% of eukaryotic microbes, but below 250 m, they increased to 100%. Autotrophs were the numerically dominated eukaryotic organisms $<2 \mu\text{m}$ (60%), but not between 2-5 μm (20-30%) (Masquelier & Vault, 2008). Parallel work showed this diversity was dominated by organisms in the classes Chrysophyceae and Prymnesiophyceae, the Prasinophyceae, and a possible new clade of the Haptophyte phylum (Lepère *et al.*, 2009; Shi *et al.*, 2009, 2011). Pronounced changes in the relative dominance of these taxa were reported between different surface waters and between the surface and DCM. Distinct molecular and pigment analyses in these studies agreed that two taxa that are normally prominent in oceanic waters, Pelagophyceae (Phylum Heterokonta) and Mamiellophyceae (green microalgae within the Prasinophyceae), were notably under-represented or sometimes absent in the SPSG. Interestingly, the majority of DNA sequences analyzed from the most oligotrophic SPSG waters were distinct not only from previously described organisms in culture but also from sequences or organisms sampled in other highly oligotrophic ocean regions (such as the central Mediterranean Sea) using the same molecular approaches.

Rarefaction curves of 16S rDNA clone libraries suggested that pico-eukaryotes in the ultra-oligotrophic waters of the central and eastern SPSG exhibited very low species-level or genus-level diversity (Lepère *et al.*, 2009). This same study suggested high pico-eukaryote species-level or genus-level diversity in mesotrophic waters at the gyre edge, and moderate to low diversity in the highly productive upwelling waters of the Humboldt Current System. Worldwide analysis of the morphological diversity of larger phytoplankton has suggested that these organisms exhibit a unimodal or bell-shaped distribution of species- or genus-level diversity *versus* phytoplankton biomass (Irigoien *et al.*, 2004). Additionally, the patterns seen in molecular analyses of pico-eukaryotic plankton suggest that this group may show a similar pattern, with the highest biodiversity at moderate levels of productivity and

community biomass. Thus, although the SPSG exhibits a high diversity at high taxonomic levels (and perhaps high β diversity), species-level pico-eukaryote diversity within a given water sample may actually be very low.

Data from both the CIMAR-6 and BIOSOPE cruises suggested that diatom and dinoflagellate species richness within the SPSG is low (Fig. 3), although dinoflagellate richness was high when stations of similar position and oceanographic characteristics were aggregated (not shown), similar to patterns seen in BIOSOPE data (Gómez *et al.*, 2007). Diatom species-richness in the CIMAR-5 dataset increased monotonically with productivity, reaching its highest levels in the productive Humboldt Current System waters and its lowest levels in the most oligotrophic gyre waters (Fig. 4a). In contrast, dinoflagellates showed a unimodal (bell-shaped) distribution of species-richness *versus* community biomass (chlorophyll-*a*) (Fig. 4b).

Diatoms are classically described as mostly r-type, organisms capable of exploiting new resources with fast growth after mixing or upwelling events, whereas most dinoflagellates appear to be more k-type, organisms adapted to slow growth and able to survive under oligotrophic conditions (Margalef, 1978). This ecological difference may underlie the type of diversity-productivity relationship a plankton group exhibits across this extreme productivity gradient (tintinnid-type or dinoflagellate-type *versus* diatom-type).

It is noteworthy that several potentially toxic phytoplankton are listed in the CIMAR-5 data report from the EEZ waters. For example, the diatoms *Pseudonitzschia multiseries* and *P.-n. pseudodelicatissima*, which are often domoic-acid producers (Van Dolah, 2000; Trainer *et al.*, 2012), were reported in CIMAR-5 data. Likewise, several dinoflagellates of the genus *Dinophysis*, which is often toxic, were also reported. Both of these genera are often natural components of oceanic waters. However, *P.-n. multiseries* is usually considered a coastal form. We caution that toxicity is highly variable both among and even within species, and, that species-level taxonomic definitions are often difficult and fluid within these organisms (Trainer *et al.*, 2012).

Detailed studies on siphonophores provide sufficient species-level data to analyze changes in diversity both across the eastern SPSG and within the EEZ. This is the only animal zooplankton group for which extensive data is available in the EEZ: 13 species have been reported near Easter Island, Salas y Gómez Island or surrounding waters (Palma, 1999; Palma & Silva, 2006). Palma & Silva (2006) noted that siphonophore communities show a distinct separation

between the sub-tropical waters of the gyre and the sub-antarctic waters east of 78°W (in the Humboldt Current upwelling zone). Although siphonophore abundance decreased westward entering into the oligotrophic gyre center in the CIMAR-5 data set, both species richness (Palma & Silva, 2006) and Shannon diversity increased (new analysis, this study) (Fig. 5). Species-richness and Shannon diversity were highly correlated (Fig. 6a). Shannon diversity but not species-richness showed a significant negative relationship with siphonophore abundance (Fig. 6b). Similar to the pattern seen in tintinnids, both species richness and Shannon diversity showed clear negative relationships with measures of community plankton biomass (chlorophyll-*a* and zooplankton biomass) (Figs. 6c-6d).

Data on pelagic amphipods (Crustacea, Malacostraca) is available for the Humboldt Current System to 100°W (reaching the highly oligotrophic waters of the eastern SPSG) (Vinogradov, 1991). Although the pelagic amphipod community composition was similar to that found in the North Pacific, the species-richness found in the eastern SPSG was exceptionally high, similar to what was seen for siphonophores and tintinnids.

Some information is also available for euphausiids (Crustacea, Malacostraca), (Cnidaria, Hydrozoa). Within the Easter Island/Salas y Gómez Island EEZ, 33 species of euphausiids have been reported from the CIMAR-5 and previous cruises (Robledo & Mujica, 1999; Rojas *et al.*, 2004). The most important euphausiids in this area, such as *Euphausia brevis* and *Stylocheiron affine*, have broad geographic distributions across the Pacific basins. Some species, such as *Stylocheiron carinatum* and *Euphausia mutica*, appear to be excluded from the central eastern SPSG, despite normally characterizing oligotrophic and mesotrophic waters (Brinton, 1962). Other species, such as *Nematoscelis tenella* and *Thysanopoda pectinata*, exhibit rare appearances in EEZ waters. At least one species, *Th. cristata*, reaches its eastern limit near Easter Island.

As yet there is very little published information on one of the most important zooplankton groups, copepods (Crustacea, Maxillopoda). Two calanoid copepods, *Mesocalanus lighti* and *M. tenuicornis*, have been reported in the central eastern SPSG near the EEZ (as *Calanus lightii* and *C. tenuicornis* in Mullin, 1969). An analysis of the distribution of the epipelagic and pleustonic copepods in the family Pontellidae in the Eastern South Pacific only covered the area from the South American coast to 85°W (Heinrich, 1971). No copepods were recorded in CIMAR-5 data, simply due to a lack of analysis. Copepods were normally 10-30-




Figure 3. Richness (number species) of diatoms and dinoflagellates in stations sampled along the CIMAR-5 cruise transect from the Chilean coast to Easter Island. Colors indicate SEAWIFS surface chlorophyll data from October, 1999.




Figure 4. Relationships between diatom and dinoflagellate species richness *versus* phytoplankton biomass (estimated by the average chl-*a* concentration reported between 0-50 m depth). Data from CIMAR-5 cruise. a) Diatom species-richness *versus* chl-*a*. Curve-fit is semi-log regression: slope = 6.25 ± 0.89 , $R^2 = 0.530$. The Akaike Information Criteria test indicated that the semi-log regression was a better fit than a linear regression (ratio of probabilities = 78) and that the difference of the slope from 0 was significant ($P < 0.0001$), b) dinoflagellate species-richness *versus* chl-*a*. Non-linear regression fit to Gaussian curve is shown: Amplitude = 25.7 ± 3.2 , mean = 0.389 ± 0.030 , standard deviation = 0.272 ± 0.033 , $R^2 = 0.361$. Akaike Information Criteria indicated that the Gaussian-fit was better than a semi-log fit or a fit to a linear function with slope 0 (probability ratios of 1243 and 2865, respectively).




Figure 5. Abundance and diversity (Shannon index) of siphonophores in stations sampled during the CIMAR-5 cruise transect from the coast of Chile to Easter Island.




Figure 6. Patterns of siphonophore diversity (species-richness and Shannon index, without grouping of stations) in the CIMAR-5 data. a) Shannon index *versus* species-richness. Linear regression: slope = 0.150 ± 0.015 , $R^2 = 0.548$, $P < 0.0001$, b) species-richness and Shannon index *versus* siphonophore abundance. Linear regression for species-richness *versus* abundance was not significant. Linear regression for Shannon index *versus* abundance was significant: slope = $7.70 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.86 \times 10^{-4}$, $R^2 = 0.176$, $P < 0.0001$, c) species-richness and Shannon index *versus* chl-*a* (mean concentration between 0-50 m). Semi-log regressions were significant ($P < 0.001$) in both cases. For richness, slope = -2.26 ± 0.63 , $R^2 = 0.302$. For Shannon index, slope = -0.636 ± 0.087 , $R^2 = 0.495$, d) species-richness and Shannon index *versus* zooplankton biovolume, captured in vertical tows. Linear regression for richness: slope = $-2.31 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.44 \times 10^{-3}$, $R^2 = 0.254$, $P < 0.0001$. Linear regression for Shannon index: slope = $-5.38 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.85 \times 10^{-3}$, $R^2 = 0.333$, $P < 0.0001$.

fold more abundant than other animal zooplankton in data reports from the IFOP-1979 cruise, although species composition was not recorded. Thus, for two key functional groups, euphausiids and copepods, there is no data available to permit comparisons of diversity patterns to those identified in tintinnids, siphonophores, or other plankton groups.

A list of all planktonic taxa currently registered in the Easter Island/Salas y Gómez Island EEZ and surrounding SPSG waters has been compiled (Appendix 1). This list, which contains 293 species or genera, is artificially low for several reasons. First, sampling to date has been very low. Second, the dominant organisms are microbial cells. Very few can be cultured or identified morphologically and no consensus even exists for a species-concept in bacteria and archaea (Doolittle, 2012). Finally, most microbes, including eukaryotes, are now identified principally through molecular methods (DNA sequences or fluorescence *in situ* hybridization with targeted probes) or pigment signatures, and these methods usually do not result in species- or even genus-level phylogenetic resolution. Nevertheless, this list could still be useful for future questions about the occurrence of potential invasive or toxic species.

Analysis of a possible island-mass effect around Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island

Analyses of satellite data indicated an increase in surface chlorophyll levels near Easter Island (Andrade *et al.*, 2014), although levels were still very low compared to coastal waters and mesotrophic oceanic waters around the Juan Fernandez Archipelago. Likewise, direct measures from the CIMAR-5 cruise indicated surface chlorophyll values were about 70% higher in the 14 stations within 25 km of Easter Island ($0.048 \pm 0.016 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$) than in 12 stations more than 25 km from Easter Island (but within the EEZ) ($0.028 \pm 0.006 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$) and the 9 stations close to Salas y Gómez Island ($0.029 \pm 0.005 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$), a difference which was statistically significant (ANOVA $P = 0.0001$). The increase near Easter Island also contrasts the prevailing trend of diminishing surface chlorophyll (to a minimum of 0.017 mg m^{-3} in the SPSG center) as reported, for example, in the BIOSOPE cruise. These analyses suggest that Easter Island, although possibly not Salas y Gómez Island, induces an island-mass effect, the increase of plankton biomass and/or productivity often observed around oceanic islands. Although this effect appears relatively small, it may provide crucial energy to both pelagic, benthic, and near-shore ecosystems around Easter Island.

Island-mass effects can have a variety of causes, of which three classes are most important to consider here (Hasegawa *et al.*, 2009). First, an island can be a direct source of nutrients that stimulate plankton. This is clearly observed around the Crozet and Kerguelen islands in the Southern Ocean, which add dissolved Fe to Fe-limited waters (Blain *et al.*, 2008; Pollard *et al.*, 2009). Second, an island can induce mixing or upwelling of deeper waters, injecting nutrients into the surface. Finally, the same island-induced mixing of the upper water column can cause an apparent island-mass effect even if there is no increase in integrated water column productivity or biomass: the vertical distribution of planktonic biomass and pigment concentrations in oceanic waters typically exhibits a deep maximum at or below 100 m. Simply increasing mixing will bring deeper waters, that are higher in biomass and contain cells that are richer in pigment, to the surface (Hasegawa *et al.*, 2008; Morel *et al.*, 2010).

The CIMAR-5 cruise did not collect samples for chlorophyll-*a* deep enough to determine either changes in integrated phytoplankton biomass or its depth distribution. However, the dataset includes size-fractionated chlorophyll measurements. As productivity increases, the importance of larger nano- and micro-planktonic phytoplankton generally increases (Marañón *et al.*, 2001). Fertilization stimulates the growth of all phytoplankton, but larger cells appear to escape increased grazing whereas grazers on picophytoplankton can keep up with their increases in growth since this grazing is performed by other smaller (heterotrophic) cells that also respond rapidly to fertilization (Cavender-Bares *et al.*, 1999; Landry *et al.*, 2000). In the CIMAR-5 dataset, the proportion of total chlorophyll passing through $2 \mu\text{m}$ filters increased near Easter Island, reaching 77% in the southeast of the island. This is opposite the expected trend if there were a true increase in integrated productivity near the island. However it may be that in these ultra-oligotrophic waters, picoplankton are able to respond to fertilization and escape grazing as even their grazers are so dilute as to be severely encounter-limited.

Generally, there was a modest tendency to increased diatom and dinoflagellate species richness towards Easter Island (Fig. S1). Diatom species incidence data from the CIMAR-5 cruise shows that the communities of these organisms were generally differentiated according to distance east-west along the whole transect from the continent (Fig. 7, S2). However, communities within 4 km of Easter Island were distinct from communities 5–25 km from the island in comparison to the rest of the gyre according to Bray-Curtis dendrogram analysis (this study). Indeed, aggregated species richness in stations within 4 km of the island was 50–250% higher than in surrounding

waters, eastern gyre waters, and even waters from the mesotrophic-oligotrophic transition between the Humboldt Current System waters and the SPSG. In contrast, the aggregated richness from stations in the range of 5-25 km was not especially high. The species composition in the waters within 4 km included taxa such as *Skeletonema costatum* and the spore former *Leptocylindrus danicus*, which are normally coastal and/or upwelling related (Hasle & Syvertsen, 1997; Horner, 2002). However, *Cylindrotheca closterium*, a neritic species (Horner, 2002) also appeared in samples, which might instead suggest that a very neritic insular community (perhaps growing mostly in intertidal pools or small bays) occasionally appears in nearby pelagic samples. The oceanic genera *Rhizosolenia* and *Hemiaulus*, which typically contain N₂-fixing endosymbionts (Fiore *et al.*, 2010), was much more represented in samples taken within 25 km of Easter Island (Fig. S1), with seven species in total recorded, in comparison with only two species recorded in the CIMAR-5 samples from coastal stations, four species from outer Humboldt Current waters, and four species from the other stations in the gyre but away from the islands. This would be consistent with a possible increase in N₂-fixation and productivity near the island, perhaps due to natural Fe-fertilization. CIMAR-5 data on dissolved silica is sparse, with this parameter apparently not measured in most samples. Silica has usually been observed to be higher than nitrate, shows little fluctuation in surface gyre waters, and has been suggested not to be a limiting nutrient (discussed above), so silica would not be expected to explain these changes. Also, the lowest silica concentrations reported (<0.8 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) are near Easter Island (Moraga *et al.*, 1999), possibly indicating consumption by diatoms. Dinoflagellates showed no similar patterns in composition (Fig. 7, S3), although the much slower growth rate of dinoflagellates might not allow their communities to respond rapidly in the case of highly localized natural fertilization.

The IFOP-1979b cruise data suggested a general increase in zooplankton bio-volume towards the north and east (Fig. 8), which may be associated with the submarine Nazca/Salas y Gómez ridge and the direction of weak geostrophic currents (Andrade *et al.*, 2014). Nevertheless, no pattern was evident in numerical abundance of important zooplankton groups (copepods, euphausiids, siphonophores) (Fig. 9). CIMAR-5 data indicated a trend of higher zooplankton bio-volume near Easter Island (Fig. 10), but it is not clear how much this might be a result of the increased occurrence of meroplanktonic larvae (from benthic adults in island and seamount habitats). An increase in euphausiid abundance was recorded near the north side of Easter Island (Fig. 11), reaching a maximum of 167

ind m⁻³, much higher than the range of 3-14 ind m⁻³ seen in the east of the gyre in the CIMAR-5 dataset (not shown). It would require higher sampling efforts (deeper tows, as mentioned above) to confidently detect such differences due to the high variability among samples in all zones. It is also not clear how coupled zooplankton and phytoplankton productivity would be at the relatively small scales associated with Easter Island.

The CIMAR-5 dataset showed much higher surface nitrate levels near Easter Island in comparison to most other datasets from the SPSG, with nitrate+nitrite reaching nearly 1 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ (Rojas *et al.*, 2004). In general, levels were >10x higher than those reported for the stations nearest to Easter Island in the BIOSOPE and BIGRAPA cruises (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008; Farías *et al.*, 2013). Only one CIMAR-5 station showed relatively low levels (0.05 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, which is still much higher than typically reported for SPSG surface waters by other investigators). This was CIMAR-5 station 34, near the southeast end of the island and, notably, where long-term satellite data indicates a center of increased surface chlorophyll-*a* is found. If correct, these data would suggest that Easter Island generates an important increase in plankton productivity either through nutrient injection or stimulation of the microbial conversion of the high DON in SPSG waters into DIN. Also, the WOCE P18 cruise along the same transect in 2008 did report two spikes in nitrate in the upper 50 m, reaching 0.3 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, between 27.5°S and 25.2°S, near the Nazca/Salas y Gómez ridge (nitrate was $\leq 0.1 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ or undetectable elsewhere between 23°S-32°S on that cruise) (Fig. S4), although temperature and salinity profiles did not show corresponding signals of upwelled water (not shown). Nevertheless, nutrient measurements in oligotrophic systems require especially precise and exact protocols to reach high sensitivity, lowest detection limits possible, and eliminate background noise in the data, so it is not yet clear how well patterns can be interpreted from the CIMAR-5 data as none was presented from nearby regions where Raimbault *et al.* (2008) and Farías *et al.* (2013) found much lower nitrate levels.

The review and re-analyses of data presented here support the suggestion that an island-mass effect may exist around Easter Island. Possible changes in potential nutrients around the island are suggestive but not yet conclusive. Nevertheless, it is possible to highlight both challenges and possibilities in resolving this question in future studies. Collection of adequate zooplankton data may require very intensive sampling with equipment capable of generating deep depth-resolved samplings. Adequate depth-profiles of *in situ* chlorophyll (*e.g.*, by fluorescence), measures of phyto-




Figure 7. a) Similarity dendrogram (Bray-Curtis) for species richness of diatoms in CIMAR-5 data. Stations have been grouped according to oceanographic province: HC: Humboldt Current System, containing all eighth stations east of 76°W. O-M: Oligotrophic-Mesotrophic transition, containing six stations between 77°-88°W. EG: Eastern Gyre, containing six stations from 91°-103°W. The eastern boundary of the SPSG was approximated based on isolines of $0.1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ chlorophyll-*a* and $1 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ nitrate (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008). <200 SG: stations within the western EEZ (defined as less than 200 km from Salas y Gómez Island). >25 EI: stations within the eastern (Easter Island) portion of the EEZ, but well offshore (>25 km). 5-25 EI: stations from within 25 km of Easter Island but further from 4 km from shore, where an island mass effect is expected to be most visible (the 25 km threshold was selected based on the geostrophic velocities reported in Andrade *et al.* (2014). 0-4 EI: stations less than 4 km from Easter Island, the communities with possible neritic influence. The 4 km threshold was selected arbitrarily to help distinguish possible neritic species from oceanic species affected by potential island mass effects. As Andrade *et al.* (2014) did not detect an island mass effect around Salas y Gómez Island, we did not divide stations in the same way based on distance from that island, b) aggregated and average diatom species richness across the grouped stations. Fig. S1 and S2 show the same data as individual stations, but without grouping. c-d), same as a-b) but for dinoflagellate species data.

plankton communities and primary production, and, above all, high quality nutrient analyses, would be highly effective in answering this question.

Prognostics for the Easter Island/Salas y Gómez Island EEZ plankton ecosystem in a changing ocean

Global warming is expected to increase ocean stratification, extending oligotrophic areas (Doney *et al.*, 2012).




Figure 8. Zooplankton biomass from 0-300 m vertical tows in the IFOP-1979b cruise. The EEZ defined by Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island is defined by the red line.




Figure 9. Abundance of distinct zooplankton components and fish eggs sampled by integrated vertical net tows during IFOP-1979b. The EEZ defined by Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island is defined by the red line.




Figure 10. Zooplankton biomass sampled in stations within the EEZ during CIMAR-5.




Figure 11. Numeric abundance of euphausiids and decapod larvae in CIMAR-5 stations near Easter Island. Numbers above bars represent exact abundance. The data are plotted on SeaWiFS surface chlorophyll data from October 1999, as before, although the color scale is different.

Indeed, satellite observations indicate that oligotrophic sub-tropical gyre areas are expanding at an average rate of 1.9% per year (15% between 1998 and 2006) (Polovina *et al.*, 2008). In that work, the rate of expansion of the SPSG was the lowest of the sub-

tropical gyres by percentage, but was the second highest in absolute area. Other work suggests that global ocean primary productivity and sea surface chlorophyll may be declining (Behrenfeld *et al.*, 2006). How the pelagic ecosystem of the central eastern SPSG,

particularly near Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island, will respond if the gyre increases in size depends in large part on how much the system depends on external inputs. For example, if it is a net heterotrophic system dependent on the slow input of organic material (*e.g.*, eddy transport from more productive waters to the east, see Andrade *et al.*, 2014), an expansion of the gyre could increase the distance from those sources, decreasing input. If the system is net autotrophic despite very low productivity, as it appears to be, then the threat from global change comes in two principal forms.

First, further increasing the stratification of this ultra-oligotrophic zone could further diminish the already exceptionally low inputs of nutrients from deeper waters. Second, while the gyre may expand, modeling and empirical studies suggest a strengthening of upwelling-favorable winds along the eastern border of the South Pacific, which may lead SST to drop at the coast (Bakun, 1990; Bakun *et al.*, 2010; Echevin *et al.*, 2011), which may possibly already be enhancing coastal productivity (Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2011). Thus, while the ocean on average is expected to warm, the Humboldt Current System could experience cooling for several decades. A consequence of these two counterposed trends may be an intensification in the productivity gradients from eastern boundary currents to the oligotrophic gyre centers. Additionally, increasing eastern coastal productivity may intensify sub-surface minimum oxygen zone (OMZ) (Stramma *et al.*, 2008), where O₂ is depleted (reaching anoxia) due to decomposition of material sinking from the surface, an effect that will exacerbate the generally reduced O₂ content of a warming ocean (Doney *et al.*, 2012). This is predicted to increase loss of fixed N from ocean inventories in OMZs (Codispoti, 2007), potentially enhancing gyre oligotrophy. The intense Eastern Tropical South Pacific (ETSP) OMZ in front of northern Chile and Peru has its maximum extension (3000 km) at ~10°S, reaching 120°W (Fuenzalida *et al.*, 2009). The most important loss of fixed nitrogen from the ocean due to denitrification occurs in OMZs (Codispoti, 2007), and the westward migration of nitrate-deficient surface waters further intensifies the oligotrophy of the SPSG. Increasing the ETSP OMZ would further enhance the deficit in fixed N in waters of the central and eastern SPSG, making the ultra-oligotrophy even more extreme.

Potential human-induced local increases in nutrient input might be rapidly diluted in this vast system, affecting only very near-shore, shore reef and intertidal ecosystems, but regional and global increases in inputs of Fe and other metals that are crucial micro-nutrients might affect the larger pelagic system. Atmospheric

deposition of iron to oceans has increased since the pre-industrial era, and might increase in SPSG in the future from global sources (Mahowald *et al.*, 2009). As in all oceans, plankton communities of SPSG are also threatened by ocean acidification (Doney *et al.*, 2012), which may specifically affect gyre plankton communities since gyre species may have less pre-adaptation to fluctuations in ocean pH compared to coastal species (Beaufort *et al.*, 2011; Kelly & Hofmann, 2013). Also, synergistic effects of acidification on Fe and N-cycling (Law *et al.*, 2012; Shi *et al.*, 2012) may be more important in ultra-oligotrophic than productive environments, and may differentially affect organisms (Law *et al.*, 2012), potentially leading to community shifts with functional consequences. As the extremely oligotrophic SPSG already appears to be distinct in terms of Fe and N cycling, central and eastern SPSG plankton might be differently affected by ocean acidification than plankton communities in other gyres.

It is not clear how benthic communities near Easter Island and surrounding seamounts depend on local pelagic productivity, making it crucial to understand the nature of the possible island-mass effect around Easter Island for conservation planning. If an island-mass effect is important to subsidizing such communities by increasing local integrated primary productivity, the system may locally be more resistant to the larger-scale effects of global climate change. If an island-mass effect only involves changing the depth-distribution of plankton, which could also increase the availability of this food source for shallow benthic near-shore communities, a larger-scale decrease in already very low plankton productivity might be a severe stress.

Another threat is the accumulation of plastic debris. Recent studies found that the SPSG is accumulating plastic debris and residues such as those previously found in the North Pacific and Atlantic gyres (Law *et al.*, 2010; Maximenko *et al.*, 2012; Eriksen *et al.*, 2013). Easter Island is at the western border and Salas y Gómez Island is near the center of the predicted and observed “garbage patch”. Plastic debris threaten marine animals both mechanically, by entanglement and interfering with digestion (Gregory, 2009), and chemically, by the release of long-lived bio-active organic compounds (Andrady, 2011; Hirai *et al.*, 2011). Plastic marine debris may also represent an environmental threat as a vector for the transport of toxic, noxious, and/or invasive species (Masó *et al.*, 2003; Gregory, 2009; Zettler *et al.*, 2013). Microbial-mediated biodegradation is suspected to be important for the natural bioremediation of this type of pollution (Andrady, 2011; Zettler *et al.*, 2013). However, the

natural accumulation of high DOC levels in the SPSG suggests that the capacity for biological decomposition of organic material is already exceptionally low in the SPSG (Raimbault *et al.*, 2008), which might mean both plastic debris and the organic compounds they release will have a longer-term persistence in this environment.

CONCLUSIONS

Future research priorities

Larger-scale patterns of plankton ecology and biogeochemistry in the SPSG have begun to be revealed by the cruises occurring within the last decade, but major gaps remain even in basic descriptive knowledge, as detailed above. Easter Island and Salas y Gómez Island are not only unique in their special cultural heritage and island ecosystems, but they are also located near the center of the largest ocean habitat, and one of the most extreme ocean surface environments, on the Earth. Thus there is great value in addressing our gaps in knowledge, especially within the EEZ these islands define, both in terms of local marine conservation needs and in understanding and monitoring global ocean functioning in a rapidly changing world.

In terms of large-scale processes, it is crucial to understand the connections between continental waters and the central SPSG, especially as this gradient may be in the process of intensifying with global changes. Oceanographic expeditions integrating more descriptive physical, chemical, and ecological analyses with biogeochemical process studies across this productivity gradient will be especially valuable. Special attention must be given to understanding sources, sinks, and loss terms. Grazing terms have not been addressed in this zone, and will be particularly challenging. Microzooplankton data are very scarce, with the exception of the tintinnid ciliate data from the BIOSOPE cruise, and these organisms may consume the major portion of primary production in oligotrophic waters. Measuring these grazing terms is quite challenging, especially as there are still important methodological debates (Dolan & McKeon, 2005). Finally, many zooplankton, such as copepods, can enter periods of pelagic dormancy, while large portions of microbial cells in a water sample are often found to be physiologically inactive. Studies of the physiological state of different plankton might be highly valuable for understanding ecosystem function and carbon flow in the region.

The type of island-mass effect that may exist at Easter Island, and what benthic-pelagic coupling occurs, are issues of special importance to marine conservation. Higher resolution horizontal and vertical sampling coupled with hydrographic observations is

needed. Additionally, in this zone it is especially important to sample also meso-pelagic and possibly bathy-pelagic zones and take into account neighboring seamounts. For animal zooplankton, effective sampling studies will require the implementation of technology that can provide depth-resolved data throughout the midwater and below, such as a Multiple Opening and Closing Net Environmental Sensing System (MOCNESS) or towed plankton video recorders.

Presently, the only data on the seasonal variability of the central and eastern SPSG comes from satellites. Direct data will be essential for understanding this region. The long time-series data from the North Pacific and North Atlantic gyres coming from the Hawaiian Ocean Time Series (HOT) and the Bermuda Atlantic Time Series (BATS), have proven exceptionally valuable in understanding ocean function (Ducklow *et al.*, 2009). Easter Island offers a potential platform for implementing similar long-term marine ecological and oceanographic studies in the South Pacific Gyre, which will be essential for marine conservation efforts locally and for increasing our understanding of the entire South Pacific, the largest ocean basin in the world. Ideally, buoys providing continuous measurements would be coupled with short sampling cruises throughout the year (*e.g.*, monthly) to measure basic physical, chemical, and biological parameters of the ocean.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was financed by the Pew Environmental Group. We give special thanks to three reviewers who provided valuable suggestions to improve the manuscript, and also to M. Fernandez, J.C. Castilla, and S. Hormazábal for the opportunity to participate in this study.

REFERENCES

- Agassiz, A. 1906. V. General report of the expedition in "Reports on the scientific results of the expedition to the Eastern Tropical Pacific, in charge of Alexander Agassiz by the U.S. Fish Commission Steamer "Albatross", from October 1904, to March, 1905, Lieut. Commander L.M. Garret, Commanding". Mem. Mus. Comp. Zool. Harvard College, 33. Accessed at: dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.41527.
- Andrade, I., S. Hormazábal & M. Correa-Ramírez. 2014. Time-space variability of satellite chlorophyll-*a* in the Easter Island Province, Southeastern Pacific Ocean. Lat. Am. J. Aquat. Res., 42(4): 871-887.
- Andrady, A.L. 2011. Microplastics in the marine environment. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 62: 1596-1605.
- Bakun, A. 1990. Global climate change and intensification of coastal ocean upwelling. Science, 247: 198-201.

- Bakun, A., D.B. Field, A. Redondo-Rodriguez & S.J. Weeks. 2010. Greenhouse gas, upwelling-favorable winds, and the future of coastal ocean upwelling ecosystems. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 16: 1213-1228.
- Beaufort, L., M. Couapel, N. Buchet, H. Claustre & C. Goyet. 2008. Calcite production by coccolithophores in the south east Pacific Ocean. *Biogeosciences*, 5: 1101-1117.
- Beaufort, L., I. Probert, T. de Garidel-Thoron, E.M. Bendif, D. Ruiz-Pino, N. Metzl, C. Goyet, N. Buchet, P. Coupel & M. Grelaud. 2011. Sensitivity of coccolithophores to carbonate chemistry and ocean acidification. *Nature*, 476: 80-83.
- Behrenfeld, M.J. & P.G. Falkowski. 1997. Photosynthetic rates derived from satellite-based chlorophyll concentration. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 42: 1-20.
- Behrenfeld, M.J., E.S. Boss, D.A. Siegel & D.M. Shea. 2005. Carbon-based ocean productivity and phytoplankton physiology from space. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 19: 1-14.
- Behrenfeld, M.J., R.T. O'Malley, D. Siegel, C.R. McClain, J.L. Sarmiento, G.C. Feldman, A.J. Milligan, P.G. Falkowski, R.M. Letelier & E.S. Boss. 2006. Climate-driven trends in contemporary ocean productivity. *Nature*, 444: 752-755.
- Blain, S., S. Bonnet, C. Guieu & C. De Luminy. 2008. Dissolved iron distribution in the tropical and sub-tropical southeastern Pacific. *Biogeosciences*, 5: 269-280.
- Bollens, S. & B.W. Frost. 1989. Zooplanktivorous fish and variable diel vertical zooplanktivorous migration the marine planktonic copepod *Calanus pacificus*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 34: 1072-1083.
- Bonnet, S., C. Guieu, F. Bruyant, O. Prasil, F. Van Wambeke, P. Raimbault, T. Moutin, C. Grob, M.Y. Gorbunov, J.P. Zehr, S.M. Masquelier, L. Garczarek & H. Claustre. 2008. Nutrient limitation of primary productivity in the southeast Pacific (BIOSOPE cruise). *Biogeosciences*, 5: 215-225.
- Brinton, E. 1962. The distribution of Pacific euphausiids. *Bull. Scripps Inst. Oceanogr.*, 8: 51-269.
- Brinton, E. 1967. Vertical migration and avoidance capability of euphausiids in the California Current. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 12: 451-483.
- Campbell, L., E.J. Carpenter, J.P. Montoya, A.B. Kustka & D. Capone. 2005. Picoplankton community structure within and outside a *Trichodesmium* bloom in the southwestern Pacific Ocean. *Vie Milieu*, 55: 185-195.
- Cavender-Bares, K.K., E.L. Mann, S.W. Chisholm, M.E. Ondrusek & R.R. Bidigare. 1999. Differential response of equatorial Pacific phytoplankton to iron fertilization. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 44: 237-246.
- Claustre, H., A. Sciandra & Vaultot. 2004. Introduction to the special section bio-optical and biogeochemical conditions in the South East Pacific in late: the BIOSOPE Program. *Biogeosciences*, 5: 679-691.
- Codispoti, L.A. 2007. An oceanic fixed nitrogen sink exceeding 400 Tg N a⁻¹ vs the concept of homeostasis in the fixed-nitrogen inventory. *Biogeosciences*, 4: 233-253.
- Colwell, R.K. 2005. EstimateS: statistical estimation of species richness and shared species from samples. Version 7.5. User's Guide and application.
- D'Hondt, S., A.J. Spivack, R. Pockalny, T.G. Ferdelman, J.P. Fischer, J. Kallmeyer, L.J. Abrams, D.C. Smith, D. Graham, F. Hasiuk, H. Schrum & A.M. Stancin. 2009. Subseafloor sedimentary life in the South Pacific Gyre. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 106: 11651-11656.
- D'Hondt, S., L.J. Abrams, R. Anderson, J. Dorrance, A. Durbin, L. Ellett, T. Ferdelman, J. Fischer, S. Forschner, R. Fuldauer, H. Goldstein, D. Graham, W. Griffith, H. Halm, R. Harris, B. Harrison, F. Hasiuk, G. Horn, J. Kallmeyer, M. Lever, J. Meyer, L. Morse, C. Moser, B. Murphy, A. Nordhausen, L. Parry, R. Pockalny, A. Puschell, J. Rogers, H. Schrum, D.C. Smith, B. Soffientino, A.J. Spivack, A. Stancin, M. Steinman & P. Walczak. 2011. KNOX-02RR: drilling site survey-life in subseafloor sediments of the South Pacific Gyre 1. *Proc. Integr. Ocean Drill Progr.*, 329: 1-82.
- Dandonneau, Y., Y. Montel, J. Blanchot, J. Giraudeau & J. Neveux. 2008. Temporal variability in phytoplankton pigments, picoplankton and coccolithophores along a transect through the North Atlantic and tropical southwestern Pacific. *Deep-Sea Res. I*, 53: 689-712.
- Del Giorgio, P.A. & C.M. Duarte. 2002. Respiration in the open ocean. *Nature*, 420: 379-384.
- Dolan, J.R. & K. McKeon. 2005. The reliability of grazing rate estimates from dilution experiments: have we over-estimated rates of organic carbon consumption by microzooplankton? *Ocean Sci.*, 1: 1-7.
- Dolan, J.R., M.E. Ritchie & J. Ras. 2007. The "neutral" community structure of planktonic herbivores, tintinnid ciliates of the microzooplankton, across the SE Tropical Pacific Ocean. *Biogeosciences*, 4: 297-310.
- Doney, S.C., D.M. Glover, S.J. McCue & M. Fuentes. 2003. Mesoscale variability of Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) satellite ocean color: Global patterns and spatial scales. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 108: 3024.
- Doney, S.C., M. Ruckelshaus, J. Emmett-Duffy, J.P. Barry, F. Chan, C.A. English, H.M. Galindo, J.M. Grebmeier, A.B. Hollowed, N. Knowlton, J. Polovina, N.N. Rabalais, W.J. Sydeman & L.D. Talley. 2012. Climate

- change impacts on marine ecosystems. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 4: 11-37.
- Doolittle, W.F. 2012. Population genomics: how bacterial species form and why they don't exist. *Curr. Biol.*, 22: R451-R453.
- Duarte, C.M. & A. Regaudie-de-Gioux. 2009. Thresholds of gross primary production for the metabolic balance of marine planktonic communities. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 54: 1015-1022.
- Ducklow, H.W., S.C. Doney & D.K. Steinberg. 2009. Contributions of long-term research and time-series observations to marine ecology and biogeochemistry. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 1: 279-302.
- Echevin, V., K. Goubanova, A. Belmadani & B. Dewitte. 2011. Sensitivity of the Humboldt Current System to global warming: a downscaling experiment of the IPSL-CM4 model. *Clim. Dynam.*, 38: 761-774.
- Eriksen, M., N. Maximenko, M. Thiel, A. Cummins, G. Lattin, S. Wilson, J. Hafner, A. Zellers & S. Rifman. 2013. Plastic pollution in the South Pacific subtropical gyre. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 68: 71-76.
- Falkowski, P.G., E.A. Laws, R.T. Barber & J.W. Murray. 2003. Phytoplankton and their role in primary, new, and export production In: M.J.R. Fasham (ed.). *Ocean biogeochemistry: the role of the ocean carbon cycle in global change*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 99-121.
- Fariás, L., J. Faúndez, C. Fernández, M. Cornejo, S. Sanhueza & C. Carrasco. 2013. Biological N₂O fixation in the Eastern South Pacific Ocean and marine cyanobacterial cultures. *PLoS ONE*, 8: e63956.
- Fiore, C.L., J.K. Jarett, N.D. Olson & M.P. Lesser. 2010. Nitrogen fixation and nitrogen transformations in marine symbioses. *Trends Microbiol.*, 18: 455-463.
- Fisher, R.L. 1958. Preliminary report on expedition downwind igy cruise to the southeast Pacific. IGY World Data Center A. General Report Series. La Jolla, California, pp. 1-38.
- Fuenzalida, R., W. Schneider, J. Garcés-Vargas, L. Bravo & C. Lange. 2009. Vertical and horizontal extension of the oxygen minimum zone in the eastern South Pacific Ocean. *Deep-Sea Res. II*, 56: 992-1003.
- Galvez-Larach, M. 2009. Montes submarinos de Nazca y Salas y Gomez: una revisión para el manejo y conservación. *Lat. Am. J. Aquat. Res.*, 37: 479-500.
- Gómez, F., H. Claustre, P. Raimbault & S. Souissi. 2007. Two high-nutrient low-chlorophyll phytoplankton assemblages: the tropical central Pacific and the offshore Peru-Chile Current. *Biogeosciences*, 4: 1101-1113.
- Gregory, M.R. 2009. Environmental implications of plastic debris in marine settings-entanglement, ingestion, smothering, hitch-hiking and alien invasions. *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B.*, 364: 2013-2025.
- Grob, C., O. Ulloa, H. Claustre, Y. Huot, G. Alarc & D. Villefranche. 2007a. Contribution of picoplankton to the total particulate organic carbon concentration in the eastern South Pacific. *Biogeosciences*, 4: 837-852.
- Grob, C., O. Ulloa, W.K.W. Li, G. Alarcón, M. Fukasawa & S. Watanabe. 2007b. Picoplankton abundance and biomass across the eastern South Pacific Ocean along latitude 32.5°S. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 332: 53-62.
- Guidi, L., G. Gorsky, H. Claustre, J.C. Miquel, M. Picheral & L. Stemmann. 2008. Distribution and fluxes of aggregates >100 µm in the upper kilometer of the South-Eastern Pacific. *Biogeosciences*, 5: 1361-1372.
- Gutiérrez, D., I. Bouloubassi, A. Sifeddine, S. Purca, K. Goubanova, M. Graco, D. Field, L. Méjanelle, F. Velazco, A. Lorre, R. Salvatelli, D. Quispe, G. Vargas, B. Dewitte & L. Ortlieb. 2011. Coastal cooling and increased productivity in the main upwelling zone off Peru since the mid-twentieth century. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38: L07603.
- Hagino, K. & H. Okada. 2006. Intra- and infra-specific morphological variation in selected coccolithophore species in the equatorial and subequatorial Pacific Ocean. *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 58: 184-206.
- Halm, H., P. Lam, T.G. Ferdelman, G. Lavik, T. Dittmar, J. LaRoche, S. D'Hondt & M.M.M. Kuypers. 2012. Heterotrophic organisms dominate nitrogen fixation in the South Pacific Gyre. *ISME J.*, 6: 1238-1249.
- Hasegawa, D., M.R. Lewis & A. Gangopadhyay. 2009. How islands cause phytoplankton to bloom in their wakes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36: L20605.
- Hasegawa, D., H. Yamazaki, T. Ishimaru, H. Nagashima & Y. Koike. 2008. Apparent phytoplankton bloom due to island mass effect. *J. Mar. Syst.*, 69: 238-246.
- Hashihama, F., M. Sato, S. Takeda, J. Kanda & K. Furuya. 2010. Mesoscale decrease of surface phosphate and associated phytoplankton dynamics in the vicinity of the subtropical South Pacific islands. *Deep-Sea Res. I*, 57: 338-350.
- Hasle, G.R. & E.E. Syvertsen. 1997. Marine diatoms. In: C.R. Tomas (ed.). *Identifying marine phytoplankton*. Academic Press, San Diego, 385 pp.
- Heinrich, A.K. 1971. On the near-surface plankton of the eastern South Pacific Ocean. *Mar. Biol.*, 10: 290-294.
- Hirai, H., H. Takada, Y. Ogata, R. Yamashita, K. Mizukawa, M. Saha, C. Kwan, C. Moore, H. Gray, D. Laursen, E.R. Zettler, J.W. Farrington, C.M. Reddy, E.E. Peacock & M.W. Ward. 2011. Organic micropollutants in marine plastics debris from the open ocean and remote and urban beaches. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 62: 1683-1692.

- Horner, R.A. 2002. A taxonomic guide to some common marine phytoplankton. Biopress, Bristol, 195 pp.
- Irigoin, X., J. Huisman & R.P. Harris. 2004. Global biodiversity patterns of marine phytoplankton and zooplankton. *Nature*, 429: 863-867.
- Karl, D., R. Letelier, L. Tupas, J. Dore, J. Christian & D. Hebel. 1997. The role of nitrogen fixation in biogeochemical cycling in the subtropical North Pacific Ocean. *Nature*, 388: 533-538.
- Karl, D.M., M.J. Church, J.E. Dore, R.M. Letelier & C. Mahaffey. 2012. Predictable and efficient carbon sequestration in the North Pacific Ocean supported by symbiotic nitrogen fixation. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109: 1842-1849.
- Kelly, M.W. & G.E. Hofmann. 2013. Adaptation and the physiology of ocean acidification. *Funct. Ecol.*, 27: 980-990.
- Lami, R., M.T. Cottrell, J. Ras, O. Ulloa, I. Obernosterer, H. Claustre, D.L. Kirchman & P. Lebaron. 2007. High abundances of aerobic anoxygenic photosynthetic bacteria in the South Pacific Ocean. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 73: 4198-4205.
- Landry, M.R., J. Constantinou, M. Latasa, S.L. Brown, R.R. Bidigare & M.E. Ondrusek. 2000. Biological response to iron fertilization in the eastern equatorial Pacific (IronEx II). III. Dynamics of phytoplankton growth and microzooplankton grazing. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 201: 57-72.
- Law, K.L., S. Morét-Ferguson, N. Maximenko, G. Proskurowski, E.E. Peacock, J. Hafner & C.M. Reddy. 2010. Plastic accumulation in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre. *Science*, 329: 1185-1188.
- Law, C.S., E. Breitbarth, L.J. Hoffmann, C.M. McGraw, R.J. Langlois, J. LaRoche, A. Marriner & K.A. Safi. 2012. No stimulation of nitrogen fixation by non-filamentous diazotrophs under elevated CO₂ in the South Pacific. *Global Change Biol.*, 18: 3004-3014.
- Le Gall, F., F. Rigaut-Jalabert, D. Marie, L. Garczarek, M. Viprey, A. Gobet & D. Vaultot. 2008. Picoplankton diversity in the South-East Pacific Ocean from cultures. *Biogeosciences*, 5: 203-214.
- Lepère, C., D. Vaultot & D.J. Scanlan. 2009. Photosynthetic picoeukaryote community structure in the South East Pacific Ocean encompassing the most oligotrophic waters on Earth. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 11: 3105-3117.
- Longhurst, R. 1976. Interactions between zooplankton and phytoplankton profiles in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. *Deep-Sea Res.*, 23: 729-754.
- Mahowald, N.M., S. Engelstaedter, C. Luo, A. Sealy, P. Artaxo, C. Benitez-Nelson, S. Bonnet, Y. Chen, P.Y. Chuang, D.D. Cohen, F. Dulac, B. Herut, A.M. Johansen, N. Kubilay, R. Losno, W. Maenhaut, A. Paytan, J.M. Prospero, L.M. Shank & R.L. Siefert. 2009. Atmospheric iron deposition: global distribution, variability, and human perturbations. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 1: 245-278.
- Mann, K.H. & J.R.N. Lazier. 2006. Dynamics of marine ecosystems. Blackwell Publishing, Massachusetts, 496 pp.
- Marañón, E., P. Holligan, R. Barciela, N. González, B. Mouriño, M. Pazó & M. Varela. 2001. Patterns of phytoplankton size structure and productivity in contrasting open-ocean environments. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 216: 43-56.
- Marchant, M., J. Zapata, V. Ruíz & H. Moyano. 2004. Foraminíferos bentónicos recientes de Islas Oceánicas: Isla de Pascua e Isla Salas y Gómez, Chile. In: R. Rojas, Y. Guerrero, J. González & N. Silva. 2004. CD-ROM Reporte de Datos del Crucero Oceanográfico Cimar 5 Islas Oceánicas. Centro Nacional de Datos Oceanográficos. Servicio Hidrográfico y Oceanográfico de la Armada. Valparaíso, [http://www.shoa.cl/n_cendhoc/productos/cimar-5/index.htm].
- Margalef, R. 1978. Life-forms of phytoplankton as survival alternatives in an unstable environment. *Oceanologica*, 1: 493-509.
- Masó, M., E. Garcés, F. Pagès & J. Camp. 2003. Drifting plastic debris as a potential vector for dispersing Harmful Algal Bloom (HAB) species. *Sci. Mar.*, 67: 107-111.
- Masquelier, S. & D. Vaultot. 2008. Distribution of microorganisms along a transect in the South-East Pacific Ocean (BIOCOPE cruise) using epifluorescence microscopy. *Biogeosciences*, 5: 311-321.
- Maximenko, N., J. Hafner & P. Niiler. 2012. Pathways of marine debris derived from trajectories of Lagrangian drifters. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 65: 51-62.
- Mills, M.M., C. Ridame, M. Davey, J. La Roche & R.J. Geider. 2004. Iron and phosphorus co-limit nitrogen fixation in the eastern tropical North Atlantic. *Nature*, 429: 292-294.
- Moore, C.M., M.M. Mills, E.P. Achterberg, R.J. Geider, J. LaRoche, M.I. Lucas, E.L. McDonagh, X. Pan, A.J. Poulton, M.J.A. Rijkenberg, D.J. Suggett, S.J. Ussher & E.M.S. Woodward. 2009. Large-scale distribution of Atlantic nitrogen fixation controlled by iron availability. *Nat. Geosci.*, 2: 867-871.
- Moraga, J., A. Valle-Levinson & J. Olivares. 1999. Hydrography and geostrophy around Easter Island. *Deep-Sea Res. I*, 46: 715-731.
- Morel, A., H. Claustre & B. Gentili. 2010. The most oligotrophic subtropical zones of the global ocean: similarities and differences in terms of chlorophyll and yellow substance. *Biogeosciences*, 7: 3139-3151.
- Mouriño-Carballido, B., R. Graña, A. Fernández, A. Bode, M. Varela, J.F. Domínguez, J. Escánez, D. de Armas & E. Marañón. 2011. Importance of N₂ fixation vs. nitrate

- eddy diffusion along a latitudinal transect in the Atlantic Ocean. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 56: 999-1007.
- Moutin, T., N. Van Den Broeck, B. Beker, C. Dupouy, P. Rimmelin & A. Le Bouteillier. 2005. Phosphate availability controls *Trichodesmium* spp. biomass in the SW Pacific Ocean. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 297: 15-21.
- Mujica, A. & E. Espinoza. 2004. Distribución y abundancia de larvas de crustáceos decápodos y crustáceos holoplanctónicos. In: R. Rojas, Y. Guerrero, J. González & N. Silva. 2004. CD-ROM Reporte de Datos del Crucero Oceanográfico Cimar 5 Islas Oceánicas. Centro Nacional de Datos Oceanográficos. Servicio Hidrográfico y Oceanográfico de la Armada. Valparaíso, Chile. [http://www.shoa.cl/n_cendhoc/productos/cimar-5/index.htm].
- Mullin, M.M. 1969. Distribution, morphometry, and seasonal biology of the planktonic copepods, *Calanus tenuicornis* and *C. lighti* in the Pacific Ocean. *Pac. Sci.*, 23: 438-446.
- Obernosterer, I, P. Catala, R. Lami, J. Caparros, J. Ras, A. Bricaud, C. Dupuy, F. Van Wambeke & P. Lebaron. 2007. Biochemical characteristics and bacterial community structure of the sea surface microlayer in the South Pacific Ocean. *Biogeosciences*, 693-705.
- Palma, S. 1999. Sifonóforos (Cnidaria, Hydrozoa) de aguas superficiales de Isla de Pascua. *Invest. Mar.*, Valparaíso, 27: 19-23.
- Palma, S. & N. Silva. 2006. Epipelagic siphonophore assemblages associated with water masses along a transect between Chile and Easter Island (eastern South Pacific Ocean). *J. Plankton Res.*, 28: 1143-1151.
- Parker, F.L. 1960. Living planktonic foraminifera from the Equatorial and Southeast Pacific. *Science Reports, Special Volume 4*: 71-82.
- Pizarro, G. 2004. Variabilidad espacial de las características biológicas y ópticas de la columna de agua entre Caldera-Isla de Pascua-Isla Salas y Gómez y áreas adyacentes a las islas. In: R. Rojas, Y. Guerrero, J. González & N. Silva. 2004. CD-ROM Reporte de Datos del Crucero Oceanográfico Cimar 5 Islas Oceánicas. Centro Nacional de Datos Oceanográficos. Servicio Hidrográfico y Oceanográfico de la Armada. Valparaíso, [http://www.shoa.cl/n_cendhoc/productos/cimar-5/index.htm].
- Pollard, R.T., I. Salter, R.J. Sanders, M.I. Lucas, C.M. Moore, R.A. Mills, P.J. Statham, J.T. Allen, A.R. Baker, D.C.E. Bakker, M.A. Charette, S. Fielding, G.R. Fones, M. French, A.E. Hickman, R.J. Holland, J.A. Hughes, T.D. Jickells, R.S. Lampitt, P.J. Morris, F.H. Nédélec, M. Nielsdóttir, H. Planquette, E.E. Popova, A.J. Poulton, J.F. Read, S. Seeyave, T. Smith, M. Stinchcombe, S. Taylor, S. Thomalla, H.J. Venables, R. Williamson & M.V. Zubkov. 2009. Southern Ocean deep-water carbon export enhanced by natural iron fertilization. *Nature*, 457: 577-581.
- Polovina, J.J., E.A. Howell & M. Abecassis. 2008. Ocean's least productive waters are expanding. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35: 2-6.
- Raimbault, P. & N. Garcia. 2008. Evidence for efficient regenerated production and dinitrogen fixation in nitrogen-deficient waters of the South Pacific Ocean: impact on new and export production estimates. *Biogeosciences*, 5: 323-338.
- Raimbault, P., N. Garcia & F. Cerutti. 2008. Distribution of inorganic and organic nutrients in the South Pacific Ocean -evidence for long-term accumulation of organic matter in nitrogen-depleted waters. *Biogeosciences*, 5: 281-298.
- Ras, J., H. Claustre, J. Uitz, G. Drive & L. Jolla. 2008. Spatial variability of phytoplankton pigment distributions in the Subtropical South Pacific Ocean: comparison between *in situ* and predicted data. *Biogeosciences*, 5: 353-369.
- Riser, S.C. & K.S. Johnson. 2008. Net production of oxygen in the subtropical ocean. *Nature*, 451: 323-325.
- Robledo, O. & A. Mujica. 1999. Eufáusidos de Isla de Pascua. *Invest. Mar.*, Valparaíso, 27: 65-72.
- Rojas-Jara, O. 1980. Determinación de la distribución geográfica y abundancia relativa de huevos y larvas de tunidos en el Pacífico Sur Oriental. Instituto de Fomento Pesquero-Chile, Santiago, pp.1-58.
- Rojas, R., Y. Guerrero, J. González & N. Silva. 2004. CD-ROM Reporte de Datos del Crucero Oceanográfico Cimar 5 Islas Oceánicas. Centro Nacional de Datos Oceanográficos. Servicio Hidrográfico y Oceanográfico de la Armada. Valparaíso, Chile. [http://www.shoa.cl/n_cendhoc/productos/cimar-5/index.htm].
- Sagi, T. 1977. On the distribution of nitrate nitrogen in the Pacific Ocean. *Pap. Meteorol. Geophys.*, 28: 29-39.
- Shi, X.L., D. Marie, L. Jardillier, D.J. Scanlan & D. Vault. 2009. Groups without cultured representatives dominate eukaryotic picophytoplankton in the oligotrophic South East Pacific Ocean. *PLoS ONE*, 4: e7657.
- Shi, X.L., C. Lepère, D.J. Scanlan & D. Vault. 2011. Plastid 16S rRNA gene diversity among eukaryotic picophytoplankton sorted by flow cytometry from the South Pacific Ocean. *PLoS ONE*, 6: e18979.
- Shi, D., S.A. Kranz, J. Kim & F.M.M. Morel. 2012. Ocean acidification slows nitrogen fixation and growth in the dominant diazotroph *Trichodesmium* under low-iron conditions. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109: E3094-E3100.
- Sielfeld, W., G. Guzmán, T. Cortez, E. Quiroga, A. Valdéz, M. Labrin, C. Mancilla, A. Kawaguchi & M. Cordero.

2004. Fauna mesopelágica del Proyecto Cimar 5 Islas Oceánicas. In: R. Rojas, Y. Guerrero, J. González & N. Silva. 2004. CD-ROM Reporte de Datos del Crucero Oceanográfico Cimar 5 Islas Oceánicas. Centro Nacional de Datos Oceanográficos. Servicio Hidrográfico y Oceanográfico de la Armada. Valparaíso, Chile. [http://www.shoa.cl/n_cendhoc/productos/cimar-5/index.htm].
- Stemmann, L., D. Eloi, A. Sciandra, G.A. Jackson, L. Guidi, M. Picheral & G. Gorsky. 2008. Volume distribution for particles between 3.5 to 2000 μm in the upper 200 m region of the South Pacific Gyre. *Biogeosciences*, 5: 299-310.
- Stramma, L., G.C. Johnson, J. Sprintall & V. Mohrholz. 2008. Expanding oxygen-minimum zones in the tropical oceans. *Science*, 320(5876): 655-658.
- Trainer, V.L., S.S. Bates, N. Lundholm, A.E. Thessen, W.P. Cochlan, N.G. Adams & C.G. Trick. 2012. *Pseudo-nitzschia* physiological ecology, phylogeny, toxicity, monitoring and impacts on ecosystem health. *Harmful Algae*, 14: 271-300.
- Twardowski, M.S., H. Claustre, S.A. Freeman, D. Stramski & Y. Huot. 2007. Optical backscattering properties of the “clearest” natural waters. *Biogeosciences*, 4: 1041-1058.
- Van Dolah, F.M. 2000. Marine algal toxins: origins, health effects, and their increased occurrence. *Environ. Health Persp.*, 108(Suppl.): 133-141.
- Vinogradov, G.M. 1991. Marine biology hyperiid amphipods in the eastern part of the South Pacific gyre. *Mar. Biol.*, 265: 259-265.
- Wagner, T., C. Guieu, R. Losno, S. Bonnet & N. Mahowald. 2008. Revisiting atmospheric dust export to the Southern Hemisphere Ocean: biogeochemical implications. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 22: 1-13.
- Westberry, T.K. & D.A. Siegel. 2006. Spatial and temporal distribution of *Trichodesmium* blooms in the world's oceans. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 20: 1-13.
- Zettler, E.R., T.J. Mincer & L.A. Amaral-Zettler. 2013. Life in the “Plastisphere”: microbial communities on plastic marine debris. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47: 7137-7146.

Received: 18 March 2014; Accepted: 28 July 2014

Appendix 1. List of taxa encountered in the Easter Island/Salas y Gómez Island EEZ or in nearby eastern gyre waters.

Group (Common Name)	Domain	Division/ Sub-Division/ Kingdom	Phylum/Sub-Phylum	Class/Sub-Class	Order/Sub-Order	Genus-Species	Reference
Eukaryote							
Copepods	Unikonts	Opisthokonts	Arthropoda	Maxillopoda	Calanoida	<i>Mesocalanus lighti</i>	Mullin (1967)
						<i>Mesocalanus tenuicornis</i>	Mullin (1967)
						<i>Euphausia brevis</i>	Brinton (1962)
Euphausiids	Animalia	Crustacea	Malacostraca	Euphausiacea		<i>Euphausia mutica</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Euphausia gibba</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Euphausia krohni</i>	Sielfeld <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Euphausia recurva</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Nematoscelis atlantica</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Nematoscelis megalops</i>	Sielfeld <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Nematoscelis gracilis</i>	Sielfeld <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Nematoscelis microps</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Nematobrachion sexspinosum</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Nematobrachion boopis</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Nematobrachion flexipes</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Stylocheiron longicorne</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Stylocheiron affine</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Stylocheiron suhmi</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Stylocheiron carinatum</i>	Robledo <i>et al.</i> (1999)
						<i>Stylocheiron abbreviatum</i>	Robledo <i>et al.</i> (1999)
						<i>Stylocheiron robustum</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Stylocheiron affine</i>	Sielfeld <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Stylocheiron indicum</i>	Sielfeld <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Stylocheiron elongatum</i>	Sielfeld <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Stylocheiron elongatum</i>	Sielfeld <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Thysanopoda aequalis</i>	Brinton (1962)
						<i>Thysanopoda aequalis</i> (as <i>Thysanopoda subaequalis</i>)	Robledo <i>et al.</i> (1999)
						<i>Thysanopoda subaequalis</i>	Robledo <i>et al.</i> (1999)
						<i>Thysanopoda cristata</i>	Robledo <i>et al.</i> (1999)
						<i>Thysanopoda orientalis</i>	Brinton (1962)

Continuation

Group (Common Name)	Domain	Division/ Sub-Division/ Kingdom	Phylum/Sub-Phylum	Class/Sub-Class	Order/Sub-Order	Genus-Species	Reference
						<i>Asterolanpra marylandica</i>	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Asteromphalus flabellatus</i>	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Bacteriastrium</i> (≥ 2 species)	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Chaetocero atlanticus</i> var. <i>neapolitanus</i> (Schroder) Hustedt	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Chaetoceros dadayi</i> Pavillard	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Chaetoceros cf. diversus</i> Cleve	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Chaetoceros peruvianus</i> Brightwell	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Chaetoceros tetrasichon</i> Cleve	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Chaetoceros</i> sp. (≥ 5 species)	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Coscinodiscus</i> sp.	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Cylindrotheca closterium</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Dactyliosolen</i> sp.	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Grammatophora marina</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Grossierella tropica</i> Schütt	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Hemiaulus hauckii</i> Grunow	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007); Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Leptocylindrus danicus</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Leptocylindrus minimus</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Licmophora</i> sp.	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Odontella</i> sp.	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Neostreptotheca torta</i> f. <i>triangularis</i> Stosch	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Nitzschia bicapitata</i> complejo de especies	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Nitzschia</i> sp. (<i>cf. braarudii</i> ?)	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Plagiotropis</i> sp. 1	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Plagiotropis</i> sp. 2 (<i>cf. lepidoptera</i> ?)	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Proboscia alata</i> (Brightwell) Sundstrom	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007); Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Pseudotrisceratum cinnamomeum</i> (Greville) Grunow	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)

Continuation

Group (Common Name)	Domain	Division/ Sub-Division/ Kingdom	Phylum/Sub-Phylum	Class/Sub-Class	Order/Sub-Order	Genus-Species	Reference
						<i>Pseudo-nitzschia australis</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Pseudo-nitzschia delicatissima</i> (Cleve)	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						Heiden sensu Hasle 1960	
						<i>Pseudo-nitzschia pseudodelicatissima</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Pseudo-nitzschia</i> sp.	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Pseudosolenia calcar avis</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						cf. <i>Pseudoeunotia</i> sp.	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Rhizosolenia bergonii</i>	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						Peragallo	
						<i>Rhizosolenia cf. castracanei</i> Peragallo	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Rhizosolenia clevei</i> var. <i>communis</i> Sundstrom	
						<i>Rhizosolenia curvata</i>	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Rhizosolenia formosa</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Rhizosolenia hebetata</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Rhizosolenia cf. imbricata</i> Brightwell	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Rhizosolenia cf. imbricata</i> var. <i>shrubslei</i> (Cleve)	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						Schroder	
						<i>Rhizosolenia setigera</i>	G. Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Rhizosolenia styliformis</i> Brightwell	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007); Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Skeletonema costatum</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Siriatella unipunctata</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Thalassionema nitzschioides</i> (Grunow)	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007); Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						Mereschkowsky	
						<i>Thalassionema cf. pseudonitzschioides</i> (Schuette et Schrader)	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						Hasle	
						<i>Thalassionema elegans</i>	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						Hustedt	
						<i>Thalassionema</i> sp.	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Thalassiothrix longissima</i> Cleve et Grunow	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)

Continuation

Group (Common Name)	Domain	Division/ Sub-Division/ Kingdom	Phylum/Sub-Phylum	Class/Sub-Class	Order/Sub-Order	Genus-Species	Reference
Tintinnid ciliates	Eukaryote	Alveolata	Ciliophora	Spirotrichea	Tintinnida	<i>Thalassiothrix cf. heteromorpha</i> Karsten	Gómez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						Sequences classed within Dictyophyceae	Shi <i>et al.</i> (2009, 2011)
						Pelagophyceae	Shi <i>et al.</i> (2011); Le Gall <i>et al.</i> (2009)
						Bolidophyceae	Shi <i>et al.</i> (2011); Lepere <i>et al.</i> (2009)
						Bicosoecophyceae	Shi <i>et al.</i> (2011)
						Bicosoecid	
						Sequences related to <i>Bolidomonas mediterranea</i>	Le Gall <i>et al.</i> (2009)
						Unknown	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Solenicola setigera</i>	Shi <i>et al.</i> (2009); Lepere <i>et al.</i> (2009)
						Sequences classed within Crysoophyceae	
Dinoflagellates					Dinophyceae	<i>Canthariella pyramidata</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Condenellopsis pusilla</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Eutintinnus aperius</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Eutintinnus fraknoi</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Eutintinnus tubulosa</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Salpingella decurtata</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Salpingella attenuata</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Salpingella curta</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Salpingella faurei</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Steenstrupiella steenstrupii</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Steenstrupiella gracilis</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Parundella aculeata</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Proplectella perpusilla</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Protohabdonella striatura</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Protohabdonella curta</i>	Dolan <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Amphisolenia reticulata</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
							Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Amphisolenia bidentata</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Amphisolenia globifera</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2006)
						<i>Asterodinium gracile</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						cf. <i>Blephaerocysta</i> sp.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Brachidinium capitatum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						FJR Taylor f.	
						<i>Asterodinium</i>	
						<i>Brachidinium capitatum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						FJR Taylor f.	
						<i>Brachidinium</i>	
						<i>Brachidinium capitatum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						FJR Taylor f. <i>Karenia papilionacea</i>	

Continuation

Group (Common Name)	Domain	Division/ Sub-Division/ Kingdom	Phylum/Sub-Phylum	Class/Sub-Class	Order/Sub-Order	Genus-Species	Reference
						<i>Brachidium capitatum</i> FJR Taylor f.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Microceratium</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports); Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium arietinum</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium belone</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium carriense</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium concilians</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium contortum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium declinatum</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium extensum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium furca</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007); Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium fusus</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium geniculatum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium gibberum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium kofoidii</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium inflatum</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium karsteni</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium macroceros</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium massiliense</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium pentagonum</i>	G. Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports); Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium platycorne</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium pulchellum</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium</i> sp	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratium symmetricum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium teres</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports); Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium trichoceros</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports); Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium triplos</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports); Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ceratium vulvar</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratocorys gourethii</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)

Continuation

Group (Common Name)	Domain	Division/ Sub-Division/ Kingdom	Phylum/Sub-Phylum	Class/Sub-Class	Order/Sub-Order	Genus-Species	Reference
						<i>Ceratocorys horrida</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ceratocorys reticulata</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Cladopyxis brachiolata</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Cladopyxis hemibrachiata</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Cladopyxis</i> sp	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Cochlodinium</i> sp.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Craspedotella pileolus</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Craspedotella</i> sp.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Dicroerisma psilonereisella</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Corythodinium tessellatum</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Dinophysis acuminata</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Dinophysis odiosa</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Dinophysis swezyae</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Dinophysis schuettii</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Dinophysis</i> sp	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Erythroprosidinium agile</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Goniadoma polyedricum</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						cf. <i>Gonyaulax birostris</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Gonyaulax pacifica</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Gonyaulax polygramma</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports); Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Gonyaulax spinifera</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Gymnodinium</i> sp	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports); Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Gymnodinium</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>fuscus/Gyrodinium falcatum</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Gyrodinium spirale</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Heterocapsa triquetra</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Histioneis crateriformis</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Histoneis cleaveri</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Histoneis joergensenii</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Karenia</i> cf. <i>bicuneiformis</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Karenia papilionaceae</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2006)
						<i>Karenia</i> spp.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Kofoidinium pavillardii</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)

Continuation

Group (Common Name)	Domain	Division/ Sub-Division/ Kingdom	Phylum/Sub-Phylum	Class/Sub-Class	Order/Sub-Order	Genus-Species	Reference
						<i>Kofoidinium</i> sp.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Kofoidinium velleiloides</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Microceratium ostomii</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2006)
						<i>Nematodinium</i> sp.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Noctiluca</i> sp.	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ornithocercus heteroporus</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ornithocercus magnificus</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports); Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ornithocercus quadratus</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Ornithocercus</i> sp.	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Ornithocercus thumi</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Oxyphysis oxytoxoides</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum</i> cf. <i>crassum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum</i> cf. <i>laticeps</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum</i> cf. <i>longum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum challengeroideus</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum constrictum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum curvatum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum curvicaudatum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum diploconus</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum longiceps</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum scollopax</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Oxytoxum</i> spp.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum sceptrum</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Oxytoxum tessellatum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Oxytoxum variable</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Phalacrocoma</i> sp. 1	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Phalacrocoma</i> sp. 3	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Phalacrocoma</i> sp. 4	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Phalacrocoma</i> sp. 5	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Podolampas bipes</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports); Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Podolampas elegans</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Podolampas palmipes</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports); Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Podolampas</i> sp.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Podolampas spinifer</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Polykrirkos</i> cf. <i>schwartzii</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)

Continuation

Group (Common Name)	Domain	Division/ Sub-Division/ Kingdom	Phylum/Sub-Phylum	Class/Sub-Class	Order/Sub-Order	Genus-Species	Reference
Foraminifera			Granuloreticulosa	Foraminifera	Cassidulinoidea Miliolida	<i>Pronotulica pelagica</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Pronotulica pelagica</i> f. <i>spinifera</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Prorocentrum dentatum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Prorocentrum rastratum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Prorocentrum</i> sp.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Protoveridinium</i> cf. <i>conicum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Protoveridinium grande</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR)
						<i>Protoveridinium granii</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR)
						<i>Protoveridinium</i> cf. <i>ovatum</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Protoveridinium</i> sp	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Protoveridinium</i> cf. <i>steinii</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> 2007
						<i>Pyrocystis lunula</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR- 5 data reports); Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Pyrocystis noctiluca</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Pyrocystis robusta</i>	Pizarro (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR- 5 data reports)
						<i>Scaphodinium mirabile</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Scaphodinium</i> sp.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Scripsiella</i> sp.	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Spatulodinium</i> sp.1	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Spatulodinium</i> sp.2	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						cf. <i>Spiraulax jolliffei</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Torodinium teredo</i>	Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2007)
						<i>Globocassidulina minuta</i> (as <i>Cassidulina minuta</i>)	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports)
						<i>Amphisorus henrichii</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Spiroculina antillarum</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Sorites marginalis</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> 2004 (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Pseudohauerina</i> <i>occidentalis</i> (as <i>Hauerina</i> <i>occidentalis</i>)	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Quinqueloculina</i> <i>alabanensis</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Quinqueloculina</i> <i>candetana</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Quinqueloculina sulcata</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Amphistegina lessoni</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).

Continuation

Group (Common Name)	Domain	Division/ Sub-Division/ Kingdom	Phylum/Sub-Phylum	Class/Sub-Class	Order/Sub-Order	Genus-Species	Reference
						<i>Poroepionides lateralis</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Rotorbinella mira</i> (as <i>Discorbis mira</i>)	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Heterostegina depressa</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Tretomphalus bulloides</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Planorbulina acervalis</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Poroepionides lateralis</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Pileolina patelliformis</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Pileolina</i> sp.	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Cibicides</i> sp. 1	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Cibicides</i> sp. 2	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Conorbella pulvinata</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Conorbella corrugata</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Discorbis</i> sp. 1	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
					Bulinimida	<i>Reussella spinulosa</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Bolivina pseudoplicata</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
						<i>Rojas 2004 (CIMAR-5 data reports)</i>	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
					Spirillinida	<i>Spirulina</i> sp. 2	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
					Lagenida	<i>Fissurina</i> sp. 3	Marchant <i>et al.</i> (2004) in Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2004) (CIMAR-5 data reports).
					Globigerinida	<i>Globoquadrina conglomera</i> (as <i>Globigerina conglomera</i>)	Parker (1960)
						<i>Neogloboquadrina dutertrei</i> (as <i>Globigerina eggertii</i>)	Parker (1960)
						<i>Globigerina inflata</i>	Parker (1960)
						<i>Globigerinella aequilateralis</i>	Parker (1960)
						<i>Globigerinoides ruber</i>	Parker (1960)
						<i>Globigerinoides conglobatus</i>	Parker (1960)
						<i>Globorotalia menardii</i>	Parker (1960)

Table 2. CIMAR-5 station coordinates.

Station	Longitude	Latitude	Date				
1	-70.8822222	-27.00055556	15.10.1999	47 night	-109.3988889	-27.03972222	3.11.1999
2	-70.9352778	-27.00194444	15.10.1999	48	-109.415	-27.01305556	5.11.1999
3	-71.0330556	-26.9975	15.10.1999	49	-109.4577778	-26.95611111	5.11.1999
4	-71.2158333	-26.99833333	16.10.1999	50	-109.4991667	-26.88472222	5.11.1999
5	-71.7666667	-26.99472222	16.10.1999	51	-109.5702778	-26.77305556	5.11.1999
6	-72.71	-26.99361111	16.10.1999	52 day	-109.4283333	-27.08972222	3.11.1999
7	-73.6238889	-27.01444444	17.10.1999	52 night	-109.43	-27.09027778	3.11.1999
8	-74.5930556	-27.00111111	17.10.1999	53 day	-109.4644444	-27.14944444	3.11.1999
9	-76.0911111	-27.06027778	18.10.1999	53 night	-109.4644444	-27.14888889	2.11.1999
10	-77.5813889	-27.00333333	18.10.1999	54 day	-109.4283333	-27.22638889	1.11.1999
11	-79.0847222	-27.00194444	19.10.1999	54 night	-109.4255556	-27.22638889	1.11.1999
12	-80.5797222	-26.99611111	20.10.1999	55	-109.4883333	-27.225	3.11.1999
13	-82.0847222	-27.00222222	20.10.1999	56	-109.5466667	-27.26777778	3.11.1999
14	-83.5625	-27.01166667	20.10.1999	57	-109.6161111	-27.32055556	3.11.1999
15	-85.0613889	-27.00388889	21.10.1999	58	-109.7338889	-27.405	3.11.1999
16	-86.5538889	-27.00583333	21.10.1999	59	-108.9994444	-27.15638889	7.11.1999
17	-88.0791667	-27.01472222	22.10.1999	60	-108.5030556	-27.06166667	11.11.1999
18	-89.5672222	-27.02333333	22.10.1999	61	-108.0086111	-26.97138889	7.11.1999
19	-91.0691667	-27.00027778	23.10.1999	62	-107.5086111	-26.87638889	10.11.1999
20	-92.6027778	-26.99583333	23.10.1999	63	-107.0208333	-26.78694444	7.11.1999
21	-94.0969444	-27.00222222	24.10.1999	64	-106.5244444	-26.68611111	10.11.1999
22	-95.6261111	-27.00666667	24.10.1999	65	-105.9886111	-26.53333333	7.11.1999
23	-97.0986111	-26.99861111	25.10.1999	66	-105.5822222	-26.49833333	8.11.1999
24	-98.6475	-27.00638889	25.10.1999	67	-105.3638889	-26.49111111	10.11.1999
25	-100.0655556	-27.06722222	25.10.1999	68	-105.3655556	-26.51805556	9.11.1999
26	-101.6008333	-26.99833333	26.10.1999	69	-105.3611111	-26.56861111	9.11.1999
27	-103.0958333	-27.01027778	26.10.1999	70	-105.3538889	-26.63888889	9.11.1999
28	-104.6052778	-26.99472222	27.10.1999	71	-105.3441667	-26.47055556	8.11.1999
29	-106.1011111	-26.99888889	27.10.1999	72	-105.3236111	-26.47861111	9.11.1999
30	-107.5833333	-26.99694444	28.10.1999	73	-105.2616667	-26.46527778	9.11.1999
31	-108.2522222	-27.0025	28.10.1999	74	-105.2136111	-26.41305556	8.11.1999
32	-108.9144444	-26.99861111	28.10.1999	75	-105.3669444	-26.45333333	8.11.1999
33 day	-109.3655556	-27.21277778	1.11.1999	76	-105.3577778	-26.41805556	8.11.1999
33 night	-109.3555556	-27.20055556	1.11.1999	77	-105.3630556	-26.36805556	8.11.1999
34 day	-109.3138889	-27.1625	2.11.1999	78	-105.3580556	-26.30583333	8.11.1999
34 night	-109.3147222	-27.16416667	2.11.1999	79	-105.3886111	-26.46583333	9.11.1999
35	-109.2994444	-27.19527778	4.11.1999	80	-105.4213889	-26.46583333	9.11.1999
36	-109.2625	-27.24583333	4.11.1999	81	-105.4711111	-26.46833333	10.11.1999
37	-109.2122222	-27.31666667	4.11.1999	82	-105.5538889	-26.47055556	10.11.1999
38	-109.1386111	-27.43472222	4.11.1999				
39 day	-109.2377778	-27.16111111	2.11.1999				
39 night	-109.2408333	-27.15277778	2.11.1999				
40 day	-109.2108333	-27.10583333	2.11.1999				
40 night	-109.2111111	-27.10805556	2.11.1999				
41 day	-109.2866667	-27.06916667	2.11.1999				
41 night	-109.2794444	-27.07222222	2.11.1999				
42	-109.2555556	-27.05	4.11.1999				
43	-109.2047222	-27.00833333	4.11.1999				
44	-109.1302778	-26.95555556	4.11.1999				
45	-109.0127778	-26.88333333	4.11.1999				
46 day	-109.3411111	-27.04333333	2.11.1999				
46 night	-109.3416667	-27.03888889	3.11.1999				
47 day	-109.3972222	-27.04222222	2.11.1999				




Figure S1. a) Diatom species richness, b) richness of diatom species in the genera *Rhizosolenia* and *Hemiaulus*, some of which may contain N_2 -fixing endosymbionts, c) dinoflagellate species richness.




Figure S2. Similarity dendrogram (Bray-Curtis) of diatom species occurrence sampled at all stations of CIMAR-5.




Figure S3. Similarity dendrogram (Bray-Curtis) of dinoflagellate species occurrence sampled at all stations of CIMAR-5.




Figure S4. Nitrate and nitrite data from the WOCE P18 line sampled in 2007. Deeper samples are off scale. Note the increase in nitrate to 0.3 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ at the surface near the crossing of the Salas y Gómez/Nazca ridge.