



Latin American Journal of Aquatic
Research

E-ISSN: 0718-560X

lajar@ucv.cl

Pontificia Universidad Católica de
Valparaíso
Chile

Montoya-Martínez, Cynthia; Nolasco-Soria, Héctor; Carrillo-Farnés, Olimpia; Civera-Cerecedo, Roberto; Álvarez-González, Carlos; Vega-Villasante, Fernando
Chemical score of different protein sources to four *Macrobrachium* species
Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research, vol. 44, núm. 4, septiembre, 2016, pp. 835-844
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso
Valparaíso, Chile

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=175047564019>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

Research Article

Chemical score of different protein sources to four *Macrobrachium* species

Cynthia Montoya-Martínez¹, Héctor Nolasco-Soria², Olimpia Carrillo-Farnés³

Roberto Civera-Cerecedo², Carlos Álvarez-González⁴ & Fernando Vega-Villasante¹

¹Laboratorio de Calidad de Agua y Acuicultura Experimental, Centro de Investigaciones Costeras
Universidad de Guadalajara, Puerto Vallarta, Jalisco, México

²Programa de Acuicultura, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste
S.C., La Paz, B.C.S., México

³Facultad de Biología, Universidad de La Habana, Cuba

⁴Laboratorio de Acuicultura Tropical, División Académica de Ciencias Biológicas
Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, México

Corresponding author: Fernando Vega (fernandovega.villasante@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT. Food production for aquaculture requires finding other protein sources or ingredients as potential alternatives in the formulation of aquaculture feeds, due to the shortage and high price of protein sources that are most commonly used. The aim of this analysis was to evaluate the relationship between the essential amino acids in 13 types of proteins available in the market with the essential amino acids found in the muscle of four of the most important farmed prawn species of the genus *Macrobrachium* (*M. amazonicum*, *M. rosenbergii*, *M. americanum* and *M. tenellum*). The results obtained showed the limiting amino acids of each ingredient for each species, thereby allowing for formulation of commercial foods that meet the nutritional needs to support optimal growth of these prawns in culture. In conclusion, there is a difference in the amino acids most often present as first limiting between sources of animal and plant origin. Thereby, it is possible evaluate complementarities between these sources to achieve an amino acids profile close to that of *Macrobrachium* species.

Keywords: *Macrobrachium*, prawns, crustacean, amino acid, protein, nutrition.

Índice de aminoácidos esenciales de diferentes fuentes proteicas para cuatro especies de *Macrobrachium*

RESUMEN. La producción de alimentos para la acuicultura requiere la búsqueda de otras fuentes o ingredientes proteínicos como alternativas potenciales en la formulación de piensos para la acuicultura, debido a la escasez y alto precio de las fuentes de proteínas utilizadas con mayor frecuencia. El objetivo de este análisis fue evaluar la relación entre los aminoácidos esenciales de 13 tipos de proteínas disponibles en el mercado con aminoácidos esenciales presentes en el músculo de cuatro de las más importantes especies de langostinos cultivadas del género *Macrobrachium* (*M. amazonicum*, *M. rosenbergii*, *M. americanum* y *M. tenellum*). Los resultados obtenidos muestran los aminoácidos limitantes de cada ingrediente para cada especie, lo que permite la formulación de alimentos comerciales que satisfagan las necesidades nutricionales para apoyar el crecimiento óptimo de estos langostinos en cultivo. En conclusión, existe una diferencia entre los aminoácidos que con más frecuencia se presentan como primer limitante entre las fuentes de origen animal y vegetal. De este modo, es posible evaluar complementaciones entre estas fuentes para lograr un perfil de aminoácidos cercano al de las especies de *Macrobrachium*.

Palabras clave: *Macrobrachium*, langostinos, crustáceos, aminoácidos, proteínas, nutrición.

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, there has been an emphasis on using native species in aquaculture (Portella *et al.*, 2013); however the commercial production of freshwater prawns remains an opportunity that has not been exploited in most countries of Latin America. Within native American species with aquaculture potential, the highlight is the genus *Macrobrachium*.

Feeding is vital for profitable prawn farming, as this may constitute 40 to 60% of production costs. The protein level in commercial feeds is one of the most important nutritional parameters (Vega-Villasante *et al.*, 2011), and its optimal use allows proper feed utilization by cultured species and minimizes nutrient losses to the environment. Good handling of feeds prevents them from becoming a source of contaminants with adverse effects on the aquatic environment (Terrazas *et al.*, 2010).

In nutrition, the biological value of a protein depends mainly on its composition of essential amino acids (EAA) (Espinosa-Chaurand *et al.*, 2013), knowing this composition, it is possible to predict, within certain limits, its performance in the animal organism (Suárez *et al.*, 2006). Several studies have been performed using the amino acid profile of the muscle from animals to predict the animal's protein requirements (Guilherme *et al.*, 2007; Yamasaki, 2012; Portella *et al.*, 2013).

Studies have shown that crustaceans generally require the same 10 EAA: arginine (Arg), histidine (His), isoleucine (Ile), leucine (Leu), lysine (Lys), methionine (Met), phenylalanine (Phe), threonine (Thr), tryptophan (Trp) and valine (Val). However, virtually no research has been conducted on the essential amino acid requirements of prawn species of the genus *Macrobrachium*, except for *M. rosenbergii* (Roustaian *et al.*, 2000). As a result, diets designed for native species of the entire genus *Macrobrachium* have been adapted based on *M. rosenbergii* or penaeid shrimp diets, with little empirical evidence in place.

To date, the formulated feed industry has not thoroughly relied on advances in biological/physiological aquaculture studies, have not been adopted by the formulated feed industries; this has promoted the use of empirical formulas that lack basic requirements to meet the demands of the cultured organisms (Ramírez *et al.*, 2010). The source of animal protein commonly used in the feed industry is fish meal, therefore its high demand, low availability and high cost (Glencross *et al.*, 2007; Guilherme *et al.*, 2007; Espinosa-Chaurand *et al.*, 2013).

Alternative sources for proteins have been used widely for mammals and poultry feedstuffs, but few data are available on their use for aquaculture species, data are lacking on the inclusion levels of these ingredients in feed, their impact on cost and their biochemical quality. Consequently, researches are needed to understand and evaluate the alternative sources of protein derived from agro industrial by-products or other organisms potential that will substitute fully or partially for fish meal (García-Galano *et al.*, 2007; Glencross *et al.*, 2007).

It is accepted that the amino acid profile of food proteins provides an important perspective of nutritional value, but imprecise and uncertain accuracy of analytical procedures and the hydrolysis method used can give variations in amino acid data for the regulation of protein quality. Also, because the information gap regarding the nutritional requirements of the prawn species of the genus *Macrobrachium* (except for *M. rosenbergii*), this review documents used the values reported in different studies published on the amino acid composition of four species of *Macrobrachium*, of different sizes obtained with different experimental circumstances, but were not compared. Therefore, the objective of this work was to analyze the proportion the composition of amino acids in the muscle of four species of prawn of the genus *Macrobrachium* with the amino acid content of ingredients that are used in formulated feeds. The three-fold purpose was to evaluate their amino acids scores, to establish the amino acids that limit the quality of these sources in feeds, and to suggest the possibility of complementation among protein sources.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To conduct this analysis, four species of the genus *Macrobrachium* with known information about the amino acid composition of abdominal muscle were selected. The following amino acid compositions were used: *M. amazonicum* postlarvae reported by Portella *et al.* (2013) (obtained with an ion exchange amino acid analyzer); *M. rosenbergii* larvae (Roustaian *et al.*, 2000) (obtained by high resolution liquid chromatography in reverse phase); juveniles of *M. rosenbergii* (Tidwell *et al.*, 1998) and *M. americanum* (Yamasaki, 2012), and adults of *M. tenellum* (Espinosa *et al.*, 2013) (obtained by high resolution liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection).

Thirteen protein sources (animal, vegetable and unicellular origin) were selected that are commonly used in the feed industry, or that could be considered as partial substitutes for ingredients normally used. Data from plant-based ingredients and egg were obtained

from USDA food composition tables (2014). Protein sources were: sorghum meal (nutrient key number 20648), wheat meal (nutrient key number 20080), soybean meal (nutrient key number 16419), *Spirulina* (nutrient key number 11667), baking yeast (nutrient key number 18375) and whole egg dried (nutrient key number 1173). The data of ingredients of animal origin were obtained from data sheets published by the company Proteínas Marinas y Agropecuarias, S.A. de C.V. (<http://www.protmagro.com/productos.htm>): squid meal, shrimp meal, fish meal (I), standard poultry meal, pork meal and hydrolyzed feather meal. The composition of fish meal (II) was obtained from García-Galano *et al.* (2007), and the composition of *Artemia nauplii* from García-Ortega *et al.* (1998).

Chemical score (CS) calculations of protein were performed according to Block & Mitchell (1946) by dividing the content of EAA of the tested protein, between the amino acid content in the reference protein, using the amino acid composition of prawns muscles as reference protein. The lower ratio indicates the limiting amino acid of the protein source, and therefore its CS. Values less than 1 show the limiting amino acids, the lowest CS value is the first amino acid limiting of protein source.

RESULTS

The EAA compositions of the used ingredients are in Table 1. The estimated CS of the protein ingredients, taken as reference to the amino acid profile of *M. amazonicum* postlarvae (Tables 2, 3) shows that tryptophan is most frequently presented as the first and second limiting amino acid in the studied sources, followed by lysine; may be considered as the limiting amino acids of the protein quality of the evaluated ingredients for feeding this species.

For ingredients evaluated for larvae of *M. rosenbergii*, the limiting essential amino acids are aromatic amino acids (Trp and Phe) and histidine (Tables 2, 4).

In juveniles of *M. rosenbergii* and *M. americanum* (Tables 2, 5, 6) it is noted that basic amino acids (Arg, His and Lys) are most frequently presented as the first or second limiting amino acids of protein from any source, followed by methionine. Same as in *M. tenellum* (Tables 2, 7) basic amino acids, threonine and methionine are also presented as a first limiting amino acid in most evaluated sources.

DISCUSSION

For crustaceans, protein is essential for growth and development as it provides energy and is needed for the

production of hormones, antibodies, enzymes and tissues (Bhavan *et al.*, 2010).

Whole egg, *Artemia* and *Spirulina* show a closer profile to the amino acid requirements of *M. amazonicum* postlarvae (Table 3). In animal protein sources, the highlight is shrimp meal, which is consistent with that reported by García-Galano *et al.* (2007), who mentioned that the integration of shrimp meal to food for penaeid shrimp has a positive effect on growth due to its excellent profile of amino acids, in addition to its attractant power.

Of the ingredients evaluated for larvae of *M. rosenbergii* (Table 4), the only ingredient that covers all requirements of amino acids is the whole egg, followed by *Spirulina* and *Artemia*, which support their use in larval production.

As noted earlier, massive larval rearing of aquatic organisms, particularly shrimp, still depends on live food (microalgae and *Artemia nauplii*), but the high production costs, contamination risks in farms and variations of its nutritional value of live foods motivate a search for alternative artificial foods (including the use of dried seaweed, microparticulate diets, micro-encapsulated, yeast and different species of non-pathogenic bacteria) to replace partially or totally natural food (Jaime-Ceballos, 2006). In this sense, Santos-Gutiérrez *et al.* (2011) evaluated a semi wet product as a food supplement, formulated with chicken egg and fresh squid as protein sources and found that this experimental food can be used as a partial supplement of *Artemia nauplii* in the production of *M. rosenbergii* larvae. Also, Bhavan *et al.* (2010) determined the growth yield of *M. rosenbergii* postlarvae fed with enriched *Artemia* with *Spirulina* and yeast and found that the two ingredients produced favorable results, but *Spirulina* produced higher growth than yeast; therefore, both *Spirulina* and yeast can be used as supplements in feed management practices.

For juveniles of *M. rosenbergii* (Table 5), *Spirulina*, yeast and soybean meal resulted in the best quality for the species. Considering this, Prasad *et al.* (2013) suggested that foods added with 0.5% *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast are suitable to promote growth of *M. rosenbergii* postlarvae. Similarly, Parmar *et al.* (2012) demonstrated that the incorporation of 1% brewer's yeast in the diet improve the immune response and control white muscle disease in *M. rosenbergii*. Also for this species, it is observed that the amino acid profiles of soybean meal fit better than fishmeal, which coincides with Hasanuzzaman *et al.* (2009), who reported higher weights and better protein efficiency ratio and feed conversion when 80% of fish meal was replaced by soybean meal in the diet of juveniles. Regarding the squid meal that showed low values of

Table 1. Profile of essential amino acid (EAA) of protein ingredients used (g amino acids per 100 g protein). *I Proteínas Marinas y Agropecuarias, S.A. de C.V.; II García-Galano *et al.* (2007); III USDA; IV García-Ortega *et al.* (1998).

EAA	Squid meal ^I	Shrimp meal ^I	Fish meal ^I	Fish meal ^{II}	Poultry meal ^I	Feather meal ^I	Whole egg dried ^{III}	Artemia ^{IV}	Sorghum meal ^{III}	Wheat meal ^{III}	Soybean meal ^{III}	Spirulina dried ^{III}	Yeast ^{III}
Arg	4.66	6.80	3.73	6.5	5.83	6.51	40.48	6.80	3.91	4.91	7.09	7.22	5.02
His	1.43	2.30	1.53	3.3	2.17	1.70	16.79	2.50	1.98	2.70	2.46	1.89	2.25
Ile	2.60	6.30	3.64	4.5	1.29	4.12	42.03	4.70	3.67	3.35	4.43	5.58	4.67
Leu	4.77	6.80	4.69	7.2	3.80	7.12	62.73	6.50	12.87	6.80	7.44	8.61	7.22
Lys	4.42	9.30	5.17	7.2	5.61	2.10	50.60	7.30	2.06	2.72	6.08	5.26	8.11
Met	1.80	1.70	1.72	2.7	1.13	0.53	25.60	2.30	1.72	1.73	1.23	2.00	1.46
Phe	2.37	4.70	2.68	4.1	2.07	4.30	43.45	3.90	5.23	5.16	4.77	4.83	4.33
Thr	2.63	4.30	2.49	4.3	2.25	4.15	33.81	4.30	3.70	2.78	3.97	5.17	4.92
Trp	-	0.60	0.67	1	-	0.30	9.17	1.20	1.26	1.32	1.33	1.62	1.34
Val	2.83	6.90	3.26	5.3	2.01	5.50	47.38	4.90	4.59	4.27	4.56	6.11	5.71
% PC	73-75	49	58-60	61	60-64	80	81	56	8	15	47	57	40

Table 2. First and second limiting EAA of each protein ingredients evaluated for *Macrobrachium* species. *1 first limiting EAA, 2 second limiting EAA. - not limiting EAA.

Species	Sources												
	Animal origin						Vegetal origin						
	Squid meal	Shrimp meal	Fish meal ^I	Fish meal ^{II}	Poultry meal	Feather meal	Whole egg dried	Artemia	Sorghum meal	Wheat meal	Soybean meal	Spirulina dried	Yeast
Postlarvae	1 0.47 (Lys)	0.15 (Trp)	0.16 (Trp)	0.24 (Trp)	0.28 (Ile)	0.07 (Trp)	0.28 (Trp)	0.29 (Trp)	0.22 (Lys)	0.29 (Lys)	0.32 (Trp)	0.39 (Trp)	0.33 (Trp)
<i>M. amazonicum</i>	2 0.57 (His, Ile, Leu)	0.82 (Leu)	0.46 (Arg)	0.76 (Lys)	0.45 (Val)	0.22 (Lys)	0.73 (Arg)	0.77 (Lys)	0.31 (Trp)	0.32 (Trp)	0.64 (Lys)	0.55 (Lys)	0.62 (Arg)
Larvae	1 0.22 (Phe)	0.40 (Trp)	0.25 (Phe)	0.39 (Phe)	0.20 (Phe)	0.20 (Trp)	-	0.37 (Phe)	0.26 (Lys)	0.35 (Lys)	0.45 (Phe)	0.46 (Phe)	0.41 (Phe)
<i>M. rosenbergii</i>	2 0.41 (His)	0.44 (Phe)	0.44 (His)	0.67 (Trp)	0.26 (Ile)	0.24 (Met)	-	0.71 (His)	0.49 (Phe)	0.49 (Phe)	0.56 (Met)	0.54 (His)	0.64 (His)
Juvenile	1 0.38 (His)	0.61 (His)	0.41 (His)	0.82 (Arg)	0.30 (Ile)	0.20 (Met)	-	-	0.26 (Lys)	0.35 (Lys)	0.46 (Met)	0.50 (His)	0.55 (Met)
<i>M. rosenbergii</i>	2 0.55 (Phe)	0.64 (Met)	0.47 (Arg)	0.88 (His)	0.42 (Met)	0.27 (Lys)	-	-	0.50 (Arg)	0.62 (Arg)	0.66 (His)	0.67 (Lys)	0.60 (His)
Juvenile	1 0.55 (Arg)	0.72 (Met)	0.44 (Arg)	0.77 (Arg)	0.33 (Ile)	0.22 (Met)	-	-	0.30 (Lys)	0.39 (Lys)	0.52 (Met)	0.75 (Lys)	0.60 (Arg)
<i>M. americanum</i>	2 0.63 (Lys)	0.81 (Arg)	0.73 (Leu, Met)	-	0.48 (Met)	0.3 (Lys)	-	-	0.47 (Arg)	0.58 (Arg)	0.84 (Met)	0.85 (Met)	0.62 (Met)
Adults	1 0.44 (Thr)	0.59 (Met)	0.41 (Thr)	0.71 (Thr)	0.32 (Ile)	0.18 (Met)	-	-	0.22 (Lys)	0.28 (Arg)	0.43 (Met)	0.55 (Met)	0.51 (Met)
<i>M. tenellum</i>	2 0.46 (Lys)	0.71 (Thr)	0.52 (Arg)	0.75 (Lys)	0.37 (Thr)	0.22 (Lys)	-	-	0.55 (Arg)	0.46 (Thr)	0.63 (Lys)	0.69 (Met)	0.71 (Arg)

Table 3. Protein profile EAA (g amino acid per 100 g protein) of *M. amazonicum* postlarvae muscle (Portella *et al.*, 2013) and chemical score of protein ingredients. *Limiting amino acid; 1 first limiting; 2 second limiting; 3 third limiting; 4 fourth limiting; 5 fifth limiting; 6 sixth limiting; 7 seventh limiting; 8 eighth limiting; 9 ninth limiting; 10 tenth limiting; 11 eleventh limiting; 12 twelfth limiting; 13 thirteenth limiting; 14 fourteenth limiting; 15 fifteenth limiting; 16 sixteenth limiting; 17 seventeenth limiting; 18 eighteenth limiting; 19 nineteenth limiting; 20 twentieth limiting; 21 twenty-first limiting; 22 twenty-second limiting; 23 twenty-third limiting; 24 twenty-fourth limiting; 25 twenty-fifth limiting; 26 twenty-sixth limiting; 27 twenty-seventh limiting; 28 twenty-eighth limiting; 29 twenty-ninth limiting; 30 thirtieth limiting; 31 thirty-first limiting; 32 thirty-second limiting; 33 thirty-third limiting; 34 thirty-fourth limiting; 35 thirty-fifth limiting; 36 thirty-sixth limiting; 37 thirty-seventh limiting; 38 thirty-eighth limiting; 39 thirty-ninth limiting; 40 fortieth limiting; 41 forty-first limiting; 42 forty-second limiting; 43 forty-third limiting; 44 forty-fourth limiting; 45 forty-fifth limiting; 46 forty-sixth limiting; 47 forty-seventh limiting; 48 forty-eighth limiting; 49 forty-ninth limiting; 50 fiftieth limiting; 51 fifty-first limiting; 52 fifty-second limiting; 53 fifty-third limiting; 54 fifty-fourth limiting; 55 fifty-fifth limiting; 56 fifty-sixth limiting; 57 fifty-seventh limiting; 58 fifty-eighth limiting; 59 fifty-ninth limiting; 60 sixtieth limiting; 61 sixty-first limiting; 62 sixty-second limiting; 63 sixty-third limiting; 64 sixty-fourth limiting; 65 sixty-fifth limiting; 66 sixty-sixth limiting; 67 sixty-seventh limiting; 68 sixty-eighth limiting; 69 sixty-ninth limiting; 70 seventieth limiting; 71 seventy-first limiting; 72 seventy-second limiting; 73 seventy-third limiting; 74 seventy-fourth limiting; 75 seventy-fifth limiting; 76 seventy-sixth limiting; 77 seventy-seventh limiting; 78 seventy-eighth limiting; 79 seventy-ninth limiting; 80 eightieth limiting; 81 eighty-first limiting; 82 eighty-second limiting; 83 eighty-third limiting; 84 eighty-fourth limiting; 85 eighty-fifth limiting; 86 eighty-sixth limiting; 87 eighty-seventh limiting; 88 eighty-eighth limiting; 89 eighty-ninth limiting; 90 ninetieth limiting; 91 ninety-first limiting; 92 ninety-second limiting; 93 ninety-third limiting; 94 ninety-fourth limiting; 95 ninety-fifth limiting; 96 ninety-sixth limiting; 97 ninety-seventh limiting; 98 ninety-eighth limiting; 99 ninety-ninth limiting; 100 hundredth limiting; 101 one hundred and first limiting; 102 one hundred and second limiting; 103 one hundred and third limiting; 104 one hundred and fourth limiting; 105 one hundred and fifth limiting; 106 one hundred and sixth limiting; 107 one hundred and seventh limiting; 108 one hundred and eighth limiting; 109 one hundred and ninth limiting; 110 one hundred and tenth limiting; 111 one hundred and eleventh limiting; 112 one hundred and twelfth limiting; 113 one hundred and thirteenth limiting; 114 one hundred and fourteenth limiting; 115 one hundred and fifteenth limiting; 116 one hundred and sixteenth limiting; 117 one hundred and seventeenth limiting; 118 one hundred and eighteenth limiting; 119 one hundred and nineteenth limiting; 120 one hundred and twentieth limiting; 121 one hundred and twenty-first limiting; 122 one hundred and twenty-second limiting; 123 one hundred and twenty-third limiting; 124 one hundred and twenty-fourth limiting; 125 one hundred and twenty-fifth limiting; 126 one hundred and twenty-sixth limiting; 127 one hundred and twenty-seventh limiting; 128 one hundred and twenty-eighth limiting; 129 one hundred and twenty-ninth limiting; 130 one hundred and thirtieth limiting; 131 one hundred and thirty-first limiting; 132 one hundred and thirty-second limiting; 133 one hundred and thirty-third limiting; 134 one hundred and thirty-fourth limiting; 135 one hundred and thirty-fifth limiting; 136 one hundred and thirty-sixth limiting; 137 one hundred and thirty-seventh limiting; 138 one hundred and thirty-eighth limiting; 139 one hundred and thirty-ninth limiting; 140 one hundred and fortieth limiting; 141 one hundred and forty-first limiting; 142 one hundred and forty-second limiting; 143 one hundred and forty-third limiting; 144 one hundred and forty-fourth limiting; 145 one hundred and forty-fifth limiting; 146 one hundred and forty-sixth limiting; 147 one hundred and forty-seventh limiting; 148 one hundred and forty-eighth limiting; 149 one hundred and forty-ninth limiting; 150 one hundred and fiftieth limiting; 151 one hundred and fifty-first limiting; 152 one hundred and fifty-second limiting; 153 one hundred and fifty-third limiting; 154 one hundred and fifty-fourth limiting; 155 one hundred and fifty-fifth limiting; 156 one hundred and fifty-sixth limiting; 157 one hundred and fifty-seventh limiting; 158 one hundred and fifty-eighth limiting; 159 one hundred and fifty-ninth limiting; 160 one hundred and sixtieth limiting; 161 one hundred and sixty-first limiting; 162 one hundred and sixty-second limiting; 163 one hundred and sixty-third limiting; 164 one hundred and sixty-fourth limiting; 165 one hundred and sixty-fifth limiting; 166 one hundred and sixty-sixth limiting; 167 one hundred and sixty-seventh limiting; 168 one hundred and sixty-eighth limiting; 169 one hundred and sixty-ninth limiting; 170 one hundred and seventieth limiting; 171 one hundred and seventy-first limiting; 172 one hundred and seventy-second limiting; 173 one hundred and seventy-third limiting; 174 one hundred and seventy-fourth limiting; 175 one hundred and seventy-fifth limiting; 176 one hundred and seventy-sixth limiting; 177 one hundred and seventy-seventh limiting; 178 one hundred and seventy-eighth limiting; 179 one hundred and seventy-ninth limiting; 180 one hundred and eightieth limiting; 181 one hundred and eighty-first limiting; 182 one hundred and eighty-second limiting; 183 one hundred and eighty-third limiting; 184 one hundred and eighty-fourth limiting; 185 one hundred and eighty-fifth limiting; 186 one hundred and eighty-sixth limiting; 187 one hundred and eighty-seventh limiting; 188 one hundred and eighty-eighth limiting; 189 one hundred and eighty-ninth limiting; 190 one hundred and ninetieth limiting; 191 one hundred and ninety-first limiting; 192 one hundred and ninety-second limiting; 193 one hundred and ninety-third limiting; 194 one hundred and ninety-fourth limiting; 195 one hundred and ninety-fifth limiting; 196 one hundred and ninety-sixth limiting; 197 one hundred and ninety-seventh limiting; 198 one hundred and ninety-eighth limiting; 199 one hundred and ninety-ninth limiting; 200 two hundredth limiting; 201 two hundred and first limiting; 202 two hundred and second limiting; 203 two hundred and third limiting; 204 two hundred and fourth limiting; 205 two hundred and fifth limiting; 206 two hundred and sixth limiting; 207 two hundred and seventh limiting; 208 two hundred and eighth limiting; 209 two hundred and ninth limiting; 210 two hundred and tenth limiting; 211 two hundred and eleventh limiting; 212 two hundred and twelfth limiting; 213 two hundred and thirteenth limiting; 214 two hundred and fourteenth limiting; 215 two hundred and fifteenth limiting; 216 two hundred and sixteenth limiting; 217 two hundred and seventeenth limiting; 218 two hundred and eighteenth limiting; 219 two hundred and nineteenth limiting; 220 two hundred and twentieth limiting; 221 two hundred and twenty-first limiting; 222 two hundred and twenty-second limiting; 223 two hundred and twenty-third limiting; 224 two hundred and twenty-fourth limiting; 225 two hundred and twenty-fifth limiting; 226 two hundred and twenty-sixth limiting; 227 two hundred and twenty-seventh limiting; 228 two hundred and twenty-eighth limiting; 229 two hundred and twenty-ninth limiting; 230 two hundred and thirtieth limiting; 231 two hundred and thirty-first limiting; 232 two hundred and thirty-second limiting; 233 two hundred and thirty-third limiting; 234 two hundred and thirty-fourth limiting; 235 two hundred and thirty-fifth limiting; 236 two hundred and thirty-sixth limiting; 237 two hundred and thirty-seventh limiting; 238 two hundred and thirty-eighth limiting; 239 two hundred and thirty-ninth limiting; 240 two hundred and fortieth limiting; 241 two hundred and forty-first limiting; 242 two hundred and forty-second limiting; 243 two hundred and forty-third limiting; 244 two hundred and forty-fourth limiting; 245 two hundred and forty-fifth limiting; 246 two hundred and forty-sixth limiting; 247 two hundred and forty-seventh limiting; 248 two hundred and forty-eighth limiting; 249 two hundred and forty-ninth limiting; 250 two hundred and fiftieth limiting; 251 two hundred and fifty-first limiting; 252 two hundred and fifty-second limiting; 253 two hundred and fifty-third limiting; 254 two hundred and fifty-fourth limiting; 255 two hundred and fifty-fifth limiting; 256 two hundred and fifty-sixth limiting; 257 two hundred and fifty-seventh limiting; 258 two hundred and fifty-eighth limiting; 259 two hundred and fifty-ninth limiting; 260 two hundred and sixtieth limiting; 261 two hundred and sixty-first limiting; 262 two hundred and sixty-second limiting; 263 two hundred and sixty-third limiting; 264 two hundred and sixty-fourth limiting; 265 two hundred and sixty-fifth limiting; 266 two hundred and sixty-sixth limiting; 267 two hundred and sixty-seventh limiting; 268 two hundred and sixty-eighth limiting; 269 two hundred and sixty-ninth limiting; 270 two hundred and seventieth limiting; 271 two hundred and seventy-first limiting; 272 two hundred and seventy-second limiting; 273 two hundred and seventy-third limiting; 274 two hundred and seventy-fourth limiting; 275 two hundred and seventy-fifth limiting; 276 two hundred and seventy-sixth limiting; 277 two hundred and seventy-seventh limiting; 278 two hundred and seventy-eighth limiting; 279 two hundred and seventy-ninth limiting; 280 two hundred and eightieth limiting; 281 two hundred and eighty-first limiting; 282 two hundred and eighty-second limiting; 283 two hundred and eighty-third limiting; 284 two hundred and eighty-fourth limiting; 285 two hundred and eighty-fifth limiting; 286 two hundred and eighty-sixth limiting; 287 two hundred and eighty-seventh limiting; 288 two hundred and eighty-eighth limiting; 289 two hundred and eighty-ninth limiting; 290 two hundred and ninetieth limiting; 291 two hundred and ninety-first limiting; 292 two hundred and ninety-second limiting; 293 two hundred and ninety-third limiting; 294 two hundred and ninety-fourth limiting; 295 two hundred and ninety-fifth limiting; 296 two hundred and ninety-sixth limiting; 297 two hundred and ninety-seventh limiting; 298 two hundred and ninety-eighth limiting; 299 two hundred and ninety-ninth limiting; 300 three hundredth limiting; 301 three hundred and first limiting; 302 three hundred and second limiting; 303 three hundred and third limiting; 304 three hundred and fourth limiting; 305 three hundred and fifth limiting; 306 three hundred and sixth limiting; 307 three hundred and seventh limiting; 308 three hundred and eighth limiting; 309 three hundred and ninth limiting; 310 three hundred and tenth limiting; 311 three hundred and eleventh limiting; 312 three hundred and twelfth limiting; 313 three hundred and thirteenth limiting; 314 three hundred and fourteenth limiting; 315 three hundred and fifteenth limiting; 316 three hundred and sixteenth limiting; 317 three hundred and seventeenth limiting; 318 three hundred and eighteenth limiting; 319 three hundred and nineteenth limiting; 320 three hundred and twentieth limiting; 321 three hundred and twenty-first limiting; 322 three hundred and twenty-second limiting; 323 three hundred and twenty-third limiting; 324 three hundred and twenty-fourth limiting; 325 three hundred and twenty-fifth limiting; 326 three hundred and twenty-sixth limiting; 327 three hundred and twenty-seventh limiting; 328 three hundred and twenty-eighth limiting; 329 three hundred and twenty-ninth limiting; 330 three hundred and thirtieth limiting; 331 three hundred and thirty-first limiting; 332 three hundred and thirty-second limiting; 333 three hundred and thirty-third limiting; 334 three hundred and thirty-fourth limiting; 335 three hundred and thirty-fifth limiting; 336 three hundred and thirty-sixth limiting; 337 three hundred and thirty-seventh limiting; 338 three hundred and thirty-eighth limiting; 339 three hundred and thirty-ninth limiting; 340 three hundred and fortieth limiting; 341 three hundred and forty-first limiting; 342 three hundred and forty-second limiting; 343 three hundred and forty-third limiting; 344 three hundred and forty-fourth limiting; 345 three hundred and forty-fifth limiting; 346 three hundred and forty-sixth limiting; 347 three hundred and forty-seventh limiting; 348 three hundred and forty-eighth limiting; 349 three hundred and forty-ninth limiting; 350 three hundred and fiftieth limiting; 351 three hundred and fifty-first limiting; 352 three hundred and fifty-second limiting; 353 three hundred and fifty-third limiting; 354 three hundred and fifty-fourth limiting; 355 three hundred and fifty-fifth limiting; 356 three hundred and fifty-sixth limiting; 357 three hundred and fifty-seventh limiting; 358 three hundred and fifty-eighth limiting; 359 three hundred and fifty-ninth limiting; 360 three hundred and sixtieth limiting; 361 three hundred and sixty-first limiting; 362 three hundred and sixty-second limiting; 363 three hundred and sixty-third limiting; 364 three hundred and sixty-fourth limiting; 365 three hundred and sixty-fifth limiting; 366 three hundred and sixty-sixth limiting; 367 three hundred and sixty-seventh limiting; 368 three hundred and sixty-eighth limiting; 369 three hundred and sixty-ninth limiting; 370 three hundred and seventieth limiting; 371 three hundred and seventy-first limiting; 372 three hundred and seventy-second limiting; 373 three hundred and seventy-third limiting; 374 three hundred and seventy-fourth limiting; 375 three hundred and seventy-fifth limiting; 376 three hundred and seventy-sixth limiting; 377 three hundred and seventy-seventh limiting; 378 three hundred and seventy-eighth limiting; 379 three hundred and seventy-ninth limiting; 380 three hundred and eightieth limiting; 381 three hundred and eighty-first limiting; 382 three hundred and eighty-second limiting; 383 three hundred and eighty-third limiting; 384 three hundred and eighty-fourth limiting; 385 three hundred and eighty-fifth limiting; 386 three hundred and eighty-sixth limiting; 387 three hundred and eighty-seventh limiting; 388 three hundred and eighty-eighth limiting; 3

<i>Macrobrachium amazonicum</i>	Chemical score												
	Squid meal	Shrimp meal	Fish meal ^I	Fish meal ^{II}	Poultry meal	Feather meal	Whole egg dried	<i>Artemia</i>	Sorghum meal	Wheat meal	Soybean meal	<i>Spirulina</i> dried	Yeast
Arg	8.10	0.58* ³	0.46* ²	0.80* ³	0.72	0.80	0.73* ²	0.84	0.48* ³	0.61* ³	0.87* ³	0.89	0.62* ²
His	2.50	0.57* ²	0.61	1.32	0.87	0.68	1.06	1.00	0.79	1.08	0.99	0.76* ³	0.90
Ile	4.60	0.57* ²	0.79	0.98	0.28* ¹	0.90	1.32	1.02	0.80	0.73	0.96	1.21	1.02
Leu	8.30	0.57* ²	0.57	0.87	0.46* ³	0.86	1.12	0.78* ³	1.55	0.82	0.90	1.04	0.87
Lys	9.50	0.47* ¹	0.54* ³	0.76* ²	0.59	0.22* ²	0.78* ³	0.77* ²	0.22* ¹	0.29* ¹	0.64* ²	0.55* ²	0.85* ³
Met	1.00	1.80	1.72	2.70	1.13	0.53* ³	3.66	2.30	1.72	1.73	1.23	2.00	1.46
Phe	3.90	0.61	0.69	1.05	0.53	1.10	1.61	1.00	1.34	1.32	1.22	1.24	1.11
Thr	4.10	0.64	0.61	1.05	0.55	1.01	1.00	1.05	0.90	0.68 *	0.97	1.26	1.20
Trp	1.20	0.15* ¹	0.16* ¹	0.24* ¹		0.07* ¹	0.28* ¹	0.29* ¹	0.31* ²	0.32* ²	0.32* ¹	0.39* ¹	0.33* ¹
Val	4.50	0.63	0.72	1.18	0.45* ²	1.22	1.65	1.09	1.02	0.95	1.01	1.36	1.27

Table 4. Protein profile EAA (g amino acid per 100 g protein) of *M. rosenbergii* larvae muscle (Roustaian *et al.*, 2000) and chemical score of protein ingredients. *Limiting amino acid; 1 first limiting; 2 second limiting; 3 third limiting. I Proteínas Marinas y Agropecuarias, S.A. de C.V; II García-Galano *et al.* (2007).

<i>Macrobrachium rosenbergii</i>	Chemical score												
	Squid meal	Shrimp meal	Fish meal ¹	Fish meal ¹¹	Poultry meal	Feather meal	Whole egg dried	<i>Artemia</i>	Sorghum meal	Wheat meal	Soybean meal	<i>Spirulina</i> dried	Yeast
Arg	7.50	0.62	0.91	0.50	0.87	0.78	5.40	0.91	0.52* ³	0.65	0.94	0.96	0.67
His	3.50	0.41* ²	0.66* ³	0.44* ²	0.94	0.62	4.80	0.71* ²	0.57	0.77	0.70* ³	0.54* ²	0.64* ²
Ile	4.90	0.53* ³	1.29	0.74	0.92	0.26* ²	8.58	0.96	0.75	0.68	0.90	1.14	0.95
Leu	8.70	0.55	0.78	0.54	0.83* ³	0.44	7.21	0.75* ³	1.48	0.78	0.86	0.99	0.83
Lys	7.80	0.57	1.19	0.66	0.92	0.72	6.49	0.94	0.26* ¹	0.35* ¹	0.78	0.67* ³	1.04
Met	2.20	0.82	0.77	0.78	1.23	0.51	11.63	1.05	0.78	0.78	0.56* ²	0.91	0.66* ³
Phe	10.60	0.22* ¹	0.44* ²	0.25* ¹	0.39* ¹	0.20* ¹	4.10	0.37* ¹	0.49* ²	0.49* ²	0.45* ¹	0.46* ¹	0.41* ¹
Thr	4.70	0.56	0.91	0.53	0.91	0.48	7.19	0.91	0.79	0.59* ³	0.84	1.10	1.05
Trp	1.50	0.40* ¹	0.45* ³	0.67* ²	0.20* ¹	0.88	6.11	0.80	0.84	0.88	0.88	1.08	0.89
Val	4.70	0.60	1.47	0.69	1.13	0.43* ³	10.08	1.04	0.98	0.91	0.97	1.30	1.22

Table 5. Protein profile EAA (g amino acid per 100 g protein) of *M. rosenbergii* juvenile muscle (Tidwell *et al.*, 1998) and chemical score of protein ingredients. *Limiting amino acid; 1 first limiting; 2 second limiting; 3 third limiting. I Proteínas Marinas y Agropecuarias, S.A. de C.V; II García-Galano *et al.* (2007).

<i>Macrobrachium</i>		Chemical score									
<i>rosenbergii</i>		Squid meal	Shrimp meal	Fish meal ^I	Fish meal ^{II}	Poultry meal	Feather meal	Sorghum meal	Wheat meal	Soybean meal	Spirulina dried
Arg	7.89	0.59 ^{*3}	0.86	0.47 ^{*2}	0.82 ^{*1}	0.74	0.83	0.50 ^{*2}	0.62 ^{*2}	0.90	0.91
His	3.76	0.38 ^{*1}	0.61 ^{*1}	0.41 ^{*1}	0.88 ^{*2}	0.58	0.45	0.53 ^{*3}	0.72	0.66 ^{*2}	0.50 ^{*1}
Ile	4.32	0.61	1.46	0.84	1.04	0.30 ^{*1}	0.95	0.85	0.78	1.03	1.29
Leu	7.77	0.61	0.88	0.60 ^{*3}	0.93	0.49	0.92	1.66	0.87	0.96	1.11
Lys	7.82	0.57	1.19	0.66	0.92	0.72	0.27 ^{*2}	0.26 ^{*1}	0.35 ^{*1}	0.78 ^{*3}	0.67 ^{*2}
Met	2.66	0.68	0.64 ^{*2}	0.65	1.02	0.42 ^{*2}	0.20 ^{*1}	0.65	0.65 ^{*3}	0.46 ^{*1}	0.75 ^{*3}
Phe	4.32	0.55 ^{*2}	1.09	0.62	0.95	0.48	1.00	1.21	1.20	1.10	1.12
Thr	3.98	0.66	1.08	0.63	1.08	0.57	1.04	0.93	0.70	1.00	1.30
Trp	0.93		0.65 ^{*3}	0.72	1.08		0.32 ^{*3}	1.35	1.42	1.43	1.74
Val	4.58	0.62	1.51	0.71	1.16	0.44 ^{*3}	1.20	1.00	0.93	1.00	1.33

Table 6. Protein profile EAA (g amino acid per 100 g protein) of *M. americanum* juvenile muscle (Yamasaki, 2012) and chemical score of protein ingredients. *Limiting amino acid; 1 first limiting; 2 second limiting; 3 third limiting. I Proteínas Marinas y Agropecuarias, S.A. de C.V; II García-Galano *et al.* (2007).

<i>Macrobrachium</i>		Chemical score									
<i>americanum</i>		Squid meal	Shrimp meal	Fish meal ^I	Fish meal ^{II}	Poultry meal	Feather meal	Sorghum meal	Wheat meal	Soybean meal	Spirulina dried
Arg	8.40	0.55 ^{*1}	0.81 ^{*2}	0.44 ^{*1}	0.77 ^{*1}	0.69	0.78 ^{*3}	0.47 ^{*2}	0.58 ^{*2}	0.84 ^{*2}	0.60 ^{*1}
His	2.03	0.70	1.13	0.75	1.63	1.07	0.84	0.98	1.33	1.21	0.93
Ile	3.87	0.67	1.63	0.94	1.16	0.33 ^{*1}	1.06	0.95	0.87	1.14	1.44
Leu	6.45	0.74	1.05	0.73 ^{*2}	1.12	0.59	1.10	2.00	1.05	1.15	1.33
Lys	6.99	0.63 ^{*2}	1.33	0.74 ^{*3}	1.03	0.80	0.30 ^{*2}	0.30 ^{*1}	0.39 ^{*1}	0.87 ^{*3}	0.75 ^{*1}
Met	2.36	0.76	0.72 ^{*1}	0.73 ^{*2}	1.14	0.48 ^{*2}	0.22 ^{*1}	0.73 ^{*3}	0.73 ^{*3}	0.52 ^{*1}	0.85 ^{*2}
Phe	3.47	0.68 ^{*3}	1.35	0.77	1.18	0.60	1.24	1.51	1.49	1.37	1.39
Thr	3.17	0.83	1.36	0.78	1.36	0.71	1.31	1.17	0.88	1.25	1.63
Val	4.07	0.70	1.70	0.80	1.30	0.49 ^{*3}	1.35	1.13	1.05	1.12	1.50

Table 7. Protein profile EAA (g amino acid per 100 g protein) of *M. tenellum* adults muscle (Espinosa *et al.*, 2013) and chemical score of protein ingredients. *Limiting amino acid; 1 first limiting; 2 second limiting; 3 third limiting. I Proteínas Marinas y Agropecuarias, S.A. de C.V; II García-Galano *et al.* (2007).

<i>Macrobrachium</i>	Chemical score										
	Squid meal	Shrimp meal	Fish meal ^I	Fish meal ^{II}	Poultry meal	Feather meal	Sorghum flour	Wheat flour	Soy meal	<i>Spirulina</i> dried	Yeast
<i>tenellum</i>											
Arg	7.11	0.66	0.52* ²	0.91	0.82	0.92	0.55* ²	0.69	1.00	1.01	0.71* ²
His	2.52	0.57	0.61	1.31	0.86	0.67	0.79	1.07	0.98	0.75* ³	0.89
Ile	4.04	0.64	0.90	1.11	0.32* ¹	1.02	0.91	0.83	1.10	1.38	1.16
Leu	8.08	0.59	0.58	0.89* ³	0.47	0.88	1.59	0.84	0.92	1.07	0.89
Lys	9.60	0.46* ²	0.97	0.75* ²	0.58	0.22* ²	0.22* ¹	0.28* ¹	0.63* ²	0.55* ¹	0.84
Met	2.88	0.63	0.60	0.94	0.39* ³	0.18* ¹	0.60* ³	0.60* ³	0.43* ¹	0.69* ²	0.51* ¹
Phe	4.39	0.54* ³	0.61	0.93	0.47	0.98	1.19	1.18	1.09	1.10	0.99
Thr	6.03	0.44* ¹	0.41* ¹	0.71* ¹	0.37* ²	0.69	0.61	0.46* ²	0.66* ³	0.86	0.82* ³
Trp	0.47		1.43	2.13		0.64* ³	2.68	2.80	2.82	3.44	2.84
Val	3.94	0.72	0.83	1.35	0.51	1.40	1.17	1.08	1.16	1.55	1.45

CS, our results are differ from those reported by Naik *et al.* (2001), who explained that squid meal could completely replace fishmeal without affecting growth for *M. rosenbergii* postlarvae.

The results for *M. americanum* juveniles (Table 6) show the similarity of the amino acid profile of this species with fish meal II, coinciding with EAA requirements for *Litopenaeus schmiti*, reported by Álvarez *et al.* (2004) and Fraga-Castro & Jaime-Ceballos (2011). These authors show that fishmeal in diets cover all EAA except arginine, meanwhile soybean meal satisfies more than half of the EAA, with methionine as first limiting. This suggests that soybean meal is an excellent protein for *M. americanum*, but it needs to be complemented with some meal of animal origin such as fish or shrimp to offset its amino acid deficiencies. It remains, necessary to establish an optimal level of inclusion.

In the case of *M. tenellum* (Table 7), soybean meal contains fewer EAA that do not fit the pattern of the muscle species, corresponding to the reported by García-Ulloa *et al.* (2008) in juvenile *M. tenellum* growth is not affected by replacing fishmeal by soybean meal up to 80%. For this reason, threonine is presented as first limiting and its incorporation is very important for diet design. This coincide with Espinosa-Chaurand *et al.* (2013) for this species, where fish meal presented threonine as the first limiting amino acid, and soybean meal presented methionine as first limiting. But our results differ from that reported for squid meal, which also found threonine as first limiting amino acid, meanwhile Espinosa-Chaurand *et al.* (2013) reported histidine as the first AA limited. Note that, they obtained values higher of CS for squid meal probably because, as discussed by Seligson & Mackey (1984), the calculation of CS and the prediction of the first limiting amino acid for a protein often differ because of the choice of data source and the reference pattern and may contradict data previously validated by bioassay.

Contrarily, fish meal provides high quality protein with a balance of amino acids and fatty acids suitable for the rapid growth of marine organisms (especially carnivores). The use of substitutes has not been as successful in aquatic animals as in land animals, so the availability and quality of fish meal are decisive for the manufacture of aquafeed quality (Cruz-Suárez *et al.*, 2000). Although, fish meal is the main source of protein in aquaculture feed, its quality can vary greatly due to manufacture methods and the species of fish used as raw material, among other variables that may affect the content and quality of fish meal (García-Galano *et al.*, 2007). Probably, these variables affecting the quality of the same type of ingredient, can be the reason for the differences in CS found between the fish meal I

(sardine fishmeal, <60% crude protein, CP) and fish meal II (tuna fishmeal, >60% CP); similarly, the result of low CS for squid meal may have occurred based on its manufacture and source quality even though squid meal is considered an excellent source of protein that competes with fish meal in its applications for feed manufacturing.

Although poultry by-product meals evaluated in the present work showed low values of CS, these meals are considered a good source of amino acids. Additionally, their cholesterol content is important in the formulation of shrimp feed (Cruz-Suárez *et al.*, 2007). In this aspect, Yang *et al.* (2004) studied the potential use of renderers' meals (poultry, and meat and bone) as alternative sources of dietary protein, and they found that both could replace up to 50% protein fish meal in diets for *M. nipponense*.

According to our results obtained with the vegetable meals, soybean meal satisfies more than half of the EAA in juvenile prawns of *M. rosenbergii*, *M. americanum* and *M. tenellum*. Additionally, methionine appears as first limiting, coinciding as the limiting amino acid, which has been reported for *Litopenaeus schmitti* by Álvarez *et al.* (2004). According to those authors, soybean meal should only be included in diets in low quantities, due to the deficiency in amino acids, the high presence of anti-nutrients, low digestibility and it induces poor attractability and palatability of feed, which together resulted in lower growth for some species. Previous information does not agree with those reported for juveniles of *M. rosenbergii*, for which it is possible to replace 80% of fishmeal by soybean meal (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2009). Despite these good results with soybean meal, there are other waste and by-products from agriculture, livestock and industries that have good nutritional value, low cost and can be easily processed and recycled as aquaculture feed ingredients. One better alternative for feeding prawns is the use of earthworm meal substituting fish and soybean meals as tested by Langer *et al.* (2011), in *M. dayanum*.

On the other hand, among other alternative protein sources are those obtained from unicellular origin such as yeast that presents methionine and arginine as the first limiting amino acids for *M. rosenbergii* juveniles and *M. americanum*, however this meal cover more than half its limiting amino acids. This source is considered an excellent source for shrimp nutrition as it is a good source of protein, essential amino acids, vitamin B complex, folic acid, and a suitable composition of minerals. Additionally, the high content of nucleic acids make them an important source of nucleotide, and β -glucans of the cell wall, and have proven immunostimulatory effects on aquatic species (García-Galano *et al.*, 2007). These nutritional proper-

ties make it an alternative source of protein to replace fish meal (Bhavan *et al.*, 2010), and it has been licensed for use as probiotics in animal feed.

Likewise, *Spirulina* covers more than half of the limiting amino acids for the species studied and presented lysine as the limiting amino acid in all of them. *Spirulina* is considered a rich source of protein, vitamins, minerals, amino acids, fatty acids and antioxidant pigments (Bhavan *et al.*, 2010). Thus Jaime-Ceballos (2006), reported that *Spirulina* meal possesses suitable nutritional features for use in aquatic animal feed, and improved attractability. Based on results of this work, it is possible to suggest for *M. amazonicum* postlarvae and *M. rosenbergii* larvae a feed formulation that includes the use of *Artemia* enriched with *Spirulina* and supplemented with some product, which includes whole egg. In the case of *M. rosenbergii* and *M. americanum* juveniles, and *M. tenellum* adults, a feed is suggested that contains soybean meal, fish meal of high quality, shrimp meal, with a supplementary content of *Spirulina* and yeast.

There is a difference in the amino acids most often present as first limiting between sources of animal and plant origin. Based on these differences, it is possible to evaluate complementarities between these sources to achieve an amino acids profile close to that of *Macrobrachium* species. However, CS of a protein only reflects the content ratio between EAA in the evaluated protein and the amino acid content in the reference protein, without taking in account other quality parameters such as digestibility. Therefore, the use of protein digestibility in a corrected amino acid score (PDCAAS), which includes the digestibility of amino acids, would be a more complete approach to the nutritional value of these sources.

ACNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was carried out thanks to a doctoral fellowship from the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT) from México, awarded to the first author of this manuscript, and Dra. Karen Englander, for her valuable contribution in English language reviewing.

REFERENCES

- Álvarez, J.S., T. García, H. Villareal, J. Galindo, I. Fraga & E. Pelegrín. 2004. Alternativas para obtener alimentos más eficientes en el engorde semintensivo del camarón blanco *Litopenaeus schmitti*. In: L.E. Cruz-Suárez, D. Ricque-Marie, M.G. Nieto-López, D. Villarreal, U. Scholz & M. González (eds.). Avances en nutrición acuícola VII. Memorias del VII Simpo-

- sium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola. 16-19 noviembre, 2004. Hermosillo, Sonora, pp. 721-746.
- Bhavan, P.S., V.G. Devi, R. Shanti, S. Radhakrishnan & R. Poongodi. 2010. Basic biochemical constituents and profiles of amino acids in the post larvae of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* fed with *Spirulina* and yeast enriched *Artemia*. J. Sci. Res., 2(3): 539-549.
- Block, R.J. & H.H. Mitchell. 1946. The correlations of the amino acid composition of proteins with their nutritive value. Nutr. Abstr. Rev., 16(2): 249-278.
- Cruz-Suárez, L.E., D. Ricque-Marie, M. Nieto-López & M. Tapía-Salazar. 2000. Revisión sobre calidad de harinas y aceites de pescado para la nutrición del camarón. In: R. Civera-Cerecedo, C.J. Pérez-Estrada, D. Ricque-Marie & L.E. Cruz-Suárez (eds.). Avances en nutrición acuícola IV. Memorias del IV Symposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola. Noviembre 15-18, 1998. La Paz, B.C.S., pp. 298-326.
- Cruz-Suárez, L.E., M. Nieto-López, C. Guajardo-Barbosa, M. Tapía-Salazar, U. Scholz & D. Ricque-Marie. 2007. Replacement of fish meal with poultry by-product meal in practical diets for *Litopenaeus vannamei*, and digestibility of the tested ingredients and diets. Aquaculture, 272(1-4): 466-476.
- Espinosa-Chaurand, L.D., F. Vega-Villasante, H. Nolasco-Soria, O. Carrillo & S. López. 2013. Perfil de aminoácidos del músculo de *Macrobrachium tenellum* y cómputo químico de proteínas usadas en su alimentación. Bol. Inst. Pesca, São Paulo, 39(4): 369-378.
- Fraga-Castro, I. & B. Jaime-Ceballos. 2011. Efecto de ensilados de pescado e hígado de tiburón en el crecimiento de *Litopenaeus schmitti*, en sustitución de la harina y el aceite de pescado. REDVET, 12(11): 1-15.
- García-Galano, T., H. Villarreal-Colmenares & J.L. Fenucci. 2007. Manual de ingredientes proteicos y aditivos empleados en la formulación de alimentos balanceados para camarones peneidos. EUDEM, Mar del Plata, 264 pp.
- García-Ortega, A., J.A.J. Verreth, P. Coutteau, H. Segner, E.A. Huisman & P. Sorgeloos. 1998. Biochemical and enzymatic characterization of decapsulated cysts and nauplii of the brine shrimp *Artemia* at different developmental stages. Aquaculture, 161(1): 501-514.
- García-Ulloa, G.M., L.A. López-Aceves, J.T. Ponce-Palafox, H. Rodríguez-González & J.L. Arredondo-Figueroa. 2008. Growth of fresh-water prawn *Macrobrachium tenellum* (Smith, 1871) juveniles fed isoproteic diets substituting fish meal by soya bean meal. Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol., 51(1): 57-65.
- Glencross, B.D., M. Booth & G.L. Allan. 2007. A feed is only as good as its ingredients-a review of ingredient evaluation strategies for aquaculture feeds. Aquacult. Nutr., 13(1): 17-34.
- Guilherme, R.D.F., J.M.O. Cavalheiro & P.A.S.D. Souza. 2007. Chemical characterization and profile of the amino acids of the flour of shrimp head. Ciênc. Agrotec., 31(3): 793-797.
- Hasanuzzaman, A.F., N. Siddiqui & A.H. Chisty. 2009. Optimum replacement of fishmeal with soybean meal in diet for *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De Man, 1879) cultured in low saline water. Turk. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 9(1): 17-22.
- Jaime-Ceballos, B.J. 2006. Evaluación de la harina de *Spirulina platensis* como alimento y aditivo para la producción de postlarvas de camarón blanco *Litopenaeus schmitti* (Pérez-Farfante & Kensley, 1997). Tesis Doctorado en Ciencias, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, Baja California Sur, 160 pp.
- Langer, S., Y. Bakhtiyar & R. Lakhotra. 2011. Replacement of fishmeal with locally available ingredients in diet composition of *Macrobrachium dayanum*. Afr. J. Agric. Res., 6(5): 1080-1084.
- Naik, S.D., N.P. Sahu & K.K. Jain. 2001. Use of squilla (*Orato squilla nepa*), squid (*Sepia pharonis*) and clam (*Katelysia opima*) meal alone or in combination as a substitute for fish meal in the postlarval diet of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci., 14(9): 1272-1275.
- Prasad, L., B.B. Nayak, P.P. Srivastava, A.K. Reddy & M.P.S. Kohli. 2013. Use of Brewer's yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as growth promoter in giant freshwater prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*, De Man) post larvae. Turk. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 13: 447-452.
- Parmar, P.V., H.S. Murthy, C.S. Tejpal & B.N. Kumar. 2012. Effect of brewer's yeast on immune response of giant freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*, and its resistance to white muscle disease. Aquacult. Int., 20(5): 951-964.
- Portella, C.D.G., L.S. Santana & W.C. Valenti. 2013. Chemical composition and fatty acid contents in farmed freshwater prawns. Pesq. Agropec. Bras., 48(8): 1115-1118.
- Ramírez, E., A. Silva, M. Guevara, M. Núñez, R. Bauza & B. Arredondo-Vega. 2010. Composición bioquímica del camarón dulceacuicola *Macrobrachium jelskii* (Miers, 1877) sometido a condiciones de cultivo. Zootec. Trop., 28(1): 65-72.
- Roustaian, P., M.S. Kamarudin, H. Omar, C.R. Saad & M.H. Ahmad. 2000. Amino acid composition of developing larval freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. J. World Aquacult. Soc., 31(1): 130-136.
- Santos-Gutiérrez, J.C., M.P. Hernández-Vergara, R. Mendoza-Alfaro & C.I. Pérez-Rostro. 2011. Evaluación nutrimental del flan de calamar como alimento

- para larvas de langostino *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De Man). Universidad y Ciencia, 27(1): 63-71.
- Seligson, F.H. & L.N. Mackey. 1984. Variable predictions of protein quality by chemical score due to amino acid analysis and reference pattern. J. Nutr., 114(4): 682-691.
- Suárez, L.M.M., A. Kizlansky & L.B. López. 2006. Evaluación de la calidad de las proteínas en los alimentos calculando el escore de aminoácidos corregido por digestibilidad. Nutr. Hosp., 21(1): 47-51.
- Terrazas, M., R. Civera, L. Ibarra & E. Goytortúa. 2010. Coeficientes de utilización digestiva aparente de materia seca, proteína y aminoácidos esenciales de ingredientes terrestres para el camarón del Pacífico *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Decapoda: Penaeidae). Rev. Biol. Trop., 58(4): 1561-1576.
- Tidwell, J.H., C.D. Webster & S.D. Coyle. 1998. Fatty acid and amino acid composition of eggs, muscle and midgut glands of freshwater prawns, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De Man), raised in fertilized ponds, unfertilized ponds or fed prepared diets. Aquacult. Res., 29: 37-45.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2014. National nutrient database for standard reference. Release 27. Agricultural Research Service. National Agricultural Library. [http://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/foods/list]. Reviewed: 3 September 2014.
- Vega-Villasante, F., L.D. Espinosa-Chaurand, S.G. Yamasaki, E. Cortés-Jacinto, M.U. García-Guerrero, A.L. Cupul-Magaña, H. Nolasco-Soria & M. Guzmán-Arroyo. 2011. Acuicultura del langostino *Macrobrachium tenellum* - Engorda de estanques semirrústicos. Universidad de Guadalajara, Centro Universitario de la Costa, Puerto Vallarta, Jalisco, 87 pp.
- Yamasaki, G.S. 2012. Desarrollo larvario y biología de la muda del langostino de río *Macrobrachium* spp. (Decapoda: Palaemonidae). Tesis Doctoral, Universidad de Guadalajara, Guadalajara, 169 pp.
- Yang, Y., S. Xie, W. Lei, X. Zhu & Y. Yang. 2004. Effect of replacement of fish meal by meat and bone meal and poultry by-product meal in diets on the growth and immune response of *Macrobrachium nipponense*. Fish Shellfish Immunol., 17(2): 105-114.

Received: 22 February 2016; Accepted: 5 August 2016