



Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola

ISSN: 1516-635X

revista@facta.org.br

Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia
Avícolas
Brasil

Almeida, WAF; Berchieri Junior, A; Barrow, PA
The Effect of Serial Culture and Storage on the Protective Potential of a Competitive Exclusion
Preparation
Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola, vol. 4, núm. 2, mayo-agosto, 2002, pp. 163-167
Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia Avícolas
Campinas, SP, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=179713975006>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal
Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative



The Effect of Serial Culture and Storage on The Protective Potential of a Competitive Exclusion Preparation

Efeito do Cultivo Seriado e Estocagem Sobre o Potencial de Ação do Método de Exclusão Competitiva

■ Código / Code

0113

■ Autor(es) / Author(s)

Almeida WAF¹
Berchieri Junior A²
Barrow PA³

1-Mestre em Medicina Veterinária pela FCAV/
Unesp, Jaboticabal

2-Prof^o Titular em Ornitopatologia da FCAV/
Unesp, Jaboticabal

3 -Institute for Animal Health / Compton
Laboratory, Compton, Berks, UK

■ Correspondência / Mail Address

Angelo Berchieri Junior

FCAV / UNESP
Via de acesso Paulo Donato Castellane, s/n
14884-900 - Jaboticabal - SP - Brasil

E-mail: berchier@fcav.unesp.br

■ Unitermos / Keywords

cultura de fezes em aerobiose, exclusão competitiva, *Salmonella* Enteritidis

aerobic faecal culture, competitive exclusion, Salmonella Enteritidis

■ Observações / Notes

Financial support by FAPESP and CNPq.

ABSTRACT

The competitive exclusion method (EC) was used to protect day-old birds against colonisation of the intestinal tract by Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis (SE). The culture prepared in nutrient broth incubated at 37°C/24 h inhibited SE growth in the caecal contents. The beneficial effect was also observed after serial passage of the feces culture for up to 14 times. The material obtained after 12 serial sub-cultures after storage for 28 days at 4-6°C also kept its action on SE.

RESUMO

Cultura de fezes (Método de Exclusão Competitiva - EC) utilizada para prevenir a colonização cecal de aves por *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Enteritidis* (SE) foi submetida a cultivos seriados para evitar a presença de patógenos e, após o tratamento mais adequado, foi armazenada em temperatura de refrigeração antes do seu uso por até 63 dias. Os resultados mostraram que o cultivo repetido por 14 vezes não prejudica a ação protetora da cultura (CE), a qual continua inibindo a colonização cecal por SE. O produto submetido a 12 cultivos e armazenado durante 28 dias em temperatura de refrigeração também continua eficaz.



INTRODUCTION

Salmonella remains a major cause of human food-poisoning throughout the world and it is likely that poultry are the most important reservoir. Since the beginning of the pandemic caused by *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Enteritidis* in the 1980's, increased efforts have been made to control the pathogen at source in poultry flocks (Rodrigue et al., 1990; Barrow, 2000).

Because of the costs associated with improved hygiene and housing, biological methods have been increasingly explored. Antibiotics have been used but may select for resistance in the pathogen or components of the gut microflora (Smith & Tucker, 1975; Barrow, 1998). They can be of variable effectiveness and may even prolong faecal shedding by disrupting the normal flora.

This inherent inhibitory activity of the intestinal microflora has been exploited by the administration to newly-hatched chicks of preparations of intestinal contents or faeces, cultured in vitro. This has been called competitive exclusion (CE) or the "Nurmi concept" after its discoverer (Nurmi & Rantala, 1973) and it has been applied in many countries (Mead, 2000). However, one of the problems associated with its use is the potential for the introduction of other intestinal pathogens (virus, protozoa and bacteria) into uninfected flocks with the intestinal cultures. Attempts have been made to avoid this problem by using mixtures of cultures of defined bacterial strains. These, however, are less protective than undefined CE preparations (Day, 1992). An alternative is to passage the culture such that non-bacterial pathogens are eliminated. A concern over this approach is whether the protectiveness of the preparation is maintained on repeated passage (Snoeyenbos et al., 1978; Mead & Ympey, 1984; Mead & Ympey, 1987). The results of this sort of analysis have been mixed with some authors claiming success (Pivnik et al., 1982; Nisbet et al., 1993) whilst others suggest that the numbers of some bacterial types in the preparations become reduced after laboratory passage which contributes to reduced effectiveness (Weinack et al., 1979).

The present paper aims to contribute to this debate by analysing a gut flora preparation which has been passaged several times in vitro, in addition to being stored, and tested frequently for its inhibitory activity against *S. Enteritidis* in chickens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria

A spontaneous mutant of *S. Enteritidis* phage type 4, resistant to both nalidixic acid and spectinomycin, was used. Cultures were prepared in nutrient broth (Oxoid CM67), incubated in a shaking water bath (100 strokes/min) at 37°C overnight.

Competitive exclusion mixture

Fresh faeces were obtained from adult birds reared in the Faculty of Agronomic and Veterinary Science (FCAV-Unesp) and were inoculated in nutrient broth (1:10 weight for volume) that was incubated statically and aerobically at 37°C for 24 hours. The culture was tested for the absence of *Salmonella*. This culture was sub-cultured into fresh nutrient broth (1:10), incubated under the same conditions and this was repeated 14 times. The culture was also stored at 4°C for up to 63 days.

Birds

Newly hatched chickens were obtained from a commercial broiler hatchery. Groups of six birds were housed together in a box separate to other groups. Feed with no additives, and drinking water were provided ad libitum. A heat source was provided. Swabs from the cloacae were incubated to confirm absence from *Salmonella*.

Infection protocol

Birds were inoculated orally with 0.1 mL of cultures of the competitive exclusion mixture. They were challenge with *Salmonella* by placing into the box, a seeder bird of the same age and source which had received no flora preparation but which had been infected with 0.1 mL of a 1:1000 dilution of the *Salmonella* culture (approximately 1.2×10^6 viable cells per mL).

Experimental procedure

For each passage number tested, eight groups of six chickens were studied. Four of these were inoculated with the competitive exclusion mixture and one day later all groups were infected with the challenge *Salmonella* strain. Three birds from each group were killed 3 and 6 days later respectively and the number of *Salmonella* in their caecal contents were enumerated.



An additional experiment was set up in which a competitive exclusion culture, which had been passaged 12 times, was stored at 4-6°C for up to 63 days. This was tested at various times after storage in 8 groups of 6 chickens in the same way as indicated above.

\log_{10} viable bacterial counts were analysed by ESTAT (1992) and the protective effect of the exclusion mixtures was estimated.

Bacterial enumeration

Decimal dilutions of caecal contents were made in PBS, pH 7.4 and 0.1 mL aliquots were cultured on Brilliant Green agar containing sodium nalidixate (100 µg/mL) and espectinomycin (100 µg/mL). Plates were incubated at 42°C for 24 hours.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Serial passage of the faecal culture for up to 14 times had no discernible effect on its inhibitory activity with no isolations of the challenge strain at all from the treated groups, in contrast to the control groups (Table 1). All the differences between the treated and control groups were highly significant ($p < 0.05$). The material obtained after 12 serial sub-cultures was tested for its resilience after storage up to 63 days. At 28 days storage no effect was observed, the material being fully inhibitory. However, after this time the material deteriorated in quality with increasing numbers of birds having high counts of the challenge organism in the caeca (Table 2).

Milner & Shaffer (1952) observed that day-old birds were very susceptible to *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium while by day 14, they were much more resistant. This acquired resistance is related to the maturity of the immune system and the gradual acquisition of native gut microflora (Fowler & Mead, 1990). Nurmi & Rantala (1973) demonstrated the beneficial effects of the gut microflora against colonisation by *S. Infantis* when they accelerated the process of microflora colonisation by administration to young birds of faecal cultures obtained from adult birds. This was named competitive exclusion, which has been used extensively for control of avian salmonellosis.

Some commercial products are available (Mead, 2000). However, their efficacy is dependant on several factors, including microbial composition, which is optimal with material obtained from native flocks

(Barnes, 1979). Cultures are required to be incubated anaerobically. Rambousek et al. (1995) demonstrated that a product prepared under aerobic conditions could be effective in preventing intestinal colonisation of chickens by *Salmonella*. Later, Oliveira et al. (2000) obtained similar results, challenging newly hatched chickens by contact, simulating the main route of infection of *S. Enteritidis* in the field. The present study was carried out to assess the inhibitory effect of faecal cultures prepared under aerobic conditions after serial incubation. Serial culture of the faecal broth culture has been suggested as a technique to purify the material (Snoeyenbos et al., 1978; Mead & Ympey, 1984; Mead & Ympey, 1987) although the process may also eliminate beneficial micro-organisms (Mead, 2000). The results indicate that serial passage aerobically up to 14 times did not seriously affect its efficacy. This is a somewhat surprising result given the extreme oxygen sensitivity of some of the obligate anaerobes present, which are essential to the inhibitory effect. However, the redox conditions in such cultures borders on anaerobic, oxygen being absorbed from the culture by the facultative anaerobes.

Competitive exclusion material must ideally be obtained from donors free of pathogens. The use of healthy donor birds from a monitored local flock may be a useful application of this since the inhibitory activity of the microflora from such birds would be expected to be greater than that obtained from intensively reared birds (Barnes, 1979). Because many poultry industries have integrated operations and have good laboratory facilities, the storage of the exclusion culture at 4-6°C over several weeks was investigated. The results presented here showed that the product kept well over 28 days and continued to be effective against *S. Enteritidis*.



Table 1- Number (\log_{10}) of viable cells of *S. Enteritidis* NaISpec[®] (SE NaISpec[®]) present in the caecal contents of the birds challenged 24 hours after the treatment with faecal culture (CE) submitted to 5, 7, 12, or 14 serial cultures.

Treatment	Log ₁₀ viable number of SE NaISpec [®] per gram of caecal contents	
	3 days post contact infection	6 days post contact infection
CE5	N* (N-N)	N (N-N)
None	5.50 (N - 9.00)	5.39 (N - 9.00)
CE7	N (N -N)	N (N -N)
None	6.36 (N - 9.17)	4.95 (N - 9.00)
CE10	N (N -N)	N (N -N)
None	5.35 (N - 9.39)	6.86 (6.23 - 8.25)
CE12	N (N -N)	N (N -N)
None	5.35 (N - 7.76)	6.86 (6.23 - 7.55)
CE14	N (N - 6.78)	N (N -N)
None	7.84 (N - 9.39)	4.07 (N - 8.25)

CE5: five serial dilutions of the CE. N = $\log_{10} < 2.0$; *Log₁₀ median count per gram from 24 birds (range in parentheses).

Table 2- Number (\log_{10}) of viable cells of *S. Enteritidis* NaISpec[®] (SE NaISpec[®]) present in the caecal contents of the birds challenged 24 hours after the treatment with faecal culture (CE) submitted at 12 serial cultures and stored for 28, 35, 42 and 63 days at 4-6°C.

Treatment	Log ₁₀ viable number of SE NaISpec [®] per gram of caecal contents	
	3 days post contact infection	6 days post contact infection
CE/28d	N* (N-N)	N (N-N)
None	4.79 (N - 9.00)	5.72 (N - 7.60)
CE/35d	N (N -6.63)	3.09 (N - 8.77)
None	6.21 (N - 9.00)	6.26 (N - 7.60)
CE/42d	N (N -N)	N (N - 6.27)
None	5.22 (N - 9.00)	4.79 (N - 7.60)
CE/63d	2.66 (N - 7.36)	3.66 (N - 8.90)
None	3.96 (N - 8.38)	3.83 (N - 7.41)

CE/28d: CE submitted to 12 serial dilutions and storage at 4-6°C/28 days. N + $\log_{10} < 2.0$. *Log₁₀ median count per gram from 24 birds (range in parentheses).



REFERENCES

- Barnes EM. The intestinal microflora of poultry and game birds during life and storage. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* 1979; 46: 407-419.
- Barrow PA. Monitorias e controle de salmonelas em reprodutoras. In: Conferência APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia Avícolas; 1998, Campinas-SP. p.1-7.
- Barrow PA. The paratyphoid salmonellae. *Review Science Technology* 2000; 19: 351-375.
- Day C. Competitive exclusion in poultry - a review. Spring Lane North: Life- Care Products, 1992. p.2-18.
- ESTAT 2.0 Sistema de análise de variância estatística. Jaboticabal: Polo Computacional - Departamento de Ciências Exatas - Unesp, 1992.
- Fowler NG, Mead GC. Competitive exclusion and Salmonella. *The Veterinary Record* 1990; 12: 489.
- Mead GC. Prospects for "competitive exclusion" treatment to control Salmonellas and other food-borne pathogens in poultry. *The Veterinary Journal* 2000; 159: 111-123.
- Mead GC, Impey CS. Towards the development of a defined gut micro-flora treatment for reducing Salmonella carriage in turkey. *Turkeys* 1984; 32: 29-33.
- Mead GC, Impey CS. The present status of the Nurmi concept for reducing carriage of food-poisoning Salmonellae and other pathogens in live poultry. In: Smaldres, F.J.M. Elimination of pathogenic organisms from meat and poultry, Langford: Elsevier Science Publishers, 1987. p.57-77.
- Milner KC, Shaffer MF. Bacteriological studies of experimental Salmonella infection in chicks. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1952; 90: 81-96.
- Nisbet DJ, Corrier DE, Scalan CM, Hollister AG, Beier RC, Deloach JR. Effect of a defined continuous-flow derived bacterial culture and dietary lactose on Salmonella typhimurium colonization in broiler chicks. *Avian Diseases* 1993; 37: 1017-1025.
- Nurmi E, Rantala M. New aspects of Salmonella infection in broiler production. *Nature*. 1973; 241: 210-211.
- Oliveira GH, Berchieri Jr A., Barrow PA. Prevention of Salmonella infection by contact using intestinal flora of adult birds and/or a mixture of organic acids. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* 2000; 31: 116-120.
- Pivnick H, Blanchfield B, Rigby C, Ormsby E. Comparison of feces with lyophilised and frozen cultures of feces as inocula to prevent Salmonella infection in chicks. *Journal of Food Protection* 1982; 45: 1188-1194.
- Rambousek MJ, Iba AM, Stachissini AV, Berchieri Jr A. The effect of carbohydrate administration on experimental infection with Salmonella serotypes in chickens. *Revista de Microbiologia* 1995; 26: 32-36.
- Rodrigue DC, Tauxe RV, Rowe B. International increase in Salmonella enteritidis : a new pandemic? *Epidemiology and Infection* 1990; 105: 21-27.
- Smith WH, Tucker JF. The effect of antibiotic therapy on the faecal excretion of Salmonella typhimurium by experimentally infected chickens. *Journal of Hygiene* 1975; 75: 275-292.
- Snoeyenbos, GH, Weinack, OM, Smyser, CF. Protecting chicks and poultry from salmonellae by oral administration of normal gut microflora. *Avian Diseases* 1978; 22: 273-87.
- Weinack OM, Snoeyenbos GH, Smyser CF. A supplement test system to measure competitive exclusion. *Avian Diseases* 1979; 23: 1019-30.

Almeida WAF, Berchieri Junior A, Barrow PA



The Effect of Serial Culture and Storage on The Protective Potential of a Competitive Exclusion Preparation