

Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola

ISSN: 1516-635X revista@facta.org.br

Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia

Avícolas Brasil

Hadipour, MM

Seroprevalence survey of H9N2 avian influenza virus in backyard chickens around the Caspian Sea in Iran

Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola, vol. 12, núm. 1, enero-marzo, 2010, pp. 53-55 Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia Avícolas Campinas, SP, Brasil

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=179714005008



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org





ISSN 1516-635X Jan - Mar 2010 / v.12 / n.1 / 53 - 55

Technical Note

Seroprevalence Survey of H₉N₂ Avian Influenza Virus in Backyard Chickens around the Caspian Sea in Iran

■ Author(s)

Hadipour MM

Department of Clinical Sciences School of Veterinary Medicine Islamic Azad University Kazerun Branch Kazerun, Iran

■ Mail Address

Dr. Mohammad Mehdi Hadipour School of Veterinary Medicine Islamic Azad University Kazerun Branch Kazerun, Iran P.O.Box: 73135-168

Tel: 091 7718 9086 Fax: 072 1223 0508

■ Keywords

Avian Influenza virus, backyard chickens, Caspian Sea, H₂N₃, seroprevalence, Iran, HI

ABSTRACT

Since 1998, an epidemic of avian influenza occurred in the Iranian poultry industry. The identified agent presented low pathogenicity, and was subtyped as an H_oN₂ avian influenza virus. Backyard chickens can play an important role in the epidemiology of H₀N₂ avian influenza virus infection. Close contact of backyard chickens with migratory birds, especially with aquatic birds, as well as neighboring poultry farms, may pose the risk of transmitting avian influenza virus, but little is known about the disease status of backyard poultry. A H_aN_a avian influenza virus seroprevalence survey was carried out in 700 backyard chickens from villages around the Caspian Sea, Northern Iran, using the hemagglutination-inhibition (HI) test. The studied backyard chickens had not been previously vaccinated and showed no clinical signs of disease. The mean antibody titers found were 6.8, 7.5, 5.9, 7.2, 5.7, 6.4, 6.2 and the seroprevalence was 76.2%, 79.5%, 68.18%, 78.27%, 65%, 72.31% and 71.4% as found in seven villages. Overall HI titer and seroprevalence against H9N2 were 6.52 and 72.98%, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Avian influenza due to H9N2 subtype in poultry during the later part of the 1990s has noticeably increased worldwide. H_oN₂ subtype outbreaks occurred in domestic ducks, chickens and turkeys in Germany during 1995 and 1998, in chickens in Italy in 1994 and 1996, in pheasants in Ireland in 1997, ostriches in South Africa in 1995, turkeys in the USA in 1995 and 1996, and in chickens in Korea in 1996 (Bano et al., 2003; Capua & Alexander, 2004; Naeem et al., 1999). More recently, H9N2 viruses have been reported in Middle Eastern countries and shown to be responsible for widespread and serious disease problems in commercial chickens in Iran, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Aamir et al., 2007; Alexander, 2003; Banks et al., 2000; Capua & Alexander, 2004; Naeem et al., 1999, 2007; Nili & Asasi, 2002, 2003). In 1998 an outbreak caused by a low pathogenicity avian influenza virus (LPAIV, H9N2 subtype) occurred in the Iranian poultry industry (Nili & Asasi, 2002, 2003). Backyard (village) chickens throughout the world, especially in Middle Eastern countries, play an important role in people nutrition due to meat and egg production. Caspian Sea is the largest body of inland water all over the world, and it is situated at the north of Iran. Numerous different species of birds, particularly aquatic birds, migrate to the Caspian Sea, where they remain for several months each year. Close contact of these birds with backyard chickens may result in the risk of transmission of infectious agents. such as avian influenza virus, but little is known about the disease status of backyard poultry. The aim of this study was to evaluate LPAIV H_oN₂ exposure of village chickens around the Caspian Sea using the hemagglutination inhibition test.

Arrived: August/2009 Approved: January/2010

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Serum samples and HI assay. A total of 700 blood samples were randomly collected from the wing vein of backyard chickens (unvaccinated, mature, and healthy chickens) belonging to seven villages around the Caspian Sea. Samples were maintained at room temperature and transported to the laboratory within 24 h. If a delay in sample transportation was expected, samples were centrifuged and frozen at -20 °C before being submitted to the laboratory. Antibodies against H₉N₂ avian influenza virus (A/chicken/Iran/SH-110/99(H₉N₂)) present in the serum were evaluated using the hemagglutination inhibition (HI) assay. The HI assay was performed using 96 'U'-well microtiter plates, doubling dilution in PBS, 1 % v/v red blood cells (RBC), and 4 HA units of AIV antigen (Alexander *et al.*, 1983).

RESULTS

Samples were considered negative if titers were \leq 8. Positive flocks had at least one serum sample with titer > 8 or at least 3/15 with titer = 8 (Nooruddin *et al.*, 2006). Results revealed that all seven villages had chickens that were positive for antibodies against H_9N_2 avian influenza virus. Mean antibody titers were 6.8, 7.5, 5.9, 7.2, 5.7, 6.4, 6.2 \log_2 , and the seroprevalences were found to be 76.2%, 79.5%, 68.18%, 78.27%, 65%, 72.31% and 71.4%, respectively, in the seven villages.

Overall antibody titer and seroprevalence of H_9N_2 avian influenza virus recorded were 6.52 and 72.98%, respectively. The geometric mean titers in each group were 49.1, 69.1, 28.5, 52.3, 23.7, 41.4 and 37.6, respectively. The results were statistically analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (Miller, 1997). No significant variation (p>0.05) in H_9N_2 avian influenza virus antibody titer or seroprevalence of H9N2 AIV were found among the seven villages, although within each village, significant variation (p<0.05) was observed among individuals. In spite of presence of high antibody titers among chickens in each group, no clinical symptoms were observed.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, H_9N_2 AIV antibody titers between zero to $10 \log_2$ HI (p<0.05) were found in all villages. This may be explained to the extensive rearing system in village chicken,s which may result in different stages of infection in these chickens. The absence of

clinical signs of influenza in backyard chickens, in spite of high antibody titers in some birds, could be due to persistent exposure and acquired resistance of these birds to influenza virus in the environment, and therefore, these birds would be naturally vaccinated against this virus.

Van Kammen *et al.* (1982) showed influenza A antibodies in sera of free-range village fowls.

Cheng *et al.* (2002) found H_9N_2 avian influenza antibody titers in 26% of human sera and only in 7% of chicken sera, and concluded that human H_9N_2 virus infection would probably derived from chicken H_9N_2 virus.

An investigation was undertaken by Naeem *et al.* (2003) in selected broiler-breeder, broiler and layer flocks, from which nine ${\rm H_9N_2}$ AIV isolates were recovered. Serological data from this investigation indicated that both chickens in flocks with a previous history of respiratory tract infection and some without overt clinical respiratory signs had seroconverted.

In another study conducted by Li *et al.* (2004), anti- H_9N_2 antibodies were found in 12.8% of the chickens and 5.1% of the poultry-farm workers.

In Hong Kong during 2001-2003, the H₉N₂ avian influenza virus had the highest prevalence among live poultry markets (Choi *et al.*, 2004).

Al-Natour *et al.* (2005) reported that the seroprevalence of avian influenza was 71% among broiler-breeder flocks in Jordan. The number of positive sera was correlated with flock size and to farms located within the migratory route of migratory wild fowl.

In another study conducted by Nooruddin *et al.* (2006) in Bangladesh, an overall 9.82% seroprevalence of avian influenza was recorded.

In our study, the backyard chickens in the studied areas were reared under semi-scavenging system and were allowed to scavenge with ducks in the yard, in the crop fields near water reservoirs, where there were domestic ducks, wild ducks, and migratory birds, and this may have contributed to the natural infection of the backyard chickens (Alexander, 2003; De Marco et al., 2003; Senne et al., 2003; Vander et al., 2003; Capua & Alexander, 2004). The high prevalence detected in our study was possibly due to the close and frequent contact of village chickens with numerous and different species of migratory waterfowl in the surveyed region. According to the results of the present study, H_oN₂ avian influenza virus is endemic in backyard chickens of Iran, and at different degrees of infection. These birds may be asymptomatic carriers of the influenza virus.

Hadipour MM



Seroprevalence Survey of H₉N₂ Avian Influenza Virus in Backyard Chickens around the Caspian Sea in Iran

REFERENCES

Aamir UB, Wernery U, Ilyushina N, Webster RG. Characterization of avian H_9N_2 influenza viruses from United Arab Emirates 2000 to 2003. Virology 2007; 361:45-55.

Alexander DJ. A review of avian influenza in different bird species. Veterinary Microbiology 2000; 74:3-13.

Alexander DJ, Allan WH, Biggs PM, Wilding GP. Standard technique for hemagglutination inhibition test for antibodies to avian infectious bronchitis virus. Veterinary Record 1983; 113:64.

Alexander DJ. Report on avian influenza in Eastern hemisphere during 1997-2002. Avian Diseases 2003; 47:792-797.

Al-Natour MQ, Abo-Shehada MN. Sero-prevalence of avian influenza among broiler-breeder flocks in Jordan. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 2005; 70:45-50.

Banks J, Specidel EC, Harris PA. Phylogenetic analysis of influenza A viruses of H9 hemagglutinin subtype. Avian Pathology 2000; 29:353-360.

Bano S, Naeem K, Malik SA. Evaluation of pathogenic potential of avian influenza virus serotype $\rm H_9N_2$ in chickens. Avian Diseases 2003; 47:817-822.

Capua I, Alexander DJ. Avian influenza: Recent development. Avian Pathology 2004; 33:393-404.

Cheng X, Liu J, He J, Shan F. Virological and serological surveys for H9N2 subtype of influenza A virus in chickens and men in Shenzhen city. Chinese Journal of Experimental and Clinical Virology 2002; 16:319-321.

Choi YK, Ozaki H, Webby RJ, Webster RG, Peiris JS, Poon L. Continuing evolution of H_9N_2 influenza viruses in Southeastern China. Journal of Virology 2004; 78:16.

De Marco MA, Foni GE, Campitelli L, Roffini EL. Circulation of influenza viruses in waterfowl wintering in Italy during the 1993-1999 periods: Evidence of virus shedding and seroconversion in wild ducks. Avian Diseases 2003; 47:861-866.

Li CH, Zhou XZ, Li MX. Discoveries of avian influenza A (H9N2) virus in chickens and men infected by $\rm H_9N_2$ virus in Guangzhou area. Zhonghua. Chinese Journal of Experimental and Clinical Virology 2004; 18:213-214.

Miller RG. Beyond Anova: basics of applied statistics. Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall; 1997.

Naeem K, Ulah A, Manvell RJ. Avian influenza subtype H_9N_2 in poultry in Pakistan. Veterinary Record 1999; 145:560.

Naeem K, Naurin M, Rashid S, Bano S. Sero-prevalence of avian influenza virus and its relationship with increased mortality and decreased egg production. Avian Pathology 2003; 32:285-289.

Naeem K, Siddique N, Ayaz M. Avian influenza in Pakistan: outbreaks

of low- and high-pathogenicity avian influenza in Pakistan during 2003-2006. Avian Diseases 2007; 51:189-193.

Nili H, Asasi K. Natural cases and an experimental study of H9N2 avian influenza in commercial broiler chickens of Iran. Avian Pathology 2002; 31:247-252.

Nili H, Asasi K. Avian influenza (H_9N_2) outbreak in Iran. Avian Diseses 2003; 47:828-831.

Nooruddin GM, Hossain MT, Mohammad M, Rahman MM. Seroepidemiology of avian influenza virus in native chicken in Bangladesh. International Journal of Poultry Science 2006; 5:1029-1033.

Senne DA, Suarez DL, Pedersen JC, Panigrahy B. Molecular and Biological Characteristics of H5 and H7 Avian Influenza viruses in Live-Bird Markets of the Northeastern United States, 1994-2001. Avian Diseases 2003; 47:898-904.

Van Kammen A. Survey of some poultry viruses in Papua New Guinea. Tropical Animal Health Production 1982; 14:109-119.

Vander GVA, Kach G, Gong MCM, Boven MV. Transmission dynamics of low and high pathogenicity A/chicken/Pennsylvania/83 avian influenza viruses. Avian Diseases 2003; 47:939-941.