

Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola

ISSN: 1516-635X revista@facta.org.br

Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia

Avícolas Brasil

Naseem, S; Rahman, SU; Shafee, M; Sheikh, AA; Khan, A
Immunomodulatory and growth-promoting effect of a probiotic supplemented in the feed of broiler chicks vaccinated against infectious bursal disease
Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola, vol. 14, núm. 2, abril-junio, 2012, pp. 109-113
Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia Avícolas
Campinas, SP, Brasil

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=179723125003



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org





ISSN 1516-635X Apr - Jun 2012/ v.14 / n.2 / 71-158

Immunomodulatory and Growth-Promoting Effect of a Probiotic Supplemented in the Feed of Broiler Chicks Vaccinated Against Infectious Bursal Disease

■Author(s)

Naseem S¹ Rahman SU¹ Shafee M² Sheikh AA³ Khan A⁴

- 1 Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan.
- 2 Lecturer, Center for Advanced Studies in Vaccinology & Biotechnology (CASVAB), University of Balochistan, Quetta, Pakistan.
- 3 University Diagnostic Laboratory, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan
- 4 Livestock & Dairy Development Department, Quetta, Pakistan

■Mail Adress

*Corresponding author: Muhammad Shafee, Lecturer CASVAB,

University of Balochistan Quetta, Pakistan. Tel: 0092-81-2853843

Cell: 0092-333-7837828 Fax: 0092-819213134

E-mail: shafeegl@yahoo.com

■Keywords

Probiotic, Cyclophosphamide, Mortality, Morbidity, IBDV.

ABSTRACT

This study was designed to investigate the effects of a probiotic plus an immunomodulatory product on the growth performance, immune response and net economic returns of broiler chicks vaccinated against Infectious bursal diseases virus (IBDV). A flock of 350 day-old chicks were equally and randomly distributed in seven groups, each comprising 50 birds. A mixture of microorganisms (1Probiotic) or Cyclophosphamide (immune suppressor) was offered through feed supplementation to different groups. The Probiotic, consisting of Lactobacillus species, Bifidobacterium, Streptococcus salivarius and Enterococcus faecium, in addition to Aspergillus oryza and Candida pintolopessii. Compared with control treatment group, the probiotic-supplemented chicks had better feed conversion ratio (FCR) (1.938 and 1.959), with significantly heavier live body weight 2141.0±34.2 and 2120.3±33.2, respectively. Similarly, their antibody titers against IBDV were significantly higher (941 and 832) on day 35. No morbidity and mortality were observed in these groups. This study suggests that a product composed of a probiotic and cyclophosphamide enhanced the growth rate as well as the immunity against infectious bursal disease virus of broilers chicks.

INTRODUCTION

Rapidly-growing human population and better living standards in various regions of the world demands increased food supply, especially of meat. The diet of Pakistanies is generally deficient in animal proteins (Finance Division, Pakistan, 2006). Broilers, because of their rapid growth, may be a viable and quick source to meet this animal protein shortage. In order to enhance productivity, in addition of breeding and management strategies, cheap and good-quality broilers feeds should be introduced.

Probiotics are viable single or mixed cultures of bacteria, beneficial to health of the host (Somroo et al. 2002). They contain naturally occurring microorganisms with a short generation time, rapid colonization ability in gut that can minimize pathogens by competitive exclusion and are stable at intestinal pH. Moreover, they regulate intestinal microorganisms and improve feed conversion efficiency. They have also been used as alternative tools for helping to colonize newly-hatched chicks with normal microflora (Rajmane, 2000). It has been suggested by many researchers that probiotics are convincing alternatives for antibiotics as therapeutic and growth-promoting agents (Cavazzoni et al.1998). Cyclophosphamide causes strong immunosupression not only in animals and mice but it is also considered to be equally responsible for the reduction in humoral immune response through oral administration in humans (Putnam et al, 1975).

Submitted: July/2011



Immunomodulatory and Growth-Promoting Effect of a Probiotic Supplemented in the Feed of Broiler Chicks Vaccinated Against Infectious Bursal Disease

The multi-strain probiotic contains seven strains of bacteria viz Lactobacillus spp, Bifidobacterium and Streptococcus thermophillus, and two fungi, Aspergillus oryza and Candida pitolopessi, which are naturally occurring and have been isolated from a wide range of feed, plant, animal, bird, and human sources. It is reported to be safe, non-toxic and residual free. The product is compatible with all feeds and feed ingredients, like vitamins, minerals and some antibiotics. It can be used in a wide range of circumstances, either to improve the general health of animals, address specific problems or to maximize animal performance. Under general conditions, the probiotic has been promoted to improve health naturally, stimulate appetite, aid in the establishment of gut flora in immature animals such as day-old chicks, calves, lambs, kids and kittens, reestablish gut microflora after antibiotic treatment, optimize digestion of feed and reduce stress (Rajmane, 2000).

The continuous use of sub-therapeutic levels of antibiotics in animal feeds may lead to the development of drug-resistant microorganisms, which could then pass the resistance on to infectious microorganisms in humans. Recently, the European Union banned all human medicine-related antibiotics for sub-therapeutic use in livestock feeds to reduce the potential of resistance to antibiotics in humans. A possible alternative to antibiotics for growth promotion and improvement of feed efficiency in domestic avian species is feeding living microbial cultures (Jin et al., 1997). Manystudies have been performed to measure the effect of probiotics on the growth performance of animals, including broiler chickens, in controlled environments, but there is little information available on this aspect when broiler is reared in our local open shed environment.

The current study was designed to investigate the effects of a probiotic on the growth performance, immune response to infectious bursal disease vaccine in terms of antibody titers and mortality or morbidity after challenge with infectious bursal disease virus.

Materials and Methods

Experimental birds

A total of 350 commercial day-old broiler chicks was obtained from a grandparent hatchery of Big Bird chicks and raised in the experimental opensided poultry house of the Microbiology Department,

Pakistan Water was provided *ad libitum*, but the feed offered to the experimental birds was measured. The commercial feed was provided by ²National feed and the mixture of (microorganisms) by Hilton Pharma.

Table 1 - Composition of Protexin™, Hilton Pharmaceuticals, Private, Limited-Pakistan.

Sr No	Composition (100 Gm)	Concentration			
1	Lactobacillus plantarum	1.89 x 10 ¹⁰ cfu/ kg			
2	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. Bulgaricus	3.09 x 10 ¹⁰ cfu/kg			
3	Lactobacillus acidophilus	3.09 x 10 10 10 cfu/kg			
4	Lactobacillus rhamnosus	3.09 x 10 ¹⁰ cfu/kg			
5	Bifidobacterium bifidum	3.00 x 10 cfu/kg			
6	Streptococcus salivarius subsp. Thermophilus	6.15 x 10 ¹⁰ cfu/kg			
7	Enterococcus faecium	8.85 x 10 ¹⁰ cfu/kg			
8	Aspergillus oryza	7.98 x 10 ¹⁰ cfu/kg			
9	Candida pintolopesii	7.98 x 10°cfu/kg			

The effect of the probiotic was evaluated by offering the product via feed to the broiler chicks from day 1 to day 49, as suggested by the manufacturer. The parameters used to evaluate the probiotic effects on broiler chicks were body weight gain (BWG); weight of lymphoid organs, such as bursa of fabricius, thymus and spleen; immune response to IBDV vaccinations; overall mortality and mortality and morbidity after challenge with virulent IBDV. The findings were compared with immune-suppressed (cyclophosphamide-treated) birds, non-treated birds (negative control) and vaccinated (35 days of age) and non-treated (positive control) birds, totaling seven treatment groups with eight chicks each.

Experimental groups:

On the first day, chicks were randomly divided into seven groups as described above and probiotic (50 or 150 g/ton) or cyclophosphamide (3 mg/bird) were mixed with the feed and offered to the different groups according treatment. The negative control group was non-treated and non-vaccinated. All the groups, except for the positive control group, were vaccinated against Infectious bursal disease (IBD), infectious bronchitis (IB) and avian influenza (AI) viruses. The vaccination against IBDV was performed on days 7 and 12. The IB



Immunomodulatory and Growth-Promoting Effect of a Probiotic Supplemented in the Feed of Broiler Chicks Vaccinated Against Infectious Bursal Disease

vaccination was given on day one. Vaccination against Al was performed on days 11 and 20.

Body weight and feed intake

Eight chicks were randomly selected according to weight on the first day of their age and then subsequently each week up to 49 days. Feed was daily weighed and offered to the birds in each treatment group throughout the experiment and feed residues were weighed to determine the daily feed intake per bird. Feed conversion ratio was weekly calculated as the amount (in grams) of feed consumed to produce 01 gram of live weight, as described by Morgan & Lewis (1962).

Feed Conversion = Feed intake / weight gain.

Determination of antibody titers and lymphoid organs weight

On day 1, five experimental chicks from each group were randomly selected for bleeding (jugular vein) and thereafter, on days 7, 14, 21, 28, 35 and 42, to check for anti-IBD antibodies. The serum and lymphoid organs were separated accordingly. Serum samples were stored at –20 °C till further use. Antibody titer against IBDV was determined by indirect hemagglutination inhibition (IHA) assay, as described by Gold & Fudenberg (1976).

The IHA antibody titer of each serum sample was expressed as the reciprocal of its end-point dilution. Similarly, the lymphoid organs (bursa of fabricious, spleen and thymus) were separated and weighed to calculate respective lymphoid organ body weight ratio, as discussed by Giambrone & Closser (1990).

Experimental challenge

A total of ten birds from each experimental group were randomly separated on day 35, and challenged with a field isolate of 3 IBDV at dose of 100 EID $_{50}$ (10 $^{-4.3}$ EID $_{50}$ per ml). Each bird was given 01 ml of inoculum intra-peritoneally and kept under observation for 10 days.

Statistical analysis

Data obtained from the treatment groups was compared by analysis of variance. The statistically significant differences among treatment means were determined using the least significant difference test at 5% probability level, as defined by Steel & Torrie (1982).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The groups supplemented with probiotic showed no mortality and morbidity, but the groups treated with cyclophosphamide or cyclophosphamide plus probiotic presented some sick chicks. It was observed that the probiotic-treated birds had overall lower mortality than the cyclophosphamide-treated and the non-treated and non-vaccinated chicks. The probiotic-treated groups had better protection as compared to those of control groups, helping the vaccinated chicks to completely resist the field IBDV challenge. However, the group treated with cyclophosphamide and vaccinated against infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) and the group non-treated and vaccinated against IBDV presented mortality after the IBDV challenge (Table-2). These findings are consistent with those of Cavazzoni et al. (1998) and Rajmane (2000), who also reported relatively lower mortality in chickens offered a feed treated with Bacillus coagulants, rendering the bird more resistant and decreasing the microbial burden.

It is evident from this study that product added to feed as probiotic slightly increased thymus weight of immunocompromised chicks. Mean spleen weight of the treatment groups were not significantly (p> 0.05) different. Rhee *et al.* (2004) and Haghighi *et al.* (2005) reported that probiotic-treated chicks had significantly higher serum IgM against sheep red blood cells (SRBC) than untreated chicks. Similarly, Inooka & Kimura (1983) studied the effects of *Bacillus natto* in feed on the SRBC antibody response of broilers, and observed an increase in antibody production in the chicks receiving in-feed *Bacillus natto*, concluding that the effect of enhancement of antibody production might be associated with spleen and thymus development.

In the present study, in order to evaluate the effect of probiotics on the immune system, the experimental birds were vaccinated with a IBDV vaccine on days 7 and 12. A low geometric mean heamagglutination antibody titer in the sera of day-old chicks, ranging from 77-79, was observed. The highest indirect hemagglutination inhibition (IHA) antibody titer (941) against infectious bursal diseases virus (IBDV) was observed on day 3 day in the serum of birds in group P150 (Protexin at a higher dose and no cyclophosphamide treat-

³ University Diagnostic Laboratory, University of Veterinary &



Immunomodulatory and Growth-Promoting Effect of a Probiotic Supplemented in the Feed of Broiler Chicks Vaccinated Against Infectious Bursal Disease

P50 (Protexin at the recommended dose and no cyclophosphamide treatment). Similarly, the positive--control group (only vaccinated) also showed better IHA antibody titer (675) against IBDV as compared to the cyclophosphamide-treated group (111). However, on day 49, the highest IHA antibody titer (181) against IBDV was observed in the group probiotic 50 followed by the group probiotic 150 (111). The IHA antibody titer against IBDV in the group treated with recommended dose of probiotic is significantly different (p<0.05) from all other treated groups on day 49 (Table 3). These findings agree with those of Paola & Perdigon (2003), who reported that Lactobacillus

casei significantly increased the amount of IgA in response to Salmonella typhimurium and protected mice against enteric infection. Zulkifli et al. (2000) also

Table 2 - Infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) post-challenge morbidity (M) and mortality (m) in various treatment groups.

Post-challenge period (days)	P50-C3 (M/m)	P50 (M/m)	P150-C3 (M/m)	P150 (M/m)	C3 (M/m)	Cont-V (M/m)	Cont-NV (M/m)
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1/0
3	-	-	-	-	-	1/0	2/1
4	-	-	-	-	1/1	-	2/0
5	-	-	-	-	1/0	1/0	0/2
6	1/0	-	1/0	-	2/1	-	-
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8			1/1		2/0	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	1/0 bird/s	-	1/1 bird/s	-	3/2 bird/s	1/0 bird	3/2 birds

P 50 C3 = Group fed 50 g probiotics/ton of feed & 3 mg cyclophosphamide/bird

P50 = Group fed 50 g probiotics/ton of feed

P 150 C3 = Group received 150 g probiotics/ton of feed & 3 mg cyclophosphamide/bird

P150 = Group received 150 g probiotics/ton of feed

C3 = Group treated with cyclophosphamide at 3 mg/bird

Cont V = non-treated group

Cont NV = Non-treated and non-vaccinated group

found that birds treated with a lactobacillus culture mounted a higher serum antibody response than the oxytetracycline-treated and the control birds. Similarly, Mohiti *et al.* (2007) and Noverr & Huffnagle (2004)

also reported that resident microbiota play a pivotal role in shaping the immune system repertoire.

Groups treated with probiotic showed satisfactory feed conversion ratio (FCR) as compared to groups receiving both probiotic cyclophosphamide. Probiotic-treated groups without cyclophosphamide presented better and satisfactory FCR, of 1.938 and 1.959, respectively, as compared to the cyclophosphamide-treated (1.980, 1.988 and 2.110, respectively) and control groups (2.090). Our findings are consistent with those of Nahashon et al. (1992). However, there are also conflicting research results concerning the effect of dietary probiotic supplementation. Leeson & Major (1990) suggested that only under stressful conditions

Table 3 - Geometric mean Titer (GMT) using indirect heamagglutination inhibition (IHA) antibody titer against IBDV in different treatments.

		-							
Day		IHA Antibody Titers against IBDV per Treatment Group							
	P50-C3	P50	P150-C3	P150	C3	Cont V	Cont NV		
7	78ª	79ª	77 a	78 a	79ª	79 a	79ª		
14	60 a	59ª	42 a	52 ª	45 ª	37 a	52 ª		
21	137 ª	111 ab	194 bc	147 bc	128ª	239 ^c	37 d		
28	84 a	181 b	97 ª	147 b	45 ac	119 ^b	20°		
35	162 ª	832 b	194°	941 b	111 a	675 ^d	27 ^c		
42	56 ab	160 °	34 ^{bd}	147 bc	69ª	84 a	18 ^d		
49	128 a	181 b	73 ^c	111 a	82 ^c	97 ^c	12 ^d		

P 50 C3 = Group fed 50 g probiotics/ton of feed & 3 mg cyclophosphamide/bird

P50 = Group fed 50 g probiotics/ton of feed

P 150 C3 = Group received 150 g probiotics/ton of feed & 3 mg cyclophosphamide/bird

P150 = Group received 150 g probiotics/ton of feed

C3 = Group treated with cyclophosphamide at 3 mg/bird Cont V = non-treated group

Cont NV = Non-treated and non-vaccinated group

Each value indicates the IHA GMT of the five samples repeated three times.

c d. Any two means carrying the same superscript are not significantly different from each other



Immunomodulatory and Growth-Promoting Effect of a Probiotic Supplemented in the Feed of Broiler Chicks Vaccinated Against Infectious Bursal Disease

Table 4 - Live body weight of broiler chicks of the different groups at different ages.

	Day 0	Day 7	Day 14	Day 21	Day 28	Day 35	Day 42
P50-C3	48.78±1.3ª	137.7±3.6ª	335.7±9.2 ^a	533.5±15.0 ^{acf}	865.9±21.2ac	1470.1±49.9 ^{ag}	1889.3±67.4 ^{ae}
P50	48.7±1.2ª	156.57±3.1 ^b	355.5±7.1 ^{ba}	628.6±12.4 ^b	948.6±18.3 ^b	1624.8±3. ^b	2141.0±34.2 ^b
P150-C3	49.4±1.4ª	127.0±4.0°	355.5±7.1 ^{ca}	503.3±19.7 ^{ca}	879.5±24.4 ^{cab}	1476.3±66.2 ^{cabg}	1957.5±39.5 [□]
P150	48.8±1.3ª	158.6±3.1 ^{db}	355.5±7.1 ^{da}	603.2±13.4 ^{de}	944.0±19.7 ^{db}	1297.2±60.5 ^d	2120.3±33.2 ^{db}
C3	48.8±1.3ª	118.6±2.9 ^{ec}	283.4±8.2 ^e	518.6±14.9 ^{ea}	835.7±23.3 ^{ea}	1297.2±60.0 ^{e d}	1812.7±43.5°
Cont	48.3±1.2 ^a	140.5±2.8 ^{fa}	344.5±7.1 ^{fa}	565.4±15.3 ^{fd}	866.7±11.1 ^{fad}	1554.7±44.1 ^{fab}	1962.1±47.2 ^{fac}
Cont NV	48.5±1.2ª	136.1±2.3 ^{gac}	350.9.5 ^{ga}	574.8±13.1 ^{gd}	715.9±27.8 ⁹	1389.2±36.gfd	1937.8±17.6 ^{gac}

P 50 C3 = Group fed 50 g probiotics/ton of feed & 3 mg cyclophosphamide/bird

P50 = Group fed 50 g probiotics/ton of feed

P 150 C3 = Group received 150 g probiotics/ton of feed & 3 mg cyclophosphamide/bird

P150 = Group received 150 g probiotics/ton of feed

C3 = Group treated with cyclophosphamide at 3 mg/bird

Cont V = non-treated group

Cont NV = Non-treated and non-vaccinated group

Each value indicates the IHA GMT of the five samples repeated three times.

a,b,c,d Any two means carrying the same superscript are not significantly different from each other.

coliform microorganisms increase in numbers and probiotics have measurable benefit.

REFERENCES

Cavazzoni V, Adami A, Castroville C. Performance of broiler chickens supplemented with Bacillus coagulans as probiotic. British Poultry Science 1998; (9): 526-539.

Finance Division, Government of Pakistan Economic Survey of Pakistan. Government of Pakistan, Islamabad; 167p.

Giambrone TJ, Closser J. Efficacy of live vaccines against serological subtypes of infectious bursal disease virus. Avian Diseases 1990; (34): 7-11

Gold ER, Fudenberg HH. Chromium Chloride coupling reagent for passive haemagglutination reaction. The Journal of Immunology 1976; (9):

Haghighi HR, Gong J, Gyles CL, Hayes M A, Sanei B, Parvizi P, Gisavi H, Chambers J R, Sharif S. Modulation of antibody-mediated immune response by probiotics in chickens. Clinical and Vaccine Immunology 2005; (12): 1387-1392.

Inooka S, Kimura M. The Effect of *Bacillus natto* in feed on sheep red blood cell antibody response in chickens. Avian Diseases1983; (27): 1086-1089

Jin LZ, Ho YW, Abdullah N Jalaluddin S. Probiotics in poultry: Mode of action. World's Poultry Science Journal 1997; (53): 351–368.

Leeson S, Major D. As biotechnology gains momentum. Canadian Researchers study need for feed criteria. Feedstuffs 1990; (62): 23–30.

Mohiti AM, Hosseini SA, Lollahian H, Shariatmadari F. Effect of Probiotics, Yeast, Vitamin E and Vitamin C Supplements on Performance and Immune Response of Laying Hen During High Environmental Temperature. International Journal of Poultry Science2007; 6 (12): 895-900

Morgan JT, Lewis D. Nutrition of pigs and poultry. Better Worth1962; (8): 465-470.

Nahashon SN, Nakaue HS, Mirosh LW. Effect of direct-fed microbial on nutrient retention and production parameters of laying pullets. Poultry Science1992; 71: 111.

Noverr MC, Huffnagle GB. Does the micro biota regulate immune responses outside the gut. Trends in Microbiology 2004; (12): 562-568.

Paola. GC, Perdigo G. Probiotics induce resistance to enteropathogens in a re-nourished mouse model. Journal of Dairy Research 2003; (70):

Putnam CW, Halgrimson CG, Groth CG, Kashiwagi N, Porter KA, Starlz TE. Immunosupression with cyclophosphamide in the Dog.Clinical Experimental Immunology 1975; (22) 323-329.

Rajmane BV. Efficacy of Protexin on performance of broilers Parel Mumbai. Bombay Veterinary College; 1998

Rhee KJ, Sethupathi P, Driks A, Lanning D K, Knight KL. Role of commensal bacteria in development of gut-associated lymphoid tissues and preimmune antibody repertoire. The Journal of Immunology 2004; (172): 1118-1124.

Soomro AH, Masud T, Rathore HA. Application of probiotic culture. Journal of veterinary and Animal advances 2002; (1): 40-42.

Steel GD, Torrie JH. Principal and Procedures of Statistics: a biochemical approach. New York: McGraw Hill International book Company; 1982. v. 64, p. 362-364.

Zulkiffli I, Abdullah N, Azrin MN, Ho YW. Growth performance and immune response of two commercial broiler strains fed diets containing lactobacillus cultures and oxytetracycline under heat stress conditions. British Poultry Science 2000; (41): 593-597.