



Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola

ISSN: 1516-635X

revista@facta.org.br

Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia
Avícolas
Brasil

Mendes, LR; Silva, RB; Bueno, CFD; Couto, FAP; Dias, AN; Fernandes, V; Faria Filho, DE
The inclusion of coffee in commercial layer diets
Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola, vol. 15, núm. 2, abril-junio, 2013, pp. 141-144
Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia Avícolas
Campinas, SP, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=179727930010>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal
Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative



■ Author(s)

Mendes LR¹
Silva RB¹
Bueno CFD¹
Couto FAP¹
Dias AN¹
Fernandes V¹
Faria Filho DE^{1*}

¹ Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais,
Instituto de Ciências Agrárias, Montes
Claros, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

■ Mail Address

*Corresponding author e-mail address
Av. Universitária, n. 1000, Bairro Universitário,
caixa postal 135, Montes Claros, MG,
CEP 39404-006.
E-mail: fariafilho@ufmg.br

■ Keywords

Layers, caffeinated coffee, decaffeinated coffee, caffeine.

ABSTRACT

This experiment aimed at evaluating the effect of the dietary inclusion of caffeinated and decaffeinated coffee on the performance and internal and external egg quality of commercial layers. One hundred and twenty 25-week-old Hy-line Brown layers, with 1575 ± 91 average body weight, were distributed according to a completely randomized experimental design with three treatments (control, 1.2% caffeinated coffee, or 1.2% decaffeinated coffee) of five replicates of eight birds each. The inclusion of 1.2% caffeinated coffee was calculated to supply 6mg caffeine per kg body weight, which is considered a moderate dose. The applied treatments did not influence ($p>0.05$) feed intake, egg production, egg weight, egg mass, feed conversion ratio, Haugh units, yolk color or albumen and yolk percentages. The eggs of hens fed 1.2% caffeinated coffee presented lower ($p<0.05$) eggshell thickness and egg specific density. The eggs of layers fed 1.2% caffeinated coffee tended ($p=0.0637$) to present lower eggshell percentage. It was concluded that feeding caffeinated coffee to commercial layers does not affect their performance or internal egg quality; however, eggshell quality is impaired.

INTRODUCTION

Coffee is drunk all over the world and it is known for its stimulating effect. This effect is produced by caffeine, a fat-soluble substance belonging to the group of drugs classified as methylxanthines (1,3,7-trimethylxanthine) that affect the central nervous system. In humans, caffeine is well absorbed by the gastrointestinal route and its peak blood concentration is obtained 15 to 45 minutes after its ingestion.

The consumption of low doses of caffeine (2mg/kg body weight) increases wakefulness, reduces drowsiness and fatigue, increases respiration and heart rates, and stimulated metabolism and diuresis (Braga & Alves, 2000). According to Felipe *et al.* (2005), caffeine consumption is considered excessive when daily ingestion is higher than 600mg for a person weighing 70kg, that is, 8.57 mg/kg body weight. Moderate doses range between those two values. Under experimental conditions, moderate doses of caffeine promote optimal physical and intellectual production, increase the individual's capacity to concentrate, and reduces the time of reaction to sensorial stimuli (Rall, 1987).

It would be expected that, when coffee is included in the feed, birds would be stimulated, seeking the feeders more actively, and consequently, increased their feed intake. Moreover, due to the diuretic effect of caffeine (Rang *et al.*, 2001), higher water consumption, which is directly associated with feed intake (Skinner-Noble & Teeter, 2004), would also be expected. Commercial layers are genetically selected



to have low feed intake. When associated with heat stress, layer feed intake may reach such low levels that their performance is impaired (Leeson *et al.*, 2000), and therefore, the dietary inclusion of coffee could be beneficial. However, no studies in scientific literature on the feeding of coffee to commercial layers were found.

This study aimed at evaluating the possible stimulating effect of the dietary inclusion of caffeinated or decaffeinated coffee on the performance and internal and external egg quality of commercial layers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Poultry Sector of the Institute of Agricultural Sciences of the Federal University of Minas Gerais, Montes Claros, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

One hundred and twenty 25-week-old Hy-line Brown® layers, with 1575 ± 91 g average body weight, were housed in metal battery cages (150cm long x 80cm wide x 60cm high) equipped with a trough feeder and a nipple drinker. Eight hens were housed per cage. Birds were submitted to conventional commercial management. The lighting program adopted was 17h of light daily.

Hens were distributed according to a completely randomized experimental design with three treatments (control, 1.2% caffeinated coffee, or 1.2% decaffeinated coffee) of five replicates of eight birds each. The control treatment consisted of a diet based on corn, a commercial concentrate, and ground rice hulls were used as inert material. A toasted and ground soluble commercial coffee brand was used for the caffeinated and decaffeinated coffee treatments. Both coffee types were included at 1.2 of the feed at the expense of inert material. The experimental diets contained equal energy and nutritional levels (Table 1). A coffee dose of 6mg/kg body weight, considered moderate for humans, 7.59mg/g average caffeine content in Brazilian coffee brands (Camargo & Toledo, 1998), 100g/hen/day average feed intake (Guia de Manejo Hy-Line Brown, 2009-2011), and 1.5kg average body weight were the factors used to calculate the amount of caffeinated coffee to be included in the diet. Therefore, a 1.5kg layer should consume 9mg caffeine daily. This requires the inclusion of approximately 1.2g of coffee in the diet. Considering 100g daily feed intake, dietary coffee inclusion rate was calculated as 1.2%. The treatment with decaffeinated coffee was used as another control, that is, coffee was fed to the hens, but it did not contain caffeine. Feed and water were offered *ad libitum*.

Table 1 – Experimental diets

Ingredients	Control	Caffeinated	Decaffeinated
Corn	58.5	58.5	58.5
Caffeinated coffee	0.0	1.2	0.0
Decaffeinated coffee	0.0	0.0	1.2
Inert material (rice husks)	1.5	0.3	0.3
Concentrate ^{1, 2}	40	40	40
Total	100	100	100
Energy and nutrients	Calculated values		
Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg)	2778	2778	2778
Crude protein (%)	16.89	16.89	16.89
Calcium (%)	4.02	4.02	4.02
Available phosphorus (%)	0.41	0.41	0.41
Sodium (%)	0.17	0.17	0.17
Methionine + cystine (%)	0.59	0.59	0.59

¹ Compositions/kg product: vitamin A 23000 IU; vitamin B₁ 10 mg; vitamin B₁₂ 20.5 mcg; vitamin B₂ 11 mg; vitamin B₆ 18.7 mg; vitamin D₃ 5000 IU; vitamin K₃ 3.05 mg; pantothenic acid 34.37 mg; niacin 100 mg; cobalt 0.10 mg; copper 20 mg; iron 250 mg; iodine 2.25 mg; manganese 275 mg; and zinc 150 mg. ² Guaranteed levels: moisture (max) 13%; crude protein (min) 30%; ether extract (min) 2%; crude fiber (max) 10%; ashes (max) 30%; calcium (max) 10%; phosphorus (min) 0.90%, sodium 0.4%, methionine+ cystine 1.0%; metabolizable energy 2000kcal/kg.

The following chemical composition (as fed) was used for coffee: 95.11% dry matter, 15.75% crude protein, 4.84% ashes, 14.22% crude fiber, and 4191kcal/kg gross energy (Silva *et al.*, 2007), and an amino acid profile of 1.17% methionine, 0.73% cystine, and 3.11% lysine (Illy & Viani, 1995).

The following performance parameters were evaluated during the period of 25 to 31 weeks: feed intake (g/hen/day), egg production (%/hen/day), egg weight (g), egg mass (g/hen/day), and feed conversion ratio (g/g). Feed intake was calculated as the difference between the feed quantity offered in the beginning of the experimental period and the feed residue at the end of that period. Egg production was obtained by daily recording the number of eggs laid and expressing the results as a percentage relative to the number of hens housed. Egg weight was determined at the end of the experimental period by weighing all eggs produced and calculating the average. Egg mass was calculated by multiplying egg production by egg weight. Feed conversion ratio was determined as the ratio between feed intake and egg mass.

The internal egg quality parameters Haugh units and yolk and albumen percentages were determined in all eggs of each replicate, while yolk color was assessed in four eggs per experimental unit. Haugh units (HU) were calculated according to the equation proposed by Nesheim *et al.* (1979) as $HU = 100 \times \log(h + 7.57 - 1.7 w^{0.37})$, where h is albumen height (mm) and w is



egg weight (g). Albumen height was measure at 1cm from the yolk with the aid of a caliper with 0.1mm precision. Eggs were weighed in a semi-analytical scale with 0.01g precision. Yolk and albumen were manually separated and weighed in a semi-analytical scale, and expressed as a percentage of the fresh egg. Yolk color was determined using DSM® color fan in a 1-15 scale by a single trained evaluator.

The following eggshell quality parameters were determined at the end of the experiment in all eggs: eggshell thickness (mm), eggshell percentage (% fresh eggs), and egg specific gravity (g/mL water). Eggshells were emptied and dried at room temperature for 72h to determine eggshell percentage and thickness. Eggshell thickness was measured using a caliper with 0.01mm precision. Egg specific gravity was obtained by immersing the eggs in 20L-capacity buckets filled with solutions with specific gravity between 1.0650 and 1.0950 in 0.0025 intervals, according to the protocol of Moreng & Avens (1990). Eggshell percentage was calculated as: $[100 - (\text{albumen } \% + \text{yolk } \%)]$.

Data were tested for the presence of outliers, studentized residual normality (Cramér-von Mises test), and variance homogeneity (Brown-Forsythe test). Data were then submitted to analysis of variance and means were compared by the test of Tukey at 5% significance level. Statistical analysis was carried out using SAS® software package (Littell *et al.*, 2002).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effects of the dietary inclusion of caffeinated and decaffeinated coffee on layer performance are presented in Table 2.

Table 2 – Feed intake (FI; g/hen/day), egg production (EP; %/hen/day), egg weight (EW, g), egg mass (EM; g/hen/day), and feed conversion ratio (FCR; g/g) of commercial layers

Treatments	FI	EP	EW	EM	FCR
Control	105.1 ± 1.5	78.9 ± 1.8	56.5 ± 0.7	44.6 ± 0.5	2.38 ± 0.06
Caffeinated coffee	108.3 ± 3.4	84.0 ± 0.8	54.8 ± 0.9	46.0 ± 0.9	2.35 ± 0.08
Decaffeinated coffee	99.0 ± 5.1	81.5 ± 4.8	56.1 ± 0.6	45.5 ± 2.4	2.31 ± 0.07
Probability	0.2488	0.4535	0.2962	0.7607	0.8253
CV (%)	8.02	7.06	2.90	6.56	6.87

Analysis of variance was not significant ($p>0.05$)

There was no effect of treatment ($p>0.05$) on egg production, egg weight, egg mass, or feed conversion ratio. Because caffeine has a stimulating effect (Braga & Alves, 2000), it was expected that birds

fed caffeinated coffee would search the feeder more frequently and consequently, would have higher feed intake. Moreover, due to the diuretic effect of caffeine (Rang *et al.*, 2001), higher water consumption, which is directly associated with feed intake (Skinner-Noble & Teeter, 2004), would also be expected. Possibly, the caffeine dose applied was too low to affect any performance parameters.

Table 3 – Yolk percentage (YOLK, %), albumen percentage (ALB; %), Haugh units (HU), and yolk color (COL, DSM® score) of commercial layers

Treatments	Yolk	ALB	HU	COL
Control	24.2 ± 0.5	60.1 ± 0.4	89.1 ± 2.0	4.9 ± 0.1
Caffeinated coffee	25.2 ± 0.5	59.8 ± 0.5	83.9 ± 1.1	4.6 ± 0.1
Decaffeinated coffee	24.5 ± 0.1	60.1 ± 0.1	85.9 ± 1.1	4.5 ± 0.1
Probability	0.2036	0.8526	0.0660	0.1450
CV (%)	3.29	1.36	3.40	5.50

Analysis of variance was not significant ($p>0.05$)

Internal egg quality results are presented in Table 3. There was no statistical difference ($p>0.05$) among treatments relative to yolk and albumen percentages, Haugh units or yolk color. On the other hand, eggshell quality was affected ($p<0.05$) by the treatments (Table 4). The results show that the eggs of hens fed caffeinated coffee presented thinner shells and lower specific density, showing that caffeine intake interferes with calcium metabolism, as previously demonstrated by Hernandez-Avila *et al.* (1991) and Kiel *et al.* (1990) in humans. Liu *et al.* (2011) found that bone mineral density and calcium content of growing rats were reduced with the dietary inclusion of 0.2% caffeine for 20 weeks relative to the control feed. Lacerda *et al.* (2010) found that 42-d-old rats fed coffee daily presented low bone mineral density. On the other hand, Sakamoto *et al.* (2001) concluded that the consumption of caffeinated coffee does not stimulate bone loss in rats and believe this is a controversial issue.

Table 4 – Egg specific density (DEN; g/mL), eggshell thickness (ET; mm), and eggshell percentage (ESP; %) of commercial layers

Treatments	DEN	EST	ESP
Control	1.094 ± 0.001 a	0.618 ± 0.002 a	15.7 ± 0.3
Caffeinated coffee	1.090 ± 0.001 b	0.585 ± 0.005 b	15.0 ± 0.2
Decaffeinated coffee	1.092 ± 0.001 ab	0.603 ± 0.004 a	15.4 ± 0.1
Probability	0.0319	0.0013	0.0637
CV (%)	0.20	1.44	3.22

Means followed by different letters in the same column are different by the test of Tukey at 5% significance level ($p<0.05$)



Another essential matter to be considered is the cost of dietary coffee inclusion. Taking into account average coffee price in retail stores is R\$10/kg and a dietary inclusion of 1.2%, this would mean an additional feed cost of R\$120/ton, rendering its use unfeasible. No scientific articles on feeding coffee to poultry were found, and therefore, further studies using coffee dregs, because it is a cheap byproduct and with economic potential, are recommended.

CONCLUSIONS

It was concluded that feeding caffeinated coffee to commercial layers does not affect their performance or internal egg quality; however, eggshell quality is impaired.

REFERENCES

- Braga LC, Alves MP. A cafeína como recurso ergogênico nos exercícios de endurance. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência e Movimento* 2000;8(3):33-37.
- Camargo MCR, Toledo MCF. Teor de cafeína em cafés brasileiros. *Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos* 1998;18(4):421-424.
- Felipe L, Simões LC, Gonçalves DU, Mancini PC. Avaliação do efeito da cafeína no teste vestibular. *Revista Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia* 2005;71(6):758-762.
- GUIA DE MANEJO HY-LINE BROWN (2009-2011) [cited 2010 mar 3]. Available from: <http://www.hy-line.com>
- Hernandez-Avila M, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Speizer FE, Willett WC. Caffeine, moderate alcohol intake, and risk of fractures of the hip and forearm in middle-aged women. *American Journal Clinical Nutrition* 1991;54:157-163.
- Holmgren P, Nordén-Pettersson L, Ahlner J. Caffeine fatalities - four case reports. *Forensic Science International* 2004;139(1):71-73.
- Illy A, Viani R. Express coffee: the chemistry of quality. San Diego, Academic; 1995.
- Kiel DP, Felson DT, Hannan MT, Anderson JJ, Wilson PW. Caffeine and the risk of hip fracture: the Framingham Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1990;132(4):675-684.
- Lacerda SA, Matuoka RI, Macedo RM, Petenusci SO, Campos AA, Brentegani LG. Bone quality associated with daily intake of coffee: a biochemical, radiographic and histometric study. *Brazilian Dental Journal* 2010;21(3):199-204.
- Leeson S, Summers JD, Diaz GJ. *Nutrición aviar comercial*. Bogotá: Universidad de Colombia; 2000.
- Littell RC, Stroup WW, Freund RJ. *SAS For Linear Models*. 4th ed. Cary (NC): SAS Institute; 2002.
- Liu SH, Chen C, Yang RS, Yen YP, Yang YT, Tsai C. Caffeine enhances osteoclast differentiation from bone marrow hematopoietic cells and reduces bone mineral density in growing rats. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* 2011;29(6):954-60.
- Moreng RE, Alves JS. *Ciência e produção de aves*. São Paulo: Roca; 1990.
- Nesheim MC, Austic RE, Card LE. *Poultry Production*. 12nd ed. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger; 1979.
- Sakamoto W, Nishihira J, Fujie K, Iizuka T, Handa H, Ozaki M, Yukawa S. Effect of coffee consumption on bone metabolism. *Bone* 2001;28(3):332-336.
- Silva RF, Ascheri JLR, Pereira RGFA. Composição centesimal e perfil de aminoácidos de arroz e pó de café. *Alimentação e Nutrição* 2007;18(3):325-330.
- Skinner-Noble DO, Teeter RG. Components of feed efficiency in broiler breeding stock: the use of fasted body temperature as an indicator trait for feed conversion in broiler chickens. *Poultry science* 2004;83(4):515-520.
- Rall TW. In: Gilman AG, Goodman LS, Rall TW, Murad F. Goodman & Gilman: *As bases farmacológicas da terapêutica*. 7nd ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan; 1987.
- Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM. *Farmacologia*. 4^a ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan; 2001.