



Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola

ISSN: 1516-635X

revista@facta.org.br

Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia
Avícolas
Brasil

Torres, TR; Ludke, M do CM M; Ludke, JV; dos Santos, MJB; Lima, MR; dos Santos, PA
Performance of Broilers Fed During 21 Days on Mash or Pellet Diets Containing Whole or Ground
Pearl Millet Grain

Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola, vol. 15, núm. 4, outubro-diciembre, 2013, pp. 371-378
Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia Avícolas
Campinas, SP, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=179729387012>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal
Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative



Performance of Broilers Fed During 21 Days on Mash or Pellet Diets Containing Whole or Ground Pearl Millet Grain

■Author(s)

Torres TR^I
Ludke M do CM M^{II}
Ludke JV^{III}
Santos MJB dos^I
MR Lima^I
Santos PA dos^I

^I Animal Scientist, PhD student in Animal Science, UFRPE, thaysatorres@hotmail.com, Recife, PE.

^{II} Animal Scientist, Associate Professor, Department of Animal Science, UFRPE, Recife, PE.

^{III} Researcher, EMBRAPA Suínos e Aves, Concordia, SC.

■Mail Address

Corresponding author e-mail address
Animal Science, UFRPE, Recife, PE
E-mail: thaysatorres@hotmail.com

■Keywords

Alternative feedstuff, body composition, diet physical form, weight gain, organ weight.

ABSTRACT

An experiment was carried out to evaluate the effect of the inclusion of 20% whole-grain or ground pearl millet (PM) in mash and pelleted diets on the performance, carcass traits, and organ weights of broilers reared until 21 days of age. A randomized block experimental design in a 3 x 2 factorial arrangement (diets containing corn and soybean meal, whole-grain PM, or ground PM x mash or pelleted diets), with five replicates per treatment and 10 birds per experimental unit, was applied. Diets were analyzed for mean geometric diameter, geometric standard deviation, pellet hardness, and density. Broiler performance, carcass yield, and organ weights were evaluated. On day 21, one bird with the average weight of each experimental unit was sacrificed for carcass evaluation. It was concluded that both as whole-grain and ground PM can be added to the diet of broilers up to 21 days of age. The dietary inclusion of PM results in higher abdominal fat deposition. Broilers fed the pelleted diets presented lower feed intake, better feed conversion ratio, lower gizzard and heart percentages, and higher carcass weight.

INTRODUCTION

The high cost of broiler feeds has stimulated an increasing demand for alternative feed stuffs, including pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L. Leeke), which is cultivated for different purposes in four million hectares in Brazil annually. In the Midwestern region of Brazil, it is planted in the spring using a no-tillage system as a cover crop to supply nutrients for the next summer crop or during the second harvest for soil cover, nutrient cycling, and grain production (Netto & Durães, 2005). The surplus of grains harvested for seed and not used for planting is sold as feedstuff, at 60-75% of the average corn price.

Pearl millet (PM) has higher protein content, but lower apparent metabolizable energy (AMEn) than corn for broilers (Rostagno *et al.*, 2011). However, according to Murakami *et al.* (2009), the AMEn of modern PM hybrids is very similar to that of corn. Pearl millet can be added at 40% to pre-starter and starter iso-nutrient broiler diets (Gomes *et al.*, 2008) with no harm to their performance. Davis *et al.* (2003) concluded that it was feasible to include 50% PM in iso-nutrient diets, and Murakami *et al.* (2009) asserted that it is profitable to replace 100% corn by PM in the diets of broilers of all phases. According to Baurhoo *et al.* (2011), broiler performance was superior when corn was completely replaced by PM in diets. On the other hand, with complete and isometric replacement of corn by different millet types, Rao *et al.* (2004) described worse weight gain and feed conversion ratio of 21- and 42-d-old broilers.



Different PM cultivars present different productivity, nutritional composition, and grain texture (Hadimani *et al.*, 2001). Grain texture determines the effectiveness of grinding, affecting mill energy use and profitability. Dozier *et al.* (2005) observed that energy expenditure (in kWh/ton) increases in 30% when PM is ground to 2.4-mm mesh, resulting in a mean geometric diameter (MGD) of 492 μ m, compared with the use of 3.2-mm mesh, producing a particles with 611- μ m Dgw. Fine grinding increases the temperature of the ground fraction in 3.4°C. Considering the results of those authors, the energy required for grinding and pelleting was reduced in 19% when manufacturing pelleted diets containing 50% PM ground finer. However, according to Hidalgo *et al.* (2004), the use of whole-grain PM at 10% inclusion level in nutritionally balanced diets does not affect broiler performance or pellet quality.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the performance, carcass traits, and organ weights of broilers fed mash or pelleted diets containing 20% whole-grain or ground PM until 21 days of age.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three hundred one-d-old male Ross chicks were distributed, according to body weight, in 30 identical pens (1.00 m x 1.95 m) inside a poultry house. A randomized block experimental design in a 3 x 2 factorial arrangement was applied. Treatments consisted of three nutritionally balanced diets [a reference diet based on corn and soybeans (RD), a diet with 20% whole-grain millet, and a diet with 20% ground millet (GM)] and two physical forms [mash (MASH) or pelleted (PELL)]. There were five replicates per treatment. Birds were vaccinated in the hatchery against Marek's disease, infectious bursal disease (IBD), and Newcastle disease, and were revaccinated against IBD and Newcastle disease in the poultry house at seven days of age.

Feeds were manufactured with ground feedstuffs in a hammer mill with a 2.00-mm mesh. During pelleting, water was heated to 80°C at a ratio of 30% water and 70% mash in the conditioner. This homogenized material was then transferred to the pelleting press. The pelleted feed was placed on trays lined with newspaper and dried in a forced-ventilation oven at 55°C for 16 hours. After pelleting, pre-starter diets were crumbled in a crumbler with a 2-mm mesh, and the starter diets were manually broken to achieve a diameter that allowed their ingestion by the birds.

The pre-starter diet was fed from one to seven days of age and the starter diet from eight to 21 days of age. Feed formulation was based on digestible amino acid, considering the requirements proposed by Rostagno *et al.* (2005), as shown in Table 1. Feed samples were collected after manufacturing to determine diet physical characteristics and for routine chemical analyses. Pellet hardness was determined according to the methodology described by Schmidt *et al.* (2009). Feed apparent density was determined by pouring the sample into a test tube placed on a precision scale in order to prevent sample compaction, and the mass (weight) was recorded and expressed in g/L.

Table 1 – Ingredients and calculated composition of the pre-starter (1-7 days) and starter (8-21 days) experimental diets.

Ingredients	Pre-starter		Starter	
	No millet	With millet	No millet	With millet
Corn grain	53.148	35.065	55.159	37.100
Soybeanmeal	38.106	35.061	36.262	33.200
Millet	0.000	20.000	0.000	20.000
Soybeanoil	3.464	4.501	3.870	4.920
Dicalcium phosphate	1.945	1.967	1.840	1.854
Calcitic limestone	0.938	0.942	0.880	0.880
Salt	0.518	0.524	0.500	0.500
Vitamin and mineral premix ¹	0.200	0.200	0.200	0.200
L-Lysine HCL (78%)	0.363	0.418	0.193	0.240
DL-Methionine (99%)	0.384	0.384	0.270	0.270
L-threonine 99%	0.167	0.173	0.060	0.070
Adsorbent ²	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.500
Cholinechloride 60%	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100
Antifungal agent ³	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100
Zinc bacitracin (10%)	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050
Antioxidant (BHT) ⁴	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
Binder ⁵	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
Calculated composition				
Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg)	3000	3000	3050	3050
Crude protein, %	22.11	22.11	21.14	21.14
Calcium, %	0.950	0.950	0.900	0.900
Available phosphorus, %	0.471	0.471	0.450	0.450
Digestible lysine, %	1.363	1.363	1.189	1.189
Digestible methionine + cystine (%)	0.968	0.968	0.844	0.844
Digestiblephenylalanine + tyrosine, %	1.682	1.634	1.628	1.580
Digestible isoleucine, %	0.863	0.889	0.833	0.859
Digestible leucine, %	1.709	1.692	1.669	1.652
Digestible threonine (%)	0.886	0.886	0.773	0.773
Digestible tryptophan (%)	0.245	0.247	0.236	0.238

¹Guaranteed levels per kg product: vit. A (10,000,000IU), vit. D3 (2,000,000IU), vit. E (20,000mg), vit. K3 (4,000mg), vit. B1 (1880mg), vit. B2 (5000mg), vit. B6 (2000mg), vit. B12 (10,000mcg), Niacin (30,000mg), Pantothenic Acid (13,500mg), Folic Acid (500 mg), Selenium (360mg), Zinc (110,000mg), Iodine (1400mg), Copper (20,000mg), Manganese (156 000 mg), Iron (96.000mg), Antioxidant (100.000mg), QSP vehicle 1000g.

²Adsorbent Azomite. ³Calcium propionate. ⁴Butylated hydroxy toluene, ⁵Sodium Alginate.



Particle size was determined as described by the ANSI/ASAE Standards (2008), aiming at calculating Dgw and geometric standard deviation (Sgw) of the experimental feeds. Calculations were performed using the software program Softgran, version 2.0, developed by Embrapa Suínos e Aves (2011).

The duration of the experiment was 21 days, and water and feed were supplied *ad libitum*. Birds and feed residues in each experimental unit were weekly weighed, and mortality, when present, was recorded. Weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion ratio, and energy and protein efficiencies for weight gain were calculated for the pre-starter and starter phases.

On day 21, birds were weighed, and two birds per experimental unit, which body weight was close to the average weight of each pen, were selected. These birds were feed fasted for six hours, and then sacrificed by neck dislocation. Carcasses were then bled, scalded, plucked, and eviscerated. Hot carcasses and organs were weighed. Heart, gizzard, liver, pancreas and the

small and large intestines were collected. Gizzards were opened and rinsed with water to remove their feed content, and were again weighed (empty gizzard weight) after fat removal. Total carcass fat was determined as the sum of abdominal fat, gizzard fat, and the fat around the ischium, surrounding the bursa of Fabricius, cloaca, and adjacent abdominal muscles. Digestive organ percentage was calculated as the ratio between organ weight and fasting live weight. The main parts were cut, and carcass yield and parts (breast, leg (drumstick+thigh), and wing) yields were determined. Carcass yield was determined as the ratio between carcass weight and fasting live weight, and parts yield were calculated as the ratio between parts weight and cold carcass weight.

Data homoscedasticity was analyzed by the test of Bartlett. Data were then submitted to analysis of variance using the statistical package Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS, 2000). Means were compared by the test of Tukey at 5% probability level.

Table 2 – Effect of diet formulation and physical form on average feed intake (FI, g/bird), weight gain (WG, g/bird), feed conversion ratio (FCR, g/g), energy efficiency (EE, kcal/g), and protein efficiency (PE, g/g) during the pre-starter (1-7 days) and starter (8-21 days) and total experimental period.

Parameters	Diets (D)			p value	Physical form		p value	CV (%)	D x PF
	WGM	GM	RD		MASH	PELL			
Pre-starter phase (1-7 days)									
FI, g/bird	148.4	150.6	146.1	0.2814	152.7 ^a	144.0 ^b	0.0023	4.46	0.5862
WG, g/bird	148.0	150.0	149.3	0.7747	146.8	151.4	0.0549	4.24	0.0461
FCR, g/g	1.01 ^a	1.00 ^{ab}	0.98 ^b	0.0248	1.04 ^a	0.95 ^b	0.0001	2.12	0.0031
EE, kcal/g	0.335 ^{ab}	0.331 ^b	0.341 ^a	0.0372	0.320 ^b	0.351 ^a	0.0001	2.58	0.0185
PE, g/g	4.52 ^b	4.51 ^b	4.63 ^a	0.0153	4.35 ^b	4.76 ^a	0.0001	2.16	0.0052
Starter phase (8-21 days)									
FI, g/bird	988.4 ^a	947.1 ^b	959.9 ^{ab}	0.0489	985.9 ^a	944.3 ^b	0.0046	3.57	0.2925
WG, g/bird	766.1	744.0	749.7	0.2861	743.4	763.2	0.0951	4.10	0.1161
FCR, g/g	1.29	1.27	1.28	0.6975	1.33 ^a	1.24 ^b	0.0001	2.62	0.4120
EE, kcal/g	0.224 ^b	0.234 ^a	0.230 ^{ab}	0.0043	0.219 ^b	0.239 ^a	0.0001	2.40	0.0407
PE, g/g	3.67	3.72	3.70	0.5698	3.57 ^b	3.82 ^a	0.0001	2.64	0.3619
Total period (1-21 days)									
FI, g/bird	1166.5	1128.0	1137.0	0.0771	1170.4 ^a	1117.0 ^b	0.0010	3.18	0.3068
WG, g/bird	914.0	893.2	892.8	0.3707	886.0	914.1	0.0569	4.21	0.0805
FCR, g/g	1.24	1.22	1.22	0.3405	1.27 ^a	1.18 ^b	0.0001	2.13	0.2089

a-b – Means in the same row followed by different lowercase letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$); P – probability; CV - coefficient of variation; D x PF – interaction between diet formulation and physical form.



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There was no effect of treatments on feed intake in the pre-starter phase, on feed conversion ratio and protein efficiency in the starter phase, or on weight gain in both evaluated phases (Table 2). Considering the total experimental period of 21 days, there were no weight gain, feed intake, or feed conversion ratio differences among broilers fed the treatment diets. Davies *et al.* (2003), feeding up to 66% ground PM to broilers, found that the performance and carcass yield of broilers fed up to 55% PM was equivalent or better than of those fed a typical corn- and soybean meal-based diet. Hidalgo *et al.* (2004) included up to 20% whole-grain PM in the diet and evaluated its digestion, measured by the presence of whole grains in the excreta at 14 days of age. It was determined that 98.5% of the whole grains were readily digested, and there were no differences in digestibility values among diets.

In the present experiment, diet physical form (MASH or PELL) influenced feed intake. Feed intake of the broilers fed the mash feeds was 6 and 4% higher compared with those fed the pelleted feeds during the pre-starter and the starter phases, respectively. These results are different from those reported by Dalke *et al.* (2001), who evaluated mash and pelleted feeds with the same particle size. They found that broilers fed mash or pelleted diets presented the same feed intake. Energy expenditure to consume pelleted feeds is lower than that needed to consume finely-ground feeds. According to Klasing (2000), poultry prefer to eat particles with an oval shape as they are easier to grasp, and therefore less time is required to feed. Hence, feed intake regulation depends on diet physical form, as poultry prefer large particles instead of finely-ground meals (Moran, 1987).

The use of pelleted feed promoted better weight gain, feed conversion ratio, and energy and protein efficiency compared with mash diets in both evaluated phases. Larger particles usually result in longer transit time due to the longer retention time of the feed in the gizzard (Carré, 2004). This author mentions that literature data suggest that coarse grinding also reduces water excretion and often improve protein digestibility due to better control of intestinal transit via gastric emptying. Nagano *et al.* (2003) also obtained better average body weight and feed conversion ratio in 7-d-old broilers fed pelleted and extruded feeds compared with those fed mash feeds.

The interaction between diet formulation and physical form influenced weight gain, feed conversion ratio, and both energy and protein efficiency of the

broilers in the pre-starter, but only energy efficiency in the starter phase (Table 3).

Table 3 – Details of the influence of the interaction between diet composition and physical form on studied parameters.

Diets	Physical form	
	Mash	Pelleted
Feed conversion ratio (1-7 days of age), g/g		
Whole-grain millet feed	1.07 ^a	0.94 ^b
Ground millet feed	1.03 ^a	0.98 ^b
Reference diet	1.03 ^a	0.94 ^b
Individual weight gain (1-7 days of age), g		
Whole-grain millet feed	141.53 ^b	154.53 ^a
Ground millet feed	151.08	
Reference diet	147.8	148.92
		150.79
Energy efficiency (1-7 days of age), kcal/g		
Whole-grain millet feed	0.314 ^b	0.356 ^a
Ground millet feed	0.322 ^b	0.340 ^a
Reference diet	0.323 ^b	0.359 ^a
Protein efficiency (1-7 days of age), g/g		
Whole-grain millet feed	4.24 ^b	4.80 ^{ABa}
Ground millet feed	4.40 ^b	4.62 ^{Ba}
Reference diet	4.40 ^b	4.86 ^{Aa}
Energy efficiency (8-21 days of age), kcal/g		
Whole-grain millet feed	0.218 ^b	0.230 ^{Ba}
Ground millet feed	0.222 ^b	0.245 ^{Aa}
Reference diet	0.218 ^b	0.242 ^{Aa}

a-b and A-B: Means followed by different lowercase letters in the same row and upper-case letters in the same column are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

The details of the interaction show a negative effect of the inclusion of whole-grain PM on the performance of chicks fed the mash diet. During the pre-starter phase, chicks were heavier when the diet containing whole-grain PM was pelleted. Energy efficiency was better when birds were fed the pelleted diets in all evaluated phases. However, differences among pelleted diets were observed, with lower energy efficiency when whole-grain PM was added. During the pre-starter phase, the calculated protein efficiency of chicks fed the pelleted diet was affected by diet formulation. Those fed ground PM presented lower protein efficiency values compared with those fed pelleted the corn and soybean meal diet (RD), whereas similar values were obtained in those fed GM and RD in the mash form. During the pre-starter phase, weight gain was lower in the chicks fed the mash diet containing WGM, but there was no difference among those fed the pelleted diets, probably because the pelleting process reduced the selection of millet grains in the mash diet. Moreover, the use of energy and protein depends on the physical form of the consumed grain, and pellets may reduce ingestion time (Moran, 1987) and energy spent for food apprehension (Flemming *et al.*, 2002).



Some of the observed interactions between diet formulation and physical form may be attributed to the physical characteristics of the diets. Pellet hardness values determined for the starter diets were 87, 86, and 86.4% for the WGM (whole-grain millet), GM (ground millet), and RD (reference diet), respectively. These values are higher than those described by Dozier *et al.* (2005), who evaluated pelleted diets containing three different PM particle sizes and two PM inclusion levels. According to Moran (1987), the hardness of good-quality pellets is 87%, whereas low-quality pellets present 23% hardness. Hidalgo *et al.* (2004) obtained a pellet hardness of 89% when including 10% PM in a starter diet. The values calculated in the present study are consistent with those published by Parsons *et al.* (2006), who used corn with a Dgw of 491 μm . Nevertheless, pellet hardness depends on multiple and interdependent factors, such as processing temperature, feedstuff type, mill type and grinding degree, additive use, differences in the evaluation methods, etc.

During the pre-starter phase, the density of the mash diets (in g/L) was 835, 846, and 845 for WGM, GM, and RD, respectively. The pelleted diets presented densities of 880, 920, and 910 for WGM, GM, and RD, respectively. Similar results were obtained for the starter phase, with mash diet density values of 880, 890, and 870 for WGM, GM, and RD, respectively, and 920, 915, and 935 for pelleted WGM, GM, and RD, respectively. The density values determined for the pelleted diets are higher than those described by Parsons *et al.* (2006), who used finely-ground corn. The pelleted/crumbled diets in the pre-starter phase and the pelleted diets in the starter phase presented higher density than the mash diets. This result was expected, because pelleting changes particle texture or size, the attraction force among particles, and the number of contact points,

as well as anti-agglomerating agents, affecting diet density, as mentioned by Passos Jr. & Bose (2002).

The pelleted/crumbled diets fed in the pre-starter phase presented Dgw (in μm) of 1029, 935 and 880 for the RD, WGM, and GM diets, respectively, with an associated Sgw of 2.28, 2.10, and 2.05, in the same order. Sgw represents the degree of lack of uniformity among feed particles. According to Nir *et al.* (1994), broilers fed low-Sgw diets presented better weight gain and feed conversion ratio compared with those fed diets with higher Sgw. However, this depends on the type of cereal (corn, sorghum, wheat, or millet) in the diet, and therefore, different results are expected particularly because for the same type of mill and mesh, different cereals present similar Dgw, but their Sgw may be highly variable. The mash pre-starter diet presented Dgw values (in μm) of 736, 854 and 682 for the RD, WGM, and GM diets, respectively, with an associated Sgw of 1.83, 1.88, and 1.80. Flemming *et al.* (2002) compared mash pre-starter diets based on corn and soybean meal, with Dgw between 703 and 1058 μm , and obtained lower feed intake and weight gain in broilers fed the diet with the highest Dgw. However, there was no effect on broiler performance in the starter, grower, or finisher phases. This shows that, for broilers, the particle size of the mash diet affects their performance during the pre-starter phase. The pelleted/crumbled diets fed in the starter phase presented Dgw values (in μm) of 2735, 2556, and 2535 for RD, WGM, and GM, respectively, with associated Sgw, in the same order of 1.87, 1.76, and 1.97. The mash starter diets presented Dgw values (in μm) of 817, 881, and 724 for RD, WGM, and GM, respectively, with associated Sgw values, in the same order of 1.65, 1.89, and 1.68. In the pre-starter phase, independently of diet physical form, Dgw and Sgw were strongly and positively correlated (Table 4).

Table 4 – Values of the correlation between diet physical form and performance parameters in the pre-starter phase, when the interaction between diet formulation and physical form was significant.

	Mash diets							
	Dens ¹	Dgw	Sgw	FCR	WG	EE	PE	FI
Dens	-	0.129	0.061	0.427	-0.096	-0.517	-0.427	0.412
Dgw	0.609	-	0.998	0.952	-0.999	-0.916	-0.952	-0.850
Sgw	0.470	0.986	-	0.929	-0.999	-0.886	-0.929	-0.884
FCR	-0.971	-0.782	-0.668	-	-0.941	-0.994	-0.999	-0.648
WG	0.891	0.182	0.018	-0.756	-	0.902	0.941	0.867
EE	0.925	0.865	0.770	-0.989	0.652	-	0.995	0.567
PE	0.885	0.909	0.827	-0.971	0.577	0.995	-	0.648
FI	-0.420	-0.976	-0.998	0.626	0.038	-0.733	0.795	-
Pelleted/crumbled diets								

¹Dens - diet density, Dgw - geometric mean diameter, Sgw - geometric standard deviation, FCR - feed conversion ratio (g/g), WG - weight gain (g), EE - energy efficiency (kcal/g) and PE - protein efficiency (g/g), FI - feed intake (g)



In the pre-starter phase, the density of the mash diets did not present any significant correlation with the evaluated performance parameters. However, in the Dgw range between 682 μm (GM diet) and 854 μm (WGM diet), there was an inverse relation between Dgw and performance. For instance, feed conversion ratio increased with Dgw, i.e., higher Dgw values worsened feed conversion ratio. On the other hand, the density of pelleted diets was positively correlated with weight gain, energy efficiency, and protein efficiency, and inversely correlated with feed conversion ratio (higher density promoted better feed conversion ratio).

In the pre-starter phase, the Dgw values of the pelleted diets was positively correlated only with energy and protein efficiencies, and negatively correlated with feed intake. The Sgw values followed the same trend. The higher the Dgw (and Sgw) values, the lower the feed intake, and due to a subtle feed restriction, energy and protein efficiencies improved.

During the starter phase, the density of the mash diets was negatively correlated with Dgw and Sgw values, and positively correlated with energy efficiency (Table 5). However, in the pelleted diets, density was positively correlated only with Dgw, and there was no significant correlation between Dgw and Sgw; however, Sgw was positively correlated with energy efficiency. This is an indirect effect of the marginal reduction in feed intake caused by higher Sgw values, as observed in the GM and RD diets. The absence of correlation between Dgw and Sgw in pelleted diets is due to the fact that pellets were manually crumbled to obtain the adequate diameter to allow diet ingestion by chicks between eight and 21 days of age.

Table 5 – Values of the correlation between diet physical characteristics and energy efficiency during the starter phase.

	Mash diet			
	Density	Dgw ¹	Sgw	Energy efficiency
Density	-	-0.994	-0.803	0.866
Dgw	0.943	-	0.735	-0.914
Sgw	0.267	-0.068	-	-0.397
Energy efficiency	0.545	0.236	0.954	-
Pelleted diet				

¹Dgw – geometric mean diameter, Sgw – geometric standard deviation

The evaluated diets did not influence carcass yield or parts yield (Table 6). However, carcass weight was significantly affected by diet physical form, with heavier carcasses obtained from broilers fed pelleted diets.

The interaction between diet formulation and physical form affected carcass weight, but no significant differences were detected when the interaction was detailed. Davis *et al.* (2003) evaluate different millet levels fed to broilers until 42 days of age and found that the inclusion of up to 50% PM in pelleted diets did not affect carcass yield.

Absolute and relative heart, liver, intestine, lung, spleen, and gizzard weights were not affected by diet formulation (Table 7). Hidalgo *et al.* (2004) using whole-grain PM in mash diets reported an increase in gizzard relative weight when PM levels of 10% or higher were included in the diet of 15-d-old broilers. However, gizzard weight was affected by diet physical form, promoting higher weight and yield in the broilers fed mash relative to pelleted diets. The mash diet may have stimulated gizzard function, resulting in the expressive muscle development observed. Engberg

Table 6 – Average carcass weight, carcass yield, and parts yield of 21-d-old broilers as a function of diet formulation and physical form.

Parameters	Diets (D)			p value	Physical form (PF)		p value	CV (%)	p value D X PF
	WGM	GM	RD		MASH	PELL			
Carcass weight, g	726.4	714.2	719.3	0.5819	709.9 ^b	730.0 ^a	0.0471	3.61	0.0362
Carcass yield, %	72.2	71.9	71.8	0.7641	71.77	72.16	0.4222	1.83	0.6268
Neck, %	6.97	7.70	8.10	0.0914	7.53	7.65	0.7603	14.54	0.5482
Breast, %	30.56	30.96	29.99	0.4106	30.66	30.34	0.5919	5.26	0.6977
Back, %	21.06	20.39	20.69	0.5898	20.34	21.09	0.1694	7.00	0.2298
Leg, %	29.57	29.78	30.10	0.4893	29.86	29.77	0.7942	3.27	0.3371
Wing, %	10.98	11.28	11.22	0.5819	11.40	10.92	0.0604	5.95	0.8614

a-b: Means in the same row followed by different lowercase letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$); p – probability; CV - coefficient of variation; D x PF – interaction between diet formulation and physical form.



et al. (2002) mentioned that the low feed volume in the gizzard of broilers fed pelleted feeds may result in lower gizzard weight due to the lower stimulus of mechanical actions promoted by the presence of feed. Consistent results are reported in literature (Engberg *et al.*, 2002; Lopez & Baiao, 2004), showing that gizzard relative weight of broilers fed mash diets is greater than of those fed pelleted feeds. Diet physical form significantly affected heart weight, with higher values obtained in the broilers fed the mash diets.

Total and relative abdominal fat weights were significantly influenced by diet formulation, with the diets containing PM, either as whole grain or ground, promoting higher values. As broilers fed RD and GM presented the similar numerical weight gain and feed conversion ratio values during the total experimental period, the higher abdominal fat content in the GM-fed broilers was unexpected. The broilers fed WGM presented 10.5% and 17.3% heavier livers and intestines, respectively, compared with the those fed the RD diet; however, these differences were not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$). Also the WGM-

fed birds had 32.4% higher abdominal fat content ($p > 0.05$) and 26.6% reduction in pancreas weight ($p > 0.05$) relative to RD-fed broilers.

There are multiple concurrent factors related to the treatments that influenced the observed results, including Dgw, Sgw, and diet density. All exerted some degree of influence in different moments, particularly on feed intake.

CONCLUSIONS

The inclusion of 20% pearl millet in broiler diets, either as whole grain or ground, does not have negative effects on performance, carcass yield, or organ weight, independently of the feed is supplied as mash or pellets. Regardless the physical form of the feed, the inclusion of millet in the feeds increased abdominal fat deposition.

REFERENCES

Ansi/Asae. Method for determining and expressing fineness of feed materials by sieving. [ASAE Standard S319.4]. St. Joseph: American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers; 2008.

Table 7 – Average total (g) and relative (%) weights of the viscera of 21-d-old broilers fed diets containing whole-grain millet (WGM), ground millet (GM), or a reference diet (RD) as mash (MASH) or pellets (PELL).

Parameters	Diets (D)			p value	Physical form (PF)		p value	CV (%)	p value D x PF
	WGM	GM	RD		MASH	PELL			
Total fat, g	18.4 ^a	18.6 ^a	13.9 ^b	0.0249	16.7	17.3	0.6838	23.43	0.3620
Total fat, %	1.83 ^a	1.88 ^a	1.39 ^b	0.0180	1.68	1.71	0.8283	23.19	0.2008
Gizzard, g	27.8	27.6	26.9	0.6439	28.4 ^a	26.5 ^b	0.0276	8.12	0.1586
Gizzard, %	2.77	2.78	2.69	0.6527	2.87 ^a	2.62 ^b	0.0018	7.51	0.0729
Intestine, g	63.8	52.1	54.4	0.3026	57.4	56.1	0.8439	30.64	0.2999
Intestine, %	6.42	5.24	5.41	0.3472	5.84	5.54	0.6666	33.45	0.2382
Liver, g	27.3	26.1	24.7	0.2380	26.5	25.6	0.4819	12.72	0.8248
Liver, %	2.72	2.62	2.47	0.2345	2.68	2.53	0.2379	12.42	0.6354
Heart, g	7.20	7.70	7.20	0.7115	7.93	6.80	0.0592	21.06	0.3051
Heart, %	0.72	0.77	0.72	0.6467	0.80 ^a	0.67 ^b	0.0273	20.57	0.3475
Pancreas, g	2.35	3.00	3.20	0.0704	3.07	2.63	0.1566	28.29	0.2364
Pancreas, %	0.23	0.30	0.32	0.0645	0.31	0.26	0.1108	28.41	0.3141
Lung, g	6.30	6.20	6.10	0.9697	6.07	6.33	0.6897	29.08	0.8245
Lung, %	0.63	0.62	0.61	0.9597	0.62	0.63	0.8795	29.16	0.6707
Spleen, g	0.75	0.70	0.65	0.7011	0.70	0.70	1.0000	37.57	0.3572
Spleen, %	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.9431	0.06	0.06	0.9200	29.60	0.5166

a-b – Means in the same row followed by different lowercase letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$); p – probability; CV - coefficient of variation; D x PF – interaction between diet formulation and physical form.



- Baurhoo N, Baurhoo B, Mustafa Af, Zhao X. Comparison of corn-based and canadian pearl millet-based diets on performance, digestibility, villus morphology, and digestive microbial populations in broiler chickens. *Poultry Science* 2011;90(3):579-586.
- Carré B. Causes for variation in digestibility of starch among feedstuffs. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 2044;60(1):76-89.
- Dahlke F, Ribeiro A, Kessler A, Lima A. Tamanho da partícula do milho e forma física da ração e seus efeitos sobre o desempenho e rendimento de carcaça de frangos de corte. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola* 2001;3(3):241-248.
- Davis AJ, Dale NM, Ferreira FJ. Pearl millet as an alternative feed ingredient in broiler diets. *The Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 2003;12(2):137-144.
- Dozier Wa, Hanna W, Behnke K. Grinding and pelleting responses of pearl millet based diets. *The Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 2055;14(2):269-274.
- Engberg RM, Hedamenn MS, Jensen BB. The influence of grinding and pelleting of feed on the microbial composition and activity in the digestive tract of broiler chickens. *British Poultry Science* 2002;43(4):569-79.
- Flemming JS, Montanhini Neto R, Arruda JS, Franco SG, Flemming R, Souza GA. Flemming, D. F. Ração farelada com diferentes granulometrias em frangos de corte. *Archives of Veterinary Science* 2002;7(1):1-9.
- Gomes PC, Rodrigues MP, Albino LFT, Rostagno HS, Gomes MFM, Mello HHDC, Brumano G. Determinação da composição química e energética do milheto e sua utilização em rações para frangos de corte de 1 a 21 dias de idade. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* 2008;37(9):1617-1621.
- Hadimani NA, Muralikrishna G, Tharanathan RN, Malleshi NG. Nature of carbohydrates and proteins in three pearl millet varieties varying in processing characteristics and kernel texture. *Journal of Cereal Science* 2001;33(1):17-25.
- Hidalgo MA, Davis, AJ, Dale NM, Dozier III WA. Use of whole pearl millet in broiler diets. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 2004;13(2):229-234.
- Klasing KC. Comparative avian nutrition. New York: CABI Publishing; 2000.
- López CAA, Baião NC. Effects of particle size and physical form of ration on performance, carcass yield and weight of digestive organs of broiler chickens. *Arquivos Brasileiros de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia* 2004;56(2):214-221.
- Moran ET. Pelleting: affects feed and its consumption. *Poultry Science* 1987;5(1):30-37.
- Murakami AE, Souza LMG de, Massuda EM, Alves FV, Holanda Guerra R de, Quiles García AF. Economic evaluation and performance of broilers fed with different levels of pearl millet in substitution of corn. *Acta Scientiarum. Animal Science* 2009;31(1):31-37.
- Nagano FH, Fernandes EA, Silveira MM, Marcacine BA, Brandeburgo JH. Efeito da peletização e extrusão da ração pré-inical sobre o desempenho final de frangos de corte. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola* 2003;5(2):35-42.
- Netto DAM, Durães FOM. Milheto: tecnologias de produção e agronegócio. Brasília: Embrapa Informação Tecnológica; Embrapa Milho e Sorgo; 2005.
- Nir I, Hillel R, Ptichi I, Shefet G. Effect of particle size on performance. 3. Grinding pelleting interactions. *Poultry Science* 1995;74(5):771-783.
- Parsons AS, Buchanan NP, Blemings KP, Wilson ME, Moritz JS. Effect of corn particle size and pellet texture on broiler performance in the growing phase. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 2006;15(2):245-255.
- Passos JR HDS, Bose MLV. Uso de análise física para estimar a composição química em ingredientes para rações. *Scientia Agricola* 1992;49(1):159-162.
- Rao SVR, Raju MVLN, Reddy MR, Panda AK. Replacement of Yellow Maize with Pearl Millet (*Pennisetum typhoides*), Foxtail Millet (*Setaria italica*) or Finger Millet (*Eleusine coracana*) in broiler chicken diets containing supplemental enzymes. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 2004;17(6):836-842.
- Rostagno HS, Albino LFT, Donzele JL, Gomes PC, Oliveira RF, Lopes DC, Ferreira AS, Barreto SLT. Tabelas brasileiras para aves e suínos: composição de alimentos e exigências nutricionais. Viçosa: UFV/DZO; 2005.
- Sas. Sas/Access 9.1 interface to peoplesoft: user's guide. SAS Inst. Cary: SAS Pub.; 2004.
- Schmidt A, Lima GJMM, Coldebella A. Método Embrapa de avaliação de peletização. São Paulo: Uniquímica; 2009.