Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola
ISSN: 1516-635X
revista@facta.org.br
Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia Avícolas
Brasil

Alavarez, JM; Ferreira, CSA; Ferreira, AJP
Enteric Viruses in Turkey Flocks: A Historic Review
Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola, vol. 16, núm. 3, julio-septiembre, 2014, pp. 225-232
Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia Avícolas
Campinas, SP, Brasil

Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science
Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola
ISSN 1516-635X Jul - Sept 2014 / v.16 / n.3 / 225-232
http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1516-635x1603225-232
Review

Enteric Viruses in Turkey Flocks: A Historic Review

■Author(s)

Alavarez JM[A]
Ferreira CSA[A]
Ferreira AJP[I]

[I] Department of Pathology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sao Paulo, Av. Prof. Dr. Orlando Marques de Paiva, 87, Sao Paulo, SP, 05508-900, Brazil

■Mail Address

Corresponding author e-mail address:
A. J. Piantino Ferreira
Department of Pathology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sao Paulo, Av. Prof. Dr. Orlando Marques de Paiva, 87, Sao Paulo, SP, 05508-900, Brazil
E-mail: ajpferr@usp.br

■Keywords

Turkey, Enteritis, Viruses, Poults, Diagnosis.

Submitted: November/2013
Approved: June/2014

ABSTRACT

In this review, diagnostic techniques and viral agents involved in enteric diseases affecting turkeys are described. Data from field observations and laboratory researches have been reported in turkey flocks for over 70 years, and several viruses have been identified. After a period of 30 years of inoculation experiments and neutralization studies, adequate visualization of the viruses was achieved using electronic microscopy. During the following years, several studies were then conducted to isolate and classify those viruses using cell-culture, embryo-propagation, serological tests, genome electropherotyping by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of double-stranded RNA viruses, and recently, nucleic acid studies. Thus, since the 1990s, the nucleic-acid technology has focused on genomic surveys and on the detection of specific segments of the genome of each virus using the polymerase-chain reaction, resulting in several prevalence studies and phylogenetic analyses of different isolates and proper classification of the viruses.

INTRODUCTION

Enteric disorders affecting turkey flocks were first reported in 1937 by Pomeroy and Fenstermacher, but only in 1950s it was frequently identified in poults. Reports were primarly based on clinical observations of the flocks and reproduction of the disease by inoculation of intestinal contents from affected flocks, neutralization tests, and physicochemical analysis of the intestinal filtrates. Although no etiological agent was identified until the end of the 1960s, those experiments helped to identify some physicochemical and cross-neutralization characteristics of the causative agent and the development of the disease on the birds.

Fujisaki *et al.* (1969) were the first to demonstrate the presence of reoviruses in the feces of poults with enteric disease using electronic microscopy (EM). During the next 10 years, adenoviruses, astroviruses, coronaviruses, picornaviruses and rotaviruses were also observed in the intestinal contents of turkeys with enteric disorders using EM.

Virus propagation in embryonated eggs and cell cultures was intensively evaluated between the 1960s and the 1990 in an attempt to standardize techniques for the propagation and isolation of each enteric virus. At the same time, specific serological techniques were developed, providing more detailed information on the antibody reactions to each virus.

The development of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) allowed rapid and specific detection of enteric viruses, and has been widely applied since the last decade for the diagnosis and survey of the prevalence of those viruses, as reported by several authors all over the world (McNulty *et al*., 1979a; Andral & Toquin, 1984a; Saif *et al.*, 1985).

Around the same time, sequence analysis has described the DNA profile of circulating viruses, resulting in phylogenetic classification that helps understanding the distribution of the different virus strains, which is still a challenge for future studies.

Early investigations on enteric diseases

In 1937, Pomeroy and Fenstermacher identified an enteric disease affecting turkey flocks in the United States characterized by bloody diarrhea and increased mortality, which was called hemorrhagic enteritis (HE). In 1951, another intestinal disorder causing catarrhal enteritis, weight loss, and low mortality in turkeys was identified and was considered similar to the blue comb disease of chickens (Peterson & Hymas, 1951).

After these first descriptions, most studies on intestinal diseases until the end of the 1950s were used intestinal filtrate inoculation to reproduce the disease (Pomeroy & Sieburth, 1953; Sieburth & Johnson, 1957; Gross & Moore, 1967). Although this did not provide any information on the etiological agents, Sieburth & Johnson (1957) reproduced the so-called blue comb disease inoculating an antibiotic-treated filtrate of intestinal contents of affected flocks in young poults, and were the first to suggest that a virus could be the causal agent of this disease.

In 1968, the inoculation with *Vibrio* spp. cultures also reproduced the blue comb disease, and was called transmissible enteritis (Truscott, 1968). In 1969, however, Hofstad *et al.* did not demonstrate any pathogenicity associated with isolated vibrio. It was later shown that the blue comb disease agent passed through 0.22nm filters (Deshmukh *et al.*, 1969; Hofstad *et al.*, 1969) and could not be isolated in bacteriologic mediums, which led Adams & Hofstad (1971) to strongly suggest that the agent was a virus. Adams & Hofstad, in 1972, showed that different antibiotics were not able to significantly reduce the lesions in embryos inoculated with the disease, and that no signs of bacteria were observed under light microscopy.

These early investigations on enteritis that affected turkey flocks did not effectively demonstrate the causative agents, but several studies were carried out to try to describe the physical-ochemical properties of the isolates (Deshmukh *et al.*, 1969; Fujisaki *et al.*, 1969; Scott & McFerran, 1972; Domermuth & Gross, 1975).

Inoculation of embryos and cell cultures, together with serological methods and electron microscopy, were important tools for the isolation and identification of the etiological agents involved in those enteric disorders.

Electron microscopy

In 1969, reoviruses, papovavirus, and enterovirus were observed in turkeys affected with blue comb disease using electron microscopy (EM; Deshmukh *et al.*, 1969), and in 1972 virus-like particles were also demonstrated in young turkeys and embryos affected with the same disease by Adams *et al.* (1972).

Since then, other enteric viruses were identified using EM. Coronavirus-like particles were observed in intestines of turkeys (Panigraphy *et al.*, 1973) and embryos (Ritchie *et al.*, 1973) inoculated with intestinal preparations from flocks affected with blue comb. Later, intranuclear viral particles were observed in the spleen and intestines of turkeys with HE (Carlson *et al.*, 1974; Tolin & Domermuth, 1975), and these studies were the first to demonstrate that an adenovirus was the causal agent.

Rotavirus was first observed under EM in the feces of two- to three-week-old turkey poults suffering from enteritis and diarrhea (Bergeland *et al.*, 1977) and stunted turkeys with diarrhea (McNulty *et al.*, 1978). McNulty *et al.* (1979a) then validated the use of EM for the detection of enteric viruses (rotavirus, adenovirus, and enterovirus-like particles) directly from turkey and chicken feces. In 1980, astroviruses were detected for the first time in young turkeys presentng diarrhea and mortality (McNulty *et al.*, 1980a).

In 1979, Imada *et al.* identified a picornavirus in the rectal contents of broilers chicks using EM and serological methods (neutralization and fluorescence), and found that its main target were the kidneys, and therefore, it was named "avian nephritis virus" (ANV). Andral *et al. (*1984b) later detected a picorna-like virus by EM in turkeys with enteric and respiratory signs.

Trampel *et al.* (1983) first reported parvovirus-like particles in the intestines of turkeys associated with enteropathy, showing intranuclear inclusions bodies in the epithelial cells of the ileum using EM, but, because the authors could not detect any vascular or cell damage in the intestines, it was classified as an enteropathy and not as enteritis.

The prevalence of enteric viruses then started to be studied using EM in several countries (McNulty *et al.*, 1979a; Andral & Toquin, 1984a; Saif *et al.*, 1985). Reynolds *et al.* (1987) demonstrated an association of different viruses (astrovirus, rotavirus, rotavirus-like viruses, atypical rotaviruses, enteroviruses, and reoviruses) in affected turkeys using EM, immune

electron microscopy, and electropherotyping of double-stranded RNA viruses.

Cell culture and embryo inoculation

Sieburth & Pomeroy (1955) were the first to demonstrate the propagation of the blue comb disease agent in yolk sac of embryonated chicken eggs, but only in 1971 (Adams & Hofstad, 1971) the disease was reproduced in turkeys using the agent propagated in 15-day-old embryos. Reoviruses were also isolated from turkeys affected with blue comb disease based on physical-chemical characteristics, its effects on chicken and turkey kidney cell culture, embryo inoculation, and neutralization tests (Fujisaka *et al.*, 1969). Then, in 1969, Wooley *et al.* (1969) and Fujisaka *et al.* (1969) reproduced the disease in young turkeys inoculated with cell-propagated reoviruses, but not by Deshmukh *et al.* (1969), who used the same technique.

Wooley *et al.* (1972) distinguished two cell-propagated reoviruses, one pathogenic and one nonpathogenic, using sucrose density gradient centrifugation, that did not cross-reacted, and the nonpathogenic was considered ubiquitous in intestinal contents of turkeys.

Ahmed (1971) claimed to have isolated turkey adenovirus in cell culture followed by propagation in fertile turkey and chicken eggs; however, the author did not use serum neutralization test, and therefore the detected virus may have been a fowl adenovirus (McFerran *et al.*, 1975). Scott and McFerran (1972) isolated turkey adenovirus serotype 1 (TAV-1) from respiratory, gastrointestinal, and urinary system of turkeys with conjunctivitis, nephritis and airsacculitis, using turkey kidney cell culture, and also isolated the agent from non-affected birds. The same agent was isolated from apparently healthy turkeys in United States, but when inoculated in poults, it caused significant growth depression and respiratory signs (Cho, 1976).

In 1988, fowl adenoviruses were isolated in chicken embryos, demonstrating that yolk sac can be an alternative to cell culture for any group I avian adenovirus, including turkey adenovirus (Cowen, 1988).

Fasina & Fabricant (1982) were the first to report HEV infection in spleen cell suspension cultures, but were not able to propagate the virus. In that same year, Nazerian & Fadly (1982) propagated HEV in lymphoblastoid B cells cultures derived from Marek's disease tumor, and in 1990, turkey leukocyte cell culture was used to propagate the virus and produce an attenuated HE vaccine that protected turkeys against virulent HEV (van den Hurk, 1990).

Coronavirus was not successfully cultivated in cell culture (Deshmukl *et al.,* 1973) and or detected in reovirus cultures (Fujisaki *et al.,* 1969; Wooley *et al.*, 1973). McNulty *et al.* (1980a) also had difficulties in propagating astroviruses in cell culture. Coronavirus was propagated on cell culture only in 1989 using continuous human rectal adenocarcinoma cell line enhanced with trypsin, showing that its cytopathic effect and pathogenicity for turkey poults was maintained after 5 passages (Dea *et al.*, 1989).

McNulty *et al.* (1979b) were the first to propagate avian rotaviruses in chick kidney and chick embryo liver cell cultures from chickens and turkeys with clinical signs of diarrhea and Castro *et al. (*1992) propagated rotavirus in seven day-old chicken embryos using liver, intestines and pancreas of turkeys from flocks exhibiting increased mortality, bloody droppings, and yolk retention.

Astrovirus was propagated in turkey embryos by Koci *et al.* (2000a), but no successful cell culture procedure was achieved.

Serological investigations

Since the first experiments with enteric diseases were conducted with inoculation of intestinal suspensions, serum neutralization tests were used. Different authors demonstrated the neutralizing effect of poult serum recovered from blue comb disease in young poults inoculated with an intestinal suspension of affected flocks (Sieburth & Johnson, 1957; Tumlin & Pomeroy, 1958) and in HE outbreaks, preventing and reducing losses (Domermuth & Goss, 1975). The test was also used to demonstrate different serotypes of turkey adenovirus (Scott & McFerran, 1972; McFerran *et al.*, 1975), rotavirus (McNulty *et al.*, 1980b) and reovirus (Kawamura *et al.*, 1965; Sahu & Olson, 1975; Wood *et al.*, 1980).

As neutralization tests were considered time-consuming and expensive, because they depended on the development of infection in poults (Pomeroy *et al.*, 1975), several other serological techniques were evaluated.

Direct immunoelectron microscopy was used to detect an antibody reaction specific to turkey coronavirus (TCoV) that did not cross-reacted with other coronaviruses species (Ritchie *et al.*, 1973) and astroviruses in poults affected with enteritis and diarrhea and enteroviruses (Saif *et al.*, 1990).

The first reports of immune techniques for HE diagnostic was the agar gel diffusion precipitin test (Domermuth *et al.*, 1972) and the microimmunodiffusion test (Domermuth *et al.*, 1973) that detected antibodies in the spleen of inoculated turkeys. Nevertheless, in 1977, an avirulent live vaccine against the hemorrhagic enteritis virus (HEV) was developed using the marble spleen disease virus of pheasants (Domermuth *et al.*, 1977), which was considered harmless for turkeys, but showed cross-protection with HEV (Domermuth *et al.*, 1977).

Patel *et al.* (1975) were the first to describe a fluorescent antibody test (FA) for coronavirus detection in the intestines of turkeys during the acute phase of the disease, but the test was not efficient for the detection of chronic carriers (Pomeroy *et al.*, 1975). However, an indirect fluorescence antibody test later demonstrated antibodies in the serum of recovered birds (Patel *et al.*, 1976). Both methods were considered useful for the recognition of field epiornithics of coronaviral enteritis (Patel *et al.*, 1977).

A hemagglutination-inhibition test showed that there was no antigenic relationship between TCoV and other animal coronaviruses involved with enteric problems (Pomeroy *et al.*, 1975) and it was considered an easy and applicable serological test by Dea *et al.* (1986). The tests of Pomeroy *et al.* (1975) were not able to produce an inactivated vaccine against coronaviruses infections.

Immunofluorescence studies were used to show intestinal lesions caused by coronaviruses in inoculated poults (Pomeroy *et al.*, 1978) and to restrict the site of immunoglobulin secretion in intestines of recovered poults (Nagaraja & Pomeroy, 1980). This method was also used to demonstrate antibodies against avian nephritis virus in turkey flocks (Connor *et al.*, 1987; Nicholas *et al.*, 1988) and showed that avian rotavirus had a common antigen group with their mammalian counterparts that cross-reacted in many serological tests, thereby allowing the use of mammalian antisera for avian rotavirus detection (McNulty *et al.*, 1979b).

An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was developed for the detection of antibodies against fowl adenovirus in 1980 (Dawson *et al.*, 1980), TCoV in 1989 (Dea & Tijssen, 1989), and enterovirus in 1993 (Hayhow & Saif, 1993). And an association of this technique with an immunohistochemistry test demonstrated HEV distribution on organism and the pathogenesis of the disease in inoculated turkeys (Silim & Thorsen, 1981).

Genome electropherotyping by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

Rotaviruses and reoviruses are double-stranded RNA viruses (dsRNA) and studies on the their pattern of migration on polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) were conducted to identify differences among isolates. Gouvea & Schnitzer (1982) studied several avian reoviruses isolates and demonstrated that they contain 10 dsRNA segments and that these shown different migration patterns on PAGE; however, these patterns were not related to differences in serotype.

This teschnique was also used to study avian rotaviruses from chickens (McNulty *et al.*, 1981) and turkeys (Saif *et al.*, 1985) and, according to the migration pattern on silver-stained PAGE, avian rotaviruses were classified into different eletropherogroups (Kang *et al.*, 1986; Todd & McNulty, 1986) and eletropherotypes.

In 1992, PAGE was validated as a rapid and sensitive method for the diagnostic of dsRNA viruses, such as reoviruses and rotaviruses, when compared with EM (Lozano *et al.*, 1992).

Nucleic acid technology

Pathogenesis studies of enteric viruses were conducted using *in-situ* hybridization technique to detect adenoviruses (Suresh & Sharma, 1996), astroviruses (Behling-Kelly *et al.*, 2002) coronaviruses (Verbeek *et al.*, 1991) and reoviruses (Liu & Giambrone, 1997) directly from tissues using PCR and reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reactions (RT-PCR).

PCR and RT-PCR started to be considered as diagnostic methods when specific techniques for each agent involved in turkey enteric syndromes were standardized (Liu *et al.*, 1997; Breslin *et al.*, 1999; Hess *et al.*, 1999; Xie *et al.*, 1999; Koci *et al.*, 2000b; Da Silva *et al.*, 2008; Zsak *et al.*, 2008; Bunger *et al.*, 2009; Moura-Alvarez *et al.*, 2013; Nuñez & Piantino Ferreira, 2013; Moura-Alvarez *et al.*, 2014).

The simultaneous occurrence of enteric viruses has been reported around the world by prevalence surveys of these agents using EM, immune electron microscopy, and electropherotyping of double-stranded RNA viruses (McNulty *et al.*, 1979a; Andral & Toquin, 1984a; Saif *et al.*, 1985; Reynolds *et al.*, 1987). However, the screening of those viruses had increased in the last 10 years due to the development of PCR(Villarreal *et al.*, 2006; Pantin-Jackwood *et al.*, 2007; Jindal *et al.*, 2008; Pantin-Jackwood *et al.*, 2008; Jindal *et al.*, 2010).

Sellers *et al.* (2004) was the first to develop a multiplex reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction diagnostic test for the simultaneous detection

of enteric viruses in intestines and feces of affected turkeys and identified turkey astrovirus-2 (TAstV-2) and coronavirus (TCoV). Day *et al.* (2007) later developed a multiplex RT-PCR test for the detection and differentiation of turkey astrovirus-1 (TAstV-1), TAstV-2, ANV, Chicken astrovirus (CAstV), and Rotavirus in turkey and chicken samples.

RT-PCR was first evaluated by Spackman *et al.* (2005) for the detection of TAstV-2, TCoV, and avian reoviruses in the intestinal contents of turkeys affected with enteritis, and it is a more detailed test than the traditional PCR.

Sequences and phylogenetic analyses were conducted by several authors, demonstrating the genomic variability among isolates. Liu *et al.* (1997) showed that nucleotide sequence analysis was a sensitive and precise method for the differentiation of avian reovirus isolates. Then, differences between the nucleotide sequence of the S3 (Kapczynski *et al.*, 2002) and S2 genes (Sellers *et al.*, 2004) from turkeys, when compared with chicken and duck isolates were demonstrating, suggesting their classification in a different subgroup, the *Orthoreovirus* genus.

Breslin *et al.* (1999) and Cavanagh *et al.* (2001) showed close genetic relationship between TCoV and infectious bronchitis virus, and Guy (2000) suggested a classification in the group 3 coronavirus, as confirmed by Gomaa *et al.* (2008) by the analysis of the complete genome of TCoV .

Imada *et al.* (2000) sequenced the avian nephritis virus, which was classified as a member of the family *Astroviridae*, and this the first avian astrovirus which genome was completely sequenced, showing close similarity to the turkey astrovirus. Koci (2000a) then isolated and sequenced the astrovirus obtained from the intestinal contents of young turkeys affected with poultry enteritis and mortality syndrome.

The sequence of turkey and chicken rotavirus VP8 was analyzed to verify if it was similar to a rotavirus isolated from calf feces (Rohwedder *et al.*, 1995). HEV was completely sequenced by Pitcovski *et al.* (1998), but it was Davison *et al.* (2003) that later characterized avian and mammalian adenoviruses.

Once the genomic variability of a virus is identified, pathogenesis studies should be conducted to detect differences in the pathogenicity of the variant agent, as performed by Pantin-Jackwood *et al.* (2008). The authors conducted a pathogenesis study of 2 different TAstV-2 with variant capsid genes that produced an enteric disease in turkeys similar to non-variant known viruses. The viruses were inoculated and clinical signs, and gross and microscopic lesions were observed. Virus distribution in the organs was determined using immunohistochemistry, *in-situ* hybridization, and RT-PCR.

The International Committee on taxonomy of viruses (ICTV) stated that proper classification of viruses in the appropriate order and family should be conducted based on the molecular characteristics of the isolate (van Regenmortel *et al.*, 2000).

CONCLUSION

Throughout the years, increasingly sensitive, rapid, and specific techniques were developed for the diagnosis of enteric viruses. The early studies using inoculation allowed a better understanding of enteric diseases. The emergence of, electron microscopy, cell culture, embryo-propagation, and serological tests, although expensive and time-consuming, provided essential information on the characteristics of the agents and of the disease.

The development of the PCR method brought the research and diagnostic of enteric viruses to a new level due to its specificity and speed, and therefore it is extensively used in prevalence surveys.

Studies on virus genome aid both to identify critical pathogenesis issues and to provide accurate classification of enteric disease viral agents according to their genomic variability.

REFERENCES

Adams NR, Ball RA, Annis CL, Hofstad MS. Ultrastructural changes in the intestines of turkey poults and embryos affected with transmissible enteritis. Journal of Comparative Pathology 1972;82:187-192.

Adams NR, Hofstad MS. Isolation of transmissible enteritis agent of turkeys in avian embryos. Avian Diseases 1971;15:426-33.

Adams NR, Hofstad MS. Observations on staining and antibiotic sensitivity of the transmissible enteritis agent of turkeys. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1972; 33:995-9.

Ahmed AAS. CELO-Virusinfektion bei puten. Berliner Und Munchener Tieraztliche Wochenschrift 1971;84:211-3.

Andral B, Toquin D. Observations au microscope electronic a partir de prelevements de dindes presentant des troubles pathologique. Avian Pathology 1984a;13:389-417.

Andral B, Toquin D. Observations et isolements de pseudopicornavirus a partir de dindonneaux malades. Avian Pathology 1984b;13:377-88.

Behling-Kelly E, Schultz-Cherry S, Koci M, Kelley L, Larsen D, Brown C. Localization of astrovirus in experimentally infected turkeys as determined by in situ hybridization. Veterinary Pathology 2002;39:595-8.

Bergeland ME, McAdaragh JP, Stotz I. Rotaviral enteritis in turkey poults. Proceedings of the 26th Western Poultry Disease Conference; 11th Poultry Health Symposium; 1977; Davis. California. p. 129-30.

Breslin JJ, Smith LG, Fuller FJ, Guy JS. Sequence analysis of the matrix/nucleocapsid gene region of turkey coronavirus. Intervirology 1999;42:22-9.

Bunger AND, Chacón JL, Jones RC, Ferreira AJP. Detection and molecular characterization of gene 3 and 5 of turkey coronavirus from turkeys with severe enteritis in Brazil. Avian Diseases 2009;53:356-62.

Carlson HC, Al-Sheikhly F, Pettit JR, Seawright GL. Virus particles in spleen and intestines of turkeys with hemorrhagic enteritis. Avian Diseases 1974;18:67-73.

Castro AE, Moore J, Hammami S, Manalac RB, Chin RP. Direct isolation of rotaviruses from turkeys in embryonating chicken eggs. Veterinary Record 1992;130:379-80.

Cavanagh D, Mawditt K, Sharma M, Drury SE, Ainsworth HL, Britton P, Gough RE. Detection of a coronavirus from turkey poults in Europe genetically related to infectious bronchitis virus of chickens. Avian Pathology 2001;30:355-68.

Cho BR. An adenovirus from a turkey pathogenic to both chicks and turkey poults. Avian Diseases 1976;20:714-23.

Connor TJ, McNeilly F, McFerran JB, McNulty MS. A survey of avian sera from Northern Ireland for antibody to avian nephritis virus. Avian Pathology 1987;16:15-20.

Cowen BS. Chicken embryo propagation of type I avian adenoviruses. Avian Diseases 1988; 32:347-52.

Davison AJ, Benko M, Harrach B. Genetic content and evolution of adenoviruses. Journal of General Virology 2003;84:2895-908.

Dawson GJ, Orsi LN, Yates VJ, Chang PW, Pronovost AD. An Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of antibodies to avian adenovirus and avian adenovirus-associated virus in chickens. Avian Diseases 1980;24:393-402.

Day JM, Spackman E, Pantin-Jackwood M. A multiplex RT-PCR test for the differential identification of turkey astrovirus type 1, turkey astrovirus type 2, chicken astrovirus, avian nephritis virus, and avian rotavirus. Avian Diseases 2007;51:681-4.

Dea S, Garzon S, Tijssen P. Isolation and trypsin-enhanced propagation of turkey enteric (bluecomb) coronaviruses in a continuous human rectal adenocarcinoma cell line. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1989;50:1310-8.

Dea S, Marsolais G, Beaubien J, Ruppanner R. Coronaviruses associated with outbreaks of transmissible enteritis of turkeys in Quebec: Hemagglutination properties and cell cultivation. Avian Diseases 1986;30:319-20.

Dea S, Tijssen P. Detection of turkey enteric coronavirus by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and differentiation from other coronaviruses. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1989;50:226-31.

Deshmukh DR, Larsen CT, Dutta SK, Pomeroy BS. Characterization of pathogenic filtrate and viruses isolated from turkeys with bluecomb. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1969; 30:1019-25.

Deshmukh DR, Larsen CT, Pomeroy BS. Survival of bluecomb agent in embryonating turkey eggs and cell cultures. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1973;34:673-5.

Domermuth CH, Gross WB. Hemorrhagic enteritis of turkeys. Antiserum: Efficacy, preparations, and use. Avian Diseases 1975;19:657-65.

Domermuth CH, Gross WB, Douglass CS, Dubose RT, Harris JR, Davis RB. Vaccination for hemorrhagic enteritis of turkeys. Avian Diseases 1977;21:557-65.

Domermuth CH, Gross WB, Dubose RT. Microimmunodiffusion test for hemorrhagic enteritis of turkeys. Avian Diseases 1973;17:439-44.

Domermuth CH, Gross WB, Dubose RT, Douglass CS, Reubush Jr. CB. Agar gel diffusion precipitin test for hemorrhagic enteritis of turkeys. Avian Diseases 1972;16:852-7.

Domermuth CH, Gross WB, Dubose RT, Mallinson ET. Experimental reproduction and antibody inhibition of marble spleen disease of pheasant. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 1975; 11:338-42.

Fasina SO, Fabricant J. In vitro studies of hemorrhagic enteritis virus with immunofluorescent antibody technique. Avian Diseases 1982;26:150-7.

Fujisaki Y, Kawamura H, Anderson DP. Reoviruses isolated from turkeys with bluecomb. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1969;30:1035-43.

Gomaa MH, Barta JR, Ojkic D, Yoo D. Complete genomic sequence of turkey coronavirus. Virus Research 2008;135:237-46.

Gouvea VS, Schnitzer TJ. Polymorphism of the migration of double-stranded RNA genome segments of the avian reoviruses. Journal of Virology 1982;43:465-71.

Gross WB, Moore WEC. Hemorrhagic enteritis of turkeys. Avian Diseases 1967;11:296-307.

Guy JS. Turkey coronavirus is more closely related to avian bronchitis virus than to mammalian coronaviruses: a review. Avian Pathology 2000;29:207-12.

Hayhow CS, Saif YM. Development of an antigen-capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of enterovirus in commercial turkeys. Avian Diseases 1993;37:375-9.

Hess M, Raue R, Hafez HM. PCR for specific detection of haemorrhagic enteritis virus of turkeys, an avian adenovirus. Journal of Virological Methods 1999;81:199-203.

Hofstad MS, Adams N, Frey ML. Studies on a filtrable agent associated with infectious enteritis (bluecomb) of turkeys. Avian Diseases 1969;13:386-93.

Imada T, Yamaguchi S, Kawamura H. Pathogenicity for baby chicks of the G-4260 strain of the picornavirus "avian nephritis virus". Avian Diseases 1979;23:582-8.

Imada T, Yamaguchi S, Mase M, Tsukamoto K, Kubo M, Morooka A. Avian nephritis virus (ANV) as a new member of the family Astroviridae and construction of infectious ANV cDNA. Journal of Virology 2000;74:8487-93.

Jindal N, Patnayak DP, Chander Y, Ziegler AF, Goyal SM. Detection and molecular characterization of enteric viruses in breeder turkeys. Avian Pathology 2010;39:53-61.

Jindal N, Patnayak DP, Ziegler AF, Lago A, Goyal SM. Experimental reproduction of poult enteritis syndrome: clinical findings, growth response, and microbiology. Poultry Science 2008;88:949-58.

Kang SY, Nagaraja KV, Newman JA. Electropherotyping analysis of rotaviruses isolated from turkeys. Avian Diseases 1986;30:794-801.

Kapczynski DR, Sellers HS, Simmons V, Schultz-Cherry S. Sequence analysis of the S3 gene froma turkey reovirus. Virus Genes 2002;25:95-100.

Kawamura H, Shimizu F, Maeda M, Tsubahara H. Avian reovirus: Its properties and serological classification. National Institute of Animal Health Quarterly 1965;5:115-24.

Koci MD, Seal BS, Schultz-Cherry S. Molecular characterization of an avian astrovirus. Journal of Virology 2000a;74:6173-7.

Koci MD, Seal BS, Schultz-Cherry S. Development of an RT-PCR diagnostic test for an avian astrovirus. Journal of Virological Methods 2000b;90:79-83.

Liu HJ, Giambrone JJ. In situ detection of reovirus in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded chicken tissues using a digoxigenin-labeled cDNA probe. Avian Diseases 1997;41:447-51.

Liu HJ, Giambrone JJ, Nielsen BL. Molecular characterization of avian reoviruses using nested PCR and nucleotide sequence analysis. Journal of Virological Methods 1997;65:159-67.

Lozano LF, Hammami S, Castro AE, Osburn B. Comparision of electron microscopy and polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in the diagnosis of avian reovirus and rotavirus infections. Avian Diseases 1992;36:183-8.

McFerran JB, Adair B, Connor TJ. Adenoviral antigens (CELO, QBV, GAL). American Journal of Veterinary Research 1975;36:527-9.

McNulty MS, Allan GM, Stuart JC. Rotavirus infection in avian species. Veterinary Record 1978;30:319-20.

McNulty MS, Curran WL, Todd D, McFerran JB. Detection of viruses in avian faeces by direct electron microscopy. Avian Pathology 1979a;8:239-47.

McNulty MS, Allan GM, Todd D, McFerran JB. Isolation and cell propagation of rotaviruses from turkeys and chickens. Archives of Virology 1979b;61:13-21.

McNulty MS, Curran WL, McFerran JB. Detection of astroviruses in turkey faeces by direct electron microscopy. Veterinary Record 1980a;106:561.

McNulty MS, Allan GM, Todd D, McFerran JB, McKillop ER, Collins DS, McCracken RM. Isolation of rotaviruses from turkeys and chickens: demonstration of distinct serotypes and electropherotypes. Avian Pathology 1980b;9:363-75.

McNulty MS, Allan GM, Todd D, McFerran JB, McCracken RM. Isolation from chickens of a rotavirus lacking the rotavirus group antigen. Journal of General Virology 1981;55:405-13.

Moura-Alvarez J, Chacon JV, Scanavini LS, Nuñez LF, Astolfi-Ferreira CS, Jones RC, Piantino Ferreira AJ. Enteric viruses in Brazilian turkey flocks: single and multiple virus infection frequency according to age and clinical signs of intestinal disease. Poultry Science 2013;92: 945-55.

Moura-Alvarez J, Nuñez LF, Astolfi-Ferreira CS, Knöbl T, Chacón JL, Moreno AM, Jones RC, Ferreira AJ. Detection of enteric pathogens in Turkey flocks affected with severe enteritis, in Brazil. Tropical Animal Health Production 2014;46(6);1051-8.

Nagaraja KV, Pomeroy BS. Immunofluorescent studies on localization of secretory immunoglobulins in the intestines of turkeys recovered from turkey coronaviral enteritis. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1980; 41:1283-4.

Nazerian K, Fadly AM. Propagation of virulent and avirulent turkey hemorrhagic enteritis virus in cell culture. Avian Diseases 1982;26:816-27.

Nicholas RAJ, Goddard RD, Luff PR. Prevalence of avian nephritis virus in England. Veterinary Record 1988;123:398.

Nunez LFN, Piantino Ferreira AJ. Viral agentes related to enteric diseases in commercial chicken flocks, with special reference to Latin America. World´s Poultry Science Journal 2013;69:23-26.

Panigraphy B, Naqi SA, Hall CF. Isolation and characterization of viruses associated with transmissible enteritis (bluecomb) of turkeys. Avian Diseases 1973;17:430-8.

Pantin-Jackwood MJ, Spackman E, Day JM, Rives D. Periodic monitoring of commercial turkeys for enteric viruses indicates continuous presence of astrovirus and rotavirus on the farms. Avian Diseases 2007;51:674-80.

Pantin-Jackwood MJ, Spackman E, Day M. Pathogenesis of type 2 turkey astroviruses with variant capsid genes in 2-day-old specific pathogen free poults. Avian Pathology 2008; 37:193-201.

Patel BL, Deshmukh DR, Pomeroy BS. Fluorescent antibody test for rapid diagnosis of coronaviral enteritis of turkeys (bluecomb). American Journal of Veterinary Research 1975; 36:1265-7.

Patel BL, Gonder E, Pomeroy BS. Detection of turkey coronaviral enteritis (bluecomb) in field epiornithics, using the direct and indirect fluorescent antibody tests. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1977;38:1407-11.

Patel BL, Pomeroy BS, Gonder E, Cronkite CE. Indirect fluorescent antibody test for the diagnosis of coronaviral enteritis of turkeys (bluecomb). American Journal of Veterinary Research 1976;37:1111-2.

Peterson EH, Hymas TA. Antibiotics in the treatment of an unfamiliar turkey disease. Poultry Science 1951;30:466-8.

Pitcovski J, Mualem M, Rei-Koren Z, Krispel S, Shmueli E, Peretz Y, Gutter B, Gallili GE, Michael A, Goldberg D. The complete DNA sequence and genome organization of the avian adenovirus, hemorrhagic enteritis virus. Virology 1998;249:307–15.

Pomeroy BS, Fenstermacher R. Hemorrhagic enteritis in turkeys. Poultry Science 1937; 16:378-382.

Pomeroy BS, Larsen CT, Deshmukl DR, Patel BL. Immunity to transmissible (coronaviral) enteritis of turkeys (bluecomb). American Journal of Veterinary Research 1975;36:553-5.

Pomeroy KA, Patel BL, Larsen CT, Pomeroy BS. Combined immunofluorescence and transmission electron microscopic studies of sequential intestinal samples from turkey embryos and poults infected with turkey enteritis coronavirus. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1978;39:1348-54.

Pomeroy BS, Sieburth JM. Bluecomb disease of turkeys. Proceedings of the American Veterinary Medical Association; 1953; Cincinnati. United States. p. 321-7.

Reynolds DL, Saif YM, Theil KW. A survey of enteric viruses of turkey poults. Avian Diseases 1987;31:89-98.

Ritchie AE, Deshmukh DR, Larsen CT, Pomeroy BS. Electron microscopy of coronavirus-like particles characteristic of turkey bluecomb disease. Avian Diseases 1973;17:546-58.

Rohwedder A, Schutz KI, Minamoto N, Brussow H. Sequence analysis of pigeon, turkey, and chicken rotavirus VP8* identifies rotavirus 993/83, isolated from calf feces, as a pigeon rotavirus. Virology 1995;210:231-5.

Sahu SP, Olson NO. Comparison of the characteristics of avian reoviruses isolated from the digestive and respiratory tracts, with viruses isolated from the synovia. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1975;36:847-50.

Saif YM, Saif LJ, Hofacre CL, Hayhow C, Swayne DE, Dearth RN. A small round virus associated with enteritis in turkey poults. Avian Diseases 1990;34:762-4.

Saif LJ, Saif YM, Theil KW. Enteric viruses in diarrheic turkey poults. Avian Diseases 1985; 29:798-811.

Scott M, McFerran JB. Isolation of adenoviruses from turkeys. Avian Diseases 1972;16:413-20.

Sellers HS, Linnemann EG, Pereira L, Kapczynski DR. Phylogenetic analysis of the sigma 2 protein gene of turkey reoviruses. Avian Diseases 2004;48:651-7.

Sieburth JM, Johnson EP. Transmissible enteritis of turkeys (bluecomb disease) 1. Preliminary studies. Poultry Science 1957; 36:256-61.

Sieburth JM, Pomeroy MS. Bluecomb disease in turkeys III. Preliminary studies on etiology. Proceedings of the American Veterinary Medical Association; 1995; Cincinnati, United States. p. 301-6.

Silim A, Thorsen J, Carlson HC. Experimental infection of chickens with hemorrhagic enteritis virus. Avian Diseases 1978; 22:106-14.

Silva SEL, Bonetti AM, Petrocelli ATM, Ferrari HF, Luvizotto MCR, Cardoso TC. Detection of turkey astrovirus in young poults affected with poult enteritis complex in Brazil. The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science 2008;70:629-31.

Spackman E, Kapczynski D, Sellers H. Multiplex real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction for the detection of three viruses associated with poult enteritis complex: turkey astrovirus, turkey coronavirus, and turkey reovirus. Avian Diseases 2005; 49:86-91.

Suresh M, Sharma JM. Pathogenesis of type II avian adenovirus infection in turkeys: In vivo immune cell tropism and tissue distribution of the virus. Journal of Virology 1996;70:30-6.

Todd D, McNulty MS. Electrophoretic variation of avian rotavirus RNA in polyacrylamide gels. Avian Pathology 1986;15:149-59.

Tolin SA, Domermuth CH. Enteritis of turkeys: electron microscopy of the causal virus. Avian Diseases 1975; 19:118-25.

Trampel DW, Kinden DA, Solorzano RF, Stogsdill PL. Parvovirus-like enteropathy in Missouri turkeys. Avian Diseases 1983;27:49-54.

Truscott RB. Transmissible enteritis of turkeys: disease reproduction. Avian Diseases 1968; 12:239-45.

Tumlin JT, Pomeroy BS. Bluecomb disease of turkeys. V. Preliminary studies on parenteral immunity and serum neutralization. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1958;19:725-8.

Van den Hurk JV. Efficacy of avirulent hemorrhagic enteritis virus propagated in turkey leukocyte cultures for vaccination against hemorrhagic enteritis in turkeys. Avian Diseases 1990;34:26-35.

Van Regenmortel MHV, Fauquet CM, Bishop DHL. Virus taxonomy: Classification and nomenclature of viruses: Seventh report of the international committee on taxonomy of viruses. New York: Academic Press; 2000.

Verbeek A, Dea S, Tijssen P. Genomic relationship between turkey and bovine enteric coronaviruses identified by hybridization with BCV or TCV specific cDNA probes. Archives of Virology 1991;121:199-211.

Villarreal LYB, Assayag MS, Brandão PE, Chacón JLV, Bunger AND, Astolfi-Ferreira CS, Gomes CR, Jones RC, Ferreira AJP. Identification of turkey astrovirus and turkeys coronavirus in an outbreak of poult enteritis and mortality syndrome. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science 2006;8:131-5.

Wood GW, Nicholas RAJ, Hebert CN, Thornton DH. Serological comparisons of avian reoviruses. Journal of Comparative Pathology 1980; 90:29-38.

Wooley RE. Serological comparison of the Georgia and Minnesota strains of infectious enteritis in turkeys. Avian Diseases 1973;17:150-4.

Wooley RE, Dees TA, Cromack AS, Gratzek JB. Infectious enteritis of turkeys: Characterization of tow reoviruses isolated by sucrose density gradient centrifugation from turkeys with infectious enteritis. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1972;33: 157-64.

Wooley RE, Gratzek JB. Certain characteristics of viruses isolated from turkeys with bluecomb. American Journal of Veterinary Research 1969;30:1027-33.

Xie Z, Fadl AA, Girshick T, Khan MI. Detection of avian adenoviruses. Avian Diseases 1993; 43:98-105.

Zsak L, Strother O, Kisary J. Partial genome sequence analysis of parvoviruses associated with enteric disease in poultry. Avian Pathology 2008;37:435-41.