

Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola

ISSN: 1516-635X
revista@facta.org.br
Fundação APINCO de Ciência e
Tecnologia Avícolas
Brasil

Vasconcelos, LG; Mello, HHC; Stringhini, JH; Andrade, MA; Cysneiros, CSS; Arnhold, E;
Mascarenhas, AG; Resende, MQ; Xavier, HPF
Use of Soy Protein Concentrate in Pre-Starter and Starter Diets for Broilers
Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola, vol. 19, enero-marzo, 2017, pp. 1-8
Fundação APINCO de Ciência e Tecnologia Avícolas
Campinas, Brasil

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=179750937001



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org





ISSN 1516-635X Jan - Mar 2017

Special Issue Nutrition / 001-008

http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2015-0029

# Use of Soy Protein Concentrate in Pre-Starter and Starter Diets for Broilers

#### ■Author(s)

Vasconcelos LG<sup>I</sup> Mello HHC<sup>I</sup> Stringhini JH<sup>I</sup> Andrade MA<sup>I</sup> Cysneiros CSS<sup>II</sup> Arnhold E<sup>I</sup> Mascarenhas AG<sup>I</sup> Resende MQ<sup>I</sup> Xavier HPF<sup>I</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Escola de Veterinária e Zootecnia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, Brasil
- Laboratório de Enzimologia e de Fisologia da Digestão - Instituto de Ciências Biológicas - Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, Brasil

#### ■Mail Address

Corresponding author e-mail address Luciana Guimarães Vasconcelos Escola de Veterinária e Zootecnia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Avenida Esperança, s/n, Campus Universitário, Goiânia, GO, Brasil 74690900

Phone: (62) 35211591 ramal 32 Email: guimaraes.vet@gmail.com

#### ■Keywords

Alternative Feed, Immune Response, Metabolizability, Performance, Poultry.

Submitted: January/2016 Approved: October/2016

# **ABSTRACT**

Two experiments were carried out to evaluate the effect of using soy protein concentrate (SPC) in pre-starter and starter diets for broilers. In the first experiment, 600 male Cobb broilers, between one and 40 days of age, were distributed in a completely randomized design, with four treatments and six replications of 25 birds each. Treatments were ofered to broilers in the pre-starter and starter diets and consisted of inclusion of soy protein concentrate (0,3,6 and 9%) in diets. The parameters evaluated were: body weight gain, feed conversion ratio, consumption of ration, enzyme production in the pancreas, villus: crypt ratio, leukocyte count and immunoglobulin A (IgA) dosage. Aimed to determine the coefficient of nutrient metabolization of feeds, 144 male Cobb chicks were distributed, between 14 and 21 days of age, with four treatments and six replications of six birds per experimental unit. Treatments were the same as in the first experiment. The use of 3 and 9% of SPC did not affect weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion or viability of the poultry. The use of 6% of SPC provided an increase in trypsin activity, villi length and crypt depth; the coefficient of dry matter metabolization increased linearly; but no differences were observed in performance or immunological parameters.

#### INTRODUCTION

Some of the most relevant anti-nutritional factors (ANF) present in soybean meal that affects the development and performance of monogastrics include  $\alpha$ -galactosides – raffinose, stachyose and verbascose – and antigenic factors – glycinin and β-conglycinin (Nunes et al., 2001; Jankowski et al., 2009). The presence of non-digestible glycoside substrate in the intestinal lumen involves changes in chyme viscosity, compromising the integrity of gut mucus and increasing the passage rate, reducing the efficiency of nutrient absorption (Góes & Ribeiro, 2002; Batal & Parsons, 2003). Enzymatic production and morphological structure of the digestive system of poultry, change significantly with age and frequency of feed intake (Souza et al., 2005). Allergic factors cause hypersensitivity reactions, promoting a shortening of the intestinal villus and decreasing the ratio between the villi length and crypt depth (Thomaz et al., 2011). The presence of ANF in the diets of broilers from one to 21 days of age implies a reduction in feed intake, impaired digestion and utilization of nutrients (Feng et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2010).

Soy protein concentrate is an ingredient that may be used in poultry diets in replacement of soybean meal, as it contains certain benefits due to its processing: lower levels of oligosaccharides such as raffinose, stachyose and verbascose, higher crude protein, digestible and metabolizable energy compared to soybean meal. Scottá *et al.* (2013),



# Use of Soy Protein Concentrate in Pre-Starter and Starter Diets for Broilers

verified that the average true amino acids digestibility coefficient, of essential and non essential SPC for broilers, were respectively 95,21% e and 94,22% for the soy protein concentrate. Bansemer *et al.* (2015) verifyed that inclusion of SPC in fish diets, decreased globet cell numbers. This suggests SPC having an effect on reducing mucus production in the intestine.

This study was carried out to evaluate the effect of soy protein concentrate inclusion in pre-starter and starter diets of broilers on: performance, enzyme production in the pancreas, gut integrity, nutrient metabolization and immunological parameters.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **Animals and housing**

The experimental protocol was submitted to the Ethics Committee for Use of Animals in Research (CEUA – Universidade Federal de Goiás) and approved under number 066/12.

Seven hundred forty-four (744) one-day-old male Cobb broilers were used in the two experiments.

For experiment 1, six hundred (600) one-day-old male Cobb broilers were distributed in a completely

randomized design with four treatments (0, 3, 6 and 9% of soy protein concentrate – Table 1 e and 2), and six replications of 25 birds/experimental unit. At 22 days old, the broilers received the same diet, without soy protein concentrate (Table 3).

The birds were housed in a shed with 2.45 m headroom, inside 2.02 x 1.36 x 0.73 m boxes, provided with bed of rice husks (5 cm), tubular feeder and pendulum drinker. Environmental control consisted of heating hoods, negative ventilation system, nebulizer, side curtains, two thermometers, a moisture sealer and 23 hours of light/day. The temperature was recorded in the morning (8 a.m.) and mortality 2x/day (8 a.m. and 4 p.m.). In experiment 1 the variables studied were: body weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion ratio and viability, leukocyte count and immunoglobulin A (lgA) dosage, pancreas weight, amylase and trypsin activity, villi length and crypt depth of small intestine.

One hundred forty-four (144) male Cobb broilers, 14 to 21 days of age, were distributed in a completely randomized design with four treatments, six replications of six birds/experimental unit. Treatments consisted of four levels of inclusion of soy protein concentrate (0, 3, 6 and 9%) in the diet. This group of 144 broilers

**Table 1 –** Composition of experimental diets of pre-starter phase (1-7 days old)

Ingradients		Soy protein conc	entrate (%)	
Ingredients	0	3	6	9
Corn	55.192	57.235	59.279	61.322
Soybean meal 45%	38.215	33.690	29.164	24.639
Soy protein concentrat	0.000	3.000	6.000	9.000
Soybean oil	2.148	1.634	1.119	0.605
Dicalcium phosphate	1.907	1.920	1.933	1.947
Limestone	0.822	0.879	0.875	0.871
Salt	0.495	0.492	0.489	0.486
DL-methionine 99%	0.359	0.354	0.348	0.343
L-lysine HCl 78%	0.288	0.287	0.286	0.286
L-threonine 98%	0.114	0.110	0.107	0.103
Vitamin mineral premix	0.400	0.400	0.400	0.400
Total	100	100	100	100
Nutrient Composition				
Metabolizable energy (Mcal/Kg)	2,950	2,950	2,950	2,950
Crude Protein (%)	22.20	22.20	22.20	22.20
Phosphorus avaiable (%)	0.470	0.470	0.470	0.470
Calcium (%)	0.920	0.920	0.920	0.920
Sodium (%)	0.220	0.220	0.220	0.220
Glycine + Serine digestible (%)	1.8483	1.8465	1.8447	1.8429
Isoleucine digestible (%)	0.8772	0.8782	0.8791	0.8800
Lysine digestible (%)	1.3100	1.3100	1.3100	1.3100
Methionine + cystine digestible (%)	0.9440	0.9440	0.9440	0.9440
Threonine digestible (%)	0.8520	0.8520	0.8520	0.8520
Thriptophan digestible (%)	0.2471	0.2470	0.2469	0.2468

<sup>\*</sup> Composition of Premix: Vit A 2000 Ui; Vit D3 600 Ui; Vit E 5000 Mg; Vit K3 450 Mg; Vit B1 500 Mg; Vit B2 1500 Mg; Vit B6 700 Mg; Vit B122500 Mg; Panthotenic Acid 3500 Mg; Niacin 9000 Mg; Folic Acid 250 Mg; Choline 80000 Mg; Cooper 2500 Mg; Iron 10000 Mg; Manganese 20000 Mg; Iodine 250 Mg; Zinc 18000 Mg; Selenium 750 Mg; Avilamicin 1500 Mg; Narasin/Nicarb.10000 Mg; Biotine 15 Mg; Benzoic Acid 60 G.

**Table 2 –** Composition of experimental diets of starter phase (8-21 days old)

Ingradients	Soy protein concentrate (%)					
Ingredients	0	3	6	9		
Corn	59.321	61.365	63.408	65.451		
Soybean meal 45%	34.722	30.197	25.671	21.146		
Soy protein concentrat	0.000	3.000	6.000	9.000		
Soybean oil	2.116	1.601	1.087	0.572		
Dicalcium phosphate	1.508	1.521	1.535	1.548		
Limestone	0.895	0.892	0.888	0.884		
Salt	0.468	0.465	0.462	0.459		
DL-methionine 99%	0.287	0.282	0.276	0.271		
L-lysine HCl 78%	0.218	0.217	0.217	0.216		
L-threonine 98%	0.064	0.060	0.057	0.053		
Vitamin mineral premix	0.400	0.400	0.400	0.400		
Total	100	100	100	100		
Nutrient Composition						
Metabolizable energy (Mcal/Kg)	3,0000	3,0000	3,0000	3,0000		
Crude Protein (%)	20.8000	20.8000	20.8000	20.8000		
Phosphorus avaiable (%)	0.3910	0.3910	0.3910	0.3910		
Calcium (%)	0.8190	0.8190	0.8190	0.8190		
Sodium (%)	0.2100	0.2100	0.2100	0.2100		
Glycine + Serine digestible (%)	1.7344	1.7326	1.7308	1.7290		
Isoleucine digestible (%)	0.8209	0.8218	0.8227	0.8237		
Lysine digestible (%)	1.1740	1.1740	1.1740	1.1740		
Methionine + cystine digestible (%)	0.8460	0.8460	0.8460	0.8460		
Threonine digestible (%)	0.7630	0.7630	0.7630	0.7630		
Thriptophan digestible (%)	0.2300	0.2299	0.2298	0.2297		

<sup>\*</sup>Composition of Premix: Vit A 2000 Ui; Vit D3 600 Ui; Vit E 5000 Mg; Vit K3 450 Mg; Vit B1 500 Mg; Vit B2 1500 Mg; Vit B6 700 Mg; Vit B12 2500 Mg; Panthotenic Acid 3500 Mg; Nacia 9000 Mg; Folic Acid 250 Mg; Choline 80000 Mg; Cooper 2500 Mg; Iron 10000 Mg; Manganese 20000 Mg; Iodium 250 Mg; Zinc 18000 Mg; Selenium 750 Mg; Avilamicin 1500 Mg; Narasin/Nicarb.10000 Mg; Biotine 15 Mg; Benzoic Acid 60 G.

was housed and managed under the same condition of experiment 1 and received a basal diet until 14 days of age, without soy protein concentrate, according to recommendations of Rostagno *et al.* (2011). At 14 days of age, the birds were housed in metabolic cages and the experimental diets were administered (Table 2). In experiment 2 the coefficients of metabolizable dry matter, crude protein, ether extract and ash were calculated. The birds were housed in metabolic cages of 0.77 x 0.74 x 0.23 m in size, fitted with trays for excreta collection, food and water trough type; in a shed 2.00 m in height, provided with heating hoods, side curtains, two thermometers and 23 hours of light/day.

The average temperatures (minimum and maximum) recorded during the experimental period were 23 and 31°C in Experiment 1; 24 and 28°C in Experiment 2, respectively.

#### **Diets and feeding**

Treatments consisted of four inclusion levels of soy protein concentrate (0, 3, 6 and 9%) in pre-starter and starter diets.

The experimental diets were iso-nutrient and isoenergetic and were formulated according to Rostagno et al. (2011) recommendations, and followed a feeding program divided into pre-starter phase (1-7 days of age) and starter phase (8- 21 days of age) (Tables 1 and 2). The birds received the same diet from 22 to 40 days of age (Table 3). Feed and water were provided ad libitum.

#### **Performance analysis**

Body weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion ratio and viability of birds were calculated according to Sakomura & Rostagno (2007). Weights were taken on the 1st, 7th, 21st and 40th days of the experiment.

#### **Data collection**

For leukocyte count and immunoglobulin A (IgA) dosage, samples were obtained from two birds per replication at 21 days of age. Blood samples were collected in a tube with heparin or without anticoagulante (respectively for WBC and IgA), from the femoral vein; for serum, the samples were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for three minutes and frozen at -20° C. The total leukocyte count followed the protocol set by Natt & Herrick (1952); the specific leukocyte count was obtained as per Garcia-Navarro (2005). The serum IgA

**Table 3** – Composition of experimental diets of growing (22-34 days old) and finishing phase (35-40 days old)

Ingredients	22-34 d	35-40 d
Corn	61.921	67.057
Soybean meal 45%	31.519	27.224
Soybean oil	3.112	2.794
Dicalcium phosphate	1.273	1.070
Limestone	0.839	0.748
Salt	0.443	0.429
DL-methionine 99%	0.256	0.240
L-lysine HCl 78%	0.194	0.236
L-threonine 98%	0.043	0.053
Vitamin mineral premix	0.400	0.050
Total	100	100
Nutrient Composition		
Metabolizable energy (Mcal/Kg)	3,1000	3,1500
Crude Protein (%)	19.5000	18.0000
Phosphorus avaiable (%)	0.3420	0.2980
Calcium (%)	0.7320	0.6380
Sodium (%)	0.2000	0.1950
Lysine digestible (%)	1.0780	1.0100
Methionine + cystine digestible (%)	0.7870	0.7370
Threonine digestible (%)	0.7010	0.6560
Thriptophan digestible (%)	0.2137	0.1927

<sup>\*</sup>Composition of Premix: Vit A 1750 Ui; Vit D3 500 Ui; Vit E 3000 Mg; Vit K3 375 Mg; Vit B1 400 Mg; Vit B2 1250 Mg; Vit B6 750 Mg; Vit B12 2500 Mg; Panthotenic Acid 3250 Mg; Niacin 8000 Mg; Folic Acid 250 Mg; Choline 80000 Mg; Copper 2500 Mg; Iron 10000 Mg; Manganese 20000 Mg; Iodium 250 Mg; Zinc 18000 Mg; Selrnium 75 Mg; Avilamicin 2000 Mg; Monensin 27500mg; Biotine 2500 Mg; Benzoic Acid 60 G.

levels were determined by immune turbidimetry (Cobas Mira Plus; Roche Diagnostic Systems, Mannheim, Germany) and using a commercial IgA kit (Turbiquest plus, Labtest®) under an absorbance wave length of 340nm.

These birds were euthanized by cervical dislocation for collection of the pancreas and small intestine.

After weighing, the pancreas was frozen in liquid nitrogen, homogenized on ice and the supernatant was extracted to measure total protein (Bradford, 1976), trypsin (Kunitz, 1947) and amylase content (CNPG amylase kit, Labtest®).

The pancreas and intestines were collected and weighing in analytical balance with three decimal places of accuracy. The pancreas was frozen in liquid nitrogen and homogenized on ice; the supernatant was extracted to measure total protein (Bradford, 1976), trypsin (Kunitz,1947) and amylase content (CNPG amylase kit, Labtest.). Enzyme assays were performed in the enzymology laboratory and Physiology of Digestion, the Institute of Biological Sciences (ICB II), Federal University of Goias.

The small intestine was cut between the proventriculus and the cecum-colic junction, and representative sample from its different anatomical regions was collected (duodenum – bounded by the contour of the pancreas, jejunum – near the Meckel diverticulum and ileum – near the cecumcolic junction). Tissue samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for histological processing (Macari et al., 2002), for 24 hours and then processed for paraffin embedding according to the routine protocols; 5 micron section were then stained with Harris haematoxylin and eosin, the slides mounted in Entellan. Microphotographs were collected using a magnification of 50x in a Leica DM2500 optic microscope, and retrospectively analyzed in order to obtain data corresponding to 30 readings of villus and crypts per intestinal segment.

#### **Excreta collection**

The birds were acquired from the same batch of experiment 1. By 14 days of age were managed according to the breed manual, and at 14 days of age were allocated in metabolic cages for experimental period. Both experiments occur simultaneously.

The experimental period was eight days – four days for adaptation to the cages and experimental diets, and four days to excreta collection.

The bromatological analysis of rations and excreta followed was proposed by Silva & Queiroz (2009). The coefficients of metabolizable dry matter, crude protein, ether extract and ash were calculated (Sakomura & Rostagno, 2007). Temperature was recorded in the morning (8 a.m.) and mortality 2x/day (8 a.m. and 4 p.m.).

Excreta were homogenized and a sample of 500g each replication collected and identified. Samples were pre-dried in a forced ventilation oven at 65°C for 72 hours. The air dried percentage of excreta was determined as a relation of the weight after and before drying. After this step, the samples were ground in a Wiley mill, and stored in labeled plastic bags. Analyzes of dry matter were held, nitrogen, lipids and ashes according to the methodology described in Silva & Queiroz (2009). In order to calculate the metabolizable coefficients of dry matter, crude protein, ether extract and ashes, the following equations were used:

CMDM= Dry matter intake (g) – Dry matter excreted (g)/ Dry matter intake (g) x 100

CMCP = Nitrogen intake (g) - Nitrogen excreted (g) / Nitrogen intake (g) x 100

CMEE = Ether extract intake (g) - Ether extract excreted (g) / Ether extract intake (g)  $\times$  x100

CM Ash% = ash intake (g) - ash excreted (g) / ash intake (g) x 100



# Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed by ANOVA. Variables related to performance, gut integrity and enzymology had their means compared by the Scott-Knott test. The coefficients of metabolizability underwent polynomial regression. Hematological parameters were subjected to the Kruskal-Wallis test, with the difference between treatments assessed by Bonferroni test. We used R software (R Development Core Team, 2011). We adopted  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Inclusion of increased levels of SPC in pre-starter and starter diets did not affect the final body weight, body weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion ratio and viability of broilers (Table 4), suggesting that it did not influence broilers performance in any of the rearing periods surveyed (1 to 7, 1 to 21 and 1 to 40 days of age).

No effect of SPC inclusion on broiler performance in any period studied (1 to 7, 1 to 21 and 1 to 40 days of age) was observed. Reduction in anti-nutritional factors does not appear to be the determining factor for improved performance. The results disagreed with Trindade Neto *et al.* (2007), who reported low feed intake associated with the presence of trypsin inhibitors, and with Thomas *et al.* (2011) who associated

reduced growth with digestive disorders resulting from transient hypersensitivity reaction caused by glycinin and  $\beta$ -conglycinin allergenic proteins.

Siugzdaite *et al.* (2008) found significant improvements in the performance of piglets with 10% inclusion of SPC in the weaning diet; Lenehan *et al.* (2007), with 14-21% inclusion of SPC; Bertol *et al.* (2001) with 50% replacement of soybean meal by SPC in the nursery phase of pigs diet. These reports suggest that levels of inclusion of SPC proposed in the present study may not have been sufficient to demonstrate improvements in performance.

The inclusion of 3 to 9 % of SPC in the pre-starter and starter diets showed no decrease in pancreatic weight (Table 5), although higher activities of trypsin and amylase were recorded with the use of 3, 6 and 9% of SPC, respectively.

Li et al. (1991a and 1991b) hypothesized that the reduction in anti-nutritional factors, provided by the use of SPC, deletes their antigenic power, minimizing the transient hypersensitivity and improving growth. These reports, combined with the results obtained herein, corroborate the theory that the levels proposed in the study were not sufficient to demonstrate improvements in performance.

Diets without SPC resulted in increased small intestine weight and higher villus, crypt ratio in the jejunum (Table 6). The treatments with 6 and 9%

**Table 4** – Performance of broilers from one to 40 days, fed increasing levels of SPC in the pre-starter and starter diets (Mean ±SEM)\*

		Soy protein concentrate (%)			p Va	alue	
Variables	0	3	6	9	Linear	LF	CV (%)
		Pre-initial pha	se (1 - 7 days)		Liffedi	LI	
Initial body weight (g)	44.17±0.1304	44.13±0.1304	44.23±0.1304	44.20±0.1304	0.7352	0.9012	0.72
Final body weight (g)	145.11 ±1.9838	146.62±1.9838	144.86±1.9838	142.17±1.9838	0.2473	0.5605	3.36
Body weight gain (g)	100.94±2.0233	102.49±2.0233	100.62±2.0233	97.97±2.0233	0.2477	0.5686	4.93
Feed intake (g)	113.33±2.7702	111.55±2.7702	114.57±2.7702	114.17±2.7702	0.6609	0.7803	5.98
Feed conversion ratio	1.122±0.0249	1.091±0.0249	1.139±0.0249	1.166±0.0249	0.1243	0.3548	5.40
Viability (%)	100.00±0.3333	99.33±0.3333	100.00±0.3333	100.00±0.3333	0.6595	0.2697	0.82
		Initial phase	(1 - 21 days)				
Final body weight (g)	782.28±13.8551	772.72±13.8551	746.30±13.8551	756.61±13.8551	0.1107	0.5423	4.44
Body weight gain (g)	738.11±13.8525	728.59±13.8525	702.07±13.8525	712.41±13.8525	0.1100	0.5403	4.71
Feed intake (g)	1073.99±16.8165	1063.81±16.8165	1032.90±16.8165	1057.73±16.8165	0.3018	0.3655	3.90
Feed conversion ratio	1.457±0.0216	1.460±0.0216	1.475±0.0216	1.485±0.0216	0.3149	0.9754	3.60
Viability (%)	99.33±0.7601	98.67±0.7601	98.67±0.7601	99.33±0.7601	1.0000	0.6856	1.88
		Total phase	(1 - 40 days)				
Final body weight (g)	2490.77±33.6955	2548.73±33.6955	2472.44±33.6955	2482.8±33.6955	0.5141	0.2884	3.30
Body weight gain (g)	2446.60±33.6512	2504.60±33.6512	2428.21±33.6512	2438.64±33.6512	0.5127	0.2869	3.36
Feed intake (g)	4201.79±54.9045	4289.57±54.9045	4148.01±54.9045	4215.41±54.9045	0.6861	0.2247	3.19
Feed conversion ratio	1.717±0.0125	1.713±0.0125	1.708±0.0125	1.729±0.0125	0.5988	0.5552	1.78
Viability (%)	98.00±1.1055	95.33±1.1055	98.67±1.1055	98.00±1.1055	0.5079	0.1114	2.78

LF = lack of fit; CV = coefficient of variation, SEM = standard error of mean

<sup>\*</sup>mean of 6 replicates, with 25 broilers/replicate.

**Table 5** – Weight and enzymology of the pancreas of broilers at 21 days of age, fed increasing levels of soy protein concentrate in the pre-starter and starter diets (Mean ±SEM)

Variables	Soy protein concentrate (%)						
variables	0	3	6 9 p va		p Value	ie CV (%)	
Weight of pancreas (g)	2.467±0.1249	2.358±0.1249	2.433±0.1249	2.567±0.1249	0.7006	12.46	
Total protein (mg/mL)	2830.08±381.978	4049.37±381.978	3908.64±381.978	3630.86±381.978	0.1413	25.96	
Trypsin activity (UT/g)*	5.74 ±0.4705b	8.27±0.4705a	8.32±0.4705a	6.31±0.4705b	0.0011	16.09	
Amylase activity (mg/mL)*	6110.68 ±1304.987b	8457.77±1304.987b	4405.95±1304.98b	13489.61±1304.987a	0.0061	39.39	

<sup>\*</sup>Different letters in the line indicate differences between treatments by the Scott-Knott test.

SEM = standard error of mean

**Table 6** – Weight and intestinal histomorphometry of broilers from 21 days of age, fed increasing levels of soy protein concentrate in the pre-starter and starter diets (Mean ±SEM)

	Soy protein concentrate (%)					C) / (0/ )
	0	3	6	9	· p value	CV (%)
	57.9000±1.4089ª	50.9083±1.4089b	51.7583±1.4089 <sup>b</sup>	48.8417±1.4089 <sup>b</sup>	0.0013	6.59
Length villi	957.27±47.5510 <sup>b</sup>	969.51±52.0895b	1803.90±47.5510ª	1924.10±47.5510ª	0.0010	8.13
Depth crypt	203.89±20.8778 <sup>b</sup>	200.76±22.8704b	402.35±20.8778 <sup>a</sup>	444.69±20.8778°	0.0010	16.09
Villus:Crypt	5.277±0.2699	4.977±0.2956	4.93±0.2699	4.208±0.2699	0.0674	13.65
Length villi	658.68 ±58.3792b	671.39±58.3792b	1284.35±58.3792ª	1357.15±58.3792ª	0.0010	14.40
Depth crypt	137.54±16.6565 <sup>b</sup>	152.99±16.6565 <sup>b</sup>	332. ±16.6565ª	332.92±16.6565ª	0.0010	17.07
Villus:Crypt	4.993±0.1741°	4.503±0.1741 <sup>b</sup>	3.995±0.1741 <sup>b</sup>	4.187±0.1741 <sup>b</sup>	0.0036	9.65
Length villi	570.12±64.5633b	581.04±64.5633b	1096.25±64.5633ª	1181.05±64.5633ª	0.0010	18.45
Depth crypt	132.76±16.2917 <sup>b</sup>	151.42±16.2917b	284.12±16.2917 <sup>a</sup>	283.01±16.2917ª	0.0010	18.75
Villus:Crypt	4.475±0.1447	4.029±0.1447	3.923±0.1447	4.252±0.1447	0.0624	8.50
	Depth crypt Villus:Crypt Length villi Depth crypt Villus:Crypt Length villi Depth crypt	57.9000±1.4089a  Length villi 957.27±47.5510b  Depth crypt 203.89±20.8778b  Villus:Crypt 5.277±0.2699  Length villi 658.68 ±58.3792b  Depth crypt 137.54±16.6565b  Villus:Crypt 4.993±0.1741a  Length villi 570.12±64.5633b  Depth crypt 132.76±16.2917b	0         3           57.9000±1.4089³         50.9083±1.4089⁵           50.9083±1.4089⁵         50.9083±1.4089⁵           Length villi         957.27±47.5510⁵         969.51±52.0895⁵           Depth crypt         203.89±20.8778⁵         200.76±22.8704⁵           Villus:Crypt         5.277±0.2699         4.977±0.2956           Length villi         658.68 ±58.3792⁵         671.39±58.3792⁵           Depth crypt         137.54±16.6565⁵         152.99±16.6565⁵           Villus:Crypt         4.993±0.1741³         4.503±0.1741⁵           Length villi         570.12±64.5633⁵         581.04±64.5633⁵           Depth crypt         132.76±16.2917⁵         151.42±16.2917⁵	0         3         6           57.9000±1.4089a         50.9083±1.4089b         51.7583±1.4089b           Length villi         957.27±47.5510b         969.51±52.0895b         1803.90±47.5510a           Depth crypt         203.89±20.8778b         200.76±22.8704b         402.35±20.8778a           Villus:Crypt         5.277±0.2699         4.977±0.2956         4.93±0.2699           Length villi         658.68±58.3792b         671.39±58.3792b         1284.35±58.3792a           Depth crypt         137.54±16.6565b         152.99±16.6565b         332.±16.6565a           Villus:Crypt         4.993±0.1741a         4.503±0.1741b         3.995±0.1741b           Length villi         570.12±64.5633b         581.04±64.5633b         1096.25±64.5633a           Depth crypt         132.76±16.2917b         151.42±16.2917b         284.12±16.2917a	0         3         6         9           57.9000±1.4089a         50.9083±1.4089b         51.7583±1.4089b         48.8417±1.4089b           Length villi         957.27±47.5510b         969.51±52.0895b         1803.90±47.5510a         1924.10±47.5510a           Depth crypt         203.89±20.8778b         200.76±22.8704b         402.35±20.8778a         444.69±20.8778a           Villus:Crypt         5.277±0.2699         4.977±0.2956         4.93±0.2699         4.208±0.2699           Length villi         658.68±58.3792b         671.39±58.3792b         1284.35±58.3792a         1357.15±58.3792a           Depth crypt         137.54±16.6565b         152.99±16.6565b         332.±16.6565a         332.92±16.6565b           Villus:Crypt         4.993±0.1741a         4.503±0.1741b         3.995±0.1741b         4.187±0.1741b           Length villi         570.12±64.5633b         581.04±64.5633b         1096.25±64.5633a         1181.05±64.5633a           Depth crypt         132.76±16.2917b         151.42±16.2917b         284.12±16.2917a         283.01±16.2917a	7 Value           0         3         6         9           57.9000±1.4089°         50.9083±1.4089°         51.7583±1.4089°         48.8417±1.4089°         0.0013           Length villi         957.27±47.5510°         969.51±52.0895°         1803.90±47.5510°         1924.10±47.5510°         0.0010           Depth crypt         203.89±20.8778°         200.76±22.8704°         402.35±20.8778°         444.69±20.8778°         0.0010           Villus:Crypt         5.277±0.2699         4.977±0.2956         4.93±0.2699         4.208±0.2699         0.0674           Length villi         658.68±58.3792°         671.39±58.3792°         1284.35±58.3792°         1357.15±58.3792°         0.0010           Depth crypt         137.54±16.6565°         152.99±16.6565°         332.±16.6565°         332.92±16.6565°         0.0010           Villus:Crypt         4.993±0.1741°         4.503±0.1741°         3.995±0.1741°         4.187±0.1741°         0.0036           Length villi         570.12±64.5633°         581.04±64.5633°         1096.25±64.5633°         1181.05±64.5633°         0.0010           Depth crypt         132.76±16.2917°         151.42±16.2917°         284.12±16.2917°         283.01±16.2917°         0.0010

<sup>\*</sup>Different letters in the line indicate differences between treatments.

SEM = standard error of mean

inclusion of SPC provided greater villi length and crypt depth in the duodenum, jejunum and ileum, indicating greater absorption area which resulted in better utilization of nutrients in the diets.

There are very few reports on the effects of glycinin and  $\beta$ -conglycinin on the mucous secretion and moisture in the excreta of birds, which does not make it possible to discard them as predisposing factors to inflammatory reactions observed in broilers between seven and ten days of age (Ortiz, 2009).

Cortés (2012) tested the effect of a mono competent protease on anti-nutritional factors of soybean, and a reduction in the presence of these factors was proven. A linear relationship was observed for villi length and a cubic relationship to crypt depth, with increased surface area for absorption and villus: crypt ratio at 14 days of age. This author drew attention to the fact that the reduction of the stimulus on the pancreatic secretion and increasing the absorptive surface of the intestine brought about a shift in nutrient utilization

for performance and carcass yield with lower levels of fat

The use of 3 to 9% SPC did not affect leukocyte count and the dosage of immunoglobulin A in blood (Table 7). Based on these results, it can be inferred that the reduction of allergenic dietary factors was not enough, which explains the lack of difference in performance and in pancreatic weight, despite increased CMDM.

In Brazil there is paucity of data on reference levels for hematological and biochemical values in broiler chickens, showing the importance of studies that include such evaluations in several experimental situations (Minafra, 2010). Furthermore, the method commonly used, immune histochemistry, enables the determination of dimeric IgA and observation of leukocytes in slide, contributing to greater accuracy in the discussion about the relevance of antigenic factors in catabolic processes associated with the immune response.

UT = trypsin units; CV = coefficient of variation.

<sup>\*</sup>mean of 6 replicates, with 2 broilers/replicate.

CV = coefficient of variation.

**Table 7** – Leukocyte count (total and specific) and dosage of immunoglobulin A in broilers at 21 days of age, fed increasing levels of Soy protein concentrate in the pre-starter and starter diets (Mean ±SEM)

Variables —	Soy protein concentrate (%)					C)//(0/)
	0	3	6	9	– p Value	CV (%)
Total leukocyte	9891.67±665.9135 (9725.00)	8510.00±729.4716 (8950.00)	8441.67±665.9135 (8962.50)	8962.50±665.9135 (8625.00)	0.6949	18.18
Eosinophils	0.92±0.3241 (0.50)	0.30±0.3551 (0.33)	0.4±0.32412 (0.25)	0.39±0.3241 (0.17)	0.8553	154.31
Lymphocytes	52.37±6.8496 (57.33)	47.03±7.5034 (45.25)	47.67±6.8496 (55.25)	43.50±6.8496 (45.00)	0.5929	35.37
IgA dosage	20.49±2.547 (20.52)	16.39±2.547 (16.68)	14.70±2.547 (14.02)	13.24±2.547 (13.80)	0.3865	38.49

<sup>\*</sup>Values in parentheses represent the median

In the present study the coefficient of metabolization of dry matter increased linearly and the coefficient of metabolization of ash decreased linearly according to higher SPC inclusion in diets (Table 8). According to Batal & Parsons (2003), the increase in the use of nutrients in SPC is due to the removal of soluble non-starch oligosaccharides.

Soluble non-starch oligosaccharides negatively interfere with the absorption of minerals (Arruda, 2003). Although SPC has a higher concentration of minerals due to processing (Miranda, 2012), the metabolization of nutrients decrease in the content of  $\alpha$ -galactosides, the excretion of minerals (Fischer *et al.*, 2002) causing CMA increase - contradicting the results.

The coefficients of metabolization of crude protein and ether extract were not affected by using SPC. Pinheiro *et al.* (2008), comparing the metabolization of different soybean subproducts also found no significant differences for the CMCP and CMEE diets low in fiber. Methods that allow the exclusion of nitrogen from uric acid in the calculation of the CMCP and to enable inclusion of the percentage of complex lost minerals with organic matter in the calculation of CMA, contribute to a greater accuracy in the analysis of results.

In conclusion, the inclusion of 6% of soy protein concentrate increased trypsin activity, the villi length and crypt depth in the small intestine, suggesting an improvement in the process of digestion and nutrient absorption, yet insufficient to show an increase in performance parameters. Similar to what occurs with other species (pigs, cattle and fish), studies using SPC for broilers from one to 21 days of age should be encouraged. The use of soy protein concentrate does not affect broiler performance and can be used in prestarter and starter diets until 9%.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors thanks to the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) for the grant; company São Salvador Alimentos S/A for donating the post-hatching broilers and Selecta for donating de soy protein concentrate.

### REFERENCES

Arruda AMV, Pereira ES, Mizubuti IY, Silva LDF. Importância da fibra na nutrição de coelhos. Semina 2003;24:181-190.

Bansemer, MS, Forder REA, Howarth GS, Suitor, GM, Bowyer, J, Stone DAJ. The effect of dietary sobean meal and soy protein concentrate

**Table 8** – Coefficients of metabolization of dry matter, crude protein, ether extract and ash of the experimental diets (Mean ±SEM)

Variables -		Soy protein concentrate (%)			P Value		C)//(0/)	
variables	0	3	6	9	linear	LF	CV (%)	
CMDM (%) <sup>1</sup>	74.32±0.5366	74.53±0.5366	76.11±0.5366	76.41±0.5366	0.0038	0.5505	1.74	
CMCP (%)	67.64±1.1418	67.39±1.1418	68.82±1.1418	68.40±1.1418	0.4681	0.7813	4.03	
CMEE (%)	87.67±0.8583	86.00±0.8583	87.85±0.8583	88.82±0.8583	0.1823	18.4600	2.40	
CMA (%) <sup>2</sup>	91.17±0.7989	89.38±0.7989	89.48±0.7989	87.04±0.7989	0.0026	0.4433	2.19	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>y = 74.1640 + 0.0262x$  ( $R^{2} = 0.89$ );  $^{2}y = 91.1139 - 0.0409x$  ( $R^{2} = 0.86$ ).

CV = coefficient of variation.

SEM = standard error of mean

LF = lack of fit; CV = coefficient of variation, CMDM = Coefficients of metabolization of dry matter, CMCP = Coefficients of metabolization of crude protein, CMEE = Coefficients of metabolization ether extract, CMA= Coefficients of metabolization of ash.

<sup>\*</sup>mean of 6 replicates, with 6 broilers/replicate

SEM = standard error of mean



# Use of Soy Protein Concentrate in Pre-Starter and Starter Diets for Broilers

- on the intestinal mucus layer and development of subacute enteritis in Yellowtail Kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*) at suboptimal water temperature. Aquaculture Nutrition 2015;21:300-310.
- Batal AB, Parsons CM. Utilization of different soy products as affected by age in chicks. Poultry Science 2003;82:454–462.
- Bertol TM, Mores N, Ludke JV, Franke RM. Proteínas da soja processadas de diferentes modos em dietas para desmame de leitões. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 2001;30:150-157.
- Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Analytical Biochemistry 1976;7:248-254.
- Cortés MEM. Efeitos de uma protease monocompetente em dietas de frangos de corte com níveis crescentes de inibidores de tripsina [tese]. Porto Alegre (RS): Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul; 2012.
- Feng J, Liu X, Xu ZR, Lu YP, Liu YY. Effect of fermented soybean meal on intestinal morphology and digestive enzyme activities in weaned piglets. Digestive Diseases and Sciences 2007;52:1845-1850.
- Fischer G, Maier JC, Rutz F., Bermudez VL. Desempenho de frangos de corte alimentados com dietas a base de milho e farelo de soja, com ou sem adição de enzimas. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 2002;31:402-
- Garcia-Navarro CEK. Leucócitos. In: Garcia-Navarro CEK. Manual de hematologia veterinária. São Paulo: Livraria Varela; 2005. p.55-78.
- Jankowski J, Juskiewicz J, Gulewicz K, Lecewicz A, Slominski BA, Zdunczyk Z.. The effect of diets containing soybean meal, soybean protein concentrate, and soybean protein isolate of different oligosaccharide content on growth performance and gut function of young turkeys. Poultry Science 2009;88:2132-2140.
- Kim SW, Van Heugten E, Ji F, Lee CH, Mateo RD. Fermented soybean meal as a vegetable protein source of nursery pigs. I. Effects on growth performance of nursery pigs. Journal of Animal Science 2010;88:214-224.
- Kunitz, M. Crystalline soybean trypsin inhibitor. I General properties. The Journal of General Physiology 1947;30:291-310.
- Lenehan NA, Derouchey JM, Goodband RD, Tokach MD, Dritz SS, Nelssen JL, et al. Evaluation of soy protein concentrates in nursery pig diets. Journal of Animal Science 2007;85:3013-3021.
- Li DF, Nelssen JL, Reddy PG, Blecha F, Klemm RD, Giesting DW, et al. Measuring suitability of soy bean products for early-weaned pigs with immunological criteria. Journal of Animal Science 1991a;69:3299-3307.
- Li DF, Nelssen JL, Reddy PG. Interrelationship between hypersensitivity to soybean proteins and growth performance in early-weaned pig. Journal of Animal Science 1991b;69:4062-4069.
- Luna GL. Processing of tissue: dehydrating, clearing, impregnating and embedding. In: Luna GL. Manual of histologic staining methods of the armed forces institute of pathology. New York: Mcgraw-Hill; 1968. p.12-16.
- Minafra CS, Marques SFF, Stringhini JH, Ulhoa CJ, Resende CSM, Santos JS, et al. Perfil bioquímico do soro de frangos de corte alimentados com dieta suplementada com alfa-amilase de cryptococcus flavus e aspergillus niger. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 2010;39:2691-2696

- Miranda LC. Obtenção e caracterização de hidrolizados enzimáticos de proteínas do farelo de soja [tese]. São Carlos (SP): Universidade Federal de São Carlos; 2012.
- Nat MP, Herrick CA. A new blood diluent for counting the erythrocytes and leukocytes of the chicken. Poultry Science 1952;31:735-738.
- Nunes RV, Buteri CB, Nunes CGV, Albino LFT, Rostagno HS. Fatores antinutricionais dos ingredientes destinados à alimentação animal. Anais do Simpósio Sobre Ingredientes na Alimentação Animal; 2001; Campinas, São Paulo. Brasil: Colégio Brasileiro de Nutrição Animal; 2001. p.235-272.
- Ortiz A. Implicaciones de la utilización de altos niveles de soja em avicultura. Anais do 46° Symposium Científico de Avicultura; 2009 Sept 30 - Oct 2; Zaragoza. Espanha: World Poultry Science Association; 2009. p.81-
- Pinheiro CC, Rego JCC, Ramos TA, Silva BKR, Warpechowski MP. Digestibilidade dos nutrientes e desempenho de frangos de corte consumindo dietas formuladas com diferentes níveis de fibra e suplementadas com enzimas exógenas. Ciência Animal Brasileira 2008;9:984-996.
- R Development Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2001. Available from: http://www.R-project.org/.
- Rostagno HS, Albino LFT, Donzele JL, Gomes PC, Oliveira RFM, Lopes DC, *et al.* Tabelas brasileiras para aves e suínos Composição de alimentos e exigências nutricionais. Viçosa: Editora UVF; 2011. p.252.
- Sakomura NK, Rostagno HS. Métodos de pesquisa em nutrição de monogástricos. Jaboticabal: Funep; 2007. 283p.
- Scottá BA, Albino LF, Rostagno HS, Gomide APC, Campos PF, Vieira RA, et al. Coeficiente de digestibilidade e conteúdo de aminoácidos digestíveis em alimentos proteicos para frangos de corte. Revista Brasileira de Agropecuária Sustentável 2013;3:151-157.
- Silva JD, Queiroz AC. Análise de alimentos métodos químicos e biológicos. Viçosa: Editora UFV; 2009. p.235.
- Siugzdaite J, Jeresiunas A, Stankevicius R, Kulpys J. Efficiency of soy protein concentrate in diets of weaned piglets. Czech Journal Animal Science 2008;53:9-16.
- Souza AVC, Rostagno HS, Dionizio MA. Fundamentos técnicos para utilização de dietas pré-iniciais para frangos de corte. Anais do 1º Fórum Internacional de Avicultura; 2005;Foz do Iguaçu; Paraná. Brasil. Campinas: Editora Animal World; 2005. p.207-228.
- Thomaz MC, Silveira AC, Kronka RN, Nakaghi LSO, Budiño FEL. Fontes proteicas e idades de abate sobre a estrutura e ultraestrutura do intestino delgado de leitões desmamados. Brazilian Journal of Veterinary Research and Animal Science 2011;48:408-418.
- Trindade Neto MA, Berto DA, Miguel WC, Soto WC. Manejo alimentício de lechones desmamados em idade antecipada. Archivos Latinoamericano Production Animal 2007;15:158-170.
- Volnei WG, Siqueira WC. Histotecnologia básica. 2nd ed. Brasília: Manual; 1981. p.104-108.