



Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo

ISSN: 0100-0683

revista@sbc.org.br

Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo  
Brasil

Rocha Vasconcelos da Silva, Gilka; Menezes de Souza, Zigomar; Vieira Martins Filho, Marcílio;  
Sobreira Barbosa, Ronny; Soares de Souza, Gustavo

Soil, water and nutrient losses by interrill erosion from green cane cultivation

Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, vol. 36, núm. 3, mayo-junio, 2012, pp. 963-970

Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo

Viçosa, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=180222945026>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

## Comissão 3.3 - Manejo e conservação do solo e da água

### SOIL, WATER AND NUTRIENT LOSSES BY INTERRILL EROSION FROM GREEN CANE CULTIVATION<sup>(1)</sup>

Gilka Rocha Vasconcelos da Silva<sup>(2)</sup>, Zigomar Menezes de Souza<sup>(3)</sup>,  
Marcílio Vieira Martins Filho<sup>(4)</sup>, Ronny Sobreira Barbosa<sup>(2)</sup> & Gustavo  
Soares de Souza<sup>(2)</sup>

#### SUMMARY

Interrill erosion occurs by the particle breakdown caused by raindrop impact, by particle transport in surface runoff, by dragging and suspension of particles disaggregated from the soil surface, thus removing organic matter and nutrients that are essential for agricultural production. Crop residues on the soil surface modify the characteristics of the runoff generated by rainfall and the consequent particle breakdown and sediment transport resulting from erosion. The objective of this study was to determine the minimum amount of mulch that must be maintained on the soil surface of a sugarcane plantation to reduce the soil, water and nutrient losses by decreasing interrill erosion. The study was conducted in Pradópolis, São Paulo State, in 0.5 x 1.0 m plots of an Oxisol, testing five treatments in four replications. The application rates were based on the crop residue production of the area of 1.4 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (T1- no cane trash; T2-25 % of the cane trash; T3- 50 % trash; T4-75 % trash; T5-100 % sugarcane residues on the surface), and simulated rainfall was applied at an intensity of 65 mm h<sup>-1</sup> for 60 min. Runoff samples were collected in plastic containers and soon after taken to the laboratory to quantify the losses of soil, water and nutrients. To minimize soil loss by interrill erosion, 75 % of the cane mulch must be maintained on the soil, to control water loss 50 % must be maintained and 25 % trash controls organic matter and nutrient losses. This information can contribute to optimize the use of this resource for soil conservation on the one hand and the production of clean energy in sugar and alcohol industries on the other.

**Index terms:** surface runoff, cane trash, rain simulation.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Part of the Dissertation of Master the program at the Faculty of Agricultural Engineering - UNICAMP. Received for publication in May 3, 2011 and approved in March 20, 2012.

<sup>(2)</sup> Graduate students of the Soil and Water Department, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering - UNICAMP. Cidade Universitária: Zeferino Vaz, Av. Candido Rondon, 501, Barão Geraldo. 13083-875 Campinas (SP). E-mail: gilkaplanta@gmail.com; rsbagronomo@gmail.com; gsdsouza@hotmail.com

<sup>(3)</sup> Professor (PhD), Soil and Water Department, FEAGRI/UNICAMP, Campinas (SP). E-mail: zigomarms@feagri.unicamp.br

<sup>(4)</sup> Professor (PhD), São Paulo State University. UNESP, Jaboticabal (SP). E-mail: mfilho@fcav.unesp.com

**RESUMO:** *PERDAS DE SOLO, ÁGUA E NUTRIENTES POR EROSÃO EM ENTRESSULCOS EM ÁREA SOB CULTIVO DE CANA CRUA*

*A erosão em entressulcos acontece devido à desagregação originada pelo impacto das gotas de chuva pelo transporte por meio do escoamento superficial, por arraste e suspensão das partículas superficiais do solo desagregadas, onde se encontram a matéria orgânica e os nutrientes fundamentais para a produção agrícola. A presença de resíduos vegetais sobre a superfície do solo altera as características do escoamento superficial gerado pela chuva e a consequente desagregação e transporte de sedimentos resultantes do processo erosivo. O objetivo deste trabalho foi determinar a quantidade mínima de palha a ser mantida na superfície do solo, em área cultivada com cana-de-açúcar, para proporcionar menores perdas de solo, água e nutrientes pela redução da erosão em entressulcos. O estudo foi realizado no município de Pradópolis-SP em um Latossolo Vermelho distrófico, em parcelas experimentais de 0,5 por 1,0 m de comprimento, e constituiu-se de cinco tratamentos com quatro repetições; a dose de aplicação de resíduos teve como base a produção de palha da área local de 1,4 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (T1-sem palha; T2-25 % de palha; T3-50 % de palha; T4-75 % de palha; e T5-100 % de palha de cana-de-açúcar em superfície), sendo aplicada uma chuva simulada com intensidade de 65 mm h<sup>-1</sup> durante 60 min. Foram coletadas amostras de enxurrada em recipientes plásticos, as quais, em seguida, foram levadas para laboratório para quantificar a perda de solo, de água e de nutrientes. A fim de minimizar a perda de solo por erosão em entressulcos, devem ser mantidos 75 % de cobertura com palha de cana-de-açúcar, 50 % de cobertura para perda de água e 25 % de cobertura para perda de matéria orgânica e nutrientes, o que possibilita otimizar o uso desse recurso tanto para a conservação do solo como para a produção de energia limpa em indústrias sucroalcooleiras.*

*Termos de indexação: escoamento superficial, palha de cana-de-açúcar, simulação de chuva.*

## INTRODUCTION

In the system of mechanized sugarcane harvesting without burning, the leaf blades and sheaths, shoots, as well as a variable amount of stem pieces are cut, ground and distributed over the soil surface, forming a layer of plant waste called trash or mulch. The amount of trash collected from cane plantations without burning varies from 10 to 30 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Trivelin et al., 1995). The maintenance of the mulch layer in the system can benefit the soil significantly, minimize the problem of air pollution and probably reduce the use of mineral fertilizers, as well as soil, water and nutrient losses (Souza et al., 2005). Therefore, it is essential to determine how much trash can be removed from sugarcane plantations for power generation, so as not to trigger or accelerate the process of erosion, which is one of the driving degradation forces in agricultural areas.

Soil degradation must be considered one of the most serious environmental problems. Among the problems of degradation, water erosion particularly affects the productive capacity of soils and can be initiated and accelerated by inadequate management practices (Carvalho et al., 2002). The different management forms may significantly affect the runoff/flow characteristics on the soil

surface, by changes in surface roughness, as well as variations in soil structure, aggregate stability, organic matter content, and by increased total porosity of the tilled layers (Cassol & Lima, 2003; Carvalho et al., 2009).

Erosion is a surface phenomenon that occurs by the physical process of disintegration, transport and deposition of soil particles caused by rainwater and runoff, and is influenced by anthropogenic action. Among the types of water erosion, interrill erosion can be considered the most harmful for carrying off topsoil, which contains the essential elements for crop development (Cogo et al., 2003; Nunes & Cassol, 2008). In the case of interrill erosion, the impact of raindrops is the factor responsible for the disaggregation of the soil particle mass and can break down large amounts of particles by the kinetic energy of the droplets, which exert pressure and shear forces at the point of impact (Cassol & Lima, 2003; Cassol et al., 2004).

Soil covers of crop residues, including sugarcane trash, reduce erosion by dissipating the kinetic energy of raindrops on the surface, decreasing the flow velocity and increasing the depth of the water layer in the surface soil (Martins Filho et al., 2009). In other words, the cover reduces the capacity of runoff of disaggregating and transporting soil

particles and forms a network, comparable with a filter, which induces the deposition of particles, especially of the larger ones, carried by the runoff. On the other hand, if the soil cover is removed, e.g., by burning, the soil is freely exposed to rain impact and runoff, and consequently, more susceptible to erosion.

In view of the search for new alternatives for cleaner and environmentally less harmful energy production and by the fiscal incentives of the government, the sugarcane mills in São Paulo state began to produce clean energy. By this process the cane trash formerly left on the soil after mechanical harvesting was removed from the plantations. However, the withdrawal of a certain quantity of trash can be harmful for the environment, facilitating the erosion process by exposing the soil to rainfall. Thus, it is necessary to assess the amount of sugarcane trash that should be maintained on the soil surface of sugarcane plantations, to prevent significant soil, water and nutrient losses by erosion.

This study aimed to determine the minimum amount of trash that must be maintained on the soil surface in sugarcane plantations to reduce soil, water and nutrient losses by minimizing interrill erosion.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted in an area of the São Martinho mill, in the county of Pradópolis, São Paulo State (lat 21° 18' 67" South, long 48° 11' 38" West; 630 m asl). The climate is mesothermal with a dry winter (Cwa), according to Köppen's climate classification, and the regional average rainfall is 1,400 mm, at an average intensity of 70.4 mm h<sup>-1</sup>. The topography is gently sloping, with slopes of 0.05 m m<sup>-1</sup>; the soil was classified as dystrophic red Oxisol (Latossolo Vermelho distrófico) clayey (Table 1). The area has a use history of more than

20 consecutive years of sugarcane cultivation with mechanical harvesting in the last 10 years. Thus, the vegetation cover at the time of this study consisted of sugarcane residues that had been left on the surface after the mechanical harvesting of sugarcane in the fifth ratoon cut.

The management used for planting was reduced tillage after destroying the stubble by mechanical elimination, uprooting and chopping the sugarcane stumps. This process caused soil mobilization in the sugarcane rows, since the mechanical destruction ripples the row, making the further use of an offset disk harrow necessary, to minimize the elevation of the soil in the row and prevent the occurrence of soil pulverization in the following operation. Then the total area was subsoiled. Prior to rainfall simulation, 80 m<sup>3</sup> vinasse was applied as well as 550 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> granular NPK (5-25-25) fertilizer in the furrow.

To simulate rainfall, plots (width 0.50 m, length 1.00 m, 0.50 m<sup>2</sup>) were framed with metal sheets (height 0.30 m, of which 0.05 m in and 0.25 m above the ground) along the sides and at the top, and by metal drain channels in the lower parts. The rain collectors (width 0.50 m) converge towards a side exit (diameter 0.065 m). Runoff samples were collected from the channels to quantify the soil and water losses.

The experiment was conducted with five treatments and four replications, based on different amounts of cane trash on the ground (0, 25, 50, 75, and 100 %). The trash was distributed homogeneously on the plots. The cover percentage was determined based on the trash dry weight and measured on a graded ruler of 0.50 m, according to the method described by Adams & Arkin (1977). The application rate was based on the dry matter of the sugarcane trash produced on an area of 1.4 kg m<sup>2</sup>. Consequently, the trash quantities for the treatments with 25, 50, 75, and 100 % trash cover corresponded to 0.175, 0.350, 0.520, and 0.700 kg plot, respectively. In the treatment without cover the trash was removed from the soil surface.

**Table 1. Soil chemical and particle size properties in the 0.00–0.20 m layer**

pH	OM	P	K	Ca	Mg	Al	H + Al	SB	CEC	V	Sand	Clay	Silt
	g dm <sup>-3</sup>	mg dm <sup>-3</sup>	mmol <sub>c</sub> dm <sup>-3</sup>							%	g kg <sup>-1</sup>		
4.7	19.4	21.6	1.2	18.4	5.2	1.2	36.2	24.8	60.9	40.5	344	525	132

OM: organic matter, SB: sum of bases, CEC: cation exchange capacity, V: base saturation.

The experiment was conducted in October 2009. Twenty plots were subjected to simulated rain at an average intensity of 65 mm h<sup>-1</sup> (I) for 60 min. The rainfall was simulated using Veejet-nozzles 80100 installed on a Swanson-type rotating boom simulator, previously calibrated and leveled on the terrain, as described by Swanson (1965), in a circular area (diameter 50 m) to quantify the eroded material. Thirty-six rain gauges were lined up in slope direction within the area of the rain simulator, as described by Martins Filho et al. (2004), to determine the rainfall intensity produced by the simulator on the experimental plots.

The 240 runoff samples containing soil sediment were collected in 1 L plastic containers in the 20 randomly distributed plots under the rainfall simulator, at intervals of 5 min during 60 min of rain. Immediately after collection, the containers were sealed and taken to the laboratory to quantify the sediment concentration and solution volume and determine the rates of soil and water loss. After weighing, 5.0 mL of aluminum sulfate and 5 % PA K was added to the pots, for particle settling for 24 h, then the supernatant was sucked off and the pots dried at 65 °C and weighed to determine the dry soil. The sediment concentration was calculated (in kg L<sup>-1</sup>) using the relationship between the dry soil mass and the mass of water-sediment mixture.

The rates of soil disaggregation ( $D_i$ ) were determined by the following expression:

$$D_i = \frac{m_s}{tA} \quad (1)$$

where  $m_s$ : mass of disaggregated soil (kg);  $t$ : sampling time (s) and  $A$ : plot area (m<sup>2</sup>).

The soil losses were determined as:

$$SL = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_i C_i t)}{A} \quad (2)$$

where  $SL$  is the total interrill soil loss (kg m<sup>-2</sup>);  $Q$  is the flow rate (L s<sup>-1</sup>);  $C$  is the sediment concentration (kg L<sup>-1</sup>);  $t$  is the interval between samplings (300 s);  $A$  is the plot area (m<sup>2</sup>); and  $n$  is the total number of samples.

The effect of sugarcane trash covering the soil surface, the sub-factor  $C_{III}$ , was determined by equation (3):

$$C_{III} = D_i / (K_i I R S_f) \quad (3)$$

where  $D_i$  is the average rate of interrill erosion, observed in plots with soil cover, obtained in the last 15 min of runoff sampling;  $I$  is the rainfall

intensity, mm or m s<sup>-1</sup>; and  $R$  is the runoff rate, in m s<sup>-1</sup>. The  $D_i$  values obtained in plots without trash cover, in the minutes of runoff sampling, were used to determine the interrill erodibility ( $K_i$ ). The slope factor ( $S_f$ ) was determined as cited by Liebenow et al. (1990), using equation (4):

$$S_f = 1.05 - 0.85e^{-4\sin\theta} \quad (4)$$

where  $e$  is the base of natural logarithms and  $\theta$  the slope angle in degrees.


In the chemical and sediment analyses, the concentrations of Ca, Mg, K, and P extracted by ion exchange resin were determined (Raij et al. 2001). Organic carbon was determined following the method described in Embrapa (1997). The pH was determined potentiometrically, in 0.01 mol L<sup>-1</sup> CaCl<sub>2</sub>.

The results were subjected to analysis of variance in a randomized design and the means compared using the Duncan test at 5 %. Analysis of linear and nonlinear regression between quantitative data were performed.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The soil cover had a significant beneficial effect on soil loss, expressed in kg m<sup>-2</sup> (Figure 1). As the vegetation cover was increased, an exponential decrease of soil loss was observed. In the treatment with 50 % trash on the ground, the soil erosion loss was reduced by 85 % compared with the bare soil treatment. The results were similar to those of Cantalice et al. (2009). Bezerra & Cantalice (2006) concluded that sugarcane residues in direct contact with the ground increased the hydraulic roughness, delaying the onset of runoff, subsequently increasing the water infiltration rate into the soil as well as reducing soil loss caused by interrill erosion.

When leaving 75 % of the cane residue as ground cover, the results remained unchanged in terms of soil loss by interrill erosion (Figure 1), i.e. independent of the amount of trash maintained on the soil surface, the loss was never zero. This agreed with the finding of Martins Filho et al. (2009), who stated that sub-factors relating the soil cover of plots with 100 % trash coverage had an average subfactor  $C_{III}$  of 0.11, indicating that erosion occurs even under complete cover. Braida & Cassol (1999) related erosion with the type and amount of vegetation, and found that interrill erosion decreased exponentially with increasing crop residue soil cover. In the plots with 100 % coverage, interrill erosion was reduced by 92 % compared to the bare ground.



**Figure 1.** Soil losses ( $L_S$ ), water ( $L_W$ ), organic matter ( $L_{OM}$ ) and nutrients ( $L_P$ ,  $L_K$ ,  $L_{Ca}$  and  $L_{Mg}$ ) by interrill erosion from plots with different amounts of cane trash on the soil surface (0, 25, 50, 75, and 100 %) under the rain event studied. Averages of the same treatment followed by the same letter differed by the Duncan test ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Water loss was reduced exponentially with the increase of mulching and behaved similarly to soil loss, mainly in the plots with a cane trash cover equal to and greater than 50 % (Figure 1). On the other hand, water losses are generally less affected by different trash amounts than soil loss, thereby reducing the loss by 47 % compared with the plots covered with 100 % or without trash, confirming the results obtained by Bertol et al. (1997). With the application of  $0.80\ kg\ m^{-2}$  (100 % residues) of corn and wheat straw on the soil surface, Braida & Cassol (1999) found a mean reduction of 34 % in total water loss and a 50 % reduction in the average rate of water loss compared to the bare ground.

Of the nutrients studied, the concentrations in the sediment were highest for P (Figure 1), decreasing exponentially with increasing soil cover. These results are consistent with Schick et al. (2000), who concluded that due to the fact that the element P is adsorbed to soil colloids, the transport is most intense in the clay fractions, resulting in greater losses with sediment runoff. Hernani et al. (1999) studying different systems of soil management and nutrient and organic matter losses by erosion observed a high P concentration in the sediment due to its specific adsorption and low solubility.

Higher concentrations in the sediment were observed for Ca than for Mg, and the trash



treatments differed from the bare soil treatment for both nutrients (Figure 1). This confirms results obtained by Martin Filho et al. (2009), who observed that increasing the sugarcane cover mulch on an Argisol significantly reduced Ca and Mg losses. The nutrient concentrations in water and sediment varied with their soil concentration, as can be observed by the initial nutrient concentrations in the area (Table 1).

The loss of organic matter increased exponentially when the ground cover was reduced (Figure 1), because the colloidal fraction and organic matter are the first constituents removed by erosion, in view of their low density (Seganfredo et al., 1997; Schick et al., 2000). Martins Filho et al. (2009), studied soil and nutrient erosion losses from a sugarcane area and found greater losses of organic matter from plots with bare soil, while on the plots where differentiated trash amounts were maintained the organic material losses were significantly reduced.

The P loss in runoff from all plots was high, including the plot with 100 % sugarcane trash ( $26 \text{ mg dm}^{-3}$ ), since according to Yoo et al. (1988), when the P concentration in the runoff solution is greater than  $0.02 \text{ mg dm}^{-3}$ , the processes of eutrophication in lakes and reservoirs may be accelerated, which can contaminate water resources (Figure 1). According to Levine & Schindler (1989), N and P are the nutrients most commonly associated with eutrophication, where P is the limiting factor, since many blue-green algae are capable of using atmospheric  $\text{N}_2$ . Thus, intensive fertilization in areas without proper soil management, where the P concentration would rise above this critical threshold in the case of laminar flow, can lead to eutrophication.

The loss of K was exponentially reduced with increasing soil cover, but less pronounced than of soil and water (Figure 1). The K loss from plots with maintenance of 100 % trash was similar to that from plots with 25 % trash amount, showing that

fertilization in sugarcane areas requires particular attention, especially in areas treated with vinasse, which is also associated to the high mobility of K in the soil. Martins Filho et al. (2009) studied the soil and nutrient losses by erosion from an Alfisol with sugarcane trash, and found that P and K were the elements with highest losses. Another problem is the application form of these nutrients to crops because if lime and fertilizer are applied to the surface and not incorporated into the soil, especially if rainfalls cause laminar erosion some days after the application of these products, this may result in severe problems with the surface water quality in watersheds that receive these nutrients.

The level of enrichment of the eroded sediment is calculated as the relationship between the concentration of organic matter and nutrients in the sediment and the original soil. A level of sediment enrichment greater than 1 means that the sediment is enriched with organic material or soil nutrients (Hernani et al., 1999; Silva et al., 2005). Under most conditions, the eroded sediment was enriched for all treatments compared with the original soil, with the exception of some treatments for Ca, Mg and organic matter (Table 2). This result agrees with Silva et al. (2005), in an analysis of the trend of enrichment rates in sediment from a Cambisol, who found no such enrichment in the sediment, while in sediments from an Oxisol, enrichment was confirmed for all nutrients.

Calcium-enriched sediment was observed from bare plots, and in the case of Mg from plots with 0, 25 and 50 % trash cover (Table 2). These elements, especially Ca, are adsorbed to the soil colloids, facilitating their transport into the sediment (Bertol, 1994). The sediment enrichment rate was highest for Ca and Mg from the bare soil plots, according to Bertol et al. (2004) this can be explained by the fact that Ca and Mg are divalent cations, less prone to leaching, which leads to an adsorption to the soil with higher energy than of some monovalent cations. According to Schick et al. (2000), while Ca and Mg are added to the soil in relatively large

**Table 2. Enrichment rate of sediment (ER) by organic matter (OM) and nutrients**

Cover	OM	P	K	Ca	Mg
%					
0	2.208 a	2.197 a	2.214 a	2.535 a	3.678 a
25	1.136 ab	1.283 a	1.172 a	0.676 b	1.024 b
50	0.917 ab	0.682 a	1.194 a	1.060 b	1.560 b
75	0.594 b	1.029 a	1.302 a	0.607 b	0.827 b
100	0.503 b	1.203 a	1.324 a	0.634 b	0.928 b

Means followed by the same letter in a column do not differ statistically from each other by the Duncan test at 5 %. OM: organic matter, P: phosphorus K: potassium, Ca: calcium, Mg: magnesium.

amounts at liming, the removal of these elements by erosion tends to accelerate the soil acidification.

The enrichment rate of P and K in the eroded sediment did not differ significantly (Table 2). This corroborated results of Langdale et al. (1985), who studied crop rotation in conservation and conventional soil tillage, and found that in the latter, the majority of P lost was adsorbed to runoff sediments. In conservation tillage, the sediment loss was lower, so the total P loss by water erosion was significantly lower than from conventional tillage systems. For K, Silva et al. (2005) claimed that a preferred transport route of K is by water runoff.

The low mobility of P in the soil profile and the reduction in erosion losses in areas with ground cover increases the levels of available P over time in the soil surface layer (Figure 1). Phosphorus accumulation in the topsoil was observed by Rheinheimer et al. (2003) in no-tillage. Similar results were observed by Martins Filho et al. (2009), who evaluated soil and nutrient losses by erosion from an Alfisol with sugarcane trash, where the P concentration in the eroded sediment was only lower in the plot with 100 % trash cover, differing significantly from the other trash rates applied to the soil surface.

It was confirmed that the soil management of sugarcane areas with trash mulch maintenance on the soil surface effectively controls interrill erosion. Therefore, the system of mechanical sugarcane harvesting, which allows the maintenance of trash on the soil surface, reduces the losses of organic matter and nutrients in the sediment (Martins Filho et al., 2009). However, the loss of nutrients and/or chemicals in the runoff can be high in conservation systems (Thompson et al., 2001) especially when lime and fertilizer are applied to the surface and not incorporated into the soil, and particularly if floods occur some days after the application of the products.

## CONCLUSION

A sugarcane trash cover of 75 % must be maintained on the ground to minimize soil loss by interrill erosion, a 50 % cover to minimize water loss and 25 % cover to reduce organic matter and nutrient losses, making it possible to optimize the use of cane trash for both soil conservation and for the production of clean energy in sugar and alcohol industries.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank the sugar mill São Martinho kindly permitting us to use the experimental area and the State of São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) for funding this research.

## LITERATURE CITED

- ADAMS, J.E. & ARKIN, G.F. A light interception method for measuring row crop ground cover. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 41:789-792, 1977.
- BERTOL, I. Perdas de nutrientes por erosão hídrica em diferentes sistemas de manejo de solo sob rotação de culturas. *Univer. Des.*, 2:174-184, 1994.
- BERTOL, I.; COGO, N.P. & MIQUELLUTI, D.J. Sedimentos transportados pela enxurrada relacionados à cobertura e rugosidade superficial do solo e taxa de descarga. *Pesq. Agropec. Gaúcha*, 3:199-206, 1997.
- BERTOL, I.; GUADAGNIN, J.C.; CASSOL, P.C.; AMARAL, A.J. & BARBOSA, F.T. Perdas de fósforo e potássio por erosão hídrica em um Inceptisol sob chuva natural. *R. Bras. Ci. Solo*, 28:485-494, 2004.
- BEZERRA, S.A. & CANTALICE, J.R.B. Erosão em entressulcos em diferentes condições de cobertura do solo, sob cultivo da cana-de-açúcar. *R. Bras. Ci. Solo*, 30:565-573, 2006.
- BRAIDA, J.A. & CASSOL, E.A. Relações da erosão em entressulcos com o tipo e com a quantidade de resíduo vegetal na superfície do solo. *R. Bras. Ci. Solo*, 23:699-709, 1999.
- CANTALICE, J.R.B.; BEZERRA, S.A.; OLIVEIRA, O.F.L. & MELO, R.O. Hidráulica e taxas de erosão em entressulcos sob diferentes declividades e doses de cobertura morta. *R. Caatinga*, 22:68-74, 2009.
- CARVALHO, D.F.; MONTEBELLER, C.A.; CRUZ, E.S.; CEDDIA, M.B. & LANA, A.M.Q. Perdas de solo e água em um Argissolo Vermelho Amarelo, submetido a diferentes intensidades de chuva simulada. *R. Bras. Eng. Agríc. Amb.* 6:385-389, 2002.
- CARVALHO, D.F.; CRUZ, E.S.; PINTO, M.F.; SILVA, L.D.B. & GUERRA, J.G.M. Características da chuva e perdas por erosão sob diferentes práticas de manejo do solo. *R. Bras. Eng. Agríc. Amb.*, 13:3-9, 2009.
- CASSOL, E.A.; CANTALICE, J.R.B.; REICHERT, J.M. & MONDARDO, A. Escoamento superficial e desagregação do solo em entressulcos em solo franco-argilo-arenoso com resíduos vegetais. *Pesq. Agropec. Bras.*, 39:685-690, 2004.
- CASSOL, E.A. & LIMA, V.L. Erosão em entressulcos sob diferentes tipos de preparo e manejo do solo. *Pesq. Agropec. Bras.*, 38:117-124, 2003.



- COGO, N.P.; LEVIEN, R. & SCHWARZ, R.A. Perdas de solo e água por erosão hídrica influenciadas por métodos de preparo, classes de declive e níveis de fertilidade do solo. R. Bras. Ci. Solo, 27:743-753, 2003.
- EMPRESA BRASILEIRA DE PESQUISA AGROPECUÁRIA - EMBRAPA. Serviço Nacional de Levantamento e Conservação do Solo. Manual de análises de solo. 2.ed. Rio de Janeiro, 1997. 212p.
- HERNANI, L.C.; KURIHARA, C.H. & SILVA W.M. Sistema de manejo de solos e perdas de nutrientes e matéria orgânica por erosão. R. Bras. Ci. Solo, 23:145-154, 1999.
- LANGDALE, G.W.; LEONARD, R.A. & THOMAS, A.W. Conservation practice effects on phosphorus losses from Southern Piedmont watersheds. J. Soil Water Conserv., 40:157-160, 1985.
- LEVINE, S.L. & SCHINDLER, D.W. Phosphorus, nitrogen and carbon dynamics of Experimental Lake 303 during recovery from eutrophication. Can. J. Fis. Aquat. Sci., 46:2-10, 1989.
- LIEBENOW, A.M.; ELLIOT, W.J.; LAFLIN, J.M. & KOHL, K.D. Interrill erodibility: collection and analysis of data from cropland soils. Trans. ASAE, 33:1882-1888, 1990.
- MARTINS FILHO, M.V.; ENGLER, M.P.C.; IZIDORIO, R.; COTRIN, F.B.; SERRA, E.A.; AMARAL, N.S. & SOUZA, Z.M. Modelos para a estimativa do subfator cobertura-manejo ( $C_{II}$ ) relativo à erosão entressulcos. Eng. Agríc., 24:603-611, 2004.
- MARTINS FILHO, M.V.; LICCIOTI, T.T.; PEREIRA, G.T.; MARQUES JUNIOR, J. & SANCHEZ, R.B. Perdas de solo e nutrientes por erosão num Argissolo com resíduos vegetais de cana-de-açúcar. Eng. Agríc., 29:8-18, 2009.
- NUNES, M.C.M. & CASSOL, E.A. Estimativa da erodibilidade em entressulcos de Latossolos do Rio Grande do Sul. R. Bras. Ci. Solo, 32:2839-2845, 2008.
- RAIJ, B.van; ANDRADE, J.C.; CANTARELLA, H. & QUAGGIO, J.A. (Eds.) Análise química para avaliação da fertilidade de solos tropicais. Campinas, Instituto Agrônomo, 2001. 285p.
- RHEINHEIMER, D.S.; ANGHINONI, I. & CONTE, E. Sorção de fósforo em função do teor inicial e de sistemas de manejo de solo. R. Bras. Ci. Solo, 27:41-49, 2003.
- SCHICK, J.; BERTOL, I.; BALBINOT JUNIOR, A.A. & BATISTELA, O. Erosão hídrica em Cambissolo Húmico aluminoso submetido a diferentes sistemas de preparo e cultivo do solo: I. Perdas de solo e água. R. Bras. Ci. Solo, 24:427-436, 2000.
- SEGANFREDO, M.L.; ELTZ, F.L.F. & BRUM, A.C.R. Perdas de solo, água e nutrientes por erosão em sistemas de culturas em plantio direto. R. Bras. Ci. Solo, 21:287-291, 1997.
- SILVA, A.M.; SILVA, M.L.N.; CURTI, N.; LIMA, J.M.; AVANZI, J.C. & FERREIRA, M.M. Perdas de solo, água, nutrientes e carbono orgânico em Cambissolo e Latossolo sob chuva natural. Pesq. Agropec. Bras., 40:1223-1230, 2005.
- SOUZA, Z.M.; PRADO, R.M.; PAIXÃO, A.C.S. & CESARIN, L.G. Sistemas de colheita e manejo da palhada de cana-de-açúcar. Pesq. Agropec. Bras., 40:271-278, 2005.
- SWANSON, N.P. Rotating-boom rainfall simulator. Trans. ASAE, 8:71-72, 1965.
- THOMPSON, A.L.; GHIDEY, F. & REDMI, T.P. Raindrop energy effects on chemical and sediment transport. Trans. ASAE, 44:835-841, 2001.
- TRIVELIN, P.C.O.; VICTORIA, R.L. & RODRIGUES, J.C.S. Aproveitamento por soqueira de cana-de-açúcar de final de safra do nitrogênio da aquamônia- $^{15}\text{N}$  e uréia- $^{15}\text{N}$  aplicada ao solo em complemento à vinhaça. Pesq. Agropec. Bras., 30:1375-1385, 1995.
- YOO, K.H.; TOUCHTON, J.T. & WALKER, R.H. Runoff, sediment and nutrient losses from various tillage systems of Cotton. Soil Tillage Res., 12:13-24, 1988.