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ABSTRACT

In general, Latosols have low levels of available P, however, the influence of the parent material seems to be decisive in defining the pool and predominant form of P in these soils. This study evaluated P availability by extraction with Mehlich-1 (M-1) and Ion Exchange Resin (IER), from samples of B horizons of Ferric and Perferric Latosols developed from different parent materials. To this end, in addition to the physical and chemical characterization of soils, 10 sequential extractions were performed with M-1 and IER from samples of B horizons (depth between 0.8 and 1.0 m). Total contents of Ca, P, Fe, Al, and Ti were determined after digestion with nitric, hydrofluoric and perchloric acids. The effects of sequential P extractions on Fe oxides were also evaluated from the analyses of dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate and ammonium acid oxalate. The high similarity between contents of P accumulated after sequential extractions with M-1 and IER in soils developed on tuffite indicated a predominance of P-Ca. Higher contents of P after a single IER extraction show greater efficiency in P removal from highly weathered soils, as from the Latosols studied here. The P contents also show the high sensitivity of extractant M-1 in highly buffered soils. Furthermore, a single extraction with extractant M-1 or IER is not sufficient to estimate the amount of labile P in these soils.
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INTRODUCTION

Although P is a macronutrient required by plants in smaller quantities, it is often the main limiting factor of agricultural production in Brazil (Raij, 1991). Tropical soils are typically P-deficient, due to interactions of the element with mineral constituents of the soil. The term P sorption refers to the phenomena of adsorption on mineral surfaces and precipitation in less soluble form, which commonly occurs in tropical acidic soils that are rich in Fe and Al oxyhydroxides, such as Latosols in general (Motta et al., 2002).

The intensity of sorption processes depends mainly on the clay content and nature in soils (Bahia Filho et al., 1983; Valladares et al., 2003). Thus, predominantly oxidic soils with higher clay content have higher P adsorption and more Fe and Al-associated P forms than soils with kaolinitic mineralogy (Ker, 1995; Motta et al., 2002). In this sense, oxyhydroxide Fe and Al minerals are the most active components in the clay fraction for P adsorption, and the minerals goethite and gibbsite are mainly responsible for this phenomenon in Latosols (Bahia Filho et al., 1983; Ker, 1995; Fontes and Weed, 1996; Rolim Neto et al., 2004).

Crops also influence P adsorption in different ways. Motta et al. (2002) found increased contents of Ca-associated P forms in cultivated soils with annual phosphate fertilization and occasional liming. A number of effects could be contributing to the reduction of P adsorption and increased P-Ca contents (Motta et al., 2002): liming, affecting the reduction of positive charges and organic matter decomposition, generating lower molecular weight compounds that compete with P for exchange sites, and/or even longstanding phosphate fertilization, promoting the removal of part of the elements in solution (Ca, Fe and Al) by precipitation with P.

When determining the potentially plant-available P content, some authors (Campello et al., 1994; Gatiboni et al., 2002; Rheinheimer et al., 2003) detected significant variations between the contents obtained in a single extraction and in several successive steps with the same extractant. In highly weathered and buffered soils, the values obtained in a single extraction are obviously underestimated, since various energy interaction levels with inorganic colloids, as well as extractant wear, affect the ability of some prediction methods (Gatiboni et al., 2002; Novais et al., 2007; Freitas et al., 2013). Variations in contents of available P can also be a consequence of the predominant form, determining the P contents in weathered soils (Souza Júnior et al., 2012).

Soil laboratories in Brazil use the extractants Mehlich-1 (M-1) and Ion Exchange Resin (IER) as main quantification methods of soil P supply (Silva and Raij, 1999). M-1 is a diluted mixture of hydrochloric and sulfuric acids, and P extraction occurs through exchange of ligands in which the adsorbed phosphate anion is replaced by the SO$_4^{2-}$ anion, conjugate base of sulfuric acid. However, in clayey soils, mainly with higher pH, the P extraction power is compromised, because in addition to a rapid pH increase in the extracting solution in contact with the soil, the SO$_4^{2-}$ anion can be adsorbed at sites so far unoccupied by P, resulting in a phenomenon called extractant wear (Novais et al., 2007).

Ion exchange resin consists of positively and negatively charged organic polymers, whose...
This study assessed P availability by extraction with M-1 and IER, in B horizon samples of Ferric and Perferric Latosols developed from different parent materials.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The study was conducted in the laboratory of the Soil Department of the Federal University of Viçosa at room temperature. Samples of B horizons of 13 Latossolos Vermelhos ferric and perferric and of one Latossolo Vermelho-Amarelo mesoferric developed from different parent materials were collected between 0.8 and 1.0 m deep, in different regions of the State of Minas Gerais, Brazil. The sampling sites based on the soil map of the State of Minas Gerais. The sampling sites were similar to those obtained by M-1, given the Ca-drain effect of cationic resin that intensifies P solubilization, which does not occur with anionic resin alone (Freitas et al., 2013). Souza Júnior et al. (2012) reported similarity between the behavior of extractants M-1 and IER in very clayey and buffered soils, treated with Gafsa phosphate, a condition in which the extractant M-1 tends to wear out.

To show the effect of the parent materials on P contents in the soil, we decided to use subsurface horizons (B Latosols), with no initial P source supply. In this way, we minimized the influence of management (phosphate fertilization and liming), since P has low mobility in oxidic soils, such as Latosols (Novais and Smyth, 1999; Donagemma et al., 2008).

To perform the analyses, samples were used as air-dried fine earth (ADFE), obtained by air-drying, crumbling and sieving (<2 mm).

In the chemical and physical analyses of soil samples (Table 1), we determined pH in water and solution with KCl (ratio 1:2.5); exchangeable Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$, by extraction with KCl 1 mol L$^{-1}$; potential acidity (H+Al) by titrations after extraction with 0.5 mol L$^{-1}$ calcium acetate at pH 7.0; available P and K after extraction with 0.05 mol L$^{-1}$ HCl solution and 0.025 mol L$^{-1}$ \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) (M-1) (Embrapa, 2011); and remaining P (P-rem) by determining the P balance solution after shaking ADFE for 1 h with 0.01 mol L$^{-1}$ CaCl$_2$ solution containing 60 mg L$^{-1}$ of P at a 1:10 soil solution ratio (Alvarez V et al., 2000). The Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ contents were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry, P by colorimetry (Braga and Defelipo, 1974), K by flame photometry, and total organic carbon (TOC) by the Walkley-Black method (Embrapa, 2011). The Ki and Kr indexes were estimated from the analysis of sulphuric digestion (ratio 1:1) in ADFE fraction, and the Si, Al and Fe contents used to calculate the relations were quantified by plasma optical emission spectroscopy (Embrapa, 2011). Particle size analysis was performed according to Embrapa (2011).

The total contents of Ca, P, Fe, Al and Ti were determined by plasma optical emission spectroscopy, after total digestion of ADFE with a ternary mixture of concentrated nitric, hydrofluoric and perchloric acids, according to Embrapa (2011).

Available P contents were determined after 10 successive extractions by IER (50 % resin Amberlite IRA-400 + 50 % resin Amberlite IR-120) saturated with sodium bicarbonate (Raij and Quaggio, 2001) and by the extraction method M-1 (0.05 mol L$^{-1}$ HCl + 0.025 mol L$^{-1}$ \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \)).

For P determination in soil by IER extractions, 2.5 cm$^2$ soil was placed in a conical plastic recipient of 80 mL, along with 25 mL of deionized water and a medium-sized glass sphere, and shaken in a horizontal device for 15 min at 220 rpm. Then the glass sphere was removed and 2.5 cm$^3$ of IER, previously treated with 1 mol L$^{-1}$ NaHCO$_3$ at pH 8.5, was added. Next, the mixture containing IER with deionized water was subjected to horizontal shaking for 16 h at 220 rpm. Subsequently, IER was separated from the soil using a polyester sieve (0.4 mm mesh) applying jets of deionized water to wash the retained material. The suspension with soil was transferred again to the plastic containers for subsequent extractions, the pH adjusted to 6.0 with a solution of 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ HCl to promote flocculation, and the suspension was left to stand for 36 h between one extraction and another. The IER retained in the sieve was transferred individually to 100 mL flasks and 50 mL of a solution with 0.8 mol L$^{-1}$ NH$_4$Cl and 0.2 mol L$^{-1}$ HCl was added and left to stand for 30 min to eliminate CO$_2$. Thereafter, the flasks were closed and subjected to horizontal circular shaking for 1 h at 220 rpm. P concentration in the extracted...
solution with resin was determined by colorimetry, according to Braga and Defelipo (1974).

For P determination in the soil by extractant M-1, 2.5 cm³ of ADFE were placed in 50 mL centrifuge tubes with 25 mL extractant. The mixtures were shaken vertically (Wagner agitator, 50 rpm/5 min), and after 16 h of sedimentation, aliquots of supernatants were removed to determine P contents (Braga and Defelipo, 1974).

To compose the correlation matrix of mineralogical properties, minerals of the clay fraction were estimated by allocation, according to the method proposed by Resende et al. (1987), using software ALOCA (Moura Filho et al., 1995). Therefore, the chemical elements of the minerals extracted by sulphuric digestion and citrate-bicarbonate-dithionite (CBD) in clay fraction were allocated to the minerals identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD). By calculating the average size of crystal from the half-height width of reflections (104), (110) and (012) of hematite (Hm) and (110) and (111) of goethite (Gt) by the Scherrer equation (Klug and Alexander, 1954), we determined the values of the specific surface area (SSA) for the respective minerals, considering the geometric shape of a sphere for Gt (Schwertmann and Kämpf, 1985) and circular plates (geometric shape of a cylinder) for Hm (Schwertmann et al., 1979; Melo et al., 2001). Isomorphous substitution (IS) of Fe³⁺ by Al³⁺ in the Hm and Gt structure was estimated by the position of reflections of these minerals obtained by XRD, using silicon as an internal standard for offset correction. In Gt, IS was calculated from the equation proposed by Schulze (1984) and, in Hm as in Schwertmann et al. (1979). The relationship Hm/(Hm+Gt) was estimated based on the areas of reflections concerning the plan (012) of Hm and (110) of Gt. The formula used to calculate was proposed by Resende et al. (1987): Hm/(Hm+Gt) = 4 × (Hm(012)/4 × A(Hm012) + A(Gt110).

Samples of ADFE were also subjected to five successive extractions with CBD (Mehra and Jackson, 1960) before and after 10 successive P extractions with M-1 and IER and a single extraction with ammonium acid oxalate (AAO) (McKeague 1984).

### Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of the B horizons of Latossolos Vermelhos ferric and perferric and Latossolo Vermelho-Amarelo mesoferric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>LV₁</th>
<th>LV₂</th>
<th>LV₃</th>
<th>LV₄</th>
<th>LV₅</th>
<th>LV₆</th>
<th>LV₇</th>
<th>LV₈</th>
<th>LV₉</th>
<th>LV₁₀</th>
<th>LV₁₁</th>
<th>LV₁₂</th>
<th>LV₁₃</th>
<th>LVA₁</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH(H₂O)</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>4.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH(KCl)</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpH</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC (g kg⁻¹) (1)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (mg dm⁻³)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prem (mg L⁻¹)(2)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mg dm⁻³)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺ (mol dm⁻³)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺ (mol dm⁻³)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al³⁺ (mol dm⁻³)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H⁺Al (mol dm⁻³)</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>8.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m (%) (3)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (%) (5)</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CECₐ (mol dm⁻³)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC₇₂ (mol dm⁻³)</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>8.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index Kₗ (6)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index Kₗ (7)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sand (g kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sand (g kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (g kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (g kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munsel hue</td>
<td>2.5YR</td>
<td>10R</td>
<td>10R</td>
<td>10R</td>
<td>2.5YR</td>
<td>3.5YR</td>
<td>10R</td>
<td>10R</td>
<td>10R</td>
<td>10R</td>
<td>2.5YR</td>
<td>10R</td>
<td>2.5YR</td>
<td>10R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Organic carbon; (2) Prem: remaining P; (3) Saturation by Al³⁺; (4) Sum of bases; (5) Bases saturation; (6) Molar ratio (SiO₂/Al₂O₃ × 1.7) obtained by sulphuric digestion; (7) Molar ratio [SiO₂ × 1.7/(Al₂O₃ + 0.64 × Fe₂O₃)] obtained by sulphuric digestion.
and Day, 1966). The contents of Fe and Al were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We observed a wide range of contents of total Ca (CaT) (29 to 1,554 mg kg⁻¹) and total P (PT) (810 to 11,211 mg kg⁻¹) in the soils studied (Table 2). Even in the case of highly weathered soils as the Latosols, the Ca total contents found were higher than those observed by Rolim Neto et al. (2009) in Latossolos Vermelhos of two topolito sequences in the Alto Paranaíba region. Higher CaT and PT contents were observed in tuffite-derived soils, particularly in the samples LV6, LV7, LV8, LV9, and LV10, possibly a result of contributions of P forms linked to Ca (P-Ca) related to the mineralogy of mafic/ultramafic bodies in the Alto Paranaíba region (Barbosa et al., 1970; Rolim Neto et al., 2009). In addition, the positive correlation (r = 0.98**) between CaT and PT contents underscores the influence of P-Ca forms on P contents in the soil (Table 3).

The contents of total Fe (FeT) ranged from 111 to 377 g kg⁻¹, and were highest in LV11, formed from itabirite. Conversely, the Al content extracted by total digestion (AlT) from the same soil was lowest. The significant correlation (r = -0.78**) between FeT and AlT in the soils studied reflects proportionality of these elements in parent materials (Table 3). Due to the greater sensitivity of iron oxides to oxidation-reduction procedures, they can be solubilized in humid soil environments by the reduction of Fe³⁺ in the structure of pedogenetic Fe oxides, to the easily leachable Fe²⁺ (soluble phase). Therefore, reductions in the FeT/AlT ratio in soils could also be linked to this phenomenon, for example, in LVA1 samples.

The absence of a significant correlation (r = 0.28n.s.) between FeT and PT contents (Table 3), suggests that PT contents in soils are not related to Fe-associated P forms, as the forms linked to Hm and Gt, whose effect on P sorption is well-documented in the literature (Ker, 1995; Fontes and Weed, 1996; Rolim Neto et al., 2004).

The significant correlation between AlT contents and CaT (r = -0.59*) and PT (r = -0.60*) contents suggests the reduction of Ca and P contents with loss of bases and anions, respectively, throughout the alitization process of soils, as well as the possible occurrence of apatites altered with considerable Al contents replacing Ca or P in their structure, as reported by Rolim Neto (2002) based on micromorphological and microchemistry analyses in Cambisols in the Alto Paranaíba region. Al can replace Ca²⁺, in the form of Al³⁺, and phosphate (PO₄³⁻) (rarely), in the form of AlO₂⁻ in the structure of apatites. These crystallochemical modifications can cause variations in solubility, reactivity, crystallinity and thermal stability (Toledo and Pereira, 2001). Although part of AlT is associated with the presence of aluminosilicate minerals, low Ki index values (<0.75, except in LV1) (Table 1), which indicate the predominance of oxide mineralogy in soils, suggest that the negative correlation between AlT and PT contents also resulted from the lack of influence of P forms linked to Al, mainly those associated to gibbsite, with a strong effect on the process of P sorption (Ker, 1995; Rolim Neto et al., 2004).

The high content of total Ti (TiT) in soils confirms findings of increased contents of this element in soils developed on mafic rocks (Table 2) (Curin and
The incidence of increased Ti levels in tuffite-derived soils (LV5, LV6, LV7, LV8, LV9, and LV10), or under its influence (LVA1), is related to the higher residual content of anatase and to the significant presence of titanomagnetite and titanomaghemite in these soils (Fabris et al., 1994, 1997a,b). The significant correlations between contents of TiT and CaT (r = 0.75**), as well as contents of TiT and PT (r = 0.81**), suggest the possibility of using Ti as an indicator of the contents of these elements in soils formed on mafic rocks.

After sequential extractions with M-1 (PM-1) and IER (PIER), P contents ranged from 6 to 439 mg dm⁻³ and from 14 to 441 mg dm⁻³, respectively (Figure 1). As observed for PM contents, in soils formed on tuffite, mainly in LV5, LV7, LV8, LV9, and LV10, the PM-1 and PIER values were highest, which reflects the importance of this parent material for P content in these soils. However, the nature of tuffite formation, as well as its mixture with other detrital (recycling) material, allows for great variation in the vertical and horizontal chemical composition of soils, justifying the low PM-1 and PIER contents in other samples from tuffite formations (LV5 and LVA1). According to Guimarães (1955), tuffite are basic, non-hardened rocks composed of mixtures of pyroclastic debris and volcanic ash, with high contents of Fe, K, Ca and P.

Rolim Neto et al. (2009) found, in a single extraction, low contents of PM-1 for Latossolos Vermelhos developed on mafic/ultramafic rocks in the Alto Paraíba region and suggested the possibility of P translocation to less soluble forms in acidic medium such as those associated with Fe oxides and crystalline Al, with the pedogenetic development of the soil.

The significant correlations between the CaT and PM-1 contents (r = 0.96**) and PIER (r = 0.97**) deserve greater attention (Table 4). These correlations confirmed the existence of P forms linked to Ca in Latossolos Vermelhos, especially in those developed from tuffite formations in the Alto do Paraíba region, and highlight the importance of these forms for native P content in the soil. In addition, the similarity found between P contents accumulated after sequential extractions with M-1 and IER, in most tuffite-derived soils also corroborated the predominant occurrence of P-Ca in these soils. Also, the similarity between extractants M-1 and IER represents an additional Ca²⁺ drain, causing more effective solubilization of P-Ca (Figure 1) (Souza Júnior et al., 2012; Freitas et al., 2013). The absence of significant correlations between P extracted by M-1 and IER and crystallographic attributes (IS and SSA) for Gt and Hm, as well as with the ratio Hm/Hm+Gt and some minerals of the clay fraction, aside from confirming the predominance of the P-Ca form in soils, also reflects the importance of the parent material in the soil mineralogical behavior for P (Table 4).

Motta et al. (2002) studied P forms in surface horizons of Latossols of cultivated and non-cultivated systems and found higher values for the ratio

Figure 1. Phosphorus content obtained in the first extraction - P-1st extraction (a), relation between P extracted in the first extraction and the P extracted in 10 successive extractions – P-1st extrac/P-10 succes extrac (b), and P content after 10 successive extractions (c) with extractants Mehlich-1 (M-1) and ion exchange resin (IER) of the B horizons of Latossolos Vermelhos ferric and perferric and Latossolo Vermelho-Amarelo mesoferric.
P mobility in Latosols, known to found in soils with long history of cultivation, leading cycle possibly increased P contents in these soils. and phosphate fertilization during the growing cultivated systems, the contributions of liming biological processes. Perhaps, analyses of the effects of bioturbation on P mobility in oxidic Latosols, especially of the Fe-rich, could be added, because soils with such high contents of available P are rare in Brazil. In the second case, the original P richness of the soil could explain the few variations in contents between cultivated and non-cultivated soils. Corroborating the findings of Motta et al. (2002), in sample LV6, from an area under native forest, the P contents were attributed to the contribution of the parent material (tuffite). However, in the samples LV7, LV9 and LV10 from cultivated systems, the contributions of liming and phosphate fertilization during the growing cycle possibly increased P contents in these soils.

Intriguingly, in this study, higher P contents were found in soils with long history of cultivation, leading to questions about P mobility in Latosols, known to be low (Nancy and Smyth, 1999; Donagemma et al., 2008). It is a consensus in the scientific community that Latosols are soils in advanced weathering stages of bioturbation on the B horizonts of Latossolos ferric and perferric and Latossolo Vermelho-Amarelo mesoferric

Significant correlations between the content of Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} extracted by AAO in samples after sequential P extractions with M-1 and IER (Figure 2), reflect the possible participation of forms of Fe and Al oxyhydroxide minerals of low crystallinity in P content of soils, as observed by Fontes and Weed (1996).

Table 4. Pearson’s correlation matrix between contents of P accumulated after 10 successive extractions with Mehlich-1 (P\textsubscript{M-1}) and ion exchange resin (P\textsubscript{IER}), and minerals of kaolinite clay fraction (Ct), goethite (Gt), hematite (Hm) and gibbsite (Gb), the specific surface area of Gt (SSA\textsubscript{Gt}) and Hm (SSA\textsubscript{Hm}), isomorphous substitution of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} for Al\textsuperscript{3+} in Gt (IS\textsubscript{Gt}) and Hm (IS\textsubscript{Hm}), the ratio Hm/Hm+Gt and content of Ca (Ca\textsubscript{T}) obtained by total digestion of air-dried fine earth\textsuperscript{(1)} of the B horizonts of Latossolos Vermelhos ferric and perferric and Latossolo Vermelho-Amarelo mesoferric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ct</th>
<th>Gt</th>
<th>Hm</th>
<th>Gb</th>
<th>Hm/Hm+Gt</th>
<th>SSA\textsubscript{Gt}</th>
<th>SSA\textsubscript{Hm}</th>
<th>IS\textsubscript{Gt}</th>
<th>IS\textsubscript{Hm}</th>
<th>Ca\textsubscript{T}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P\textsubscript{M-1}</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P\textsubscript{IER}</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**: significant at 1 %. \textsuperscript{(1)}Minerals of the clay fraction estimated by the allocation method of Resende et al. (1987).

Wide variations were not observed between contents of Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} obtained by CBD and AAO in samples after sequential P extractions with M-1 and IER and samples not subjected to P extractions, indicating an inexpressive effect of P extractants on Fe oxyhydroxide minerals over successive extractions (Figure 2). Therefore, the reaction of acidic ligand anion exchange (PO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{3-} for SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}) in inorganic colloidal particles of soil samples under extractions with M-1 (Nancy et al., 2007) and the exchange reaction by difference of electrostatic ionic concentration of the solid phase with the soil solution samples when extracted with IER (Silva and Raij, 1999), do not significantly change the contents of Fe oxyhydroxide minerals in Latosols.

The sensitivity effect (wear) of extractant M-1 in highly buffered soils could be clearly observed in tuffite-derived soils, especially in samples LV7, LV9 and LV10, whose P contents determined by IER were roughly twice those extracted by M-1 after a single extraction. Given the possibility of greater influence of P-Ca in these soils, aside from the effect of P drain of the anionic resin, the strong action of Ca drain of the cationic resin intensifying P solubilization (Souza Júnior et al., 2012; Freitas et al., 2013) led to a greater P removal by IER than by extractant M-1, which had a limited extraction potential, due to the sensitivity effect.
**CONCLUSIONS**

The higher contents of total P in tuffite-derived soils show strong contribution of this rock to the P pool in these soils.

The highly significant correlations observed between the contents of total Ca and P extracted by Mehlich-1 (M-1) and by ion-exchange resin (IER) indicate pronounced influence of P-Ca in soils, particularly in Latossolos Vermelhos formed on tuffite in the Alto Paranaíba region.

Ion-exchange resin was more efficient to determine P availability, for removing larger amounts in the first extraction in all soils.

A single extraction with M-1 or IER is not sufficient to estimate the amount factor of P in highly weathered soils, such as Latosols, because successive extractions with the same extractant continuously remove P from the soil, as reported in the literature.
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