

Revista Ciência Agronômica

ISSN: 0045-6888 ccarev@ufc.br

Universidade Federal do Ceará Brasil

Guimarães Pimentel, Patrícia; Braga Reis, Ronaldo; Neuman Miranda Neiva, José;
Gesteira Coelho, Sandra; Pereira Pinto, Andréa
Yield and composition of milk from dairy cows fed diets containing cashew nuts
Revista Ciência Agronômica, vol. 48, núm. 4, octubre-diciembre, 2017, pp. 700-707
Universidade Federal do Ceará
Ceará, Brasil

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=195351648016



Complete issue

More information about this article

▶ Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

# Yield and composition of milk from dairy cows fed diets containing cashew nuts<sup>1</sup>

Produção e composição do leite de vacas leiteiras alimentadas com dietas contendo castanha de caju

Patrícia Guimarães Pimentel<sup>2\*</sup>, Ronaldo Braga Reis<sup>3</sup>, José Neuman Miranda Neiva<sup>4</sup>, Sandra Gesteira Coelho<sup>3</sup> and Andréa Pereira Pinto<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT** - This work evaluated the production and composition of milk from dairy cows fed increasing levels of cashew nuts in the concentrate portion of the diet. Eight Holstein multiparous cows were used, between the third and fifth lactation, with an average milk yield of  $28.0 \pm 4.0 \text{ kg day}^{-1}$ , arranged in a double 4 x 4 Latin square experimental design. The assessed diets consisted of including different amounts of ground cashew nuts (CN): 0; 80; 160 and 240 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate portion, which resulted in ether extract contents of 31.6; 46.0; 68.9 and 73.1 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of dietary dry matter, respectively. Corn silage was used as the only roughage at a proportion of 50% and diets were formulated to be isoprotein. Diet was offered as a complete mixture. Average milk yield was 30.3 kg day<sup>-1</sup>. The concentration of protein, N-urea and lactose did not present significant variations (p>0.05) among the diets. The inclusion of 24% of cashew nut in the concentrate portion of the diet, maintains milk production, reduces milk fat content and together with the reduction in the concentration of short chain fatty acids and the increase in the concentrations of long chain fatty acids, provide a greater nutraceutical value to milk, making the use of cashew nut an excellent alternative for obtaining milk with more benefits to human health.

Key words: Byproduct. Conjugated linoleic acid. Lipids. Milk fat. Milk protein.

**RESUMO** - O presente trabalho avaliou a produção e a composição do leite de vacas leiteiras alimentadas com níveis crescentes de castanha de caju na porção concentrada da dieta. Foram utilizadas oito vacas holandesas multíparas, entre a terceira e a quinta lactação, com produção média de 28,0 ± 4,0 kg de leite dia-1, dispostas em delineamento experimental quadrado latino 4 x 4, duplo. As dietas avaliadas consistiram na inclusão de diferentes níveis de castanha de caju moída (CC): 0; 80; 160 e 240 g kg-1 na porção concentrada, possibilitando concentrações de extrato etéreo de 31,6; 46,0; 68,9 e 73,1 g kg-1 na matéria seca da dieta, respectivamente. A silagem de milho foi utilizada como volumoso único, na proporção 50% e as dietas foram formuladas para serem isoproteicas. A dieta foi fornecida na forma de mistura total. A produção média de leite foi 30,3 kg dia-1. As concentrações de proteína, N-ureico e lactose não apresentaram variações significativas (p>0,05) entre as dietas. A inclusão de 24% de castanha de caju na porção concentrada da dieta, mantem a produção de leite, reduz o conteúdo de gordura no leite e juntamente com a redução na concentração de ácidos graxos de cadeia curta e o aumento nas concentrações de ácidos graxos de cadeia longa, proporciona maior valor nutracêutico ao leite, tornando a utilização de castanha excelente alternativa para obtenção de leite com maior benefício à saúde humana.

Palavras-chave: Ácido linoléico conjugado. Gordura do leite. Lipídeos. Proteína do leite. Subproduto.

DOI: 10.5935/1806-6690.20170081

<sup>\*</sup>Autor para correspondência

Recebido para publicação em 23/03/2016; aprovado em 01/12/2016

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Parte da Tese da primeira autora apresentada ao Doutorado em Zootecnia da Escola de Veterinária da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, com financiamento do Banco do Nordeste do Brasil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Departamento de Zootecnia, Centro de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidade Federal do Ceará/UFC, Fortaleza-CE, Brasil, pgpimentel@hotmail.com, dejapp@hotmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Departamento de Zootecnia, Escola de Veterinária, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte-MG, Brasil, rbr.ufmg@gmail.com, sandragesteiracoelho@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Escola de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, Universidade Federal do Tocantins, Araguaína-TO, Brasil, araguaia2007@gmail.com

## INTRODUCTION

Selecting animals with a greater milk potential requires the use of concentrate feeds, increasing the need to evaluate alternative food sources, such as agroindustrial byproducts. The development of cashew culture in northeastern Brazil stands out for its production volume, comprising the totality of the Brazilian production of cashew nuts (CN). CN that are improper for human consumption are an agroindustrial byproduct.

The supply of CN as a source of lipids to dairy cows is an alternative that allows for increasing the energy density in the diet. However, when lipids are supplied at high levels in the diet, this may cause the accumulation of intermediaries of ruminal biohydrogenation of long chain unsaturated fatty acids, reducing the lipogenic capacity of the mammary gland, and reducing fat content in the milk as a consequence (CHILLIARD *et al.*, 2007).

Fat is the main energy carrier in milk, and is responsible for most of the physical characteristics as well as manufacturing and organoleptic qualities of milk and its derivatives (BAUMAN *et al.*, 2006). However, milk fat is relatively more saturated than most plant oils, and this has led to a negative consumer perception and public health concern related to excessive intake of saturated fats. Consumers are increasingly aware of the link between diet and health, and recently fatty acids have gained special attention for their potential health benefits (CHILLIARD *et al.*, 2007).

Conjugated linoleic acids (CLA) are the group of positional and geometric isomers of octadecadienoic acid with conjugated double bonds, naturally occurring in the composition of fatty acids derivative of feeds; such a bioactive fatty acid may function to improve health maintenance and prevent chronic diseases (O´DONNELL-MEGARO et al., 2012; PARODI, 2004). The presence of CLA in the human diet has received considerable attention due to its anticancer properties and as an immunological modulator and growth promoter (BENJAMIN; SPENER, 2009). According to Dewhurst et al. (2006), the composition of the basal diet, the amount and form of the lipid supplement, has a significatly effect on changes in milk fat CLA concentrations over an extended period of time.

The objective of this work was to evaluate the production and composition of milk from dairy cows fed increasing levels of CN in the concentrate portion of the diet.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Humane animal care and handling procedures were followed according to the guidelines of the

University's animal care committee (Protocolo CEUA 136/2004, UFMG).

Eight Holstein pluriparous cows were used, between the third and fifth lactation, at 50 and 74 post-partum days, with a daily milk average production of  $28.0 \pm 4.0$  kg, and an average body weight of 555 kg. Cows were placed in a double 4 x 4 Latin square experimental design.

The experiment consisted of 21-day periods, in which 14 days were for adaptation and seven days for data collection. Animals were kept in confinement and individualized in tie stall installations. The assessed diets consisted of including different amounts of ground cashew nuts (CN): 0; 80; 160 and 240 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate portion (Table 1). All the diets had 50% corn silage in the total dry matter. Diet was offered as a complete mixture, twice a day after milking, allowing orts of approximately 10%.

Contents of ether extract in the diets with 0; 80; 160 and 240 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate were 31.6; 46.0; 68.9 and 73.1 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of dietary dry matter, respectively (Table 2). Cashew nut contributed approximately with 36.5; 48.0 and 68.1% of the total ether extract in the diet, according to the increasing levels of inclusion. Diets were formulated to be isoprotein (NRC, 2001).

Milking was done mechanically and the milk yield was measured twice a day (07h00 and 17h00), from the 15<sup>th</sup> to the 21<sup>st</sup> day of each experimental period. On the last two days of the period, four milk samples were collected in successive milking to determine the contents of fat, protein, lactose, urea nitrogen (N-urea), total solids and non-fat solids (NFS) in the milk. To preserve the 50 mL samples, a 10 mg pill of bronopol (2-bromo 2-nitropropane-1,3-diol) was added into each container. After that, samples were cooled at 4 °C.

Milk samples for analysis of the fatty acid profile were the result of a single sample composed of four milkings of the  $20^{\text{th}}$  and  $21^{\text{st}}$  days of each experimental period, stored at -20 °C.

The contents of fat, protein, lactose, total solids and NFS in the milk were determined using the near infrared ray method on a Bentley 2000 apparatus (Bentley Instruments, Chaska, EUA).

Milk was corrected for the content of 4% of fat (YMC4%), according to the formula cited by the NRC (1989): YMC4% = 0.4 x milk production + 15 x (% fat  $100^{-1}$ ) x milk yield. Milk yield was also corrected for content of total solids (MCCTS) according to the equation proposed by Tyrrel and Reid (1965): MCCTS %= [12.3 x fat yield (kg day<sup>-1</sup>)] + [6.56 x non-fat solids (kg day<sup>-1</sup>)] - [(0.0752 x milk yield (kg day<sup>-1</sup>)].

Table 1 - Chemical composition (g kg-1DM) of maize silage, cashew nut (CN) and experimental concentrates, on dry matter basis

Component	Maize silage	CN ·	Concentrate					
			C00	C80	C160	C240		
DM	396.4	940.5	904.8	900.2	912.4	905.7		
CP	86.4	244.5	267.5	255.2	257.0	252.9		
EE	51.3	440.9	35.9	82.0	116.8	155.8		
$TC^1$	828.3	253.4	612.4	578.6	551.6	509.1		
$NFC^2$	322.7	84.6	346.4	351.7	315.6	289.4		
NDF	505.6	168.8	265.9	226.8	236.6	219.7		
ADF	291.5	93.8	137.3	140.7	153.6	150.2		
Ash	34.0	61.2	84.3	84.3	74.0	82.2		
Ca	3.7	4.2	15.2	14.7	14.4	14.6		
P	1.8	8.1	7.3	5.7	6.5	7.2		

C00: concentrate with no CN; C80: 80 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate; C160: 160 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate; C240: 240 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate. DM: Dry matter; CP: Crude protein; EE: Ether extract; TC: Total carbohydrates; NFC: Non-fibrous carbohydrates; NDF: Neutral detergent fiber; ADF: Acid detergent fiber; Ca: Calcium; P: Phosphorus. <sup>1</sup>%TC = 100 – (%CP + %EE + %Ash), according to Sniffen *et al.* (1992). <sup>2</sup>%NFC = 100 – (%CP + %NDF + %EE + %Ash), according to NRC (2001)

**Table 2 -** Composition and nutritional value (g kg<sup>-1</sup>) of experimental diets with different inclusion levels of cashew nut (CN) in the concentrate portion, on dry matter basis

T 1' 1	Diets <sup>1</sup>						
Ingredient —	0	80	160	240			
Corn silage	500.0	500.0	500.0	500.0			
Cashew nut	0.0	37.6	75.3	112.9			
Ground corn	181.5	145.8	131.3	124.4			
Soybean meal	180.9	165.5	146.8	128.5			
Citrus pulp	113.3	129.5	123.9	108.2			
Urea	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2			
Premix minvit. <sup>2</sup>	6.4	6.4	6.5	6.5			
Sodium chloride	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7			
Limestone	3.2	3.2	2.7	4.3			
Calcium bicarbonate	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7			
Component <sup>3</sup>							
DM	501.8	512.5	500.2	494.0			
CP	157.7	162.2	153.6	145.9			
EE	31.6	46.0	68.9	73.1			
NFC4	296.4	280.7	276.3	278.2			
NDF	455.1	458.5	443.0	440.3			
ADF	298.7	280.7	276.3	278.2			
Ash	59.2	52.6	58.2	62.5			
Ca	7.4	7.8	7.8	7.2			
P	3.0	3.4	3.4	3.1			

 $^10$ : Diet with no CN; 80: Diet with 80 g of CN kg $^1$  of concentrate; 160: Diet with 160 g of CN kg $^1$  of concentrate; 240: Diet with 240 g of CN kg $^1$  of concentrate.  $^2$ Composition per kg: Ca: 21.0%; P: 16.0%; S: 2.1%; Fe: 2000 ppm; Cu: 2000 ppm; Zn: 5000 ppm; Mn: 1600 ppm; I: 160 ppm; Se: 30 ppm; Co: 185 ppm; F (max): 1600 ppm. $^3$ DM: Dry matter; CP: Crude protein; EE: Ether extract; NFC: Non-fibrous carbohydrate; NDF: Neutral detergent fiber; ADF: Acid detergent fiber; Ca: Calcium; P: Phosphorus.  $^4$ NNFC =  $100 - (^{\circ}$ CP +  $^{\circ}$ NDF +  $^{\circ}$ EE +  $^{\circ}$ Ash), according to NRC (2001)

The analysis of urea nitrogen was carried out using the colorimetric enzymatic method, with the use of a ChemSpec 150 apparatus (Bentley Instruments, Chaska, EUA). Analyses of the profile of fatty acids in the milk were performed according to the extraction and methylation technique, described by Chouinard *et al.* (1999).

The results were statistically analyzed by using PROC GLM (General Linear Models) in the SAS software. Statistical analysis for achieving linear and quadratic contrasts was carried out by observing the response types of the variables to the assessed diets. Regression analyses were performed to achieve estimates of the assessed responses for the levels of CN not used in the experiment. PROC REG in the SAS software was used for this estimative.

The effects of including cashew nut into the milk production and composition were evaluated according to the following statistical model:  $Y_{ijkl} = \mu + Q_i + P_j + A/Q_{k(i)} + T_l + \epsilon_{ijkl}, \text{ where: } Y_{ijkl} = \text{response of } k \text{ animal in the } j \text{ period within } i \text{ square under diet } 1; \\ \mu = \text{overall mean; } Q_i = \text{square effect; } i = 1;2; P_j = \text{effect of the } j \text{ period; } j = 1; 2; 3; 4; A/Q_{k(i)} = \text{effect of } k \text{ animal; } k = 1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8, \text{ within } i \text{ square; } T_l = \text{effect of the diet } 1; l = 1; 2; 3; 4; \epsilon_{ijkl} = \text{random error on } k \text{ animal, in the } j \text{ period of } 1 \text{ diet.}$ 

The profile of long chain fatty acids was transformed into an (x+1) logarithm for comparison among means due to the high coefficient of variation observed. Non-parametric analysis was performed for  $C_{18:1}$  Trans-10,  $C_{18:1}$  Trans-11 and  $C_{18:2}$  Trans-10 cis-12, using the Wilcoxon test at the level of 0.05 of probability.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The addition of CN to the diet maintained milk yield and milk yield corrected for total solids (MCCTS), regardless of the level of inclusion in the concentrate portion of the diet (Table 3).

Milk yield corrected for 4% of fat (YMC4%) and fat content in the milk linearly decreased as greater proportions of CN were included in the concentrate, as shown by the following equations: YMC4%, kg day<sup>-1</sup> = 28.87 - 0.02x (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.90; p<0.05) and Fat, g kg<sup>-1</sup> = 37.20 -0.045x (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.97; p<0.05), where x = the inclusion level of CN in the concentrate. The regression analysis showed that for every inclusion of 10 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate, milk fat decreased by 0.045 g kg<sup>-1</sup>. The increment in the content of lipids tended to linearly decrease the production of milk fat (p=0.08) with the inclusion of increasing levels of CN in the concentrate. Milk fat production was reduced by 0.014 kg day-1 for every 10 g of CN kg-1 of concentrate, as demonstrated by the regression equation: Fat, kg = 1.11 - 0.014x ( $R^2 = 0.91$ ; p<0.05), where: x =the inclusion level of CN in the concentrate.

The inclusion of lipids in the diet for dairy cows may reduce the fat content in the milk due to modifications in the ruminal environment, such as an inhibitory effect on dry matter digestibility, influencing the acetic acid supply, which is the main source of carbon for *de novo* synthesis in the mammary gland (GLASSER; FERLAY; CHILLIARD, 2008). However, Pimentel *et al.* (2012) observed that the increasing levels of CN in the concentrate portion of the diet did not influence ruminal fermentation parameters. It is likely that the reduction in the fat content in the milk was

Table 3 - Yield and composition of the milk from dairy cows fed with different levels of cashew nut (CN) in the concentrate portion of the diet

Itam		Die	ets <sup>1</sup>	SEM <sup>4</sup>	Contrasts <sup>5</sup>		
Item	0	80	160	240	SEM.	L	Q
Milk, kg day-1	29.93	30.75	30.23	30.33	0.47	0.67	0.70
YMC4% <sup>2</sup> , kg day <sup>-1</sup>	28.40	28.06	25.21	24.15	0.66	0.04	0.65
MCCTS <sup>3</sup> , kg day <sup>-1</sup>	28.42	28.20	25.65	25.10	0.41	0.44	0.84
Fat, g kg <sup>-1</sup>	36.80	34.70	29.00	26.60	0.05	0.03	0.88
Fat, kg	1.09	1.05	0.83	0.79	0.02	0.08	0.95
Protein, g kg-1	31.20	30.10	31.50	31.90	0.02	0.28	0.10
Protein, kg	0.93	0.92	0.90	0.93	0.02	0.55	0.53
N-ureic, mg dL-1	17.25	18.65	18.71	18.00	0.46	0.21	0.25
Lactose, g kg-1	46.90	47.10	47.10	46.60	0.01	0.42	0.30
Lactose, kg	1.40	1.45	1.42	1.41	0.02	0.58	0.57

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ 0: Diet with no CN; 80: Diet with 80 g of CN kg $^{-1}$  of concentrate portion; 160: Diet with 160 g of CN kg $^{-1}$  of concentrate portion; 240: Diet with 240 g of CN kg $^{-1}$  of concentrate portion.  $^2$ YMCF4%: Milk corrected for 4% fat;  $^3$ MCCTS: milk corrected for content of total solids.  $^4$ SEM: Standard error of the mean.  $^5$ Contrasts (p value): L = linear effect; Q = quadratic effect

caused by the increase in the supply of unsaturated fatty acids (UFA), as expressed by the ratio of 3.68 between unsaturated and saturated fatty acids in CN. The negative influence of UFA on the synthesis of milk by reducing the final stages of ruminal biohydrogenation increased the amount of  $C_{18:1}$  Trans-18 unsaturated fatty acid, which are transformed into  $C_{18:2}$  Trans-10 cis-12 in the mammary gland and inhibit lipogenesis (BAUMAN; HARVATINE; LOCK, 2011).

Halmemies-Beauchet-Filleau *et al.* (2011) found no increase in milk production and composition from dairy cows fed red clover silage-based diets and plant oils or camelina expeller. Although, as observed by Harvatine and Allen (2006), the increase in the supply of UFA reduced milk fat synthesis by 0.27 kg day<sup>-1</sup>, possibly due to the inhibition of ruminal biohydrogenation caused by the fatty acid intermediates.

The production of milk protein did not present significant variations (p>0.05) among the diets. The content of milk protein with 240 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate was 31.9 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, a value close to the ideal value (32.0 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) set for the Holstein breed. However, the percentage of milk protein presented a quadratic trend (p=0.10) with the addition of CN to the concentrate. Although in the present study was not observed influence of the byproduct in milk protein content, according to De Peters and Cant (1992), a reduction around 0.1 to 0.3 percentage units can be expected in this paramenter with the addition of lipid to the diet. The failure of the ruminal microorganisms to use lipids as a source of energy for their growth may explain this reduction in the synthesis of milk protein, since the availability of amino acids becomes insufficient for the synthesis of protein in the mammary gland (SNIFFEN et al., 1992).

Contents of N-urea in the milk were not influenced by the diets (p>0.05), despite being considered high with a mean value of 18.15 mg dL<sup>-1</sup>. Although the diets did not present high contents of CP (146.0 to 162.0 g kg<sup>-1</sup>DM), the mean value of milk N-urea was above the target value (>16 mg dL<sup>-1</sup>). According to Butler, Calaman and Beam (1996), negative effects on reproductive performance of dairy cows occur when concentrations of N-urea reach values higher than 19.0 mg dL<sup>-1</sup> in the milk.

Although milk N-urea increased, there was no negative effect on milk protein, showing that there was an adequate supply of amino acids for the mammary gland. Pimentel *et al.* (2012) presented data related to the concentrations of purine derivatives in urine of cows fed cashew nut (0, 80, 160 and 240 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate), wherein the excretions of allantoin and uric acid were not influenced by the addition of the byproduct, however showed high ratio allantoin:creatinine (mean

of 4.16), suggesting suitable microbial growth, which possibly increased the intestinal microbial protein flow.

The content and production of lactose were not influenced by increasing proportions of CN in the concentrate portion of the diet, which is in agreement with the statement that this component is little influenced by modifications in the diet, and is one of the most constant constituents in the milk.

Oliveira *et al.* (2007) did not find alterations in milk production and milk production corrected for 3.5% fat, but observed a reduction in the production and concentration of milk fat when supplying diets with approximately 5 g of fatty acids kg<sup>-1</sup> of DM. This author also obtained lower concentrations of total solids and urea nitrogen in the milk.

In the early 1980s, there was a clear realization that diet manipulation changes milk composition, being milk fat the most sensitive component, which could be changed over a range of 3 percentage units, while lactose content could not be altered, only under unusual feeding situations. Concerning milk protein, the response to diet changes would be higher (over a 0.5-percentage unit range) than lactose, but less than fat. Until nowdays, the studies conducted to detect the changes in this three components, observe that the greatest influence of diet occurs in milk fat and fatty acid composition (JENKINS; McGUIRE, 2006).

The inclusion of CN in the concentrate linearly decreased (p<0.05) the concentration of short chain fatty acids (SCFA;  $C_{8:0}$  to  $C_{12:1}$ ), as well as the total of short chain fatty acids (Total  $C_{4:0}$  to  $C_{12:1}$ ; Table 4). The diet with 240 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate portion was responsible for a reduction of 383.2 g 100 g<sup>-1</sup> in the concentration of short chain fatty acids in milk fat.

The total  $C_{4:0}$  – $C_{12:0}$  can be estimated from the following regression equation: Total  $C_{4:0}$ – $C_{12:0}$  = 15.43 – 0.25x ( $R^2$  = 0.99; p<0.05), where x = the inclusion level of CN in the concentrate. According to the previous equation, a decrease of 0.25 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in the total SCFA was estimated for every 10 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate. The reduction in the concentration of SCFA acids was followed by an increase in the contents of  $C_{18:2}$  Trans-10 cis-12.

The medium chain fatty acids (MCFA)  $C_{13:0}$ ,  $C_{14:1}$ ,  $C_{16:1}$ ,  $C_{17:0}$  and  $C1_{7:1}$  were not influenced by the addition of the by product, with mean values of 0.31; 8.20; 11.55; 3.94 and 2.01 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. However,  $C_{14:0}$ ,  $C_{15:0}$  and  $C_{16:0}$  had their concentrations reduced at all inclusion levels of lipids into the diet (p $\leq$ 0.01). These results were different from Vyas, Teter and Erdman (2012) who observed increase in the proportions of MCFA when lactating dairy cows received a mixture of short and medium fatty acids via dietary supplementation.

Table 4 - Profile of fatty acids in the milk fat from dairy cows fed with different levels of cashew nut (CN) in the concentrate portion of the diet

Fotter anida (m. a. a-l. of mills fot)	Diets <sup>1</sup>				SEM <sup>2</sup>	Contrast <sup>3</sup>	
Fatty acids (mg g <sup>-1</sup> of milk fat)	0	80	160	240		L	Q
C <sub>4:0</sub>	42.93	44.81	38.39	34.23	0.90	0.99	0.29
C <sub>6:0</sub>	24.85	23.00	18.00	15.28	0.38	0.05	0.72
C <sub>8:0</sub>	14.25	12.45	9.45	7.74	0.26	0.01	0.84
C <sub>10:0</sub>	32.00	25.76	19.89	16.55	0.62	< 0.01	0.26
C <sub>11:0</sub>	2.85	2.40	1.63	1.21	0.08	0.03	0.92
C <sub>12:0</sub>	36.88	28.76	23.15	20.20	0.69	< 0.01	0.09
C <sub>12:1</sub>	1.24	0.81	0.70	0.4	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01
Total $C_{4:0}$ – $C_{12:1}$	155.00	137.99	111.21	95.61	2.50	0.02	0.75
C <sub>13:0</sub>	0.31	0.27	0.28	0.36	0.02	0.20	0.13
C <sub>14:0</sub>	116.81	104.18	91.69	85.80	1.54	0.01	0.34
C <sub>14:1</sub>	9.81	8.23	7.35	7.40	0.30	0.20	0.49
C <sub>15:0</sub>	10.76	7.70	7.17	6.67	0.15	< 0.01	0.06
C <sub>16:0</sub>	347.80	285.51	253.05	242.27	3.78	< 0.01	< 0.01
C <sub>16:1</sub>	13.49	12.07	10.94	9.69	2.32	0.14	0.97
C <sub>17:0</sub>	4.49	3.83	3.63	3.80	0.14	0.17	0.30
C <sub>17:1</sub>	2.07	1.88	2.03	2.07	0.04	0.60	0.44
C <sub>18:0</sub>	101.57	130.67	144.72	155.07	3.22	0.03	0.33
C <sub>18:1</sub> Trans-10 <sup>4</sup>	$0.64^{a}$	$3.16^{a}$	14.59a	$4.06^{a}$	-	-	-
C <sub>18:1</sub> Trans-11 <sup>4</sup>	11.56 <sup>b</sup>	16.30 <sup>b</sup>	19.83ab	$31.16^a$	-	-	-
C <sub>18:2</sub> Cis-9 Cis-12	22.28	22.54	24.76	20.84	0.48	0.01	0.01
C <sub>18:2</sub> Cis-9 Trans-11	0.97	1.18	1.14	0.99	0.03	< 0.01	< 0.01
C <sub>18:2</sub> Trans-10 Cis-12 <sup>4,5</sup>	0.00	0.00	0.11a	0.13 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-
C <sub>18:3</sub> Cis-9 Cis-12 Cis-15	4.97	5.85	6.82	7.16	0.14	0.06	0.59
C <sub>20:0</sub>	0.76	0.90	1.05	1.16	0.02	0.07	0.91
Total C <sub>17:0</sub> -C <sub>20:0</sub>	336.41	436.07	511.21	544.43	5.64	< 0.01	0.01

<sup>a,b</sup>Means followed by different letters in the same row are statistically significant (p<0.05).  $^{1}0$ : Diet with no CN; 80: Diet with 80 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate portion; 160: Diet with 160 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate portion; 240: Diet with 240 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate portion.  $^{2}$ SEM: Standard error of the mean.  $^{3}$ Contrasts (p value): L = linear effect; Q = quadratic effect.  $^{4}$ Non-parametric analysis. Test of Wilcoxon at the level of 0.05 of probability.  $^{5}$ The zero values cannot be statistically analyzed due to the lack of variance. Comparison among means can be done only between the diets with 160 and 240 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate portion

The two greatest inclusion levels of CN presented the greatest concentration means of stearic acid ( $C_{18:0}$ ), with values of 144.72 and 155.07 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, for the levels of 160 and 240 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate, respectively. This increase may point to the saturation of biohydrogenation reactions in milk fat (CHILLIARD *et al.*, 2007). The supplied diets did not have an effect on the contents of  $C_{18:1}$  Trans-10, whereas the inclusion of CN at its highest proportion (240 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate) increased the concentration of  $C_{18:1}$  Trans-11 in the milk from 11.56 to 31.16 mg g<sup>-1</sup> of fat. Average concentrations of linoleic acid ( $C_{18:2}$ ) presented a linear pattern in response

to the increment of lipids in the diets of experimental animals, whereas the contents of linolenic ( $C_{18:3}$ ) and arachidonic ( $C_{20:0}$ ) acids showed an increased trend in their concentrations due to the inclusion of CN in the concentrate (p=0.06 and p=0.07, respectively for  $C_{18:3}$  and  $C_{20:0}$ ).

The lipids added to the diet caused a linear increase in the total concentrations of long chain fatty acids (LCFA; 436.07; 511.21 and 544.43 mg g<sup>-1</sup> of fat, respectively, for the levels of inclusion of 80, 160 and 240 g of CN kg<sup>-1</sup> of concentrate portion) in relation to the

control diet (336.41 mg g<sup>-1</sup> of fat). As most fatty acids in CN are LCFA, it is likely that the supply of the diet with greater additions of this byproduct had a greater influence on the increase in LCFA in the milk fat after being biohydrogenated or not by ruminal microorganisms. Yan et al. (2011) observed that the addition of extruded soybeans increased the contents of  $C_{18:0}$ ,  $C_{18:2}$  and  $C_{18:3}$  in the diets, but the  $C_{16:0}$ ,  $C_{18:1}$ ,  $C_{18:2}$  Cis-9 Trans-11,  $C_{20:0}$ ,  $C_{20:2}$ ,  $C_{22:1}$  and  $C_{24:0}$  contents were decreased in the milk. According to the present study, these authors observed that feeding extruded soybeans reduced the proportion of both SCFA and MCFA, and increased the LCFA content in milk fat, being soybean and cashew nut sources of dietary fatty acids.

About 50% of the fatty acid in the milk are from LCFA in the diet and from biohydrogenation of lipids by ruminal bacteria, probably because of the profile of fatty acids in CN (12.26% of  $C_{18:0}$ , 57.39% of  $C_{18:1}$ , 20.71% of  $C_{18:2}$  and 0.22% of  $C_{18:3}$ ). The supply of CN produced a milk fat profile with lower proportions of  $C_{16:0}$  and with greater proportions of long chain fatty acids ( $C_{18:0}$ ,  $C_{18:1}$ ,  $C_{18:2}$  and  $C_{18:3}$ ), which are considered more desirable for human health. The increasing inclusion of CN in the diet also tended to increase the concentration of  $C_{20:0}$ .

### **CONCLUSION**

The inclusion of 24% of cashew nut in the concentrate portion of the diet, maintains milk production, reduces milk fat content and together with the reduction in the concentration of short chain fatty acids and the increase in the concentrations of long chain fatty acids, provide a greater nutraceutical value to milk, making the use of cashew nut an excellent alternative for obtaining milk with more benefits to human health.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors wish to thank the Banco do Nordeste do Brasil for financial support and the Escola de Veterinária, UFMG, for the opportunity to develop the experimental work. The first author benefited from a grant from the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (Capes).

## **REFERENCES**

BAUMAN, D. E. *et al.* Major advances associated with tha biosynthesis of milk. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v. 89, n. 4, p. 1235-1243, 2006.

BAUMAN, D. E.; HARVATINE, K. J.; LOCK, A. L. Nutrigenomics, rumen-derived bioactive fatty acids, and the regulation of milk fat synthesis. **Annual Review of Nutrition**, v. 31, p. 299-319, 2011.

BENJAMIN, S.; SPENER, F. Conjugated linoleic acids as functional food: an insight into their health benefits. **Nutrition and Metabolism**, v. 6, p. 36-50, 2009.

BUTLER, W. R.; CALAMAN, J. J.; BEAM, S. W. Plasma and milk urea nitrogen in relation to pregnancy rate in lactating dairy cattle. **Journal of Animal Science**, v. 74, n. 4, p. 858-865, 1996.

CHILLIARD, Y. *et al.* Diet, rumen biohydrogenation and nutritional quality of cow and goat milk fat. **European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology**, v. 109, n. 8, p. 828–855, 2007

CHOUINARD, P. Y. *et al.* Conjugated linoleic acid alter milk fatty acid composition and inhibit milk fat secretion in dairy cows. **Journal of Nutrition**, v. 129, n. 8, p. 1579-1584, 1999.

DE PETERS, E. J.; CANT, J. P. Nutritional factors influencing the nitrogen composition of bovine milk: a review. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v. 75, n. 8, p. 2043-2070, 1992.

DEWHURST, R. J. *et al.* Increasing the concentrations of beneficial polyunsaturated fatty acids in milk produced by dairy cows in high-forage systems. **Animal Feed Science and Technology**, v. 131, n. 3/4, p. 168–206, 2006.

GLASSER, F.; FERLAY, A.; CHILLIARD, Y. Oilseed lipid supplements and fatty acid composition of cow milk: a meta-analysis. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v. 91, n. 12, p. 4687-4703, 2008.

HALMEMIES-BEAUCHET-FILLEAU, A. *et al.* Effect of plant oils and camelina expeller on milk fatty acid composition in lactating cows fed diets based on red clover silage. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v. 94, n. 9, p. 4413–4430, 2011.

HARVATINE, K. J.; ALLEN, M. S. Effects of fatty acid supplements on milk yield and energy balance of lactating dairy cows. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v. 89, n. 3, p. 1081-1091, 2006.

JENKINS, T. C.; McGUIRE, M. A. Major advances in nutrition: impact on milk composition. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v. 89, n. 4, p. 1302-1310, 2006.

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL. **Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle**. 6. ed. rev. Washington, DC: National Academy of Science, 1989. 157 p.

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL. **Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle.** 7. ed. rev. Washington, DC: National Academy of Science, 2001. 381 p.

O'DONNELL-MEGARO, A. M. *et al.* Effect of linoleic acid and dietary vitamin E supplementation on sustained conjugated linoleic acid production in milk fat from dairy cows. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v. 95, n. 12, p. 7299-7307, 2012.

OLIVEIRA, M. A. *et al.* Produção e composição do leite de vacas alimentadas com dietas com diferentes proporções de forragem e teores de lipídeos. **Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia**, v. 59, n. 3, p. 759-766, 2007.

PARODI, P. W. Milk fat in human nutrition. **The Australian Journal of Dairy Technology**, v. 59, n. 1, p. 3-59, 2004.

PIMENTEL, P. G. *et al.* Parâmetros da fermentação ruminal e concentração de derivados de purina de vaca em lactação alimentadas com castanha de caju. **Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia**, v. 64, n. 4, p. 959-966, 2012.

SNIFFEN, C. J. *et al.* A net carbohydrate and protein system for evaluating cattle diets: II. Carbohydrate and protein availability. **Journal of Animal Science**, v. 70, n. 11, p. 3562-3577, 1992.

TYRRELL, H. F.; REID, J. T. Prediction of the energy value of cow's milk. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v. 48, n. 9, p. 1215-1223, 1965.

VYAS, D.; TETER, B. B.; ERDMAN, R. A. Milk fat responses to dietary supplementation of shortand medium-chain fatty acids in lactating dairy cows. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v. 95, n. 9, p. 5194-5202, 2012.

YAN, R. *et al.* Changes in milk production and metabolic parameters by feeding lactating cows based on different ratios of corn silage: alfalfa hay with addition of extruded soybeans. **Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science**, v. 24, n. 6, p. 800-809, 2011.