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Abstract: Despite the great extension of the Cerrado and its importance for biodiversity conservation, there are still sampling gaps in this region that has shown conspicuous changes over the years due to the conversion of natural areas into pastures and plantations. Around 88.5% of the Cerrado areas in the State of the São Paulo were cleared in the last four decades and less than 0.81% of the original Cerrado vegetation remains as pristine habitats, although these areas once covered 14% of the state. We present the list of snakes that occur in the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara, a remnant of Cerrado in the State of São Paulo, in addition to information on the abundance and distribution of species in the various habitats found at this location. The survey was conducted between October 2008 and March 2009 during six monthly field trips of five days each, totaling 30 sampling days. Surveys were conducted using incidental encounters (IE) and pitfall traps (PT). We recorded a total of 21 species belonging to 15 genera and six families. All species and individuals captured (18 species; 49 individuals) were found in open Cerrado formations. No individual was observed in the sampled forest habitats (cerradão and dry forest). Forested habitats occupy a relatively small portion of this protected area (12.6%). However, the higher species richness in open habitats may not be a result of limited local coverage of forests. The higher richness in interfluvial open habitats has also been recorded in other Cerrado areas. This survey is an important contribution towards enhancing our knowledge about the snake assemblage in the highly threatened Cerrado of São Paulo State. Hence, these last protected remnants in the state that still house significant areas of open Cerrado formations, such as the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara, although reduced, are extremely important for the conservation of reptiles in the State of São Paulo, in Southeastern Brazil, and in the Cerrado region.
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Resumo: Apesar da grande extensão do Cerrado no território nacional e enorme importância para a conservação da biodiversidade, ainda existem lacunas amostrais neste domínio fitogeográfico, que vem sofrendo ao longo dos anos modificações acentuadas devido à conversão de suas áreas naturais em pastagens e monoculturas. A drástica redução das áreas de Cerrado no Estado de São Paulo nas últimas quatro décadas foi da ordem 88,5%, restando menos de 0,81% da área original do Cerrado paulista, que originalmente cobria 14% da área do estado. Este estudo apresenta a lista de serpentes que ocorrem na Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara, um remanescente de Cerrado no Estado de São Paulo, com informações sobre a abundância e distribuição das espécies em vários hábitats presentes nesta localidade. O inventário foi realizado entre outubro de 2008 e março de 2009, em seis etapas de campo mensais de cinco dias cada, totalizando 30 dias de amostragens que foram realizadas por meio de encontros ocasionais (IE) e armadilhas de interceptação e queda (PT). Foram registradas no total 21 espécies pertencentes a 15 géneros e seis famílias. Todas as espécies e indivíduos capturados (18 espécies; 49 indivíduos) foram encontrados em formações abertas de Cerrado. Não foi observado nenhum indivíduo nas formações florestais amostradas (cerradão e mata seca). Os hábitats florestais ocupam uma pequena porção relativa desta área protegida (12,6%). No entanto, a maior riqueza de espécies encontrada nos ambientes abertos não pode ser considerada como resultado da limitada cobertura florestal desta localidade. A maior riqueza de espécies em hábitats abertos de interfídio já foi registrada em outras áreas de Cerrado do país. Este inventário é uma importante contribuição para a ampliação do conhecimento desta taxocenose no ameaçado Cerrado paulista. Nesse sentido, apesar de reduzidos, esses últimos remanescentes protegidos por lei no estado que ainda abrigam áreas significativas com formações abertas de Cerrado, como a Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara, são de extrema importância não só para a conservação dos répteis no Estado de São Paulo, mas também para o Sudeste do Brasil e domínio do Cerrado.
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Introduction

Brazil is one of the two richest countries in the world in terms of biodiversity, harboring two of the 34 global biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities: the Atlantic Forest and the Cerrado (Mittermeier et al. 2004). The Cerrado region is one of the few global hotspots dominated by savanna-type vegetation. The relief include plateaus, the depressions and the plains with several phytophysiognomies (e.g., dry forest, cerradão, cerrado denso, cerrado típico, cerrado ralo, campo sujo, campo limpo, campo rupestre) (Ab'Sáber 2005, Fonseca et al. 1999, 2004, Ribeiro & Walter 2008). The heterogeneity of this region is reflected in its biota that was once considered poor, but has now become recognized as one of the formations with highest degree of endemism and human disturbance in the world (Colli et al. 2002, IBGE 2004).

The Cerrado region occupied originally an area of 2 million km² (23% of the Brazilian territory), being currently extremely threatened by agriculture and cattle-farming, responsible for most of the loss of its natural vegetation (Ratter et al. 1997, Klink & Machado 2005, Durigan & Ratter 2006, Durigan et al. 2007). Despite its large extension and huge importance for biodiversity conservation (Myers et al. 2000), only 2.2% of region are covered by strictly protected areas (Strict Nature Reserve, Wilderness Area, National Park, and Natural Monument) (Klink & Machado 2005, IUCN 2009). Recent studies have estimated from satellite images that almost 55% of the Cerrado has been destroyed and if there is no reversal in the occupancy rate, as from 2030 there will no longer be any natural areas except those inside conservation units (Machado et al. 2004).

By far, the most severely impacted region of the Cerrado is its Southernmost portion, including the São Paulo State. The reduction of the Cerrado in the State of São Paulo during the last four decades has been of 88% of the original area, replaced by sugar cane, cash crops, citrus, pasture and Pinus or Eucalyptus plantations (Kronka et al. 2005). An intense fragmentation was observed in the Cerrado of São Paulo, and currently a total of 7,505 fragments are recorded in this state, of which, 71% are extremely small (less than 20 ha), 6.0% reach approximately 400 ha and only 0.1% reach 10,000 ha (Kronka et al. 2005). Presently, less than 0.8% of the original extent of Cerrado in São Paulo State (14%) remains as original habitats (São Paulo 1997, Kronka et al. 2005). Moreover, only 0.5% of this region is protected in conservation units in the state and all these scattered fragments are threatened by factors such as isolation, artificial fire regimes and invasion by exotic plant species (Durigan et al. 2004, 2007).

Among the various plant formations of the Cerrado, the more open phytophysiognomies such as grassland and savanna-type formations, show a greater diversity of herpetofauna (e.g., Dalmolin 2010), Sawaya et al. 2008, Carvalho & Nogueira 1998, Strüssmann & Azumá 1993, Bernard & Abe 2006, Sawaya et al. 2008, Carvalho & Nogueira 1998, Strüssmann 2000, França & Araújo 2006, Colli et al. 2002, Costa et al. 2007). However, there are still considerable sampling gaps even within regions considered worldwide priorities for conservation because of the high degree of endemism and human disturbance, such as the Cerrado (Myers et al. 2000). Accordingly, inventories and monitoring of wildlife may fill important gaps about the biology of these species and the knowledge found can be used as a sound basis for developing effective management and conservation measures.

Information about habitat use of a given species is scarce in inventories on snake assemblages. Thus, the objective of this study was to provide information on the composition and habitat use of snakes in a protected area of Cerrado in São Paulo State and generate support for the management of this ecosystem and consequently the conservation of these species.

Material and Methods

1. Study area

The Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara (22° 46’ 30” - 22° 30’ 30” S and 49° 10’ 30” - 49° 15’ 30” W; 600-680 m in altitude) is located in the municipality of Águas de Santa Barbara, State of São Paulo, Brazil, and has a total area of 3223 ha (Figure 1). The climate is type Cwa of Köppen’s classification (Köppen 1948), with average temperatures between 23 and 24 °C during the hottest month (January), and average temperatures of around African grasses, causing loss of local plant diversity (Durigan & Ratter 2006).

However, although the open formations are quite endangered in the state, there are still some fragments that harbor populations of relatively rare snakes, such as Philodryas agassizi (Jan, 1863), Xenodon nattereri (Steindachner, 1867) and Rhinoceros phayrei (Boulenger, 1907), regarded as specialized in the use of the habitat and restricted to grassland formations (Sawaya et al. 2008, Marques et al. 2006).

To date, 141 species of snakes are known for the State of São Paulo (Rossa-Feres et al. 2008), representing 38% of the snake richness in Brazil (Bérnils 2010). For the most part, studies conducted in the State of São Paulo that include information on species composition, ecology and natural history of snakes have been conducted in localities of the Atlantic Plateau, Serra do Mar, and offshore islands (e.g., Sazima & Haddad 1992, Marques 1998, Sazima 2001, Hartmann 2005, Dixo & Verdade 2006, Cicchi et al. 2007, Senna 2007, Centeno et al. 2008, Domenico 2008, Rocha et al. 2008, Condez et al. 2009).

Studies on Squamate reptile fauna in Cerrado and seasonal forests formations of the State of São Paulo are still scarce. Vanzolini (1948) provides general information about the richness, abundance and habitat use of snakes and lizards from Cerrado in the municipality of Pirassununga. Sazima & Manzani (1995) studied the ecology and natural history of snakes in a locality ofseasonal semi-deciduous forest (Mata de Santa Genebra). Only three studies have been performed in Cerrado conservation units of São Paulo State: Dalmolin (2000) studied the ecology and natural history of the snake community at Estação Ecológica de Jataju and immediate vicinities, Sawaya (2004) conducted a study on the ecology, natural history and diversity of snakes in the region of the Estação Ecológica de Itapetininga and Nogueira et al. (2009) conducted a study comparing lizards faunas across ten localities in the Cerrado region, including the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara.

Detailed studies about the biology, distribution and conservation of snakes were conducted at some regions of the Brazil (e.g., Vitt & Vangilder 1983, Strüssmann & Sazima 1993, Bernard & Abe 2006, Sawaya et al. 2008, Carvalho & Nogueira 1998, Strüssmann 2000, França & Araújo 2006, Colli et al. 2002, Costa et al. 2007). However, there are still considerable sampling gaps even within regions considered worldwide priorities for conservation because of the high degree of endemism and human disturbance, such as the Cerrado (Myers et al. 2000). Accordingly, inventories and monitoring of wildlife may fill important gaps about the biology of these species and the knowledge found can be used as a sound basis for developing effective management and conservation measures.

Information about habitat use of a given species is scarce in inventories on snake assemblages. Thus, the objective of this study was to provide information on the composition and habitat use of snakes in a protected area of Cerrado in São Paulo State and generate support for the management of this ecosystem and consequently the conservation of these species.
16 °C in the coldest month (July). The average annual rainfall is between 1,100 and 1,300 mm, with dry and rainy seasons (Setzer 1966). The vegetation in this ecological station consists largely of Cerrado, being composed predominantly by grassland and savanna-type formations, such as cerrado típico (34.4%), cerrado denso (10.2%), cerrado ralo (7%) and campo limpo úmido (6.5%). The natural forest physiognomies found at the site are cerradão (11.9%), gallery forest (4%), and dry forest (0.7%) (Durigan et al. in press) (Figure 2). The phytophysiognomies of this locality were classified sensu Ribeiro and Walter (2008), in which cerrado sensu stricto is subdivided into cerrado denso (predominantly arboreal vegetation with 50 to 70% canopy cover and average height between 5 and 8 m), cerrado típico (predominantly arboreal-shrub vegetation with 20 to 50% arboreal cover and average height between 3 and 6 m) and cerrado ralo (arboreal-shrub vegetation with 5 to 20% arboreal cover and average height between 2 and 3 m). The campo limpo that occurs in areas where the groundwater table is close to the surface is called campo limpo úmido (Ribeiro & Walter 2008). More detailed definitions about Cerrado phytophysiognomies can

Figure 1. a) Original cover of the Cerrado in Brazil; b) original distribution of the Cerrado in the State of São Paulo and position of the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara in the state; and c) aerial photograph of this protect area.
be found in Oliveira-Filho and Ratter (2002), Durigan et al. (2003), and Ribeiro and Walter (2008).

2. Data collection

The snake survey was conducted between October 2008 and March 2009 during six monthly field trips of five days each, totaling 30 sampling days.

For sampling the snakes we used pitfall traps with drift-fences (Corn 1994, Cechin & Martins 2000). With the specific objective of characterizing the distribution of snake species in the different Cerrado formations of the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara, rows of four 60 L plastic buckets were set up in eighteen selected areas (four physiognomies) (Figure 3) totaling 72 traps (sampling effort = 2,160 buckets/day). The geographical coordinates of the selected areas for installing the traps were obtained by GPS (Global Positioning System) (Table 1).

The method of incidental encounters (IE) was also used for recording the snake species found, alive or dead, whilst walking in the sampling areas and vicinity. Although the sampling effort is not considered, the incidental encounters method is useful for obtaining animals for records of species richness, more comprehensive lists and data of species behavior (e.g., use of habitat, diet and reproductive activity) (Martins 1994, Sawaya 2004).
The sampling efficiency was evaluated through a rarefaction curve (Gotelli & Colwell 2001) built with presence and absence data of species observed in the locality during the 30 sampling days (one sample = one day). The species richness was estimated by first order Jacknife (Jacknife 1). The richness estimator was selected by visual comparisons of the performance of six estimators: Chao 1 and 2; Jacknife 1 and 2; ACE and ICE (cf. Moraes et al. 2007). The curves were generated in EstimateS v. 8.2.0 with 10,000 randomizations (Colwell 2009) (Figure 4).

In addition to field samples, previous records to the municipality of Águas de Santa Bárbara were included in species list. We consulted herpetological collections in the State of São Paulo, namely Coleção Herpetológica do Instituto Butantan (IBSP) and Coleção Herpetológica do Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP). Specimens collected (collection permits IBAMA/RAN 10423-1 and 13706-2) were deposited in the IBSP collection (see Appendix 1).

**Results and Discussion**

Twenty one species of snakes were recorded for the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara and region, belonging to six families: Leptotyphlopidae, Boidae, Colubridae, Dipsadidae, Elapidae and Viperidae (Table 2, Figure 5). We captured 18 species in the field, and added to the records another three species, obtained from the Coleção Herpetológica do Instituto Butantan: *Liophis reginae* (N = 1), *Philodryas livida* (N = 1), and *Micrurus frontalis* (N = 1). Besides these three species, the IBSP collection had a single record for *Taeniophallus occipitalis*, also captured during our field sampling. The MZUSP collection had only three records of snakes...
We captured 14 species of snakes (N = 41) in pitfall traps, four species (N = 4) were sampled exclusively through the incidental encounter method and three species (N = 4) were captured through both methods (Table 2). All sampled individuals (N = 49) were found in open Cerrado formations (cerrado ralo and cerrado típico), i.e. we did not find any species in the forest formations (cerradão and dry forest). Thus, we conclude that, in this ecological station, both the richness and the abundance of snake species in the open Cerrado formations are much higher than that of the forest formations. Despite the low representation of forest physiognomies in this protected area (12.6%), the data suggest that the open Cerrado formations are the preferred habitat of the sampled snakes. Other studies comparing the richness of snakes (e.g., Dalmolin 2000, Sawaya 2004), lizards (e.g. Nogueira et al. 2009) and amphibians (e.g. Brasileiro et al. 2005) between open and forest formations in other Cerrado areas obtained similar results.

The rarefaction curve of the species richness captured by the pitfall traps during 30 sampling days (14 species) did not present tendency to stabilization, indicating that a higher number of species could be captured through this method if sampling effort was increased (Figure 4). Apart from the limited sampling period, some species are

Table 1. Rows of pitfall traps installed at the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara, State of São Paulo, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row number</th>
<th>Phytophysiognomy</th>
<th>Habitat type</th>
<th>Geographic coordinate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>cerradão</td>
<td>forest</td>
<td>22° 50' 10,8&quot; S and 49° 13' 56,1&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>cerradão</td>
<td>forest</td>
<td>22° 50' 12,8&quot; S and 49° 14' 03,9&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>dry forest</td>
<td>forest</td>
<td>22° 50' 03,6&quot; S and 49° 14' 15&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>cerradão</td>
<td>forest</td>
<td>22° 49' 37,5&quot; S and 49° 13' 45,1&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>cerradão</td>
<td>forest</td>
<td>22° 49' 51,9&quot; S and 49° 13' 43,8&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>cerradão</td>
<td>forest</td>
<td>22° 49' 30,8&quot; S and 49° 14' 20,6&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>cerrado típico</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 48' 42&quot; S and 49° 11' 56,9&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>cerrado típico</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 48' 49,9&quot; S and 49° 12' 09,5&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>cerrado típico</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 48' 50,9&quot; S and 49° 12' 29,8&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>cerrado típico/cerrado ralo</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 48' 13,4&quot; S and 49° 11' 30,2&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>cerrado típico</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 48' 18,4&quot; S and 49° 11' 14,2&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>cerrado típico</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 48' 23,4&quot; S and 49° 10' 59&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>cerrado ralo</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 47' 06,1&quot; S and 49° 14' 29,3&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>cerrado ralo</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 47' 13,2&quot; S and 49° 14' 05,5&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>cerrado típico</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 47' 24,2&quot; S and 49° 14' 36,7&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>cerrado típico</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 47' 29,6&quot; S and 49° 15' 07,5&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>cerrado típico</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 47' 13,5&quot; S and 49° 14' 59,3&quot; W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>cerrado típico</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>22° 46' 56,5&quot; S and 49° 14' 56,2&quot; W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Rarefaction curve representing observed species richness (black line) and estimated richness curve generated by the estimator Jackknife 1 (gray line) to 30 sampled days with pitfall traps (October 2008 to March 2009) at the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara. Vertical bars correspond to standard deviations of observed species richness and estimated richness. Curves were created by means of 10000 randomizations (Colwell 2009).
Snake assemblage of Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara

Table 2. Composition, number of individuals captured (N), percentage of the total number of individuals (%), and capture site of the snake species sampled at Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara, State of São Paulo through pitfall trap (rows) and incidental encounters (IE) as well as secondary data from herpetological collections of the Instituto Butantan (IBSP) and Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family/Species</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Site (row number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leptotyphlopidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trachelus koppesi 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>(7; 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boa constrictor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>IE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triatoma melanocephala</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>(7; 8; 9; 10; 11; 13; 14; 15; 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipsadidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atractus pantostictus 1 (Fernandes &amp; Puerto, 1993)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>IE; (11; 12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liophis almadensis (Wagler, 1824)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>(10; 11; 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liophis poecilogyrus (Wied, 1825)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liophis reginae 2 (Linnaeus, 1758)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxyrhopus guibei (Hoge &amp; Romano, 1978)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>IE; (8; 10; 12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxyrhopus rhombifer Duméril, Bibron &amp; Duméril, 1854</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phalotris mertensi (Hoge, 1955)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>IE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philodryas agassizii (Jan, 1863)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philodryas livida 2 (Amaral, 1923)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philodryas patagoniensis (Girard, 1858)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibynomorphus mikani 2 (Schlegel, 1837)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>IE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taenioophallus occipitalis 2 (Jan, 1863)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>(9; 12; 15; 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thamnodynastes hypoconia (Cope, 1860)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>IE; (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenodon nattereri (Steindachner, 1867)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elapidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micrurus frontalis 2 (Duméril, Bibron &amp; Duméril, 1854)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viperidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bothrops pauloensis (Amaral, 1925)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bothrops moojeni (Hoge, 1966)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>(9; 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudisona durissa (Linnaeus, 1758)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>IE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1species recorded in the field and in the MZUSP collection; 2species recorded only in the IBSP collection; and 3species recorded in the field and in the IBSP collection.

Among the 14 species caught in pitfall traps (N = 41), the most abundant were Tantilla melanocephala (N = 15; 36.6%), Atractus pantostictus (N = 5; 12.2%), Taeniophallus occipitalis (N = 4; 9.7%), Liophis almadensis and Oxyrhopus guibei (N = 3; 7.3%) and Bothrops moojeni and Trachelus koppesi (N = 2; 4.9%). Only a single individual was found for the other species (Table 2).

Unlike the other two studies conducted in the Cerrado of São Paulo, the most abundant species in the study locality and region (N = 56) was Tantilla melanocephala (N = 15; 26.8%), followed by Atractus pantostictus (N = 7; 12.5%), and Taeniophallus occipitalis (N = 5; 8.9%) (Table 2). Dalmolin (2000) recorded Caudisona durissa (38.8%), Xenodon merremi (Wagler, 1824) (8.2%), and Oxyrhopus guibei (7.8%) as the dominant species for the Estação Ecológica de Jataí and surrounding region. Sawaya (2004) verified that for the assemblage at the Estação Ecológica de Itirapina and vicinity, the most abundant species were Caudisona durissa (13.1%), Rhinocophorus alternatus (Duméril, Bibron & Duméril, 1854) (9.9%) and Bothrops moojeni (6.9%). Despite the lower richness and abundance of snakes in the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara and region (21 species, N = 56), the composition of species was very similar to that recorded in the Estação Ecológica de Jataí and municipality of Luiz Antonio (26 species, N = 219) and in the Estação Ecológica de Itirapina (35 species, N = 755).
Figure 5. Snake species sampled at the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara, municipality of Águas de Santa Bárbara, state of São Paulo, Brazil. a) *Tricheilostoma koppesi*; b) *Boa constrictor*; c) *Tantilla melanocephala*; d) *Atractus pantostictus*; e) *Liophis almadensis*; f) *Liophis poecilogyrus*; g) *Oxyrhopus guibei*; h) *Oxyrhopus rhombifer*; i) *Phalotris mertensi*; j) *Philodryas agassizi*; k) *Philodryas patagoniensis*; l) *Sibynomorphus mikani*; m) *Taeniophallus occipitalis*; n) *Thamnodynastes hypoconia*; o) *Xenodon nattereri*; p) *Bothropoides pauloensis*; q) *Bothrops moojeni*; r) *Caudisona durissa*. Photos: Cybele O. Araujo; Ricardo J. Sawaya (5a); Alexsander Z. Antunes (5i). All individuals were found at the study site except 5a) municipality of Brotas, 5b) municipality of Assis and 5r) municipality of Angatuba.
The differences in the richness and abundance of species in these three studies may be attributed to differences in the sampling effort and sampling methods used. The apparent abundance of *Tantilla melanocephala* and *Atractus pantocticus* in the study site results from the use of pitfall traps as the main sampling method, which favoured the collection of fossorial and cryptozoic species.

Of the snakes found in the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara, the species *Philodryas livida*, *Philodryas agassizii* (Figure 5j), and *Xenodon nattereri* (Figure 5o) are classified as “vulnerable” in the list of endangered species for the State of São Paulo (São Paulo 2008). *Philodryas agassizii* was observed in an area of cerrado ral (row 14) and *Xenodon nattereri* was captured in an area of cerrado típico (row 11) (Figure 2, Table 1, Table 2). These results highlight the need to preserve open formations of this conservation unit. Recent studies indicate that the populations of these species, thought to be restricted to open Cerrado formations (Sawaya et al. 2008, Marques et al. 2006), are decreasing in the state. According to research conducted in the Southeastern region of Cerrado, the cerrado ral and campo sujo phythophysiognomies are more likely to disappear in the state (Durigan et al. 2003, Durigan & Ratter 2006). Due to this trend these fragments of Cerrado, albeit reduced, are of extreme importance for the conservation of reptiles in the State of São Paulo (Rossa-Feres et al. 2008).

Recent sampling in the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara (C.O. Araujo, unpublished data) indicate high species richness of the herpetofauna (30 species of amphibians, two species of amphisbaenians, 11 species of lizards), suggesting that this is an area of the herpetofauna (30 species of amphibians, two species of reptiles) that is of extreme importance for the conservation of this group of animals. Moreover, this conservation unit is one of the last remaining areas that are protected by law in the state and still house significant areas of open Cerrado formations (Kronka et al. 2005).
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Appendix 1

Appendix 1. Snake species collected at the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara and municipality of Águas de Santa Bárbara, state of São Paulo, Brazil and deposited in the Coleção Herpetológica do Instituto Butantan (IBSP) and Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP).

*Appendix 1.* Snake species collected at the Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara and municipality of Águas de Santa Bárbara, state of São Paulo, Brazil and deposited in the Coleção Herpetológica do Instituto Butantan (IBSP) and Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP).

- Atractus pantostictus (MZUSP 14985, IBSP 77321-77323); Bothrops moojeni (IBSP 77315-77316); Bothropoides pauloensis (IBSP 77314); Caudisoma durissa (IBSP 77261); Liophis almadensis (IBSP 77312-77313, 77326); Liophis poecilogyrus (IBSP 77311); Liophis reginae (IBSP 56962); Micrurus frontalis (IBSP 46342); Oxyrhopus guibei (IBSP 77318-77320); Oxyrhopus rhombifer (IBSP 77307); Philodryas agassizii (IBSP 77301); Philodryas livida (IBSP 40953); Philodryas patagoniensis (IBSP 77324); Sibynomorphus mikanii (MZUSP 14986, IBSP 77317); Taeniophallus occipitalis (IBSP 53729, 77298-77299, 77327-77328); Tantilla melanocephala (IBSP 77302-77306); Thamnodynastes hypoconia (IBSP 77308-77310); Tricheilostoma koppesi (MZUSP 14976, IBSP 77300); Xenodon nattereri (IBSP 77325).