



Revista Colombiana de Ciencias  
Pecuarias

ISSN: 0120-0690

rccpecuarias@rccp.udea.edu.co

Universidad de Antioquia  
Colombia

Teixeira, Adriely S; de Oliveira, Maria C; Menezes, June F; Gouvea, Bruno M; Teixeira,  
Sonia R; Gomes, Alex R

Poultry litter of wood shavings and/or sugarcane bagasse: animal performance and bed  
quality

Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Pecuarias, vol. 28, núm. 3, 2015, pp. 238-246

Universidad de Antioquia  
Medellín, Colombia

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=295039865005>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

## Poultry litter of wood shavings and/or sugarcane bagasse: animal performance and bed quality<sup>a</sup>

*Cama de viruta de madeira y/o bagazo de caña de azúcar para pollo: desempeño animal y calidad de la cama*

*Cama composta por maravalha e/ou bagaço de cana de açúcar para frangos: desempenho animal e qualidade da cama*

Adriely S Teixeira<sup>1</sup>, MV, MSc; Maria C de Oliveira<sup>2</sup>, MV, PhD; June F Menezes<sup>3</sup>, Agr, PhD; Bruno M Gouvea<sup>2</sup>, Grad; Sonia R Teixeira<sup>1</sup>, MV, MSc; Alex R Gomes<sup>4</sup>, Bio.

<sup>1</sup>Instituto Federal Goiano, Rio Verde, GO, Brasil.

<sup>2</sup>Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Universidade de Rio Verde e IF Goiano, Rio Verde, GO, Brasil.

<sup>3</sup>Faculdade de Agronomia, Universidade de Rio Verde, Rio Verde, GO, Brasil.

<sup>4</sup>Faculdade de Biologia, Universidade de Rio Verde, Rio Verde, GO, Brasil.

(Received: July 16, 2014; accepted: November 12, 2014)

doi: 10.17533/udea.rccp.v28n3a4

### Summary

**Background:** litter provides comfort to animals while improving productive performance and carcass quality. **Objective:** this study evaluated broiler performance, incidence of contact dermatitis, and quality of poultry litter of wood shavings and/or sugarcane bagasse (SB) after five consecutive flocks. **Methods:** two thousand birds were raised in five consecutive flocks composed of 400 chicks each. The experiment was set in a completely randomized design with five treatments and four repetitions. The treatments consisted of substituting wood shavings with different levels of SB (0, 25, 50, 75, and 100%). **Results:** litter density, pH, dry matter content, total nitrogen, and phosphorus did not vary between treatments. However, substituting wood shavings with SB increased moisture retention capacity of the litter and ammonia production. Neither carcass lesions nor productive performance, with the exception of weight gain and feed conversion ratio in the

□ To cite this article: Teixeira AS, Oliveira MC, Menezes JF, Gouvea BM, Teixeira SR, Gomes AR. Poultry litter of wood shavings and/or sugarcane bagasse: animal performance and bed quality. Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu 2015; 28:238-246.

\* Corresponding author: Maria Cristina de Oliveira. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Rio Verde, Rio Verde, 75901-910, Brazil. Tel: 55-64-3611-2217. Fax: 55-64-3620-2201. E-mail: mcorv@ig.com.br

fifth flock, differed between treatments. **Conclusions:** substituting 75% of wood shavings with SB maintained litter quality and improved poultry performance.

**Keywords:** *ammonia volatilization, carcass grading, carcass quality, poultry carcass, poultry manure, sugarcane byproducts.*

### Resumen

**Antecedentes:** la cama propicia el confort y mejora el desempeño productivo y la calidad de la canal de los pollos de engorde. **Objetivo:** el objetivo del presente estudio fue evaluar el desempeño productivo de pollos de engorde, la incidencia de dermatitis de contacto en la canal y la calidad de la cama de pollo compuesta de viruta de madera y/o bagazo de caña de azúcar (SB), usada en cinco lotes consecutivos. **Métodos:** dos mil aves fueron criadas en cinco lotes consecutivos, cada uno constituido por 400 pollitos, en un diseño completamente aleatorizado con cinco tratamientos y cuatro repeticiones. Los tratamientos consistieron en diferentes niveles de sustitución de viruta de madera con SB (0, 25, 50, 75 y 100%). **Resultados:** la densidad, pH y contenidos de materia seca, nitrógeno total y fósforo no variaron entre los tratamientos. Sin embargo, sustituir viruta de madera con SB aumentó la capacidad de retención de humedad y la cantidad de amoníaco volatilizado en el galpón. Ni las lesiones en la canal y ni el desempeño productivo, con excepción de la ganancia de peso y conversión alimentaria en el quinto lote, difirieron entre los tratamientos. **Conclusiones:** sustituir 75% de la viruta de madera con SB mantuvo la calidad de la cama y mejoró el desempeño productivo de las aves.

**Palabras clave:** *calidad de canales, canales de aves de corral, clasificación de la carcasa, estiércol de las aves de corral, subproductos de la caña de azúcar, volatilización de amoníaco.*

### Resumo

**Antecedentes:** cama de frango propicia conforto e melhora o desempenho produtivo e a qualidade da carcaça das aves. **Objetivo:** este estudo avaliou o desempenho produtivo de frangos de corte, a incidência de dermatite de contato na carcaça e a qualidade da cama de frango composta de maravalha e/ou bagaço de cana (SB), usada em cinco lotes consecutivos. **Métodos:** duas mil aves foram criadas em cinco lotes consecutivos, compostos de 400 pintinhos cada, em delineamento inteiramente casualizado com cinco tratamentos e quatro repetições. Os tratamentos consistiram de diferentes níveis de substituição de maravalha com BC (0, 25, 50, 75 e 100%). **Resultados:** densidade, pH e teores de matéria seca, nitrogênio total e fósforo não variaram entre os tratamentos. Entretanto, substituir maravalha com SB aumentou a capacidade de retenção de umidade e a quantidade de amônia volatilizada no galpão. Nem as lesões na carcaça e nem o desempenho produtivo, com exceção do ganho de peso e conversão alimentar no quinto lote, diferiram entre os tratamentos. **Conclusões:** substituir 75% da maravalha com SB manteve a qualidade da cama e melhorou o desempenho produtivo das aves.

**Palavras chave:** *cama de frango, classificação de carcaça, qualidade de carcaça, carcaça de frangos, subprodutos da cana de açúcar, volatilização de amônia.*

---

## Introduction

Poultry bedding provides comfort and decreases the incidence of dermatitis on the breast, knee, and footpad, while increasing performance and carcass quality of broilers. Bedding can be made of a variety of materials; wood shavings are the most commonly used substrate. However, wood shavings are not always readily available and can be more costly, as they are usually transported from other regions (Araújo *et al.*, 2007). In some regions of Brazil, sugarcane bagasse (SB), a byproduct of alcohol production, is more readily available and costs less than wood shavings.

It is essential to control the litter humidity; otherwise it may become caked, slippery, and sticky if it is too moist. According to Butcher and Miles (2012), if the litter is not maintained with low moisture, bacterial growth, odors (including that of ammonia), fly proliferation, dirty feathers, and lesions on the footpad and breast will develop.

Litter pH is also important, as high pH levels result in greater concentrations of ammonia. The release of ammonia from the litter is higher with increasing pH, moisture content, and temperature (Miragliotta *et al.*, 2002). The type of litter material and litter handling can also influence ammonia release

(Sampaio *et al.*, 1999). While poultry litter is a valuable fertilizer for several crops, its usefulness can be reduced if significant nitrogen loss from ammonia volatilization occurs (Lovanh *et al.*, 2007).

Contact dermatitis can affect breast, knees, and footpads of broilers. Footpad dermatitis (FD) is a critical problem that can compromise exports of chicken feet and legs (Hashimoto *et al.*, 2013). This condition can also affect breast and knees.

This study was conducted in five consecutive flocks to evaluate the effect of poultry litter made of wood shavings and/or SB on litter quality, broiler performance, incidence of contact dermatitis on the carcass, and economic viability of these bedding materials.

## Materials and methods

This study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Rio Verde University (number 02/11, April 19, 2012).

Two thousand birds were raised in five consecutive flocks, each composed of 400 one-day-old male Cobb chicks. Masonry boxes measuring 1.52 m<sup>2</sup> housed twenty birds each. The mean initial weight of birds was 49.63 ± 0.89 g, 52.50 ± 0.7 g, 54.63 ± 0.89 g, 48.00 ± 0.91 g, and 49.60 ± 0.20 g for the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth flock, respectively. The mean temperature and relative humidity (RH) of the air during the trial in the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth flocks were 24.3 °C and 68%, 23.7 °C and 80%, 25.0 °C and 71%, 22.3 °C and 70.8%, and 21.5 °C and 55%, respectively.

The experiment was carried out using a completely randomized design, with five treatments and four repetitions. The treatments were composed of different levels of substitution of wood shavings with SB: T1) 0% SB and 100% wood shavings; T2) 25% SB and 75% wood shavings; T3) 50% SB and 50% wood shavings; T4) 75% SB and 25% wood shavings; T5) 100% SB and 0% wood shavings. Bedding materials were placed to a depth of 15 cm in each box before the first flock started. Initial characteristics of each material are shown in Table 1, and were determined

as described by Silva and Queiroz (2002) and Oliveira *et al.* (2002).

**Table 1.** Wood shavings and sugarcane bagasse characteristics before the beginning of the experiment.

Parameters	Wood shavings	Sugarcane bagasse
pH	4.75	6.45
Dry matter (%)	86.84	84.19
Total nitrogen (%)	0.21	0.26
Total phosphorus (%)	0.32	0.05
Moisture retention capacity (%)	113.00	409.39

The flocks were established 15 days apart. After each flock was cleared, feathers were burned with a blowtorch and the litter was upturned to reduce moisture content. During this period, the shed curtains were kept open during the day to provide better air circulation. Heat was provided by electric lamps with 60 W light bulbs during the first 14 days.

A 24-h light program that incorporated natural and artificial lighting was used. Feed and water were offered *ad libitum* for the entire trial. Animals were fed commercial feed, divided into five categories: pre-starter (1-9 days), starter (10-18 days), grower I (19-25 days), grower II (26-34 days), and finisher (35-42 days). The feed and the birds were weighed at the beginning of the trial, and at 21 and 42 days to evaluate body weight, weight gain, feed intake, and feed conversion ratio. Survival rate of the birds was also calculated by recording bird mortality at 21 and 42 days.

At the end of each flock, two birds from each box were slaughtered after 12 h of fasting. After the birds were plucked, lesions on their breasts, knees, and footpads were examined. Two evaluators performed subjective assessment of these lesions. Scores described by McWard and Taylor (2000) for knee and footpad lesions were used for dermatitis analysis.

Litter samples were collected on the 42<sup>nd</sup> day of the experiment from six different sites per box (avoiding areas close to the feeders and drinking fountains) from each flock. After collection, samples were homogenized, placed in labeled plastic bags, and taken to the laboratory for analysis of pH, dry matter (DM) content, total nitrogen (N) content, phosphorus (P)

content (Silva and Queiroz, 2002), moisture retention capacity (MRC) (Oliveira *et al.*, 2002), and amount of volatilized ammonia (Oliveira *et al.*, 2004).

Statistical analyses of performance, litter quality, and economic viability were performed using SAEG software (version 9.0). Parameters with significant differences by flock according to the F-test were subjected to polynomial regression analysis at 5% probability. Dermatitis results were evaluated using the Kruskal-Wallis test at 5% probability for comparison of means.

## Results

The DM content of the litters did not differ between treatments. However, MCR increased when wood shavings were completely replaced by SB in the

third ( $p < 0.05$ ), fourth ( $p < 0.02$ ), and fifth ( $p < 0.003$ ) flocks (Table 2).

Substitution of wood shavings with SB did not influence ( $p > 0.05$ ) the pH values in any of the five flocks. Substitution of wood shavings was not significantly related ( $p > 0.05$ ) to volatilized ammonia, until the fourth flock. In the fifth flock, the amount of volatilized ammonia varied quadratically ( $p < 0.004$ ), and the lowest amount was volatilized when 50% of the wood shavings was substituted with SB (Table 3).

The loss of ammonia N in the fifth flock was not sufficient to interfere with N levels in the litter, as total N and P content did not show significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) between treatments (Table 4). This finding suggests that all litters could be used for fertilizer.

**Table 2.** Dry matter content and moisture retention capacity of litter made of wood shavings (WS) and/or sugarcane bagasse (SB) in five flocks.

Substitution (%)	Dry matter (%)				
	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock	4 <sup>th</sup> flock	5 <sup>th</sup> flock
100% WS	65.38	64.88	66.41	72.12	77.22
75% WS + 25% SB	67.00	60.88	68.56	70.33	73.15
50% WS + 50% SB	68.29	60.12	65.46	69.60	76.36
25% WS + 75% SB	67.72	64.62	68.59	71.13	77.30
100% SB	70.47	60.10	67.17	70.29	78.18
CV <sup>1</sup> (%)	4.90	4.79	5.44	5.26	4.28
	Moisture retention capacity (%)				
	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock <sup>2</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup> flock <sup>3</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup> flock <sup>4</sup>
100% WS	279	247	206	221	192
75% WS + 25% SB	282	252	195	201	188
50% WS + 50% SB	300	266	213	205	142
25% WS + 75% SB	292	263	207	222	167
100% SB	353	288	231	233	212
CV <sup>1</sup> (%)	5.25	7.83	5.37	6.85	4.38

<sup>1</sup>CV = Coefficient of variation.

<sup>2</sup>Quadratic effect ( $\hat{Y} = 204.77 - 0.283x + 0.0053x^2$ ,  $R^2 = 0.76$ ).

<sup>3</sup>Quadratic effect ( $\hat{Y} = 218.01 - 0.673x + 0.0085x^2$ ,  $R^2 = 0.86$ ).

<sup>4</sup>Quadratic effect ( $\hat{Y} = 200.72 - 1.87x + 0.019x^2$ ,  $R^2 = 0.73$ ).

**Table 3.** pH values and amount of volatilized ammonia in litters made of wood shavings (WS) and/or sugarcane bagasse (SB) for five flocks.

Substitution (%)	pH				
	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock	4 <sup>th</sup> flock	5 <sup>th</sup> flock
100% WS	8.9	8.8	9.0	9.4	9.0
75% WS + 25% SB	8.9	8.7	9.0	9.3	9.0
50% WS + 50% SB	9.0	8.9	8.9	9.3	8.8
25% WS + 75% SB	8.9	8.9	8.9	9.3	9.0
100% SB	9.0	8.9	8.9	9.4	8.6
CV <sup>1</sup> (%)	1.24	1.07	1.22	2.72	3.31

  

Substitution (%)	Volatilized ammonia (ppm)				
	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock	4 <sup>th</sup> flock	5 <sup>th</sup> flock <sup>3</sup>
100% WS	200	127	162	68	43
75% WS + 25% SB	227	112	145	58	48
50% WS + 50% SB	192	129	164	54	33
25% WS + 75% SB	166	142	173	59	37
100% SB	252	137	172	59	61
CV <sup>2</sup> (%)	8.64	2.94	5.08	5.03	3.73

<sup>1</sup>CV = Coefficient of variation.<sup>2</sup>CV = Coefficient of variation, obtained from transformed means (log X).<sup>3</sup>Quadratic effect ( $\hat{Y} = 47.74 - 0.53x + 0.0063x^2$ ,  $R^2 = 0.47$ ).**Table 4.** Nitrogen and phosphorus content of litters made of wood shavings (WS) and/or sugarcane bagasse (SB) in five flocks.

Substitution (%)	Total nitrogen (%)				
	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock	4 <sup>th</sup> flock	5 <sup>th</sup> flock
100% WS	2.40	2.14	2.20	2.48	1.96
75% WS + 25% SB	2.73	2.29	2.97	2.49	2.19
50% WS + 50% SB	2.68	2.27	2.89	2.34	2.17
25% WS + 75% SB	2.92	2.37	2.81	2.84	2.22
100% SB	2.86	2.34	2.97	2.68	2.22
CV <sup>1</sup> (%)	9.82	12.58	7.21	8.59	8.41

  

Substitution (%)	Total phosphorus (%)				
	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock	4 <sup>th</sup> flock	5 <sup>th</sup> flock
100% WS	0.48	0.74	0.86	0.57	0.94
75% WS + 25% SB	0.54	0.73	0.91	0.56	1.09
50% WS + 50% SB	0.69	0.81	0.95	0.58	1.02
25% WS + 75% SB	0.60	0.87	0.90	0.61	1.06
100% SB	0.58	0.77	0.96	0.58	1.10
CV <sup>1</sup> (%)	5.41	5.39	8.57	7.20	8.59

<sup>1</sup>CV = Coefficient of variation.

There was no significant effect ( $p>0.05$ ) of treatments on poultry performance (Table 5) in the first, second, third, and fourth flocks. However, weight gain ( $p<0.021$ ) and feed conversion ratio ( $p<0.004$ )

in the fifth flock at 42 days were quadratically affected, and the best values were obtained when the litter consisted of 75% wood shavings and 25% SB (Table 6).

**Table 5.** Productive performance of 21-day-old broilers raised on litter made of wood shavings (WS) and/or sugarcane bagasse (SB) for five farming flocks.

Substitution (%)	Weight gain (g)					Feed intake (g)					Feed conversion				
	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock	4 <sup>th</sup> flock	5 <sup>th</sup> flock	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock	4 <sup>th</sup> flock	5 <sup>th</sup> flock	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock	4 <sup>th</sup> flock	5 <sup>th</sup> flock
100% WS	840	830	800	980	910	1230	1270	1060	1390	980	1.46	1.54	1.33	1.41	1.07
75% WS + 25%SB	850	940	790	1020	920	1240	1360	1120	1260	970	1.46	1.46	1.41	1.24	1.05
50% WS + 50%SB	850	870	790	980	910	1230	1250	1010	1270	1080	1.44	1.43	1.28	1.29	1.18
25% WS + 75%SB	860	880	810	1040	880	1230	1250	1090	1350	1100	1.43	1.42	1.33	1.30	1.24
100% SB	850	880	760	990	880	1210	1280	1250	1190	1090	1.43	1.45	1.62	1.21	1.24
CV <sup>1</sup> (%)	2.88	4.52	4.02	4.24	4.19	2.36	4.11	2.03	2.71	3.92	3.37	4.28	4.62	4.57	3.71

<sup>1</sup>CV = Coefficient of variation

**Table 6.** Productive performance of 42-day-old broilers raised on litter made of wood shavings (WS) and/or sugarcane bagasse (SB) for five farming flocks.

Substitution (%)	Weight gain (g)					Feed intake (g)					Feed conversion				
	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock	4 <sup>th</sup> flock	5 <sup>th</sup> flock <sup>2</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock	4 <sup>th</sup> flock	5 <sup>th</sup> flock	1 <sup>st</sup> flock	2 <sup>nd</sup> flock	3 <sup>rd</sup> flock	4 <sup>th</sup> flock	5 <sup>th</sup> flock <sup>3</sup>
100% WS	2460	2520	2850	2960	2850	4240	4380	4950	4890	4950	1.75	1.74	1.73	1.65	1.73
75% WS + 25% SB	2500	2680	3060	2850	3060	4360	4360	4910	4870	4910	1.70	1.62	1.60	1.71	1.60
50% WS + 50% SB	2490	2570	2960	2880	2960	4130	4280	4950	4880	4850	1.66	1.67	1.63	1.69	1.63
25% WS + 75% SB	2400	2710	3020	2920	3020	4090	4340	4880	4970	4880	1.71	1.61	1.61	1.70	1.61
100% SB	2220	2570	2860	2860	2860	4080	4140	4970	4850	4970	1.85	1.61	1.73	1.69	1.73
CV <sup>1</sup> (%)	4.58	4.86	3.16	3.65	3.16	2.67	4.39	1.96	2.56	1.96	3.97	4.30	3.67	2.35	3.67

<sup>1</sup>CV = Coefficient of variation.

<sup>2</sup>Quadratic effect ( $\hat{Y} = 2875 + 6.63x - 0.067x^2$ ,  $R^2 = 0.66$ ).

<sup>3</sup>Quadratic effect ( $\hat{Y} = 1.73 - 0.0052x + 0.000052x^2$ ,  $R^2 = 0.77$ ).

Survival rate of birds was not influenced ( $p>0.05$ ) by the type of bedding used (Table 7). The scores

for knee and footpad lesions did not vary ( $p>0.05$ ) between treatments (Table 8).

**Table 7.** Survival rate at 21 and 42 days of broilers raised on litter made of wood shavings (WS) and/or sugarcane bagasse (SB) of age for five flocks.

Substitution (%)	Survival rate (%)									
	1 <sup>st</sup> flock		2 <sup>nd</sup> flock		3 <sup>rd</sup> flock		4 <sup>th</sup> flock		5 <sup>th</sup> flock	
	21	42	21	42	21	42	21	42	21	42
100% WS	98.7	98.7	96.0	94.0	92.5	88.8	98.7	95.8	98.7	95.0
75% WS + 25% SB	97.5	97.5	93.0	93.0	92.5	90.8	95.0	96.6	96.1	96.2
50% WS + 50% SB	100	100	100	97.0	96.2	90.0	96.6	95.0	100	97.5
25% WS + 75% SB	100	100	100	95.0	93.7	86.6	96.6	90.0	100	98.7
100% SB	97.5	97.5	98.0	96.0	97.5	93.3	100	96.6	98.7	95.0
CV <sup>1</sup> (%)	2.16	2.16	3.41	4.21	4.42	8.81	4.73	5.57	2.77	3.41

<sup>1</sup>CV = Coefficient of variation.**Table 8.** Footpad and knee lesion scores of broilers raised on litter made of wood shavings (WS) and/or sugarcane bagasse (SB) in five flocks.

Substitution (%)	Lesion scores (%)									
	1 <sup>st</sup> flock		2 <sup>nd</sup> flock		3 <sup>rd</sup> flock		4 <sup>th</sup> flock		5 <sup>th</sup> flock	
	K	FP	K	FP	K	FP	K	FP	K	FP
100% WS	0.79	0.12	0.79	0.12	0.18	0.75	0.50	1.31	0.31	0.66
75% WS + 25% SB	0.83	0.87	0.83	0.87	0.43	0.93	0.87	1.50	0.37	0.62
50% WS + 50% SB	0.79	0.70	0.79	0.70	0.25	1.18	0.43	1.68	0.37	1.37
25% WS + 75% SB	0.70	0.50	0.70	0.50	0.50	1.25	0.43	1.62	0.37	0.87
100% SB	0.45	0.54	0.45	0.54	0.25	0.87	0.37	1.43	0.50	1.00

K = knee; FP = footpad.

## Discussion

Replacing 100% of wood shavings with SB resulted in a higher MRC in the third, fourth, and fifth flocks. According to Hafeez *et al.* (2009), increased MRC in litter increases the carcass quality score and decreases the incidence of breast blisters. During this trial no there was no evidence of breast blistering.

Monira *et al.* (2003) reported that SB litter had 70% DM content, while wood shavings litter had 74% DM content; both values are higher than those observed in this study. Oliveira *et al.* (2002) observed that wood shavings litter had higher DM content and lower MRC than sawdust litter. These authors concluded that the ideal litter material is capable of releasing (not retaining) moisture so that it can be eliminated by ventilation.

In the present study pH values were within the expected range for untreated litter, with pH values varying from 7.01 (El-Deek *et al.*, 2011) to 8.58 (Ávila *et al.*, 2008) in the sixth week for wood shavings litter.

Substitution of wood shavings did not affect volatilized ammonia until the fourth flock, when the lowest amount was volatilized at 50% substitution of wood shavings with SB. Coincidentally, this flock and this substitution level also had the lowest moisture retention capacity of all litters, indicating a positive correlation between MRC and ammonia volatilization rate.

Ammonia loss in the fifth flock did not interfere with the levels of N in the litter. This finding suggests that all the litters could be used as fertilizers. As reported by Bolan *et al.* (2010), most poultry litters



are used in agriculture. When correctly handled, their use is suitable to recycle nutrients such as N, P, and K. According to the same authors, N and P contents of poultry litter are generally 2.57 and 0.67%, respectively. Monira *et al.* (2003) evaluated poultry litter of different materials and reported that total N and P contents of SB-based litter were 2.52 and 1.02%, respectively. Ávila *et al.* (2008) also evaluated N and P contents of litters of different materials (including wood shavings) and found contents of 2.44% for N and 0.84% for P. In both cases, N and P contents were similar to those observed in the present study.

Bedding material positively influenced weight gain and feed conversion ratio at 42 days, particularly when litter was composed of 75% wood shavings and 25% SB. It is possible that the lower moisture retention capacity of wood shavings and SB litters in the fifth flock produced lower temperature and relative humidity, thus increasing bird comfort and performance. Studies investigating the use of alternatives to wood shavings in bedding have reported that use of SB (Monira *et al.*, 2003; Araújo *et al.*, 2007) and other materials (Atêncio *et al.*, 2010; Mendes *et al.*, 2011) produced no differences in productive performance of birds. Survival rate of birds was also not influenced. Similar findings were reported by Atêncio *et al.* (2010), Mendes *et al.* (2011), and Toghyani *et al.* (2010).

Substituting wood shavings with SB did not influence the scores for knee and footpad lesions. These lesions, also known as “ammonia burns”, are caused by the combination of moisture and high ammonia content of the litter (Kjaer *et al.*, 2006). Knee dermatitis has been positively correlated with footpad dermatitis (Meluzzi *et al.*, 2008). Footpad and knee dermatitis are associated with high moisture and ammonia content. In this study, there were no differences in moisture content between litters, and differences in ammonia content only appeared in the fifth flock. However, the values obtained in this flock were too low to cause lesions.

Garcia *et al.* (2012) observed that birds raised on litter made of 50% SB and 50% rice husk or 50% SB and 50% wood shavings exhibited higher incidence of footpad lesions than birds raised on 100% wood shaving or 100% rice husk litters. The authors

associated this effect with an increase in moisture content of litters containing SB.

In conclusion, substituting up to 75% of wood shavings with SB maintained the quality of the litter, increased the productive performance of birds, and did not influence knee and footpad lesion scores in five consecutive flocks.

### Acknowledgements

This study was funded by a grant provided by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Goiás, Brazil.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the work presented in this report.

### References

- Araújo JS, Oliveira V, Braga GC. Desempenho de frangos de corte criados em diferentes tipos de cama e taxa de lotação. *Cienc Anim Bras* 2007; 8:59-64.
- Atencio JL, Fernández JA, Gernat AG, Murillo JG. Effect of pine wood shavings, rice hulls and river bed sand on broiler productivity when used as a litter sources. *Int J Poult Sci* 2010; 9:240-243.
- Ávila VS, Oliveira U, Figueiredo EAP, Costa CAF, Abreu VMN, Rosa PS. Avaliação de materiais alternativos em substituição à maravalha como cama de aviário. *R Bras Zootec* 2008; 37:273-277.
- Bolan NS, Szogi AA, Chuasavathi T, Seshadri B, Rothrock Jr. MJ, Panneerselvam P. Uses and management of poultry litter. *World Poultry Sci J* 2010; 46:673-698.
- Butcher GD, Miles RD. Causes and prevention of wet litter in broiler houses. Florida Cooperative Extension Service, VM99 2012; [Access date: June 10, 2013]. URL: <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/pdffiles/VM/VM02000.pdf>
- El-Deek AA, Al-Harthi MA, Khalifah MM, Elbanoby MM, Alharby T. Impact of newspaper as bedding material in arid land on broiler performance. *Egypt Poult Sci* 2011; 31:715-725.
- Garcia RG, Almeida Paz ICL, Caldara FR, Nääs IA, Pereira DF, Ferreira VMOS. Selecting the most adequate bedding material for broiler production in Brazil. *Rev Bras Cienc Avic* 2012; 14:71-158.
- Hafeez A, Suhail SM, Durrani FR, Jan D, Ahmad I, Chand N, Rehman A. Effect of different types of locally available litter materials on the performance of broiler chicks. *Sarhad J Agric* 2009; 4:581-586.

- Hashimoto S, Yamazaki K, Obi T, Takase K Relationship between severity of footpad dermatitis and carcass performance in broiler chickens. *J Vet Med Sci* 2013; 75:1547-1549.
- Kjaer JB, Su G, Nielsen BL, Sorensen P. Food pad dermatitis and hock burn in broiler chickens and degree of inheritance. *Poultry Sci* 2006; 85:1342-1348.
- Lovanh N, Cook KL, Rothrock MJ, Miles DM, Sistani K. Spatial shifts in microbial population structure within poultry litter associated with physicochemical properties. *Poultry Sci* 2007; 86:1840-1849.
- McWard GW, Taylor DR. Acidified clay litter amendment. *J Appl Poultry Res* 2000; 9:518-529.
- Meluzzi A, Sirri F, Folegatti E, Fabbri C. Effect of less intensive rearing conditions on litter characteristics, growth performance, carcass injuries and meat quality of broilers. *Brit Poultry Sci* 2008; 49:509-515.
- Mendes AS, Paixão SJ, Restelatto R, Reffatti R, Possenti JC, Moura DJ, Morello GMZ, Carvalho TMR. Effects of initial body weight and litter material on broiler production. *Rev Bras Cienc Avic* 2011; 13:165-170.
- Miragliotta MY, Naas IA, Baracho MS, Aradas MEC. Qualidade do ar de dois sistemas produtivos de frangos de corte com ventilação e densidade diferenciadas – estudo de caso. *Eng Agric* 2002; 22:1-10.
- Monira KN, Islam MA, Alam MJ, Wahid MA. Effect of litter materials on broiler performance and evaluation of manure value of used litter in late autumn. *Asian Australas J Anim* 2003; 16:555-557.
- Oliveira MC, Carvalho ID. Rendimento e lesões em carcaça de frangos de corte criados em diferentes camas e densidades populacionais. *Cienc Agrotec* 2002; 26:1076-1081.
- Oliveira MC, Ferreira HA, Cancherini LC. Efeito de condicionadores químicos sobre a qualidade da cama de frango. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zoo* 2004; 56:536-541.
- Sampaio MAPM, Schocken-Iturrino RP, Sampaio AAM, Berchielli SCP, Biondi A. Estudo da população microbiana e da liberação de amônia da cama de frangos tratada com gesso agrícola. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zoo* 1999; 51:559-564.
- Silva DJ, Queiroz AC. *Análise de alimentos: métodos químicos e biológicos*. 3rd ed. Viçosa (MG): UFV Publisher; 2002.
- Toghyani M, Gheisari A, Modaresi M, Tabeidian SA, Toghyani M. Effect of different litter material on performance and behavior of broiler chickens. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 2010; 122:48-52.