

Journal of Human Sport and Exercise

E-ISSN: 1988-5202

jhse@ua.es

Universidad de Alicante

España

TILI, MARIA; GIATSIS, GEORGE

The height of the men's winners FIVB Beach Volleyball in relation to specialization and court dimensions

Journal of Human Sport and Exercise, vol. 6, núm. 3, 2011, pp. 504-510
Universidad de Alicante
Alicante, España

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=301023457004



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



Original Article

The height of the men's winners FIVB Beach Volleyball in relation to specialization and court dimensions

MARIA TILI, GEORGE GIATSIS



Department of Physical Education and Sports Science, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

ABSTRACT

Tili M, Giatsis G. The height of the men's winners FIVB Beach Volleyball in relation to specialization and court dimensions. J. Hum. Sport Exerc. Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 504-510, 2011. The purpose of this study was to find and compare the heights of the men FIVB Beach Volleyball winners in relation to their specialization and court dimensions (9×9 m or 8×8 m). The heights of men's winners of all FIVB Beach Volleyball (BV) tournaments held between 1987 and 2010 were recorded. The winners were then split into three groups according to their specialization: Defenders (DE), Blockers (BL) without any specialization (No Specialization - NS). Post-hoc Anova test was conducted to determine if there is difference in the winners' height in relation to their specialization and the court dimensions (9×9 and 8×8). Overall, 91 players from 16 countries have won the 257 FIVB BV tournaments. Of the 257 tournaments, 115 were held in 9×9 courts and 142 in 8×8 courts. Of the winners, 44 were defenders, 38 were blockers and 9 had no specialization. The players of all winning teams in 8×8 courts had specialization. The average height of the winners was 192.7 ± 5.3 cm. The shortest winner was 180 cm and the tallest 206 cm. The one way ANOVA indicated that there were significant differences ($F_{(2.88)} = 34.071$, p<0.001) between the defenders, blockers and no specialization players. The Post Hoc Scheffe indicated significant differences between the DE (M=189.3, SD=3.7 cm) and BL (M=196.7, SD=4.6 cm), as between BL and the NS (M=192.2, SD=2.5 cm). Also, significant differences were found ($F_{(4,99)} = 24.286$, p<0.001) between the DE_{9x9}, DE_{8x8}, BL_{9x9}, BL_{8x8} and NS. The Post Hoc Scheffe indicated significant differences (p<0.001) between the DE_{9x9} (M=188.6, SD=4.1 cm) and BL_{9x9} (M=194.0, SD=3.8 cm), as between DE_{9x9} and BL_{8x8} (M=198.0, SD=4.1 cm). Furthermore, significant differences were found (p<0.05) between BL_{8x8} and BL_{9x9}. No significant differences were found (p=0.506) between DE_{9x9}, DE_{8x8}. Significant difference were found between NS (M=192.1, SD=2.7 cm) and DE_{8x8}. The independent t-test found significant difference ($t_{(18)}$ =3.215, p<0.01) between the 10 first blockers in victories at 8x8 m (M=199.4, SD=4.1) and 9x9 m (M=193.5, SD=4.1). Overall, regarding player specialization, blockers were taller than defenders in both court dimensions. Although blockers in 8x8 courts were taller than those in 9×9 courts, no significant difference was found in defenders. Smaller court dimensions seem to favored taller players in top level world Beach Volleyball because of the larger importance of blocking and the reduced need for agility. The data at hand certifies the new somatometric requirements for blockers in Beach Volleyball. Key words: HEIGHT COMPARISON, WINNERS, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS, BEACH VOLLEY

Corresponding author. University of Thessaloniki. Department of Physical Education and Sports Science. Kanari 15, 55132

Thessaloniki, Greece. E-mail: george@giatsis.com Submitted for publication July 2011. Accepted for publication September 2011. JOURNAL OF HUMAN SPORT & EXERCISE ISSN 1988-5202 © Faculty of Education. University of Alicante doi:10.4100/jhse.2011.63.04

INTRODUCTION

FIVB held its first Beach Volleyball tournament in Rio in Brazil in 1987 (Couvillon, 2002). Beach Volleyball was already proliferating in California Beaches since the 50s and since 1983 the AVP has been organizing Beach Volleyball at a professional level (Couvillon, 2003; Homberg & Papageorgiou, 1995).

Beach Volleyball was played in 9×9 m courts until 2000. Since 2001, Beach Volleyball courts are 8x8 meters in size (FIVB, 2001). Nevertheless, in the early years of doubles Beach Volleyball in the 1930s, courts were small (4.6×4.6 m) since players thought they could not cover a larger court. The advantage that Paul Johnson had because of his height, though, led to a decision to play in 9x9 m courts. The decision to play in 9 by 9 m courts soon led to conclusions that shorter players' speed and agility could neutralize taller players. Furthermore, Paul Jonhson was the first to introduce doubles Beach Volleyball (Couvillon, 2002).

FIVB made two significant changes in Beach Volleyball after 2000 (FIVB, 2001). Firstly, the scoring system was changed to rally so as to make the game more easy to comprehend and exciting for the spectators (Giatsis & Zetou, 2003) and more suitable for televised broadcasts compared to the large variance in game duration in the side-out scoring system. Secondly, the court size was reduced to make the game more spectacular by increasing the duration of point rallies (Giatsis et al., 2005) because of smaller distances covered and more chances for digs and counter attacks (Giatsis et al., 2003).

Changes in team sports should adhere to the following rules: clear goal definition, respect for basic game regulations, acceptance from players, coaches and spectators (Giatsis & Zetou, 2003). Understanding of the effect the changes will have on multiple variables, data processing by organizers and television ratings and, most importantly, time to assess the impact of the changes to the game (Arias et al., 2011).

Various surveys regarding the impact of changes in court dimensions have been conducted. Giatsis & Papadopoulou (2003) report an increase in play duration due to fewer aces and more counter attacks. Additionally, Giatsis & Tzetzis (2003) found that in the Hellenic Championship the efficiency of attacks and services was reduced and Ronglan & Crydeland (2006) report similar results combined with an increase in the number and efficiency of blocks in FIVB World Tour players.

Furthermore, in another survey that compared the performance from technical aspects between winners and losers for both court dimensions, it is shown that the new rules led to a decrease in the difference of effectiveness in service, reception and defense (dig) and an increase in attack and block (Grgantov et al., 2005). Similar results had been presented in a survey which considered the side out system (Michalopoulou et al., 2005), where the winners outdid the losers mainly in service, reception and attack.

Another survey by Mosquita & Teixeira (2004) states that in FIVB World Tour matches, blockers perform blocks at 86.4% of the cases where as spikes had a maximum frequency of 58%. Similar results are reported by Laios (2008) in the 2004 Olympic Games where blockers actually blocked 90% of the times. However, in another paper by Koch & Tilp (2009) it is evident that women they employ a fake block or drop with a frequency of 26.9% which is much greater compared to men (11.6%).

The surveys discussed above indicate that blocking is very important when the court dimensions are smaller. Therefore, height can be a very significant factor in Beach Volleyball players, especially in blockers. Palao et al. (2008) investigated the heights of 518 players who were at the top of FIVB rankings from 2000 to 2006. In their study the average player height was found to be 193 cm. Additionally, they

found a significant difference between the blocker height (197 cm), defender height (190 cm) and no specialization height (192 cm). Analogous results were presented by Fuchslocher et al. (2004) in 17 World Tour athletes that were among the top 30 in world ranking and had an average height of 191 cm. Other surveys have come up with height averages between 184 and 189 cm, albeit in small numbers of athletes (10-26 athletes) and at a lower level than FIVB World Tour (Bisciotti, 2001; Bishop, 2003; Davies, 2002; Giatsis et al., 2004; Zetou et al., 2007). Furthermore, in a survey on FIVB winners in men, it was found that 61.4% (N=55) of all players have won more than one tournaments and only 16% (N=15) have a number of tournament wins greater than or equal to 10 (Tili et al., 2011).

From the relevant literature review, it is evident that there are no surveys on the heights of male tournament winners at top FIVB Beach Volleyball level and on the differences (if any) on the heights for different court dimensions. The purpose of this survey was to find and compare the heights of all male FIVB Beach Volleyball winners from 1987 to 2010 in relation to their specialization and the court dimensions (9×9 or 8×8).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample

The survey sample included all 257 FIVB Beach Volleyball Tournaments held between 1987 and 2010. These include the Open tournaments, Grand Slam, World Championship, Goodwill Games and Olympic Games. The winners were then split into three groups according to their specialization: Defenders (DE), Blockers (BL) and without any specialization (NS). Data were retrieved from FIVB websites and the Beach Volleyball Database. Players specialization was determined based on television appearances in the finals and interviews. The observer was a former professional Beach Volleyball player with an international career of more than 10 years who is currently a University Lecturer specializing on Beach Volleyball.

A player was categorized as a defender when he participated less than 20% of the times in a block. When block participation was around 50% (i.e., the player who served played defense), the player was characterized as without any specialization.

Overall, 91 players from 16 countries won the 257 FIVB BV tournaments. Of the 257 tournaments, 115 were held in 9×9 courts and 142 in 8×8 courts. Of the winners, 44 were defenders, 38 were blockers and 9 had no specialization. Four out of nine players without specialization won a tournament as defenders after the court dimensions were changed to 8 by 8 meters. A total of 50 players won tournaments in 8×8 courts whereas 54 athletes won in 9×9 courts. All winning teams in 8×8 courts had specialized players. Only one player won more than one tournament with different specializations.

Statistical Analysis

One way ANOVA and Post-hoc Scheffe tests were performed to find differences (if any) in heights according to winning players specializations and court dimensions (9×9 m and 8×8 m). Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

RESULTS

The average height of all winners was found to be 192.7 ± 5.3 cm. The shortest winner was 180 cm and the tallest 206 cm. The one way ANOVA indicated that there were significant differences (F_(2.88) = 34.071, p<0.001) between the defenders, blockers and no specialization players. The Post Hoc Scheffe indicated significant differences between the DE (M=189.3, SD=3.7 cm) and BL (M=196.7, SD=4.6 cm), as between BL and the NS (M=192.2, SD=2.5 cm).

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of the height in Total Winners, Defenders, Blockers and No specialization players from the 257 tournaments of FIVB.

		Height				
Specialization	N	М	SD	Minimum	Maximum	
Defenders	44	189.3	3.7	180	198	
Blockers	38	196.7	4.6	188	206	
No Specialization	9	192.2	2.5	188	196	
Total Winners	91	192.7	5.3	180	206	

Note. The Post Hoc Scheffe indicated significant differences between the Defenders and Blockers (p<0.01), as between Blockers and the No Specialization.

Also, the one way ANOVA indicated that there were significant differences ($F_{(4,99)} = 24.286$, p<0.001) between the DE_{9x9}, DE_{8x8}, BL_{9x9}, BL_{8x8} and NS. The Post Hoc Scheffe indicated significant differences (p<.001) between the DE_{9x9} (M=188.6, SD=4.1 cm) and BL_{9x9} (M=194.0, SD=3.8 cm), as between DE_{9x9} and BL_{8x8} (M=198.0, SD=4.1 cm). Furthermore, significant differences were found (p<0.05) between BL_{8x8} and BL_{9x9} . No statistically significant differences (p =0.506) were found between DE_{9x9} , DE_{8x8} and between NS and DE_{9x9} (p=0.247).

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of the height in Defenders, Blockers and No specialization players in the 9x9 m and 8x8 m.

			Height			
Specialization	Court	N	М	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Defenders	9x9	27	188.6	4.4	180	198
Blockers	9x9	19	194.0	3.8	188	201
No Specialization	9x9	8	192.1	2.7	188	196
Defenders	8x8	23	190.5	2.6	185	196
Blockers	8x8	27	198.0	4.1	191	206

Note. The Post Hoc Scheffe indicated significant differences between the Defenders_{9x9} and Blockers_{9x9} (p<0.001), between Defenders_{9x9} and Blockers_{8x8} (p<0.001), as between Blockers_{8x8} and Blockers_{9x9} (p<0.05).

The independent t-test found significant difference (t(18)=3.215, p<0.01) between the 10 first blockers in 8x8 m (M=199.4, SD=4.1) and 9x9 m (M=193.5, SD=4.1). Of the top 10 blockers in 9x9 courts, four played with no specialization.

Table 3. Means and Standard Deviations of Height from the 10 first blockers of 8x8 m and 9x9 m.

			Height			
Specialization	Court	N	M	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Blockers	9x9	10	199.4	4.1	188	201
Blockers	8x8	10	193.4	4.1	191	206

Note. The independent t-test found significant difference (p<0.01) between the 10 first blockers in 8x8 m and 9x9 m.

DISCUSSION

As it is evident from the results, the change in court dimensions from 9×9 m to 8×8 m favored taller players who were specialized in blocking at top level FIVB Beach Volleyball. Because of the greater importance of blocking and the smaller need for agility in 8×8 courts, blockers who won the tournaments were taller than those in 9×9 courts and the difference was statistically significant.

It is also worth noting that since 2000 all teams that won tournaments had specialized players. This shows that top players realized that height in a blocker is necessary for success. The results in this survey concur with those reported in the paper from Palao et al. (2008) who considered a large number of players, which goes to show that teams have an overall tendency to include tall blockers. Nevertheless, in the present survey the winning blockers where taller by 0.9 centimeters. On the contrary, until 2000, there was a percentage of winning players (15%) who played without specialization. It must also be mentioned that four out of nine players without specialization in 9×9 courts played as defenders in 8×8 courts and won a tournament. Additionally, two of those players were at the top three in rankings by most wins. Also, the Gold medalists in the 1996 and 2000 Olympics had not any specialization.

No significant difference was found in players specializing in defense, even though defenders in 8×8 courts were found to be 1.9 cm taller. This means that defense skills related to agility and speed (Ahmann, 2005; Hare & Sanderson, 1997; Homberg & Papageorgiou, 1995; Kiraly & Shewman, 1999) were equally important for both court dimensions. A shorter player who can win points by attacking after receiving or digging is adequate for a top level team in 8x8 courts. Such a player is Brazilian Emanuel Rego (190 cm) who has win the most FIVB tournaments out of any player (Tili & Giatsis, 2011).

In their study, Palao et al. (2008) included a number of highly ranked players who played on 8×8 courts without specialization. The average height of those players was found to be equal to that of not specialized players on 9×9 courts. This means that, in theory, players who can block and move around fast can play in both specializations. Nevertheless, there has been no winning team after 2000 without specialized players. Indeed, only one athlete managed to win gold medals playing with different specializations and his regular one was a blocker.

In 9×9 courts, a team needed a blocker who could drop really fast and had the ability to defend. This is easily proven by player rankings with respect to victories. With the new status, in 8×8 courts a blocker will remain on the net nine out of ten times (Mosquita & Teixeira, 2004; Laios, 2008), which clearly outlines the skills required for such a player. The present study found that the height of the top ten winning blockers (about 20% of the total of winners in all court dimensions) in 8×8 courts was 6 centimeters greater than that of blockers in 9×9 courts. More notably, the average height of top blockers in 8×8 courts was 1.4 cm greater than the average height of all blockers.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, depending on player specialization, blockers were found to be taller than defenders in both court dimensions. Yet, blockers in 8×8 courts were found to be taller than those in 9×9 courts whereas no statistical significant difference was found in defender heights. Smaller court dimensions seem to have favored taller players at top level FIVB Beach Volleyball because of the greater importance of blocking and the smaller need for agility. The data at hand certifies the new somatometric requirements for blockers in Beach Volleyball.

REFERENCES

- 1. AHMANN J. Beach Volleyball tactics for winners. Neuer Sportverlag; 2005. [Back to text]
- 2. ARIAS J, ARGUDO F, ALONSO J. Review of rule modification in sport. Journal of Sports Science and Medicine. 2011; (10):1-8. [Full Text] [Back to text]
- 3. BISCIOTTI GN. Jumping biomechanics in indoor Volleyball and Beach Volleyball. Rivista di Cultura Sportiva. 2001; (20):29-34. [Back to text]
- BISHOP D. A comparison between land and sand-based tests for Beach Volleyball assessment. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2003; 43(4):418-23. [Abstract] [Back to text]
- 5. COUVILLON A. Sands of time. The history of Beach Volleyball. VO:1. Hermosa Beach: Information Guides; 2002. [Abstract] [Back to text]
- 6. COUVILLON A. Sands of time. The history of Beach Volleyball. VO:2. Hermosa Beach: Information Guides; 2003. [Back to text]
- 7. DAVIES S. Strength and power characteristics of elite South Africa Beach Volleyball players. Journal for Research in Sport, Physical Education and Recreation, 2002; 24:29-40. [Abstract] [Back to text]
- 8. FEDERATION INTERNATIONAL DE VOLLEYBALL. Official Beach Volleyball Rules. FIVB; 2001. [Full Text] [Back to text]
- 9. FUCHSLOCHER J, PRAZ M, FLACTION P, DÉRIAZ O, RUSSELL AP. Physiological characteristics of elite male and female Beach Volleyball players. 2004. [Full Text] [Back to text]
- 10. GIATSIS G, KOLLIAS I, PANOUTSAKOPOULOS V, PAPAIAKOVOU G. Biomechanical differences in elite Beach-Volleyball players in vertical squat jump on rigid and sand surface. Sports Biomechanics. 2004; 3:145-158. [Abstract] [Back to text]
- 11. GIATSIS G, PAPADOPOULOU SD, DIMITROV P, LIKESAS G. Comparison of Beach Volleyball team performance after the reduction in the court dimensions. International Journal of Volleyball Research. 2003; 6:2-5. [Back to text]
- 12. GIATSIS G, TZETZIS G. Comparison of performance for winning and losing Beach Volleyball teams on different court dimensions. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport. 2003; 3(1):65-74. [Full Text] [Back to text]
- 13. GIATSIS G, ZETOU E, TZETZIS G. The effect of rule changes for the scoring system on the duration of the Beach Volleyball game. J Hum Movement Stud. 2005; 48:15-23. [Full Text] [Back to text
- 14. GIATSIS G. The effect of changing the rules on score fluctuation and match duration in the FIVB women's Beach Volleyball. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport. 2003; 3(1):57-64. [Full Text] [Back to text]
- 15. GIATSIS G, ZETOU E. The influence of regulations changes on the fluctuation of score of Beach Volleyball games. Inquiries in Sport and Physical Education. 2003; 1:43-48. [Full Text] [Back to text

- 16. GRGANTOV Z, KATI R, MARELI N. Effect of new rules on the correlation between situation parameters and performance in Beach Volleyball. Coll. Antropol. 2005; 29(2):717-722. [Full Text] [Back to text]
- 17. HARE D, SANDERSON D. A guide to winning doubles Volleyball. Corona Del Mar: Volleyball International Publications; 1997. [Back to text]
- 18. HOMBERG S, PAPAGEORGIOU A. Handbook for Beach Volleyball. Aachen: Meyer and Mayer Verlag; 1995. [Abstract] [Back to text]
- 19. KIRALY K, SHEWMAN B. Beach Volleyball. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 1999. [Abstract] [Back to
- 20. KOCH C, TILP M. Analysis of Beach Volleyball action sequences of female top athletes. Journal of Human Sport & Exercise. 2009; 4(3):272-283. doi:10.4100/jhse.2009.43.09 [Back to text]
- 21. LAIOS Y. Comparison of the basic characteristics of men's and women's Beach volley from the Athens 2004 Olympics. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport. 2008; 8(3):130-137. [Abstract] [Back to text]
- 22. MESQUITA I, TEIXEIRA J. The spike, attacks zones and the opposing block in elite male Beach Volleyball. International Journal of Volleyball Research. 2004; 7(1):57-62. [Full Text] [Back to text]
- 23. MICHALOPOULOU M, PAPADIMITRIOU K, LIGNOS N, TAXILDARIS K, ANTONIOU P. Computer analysis of the technical and tactical effectiveness in Greek Beach Volleyball. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport. 2005; 5(1):41-50. [Abstract] [Back to text]
- 24. PALAO JM, GUTIÉRREZ D, FRIDERES JE. Height, weight, body mass index, and age in Beach Volleyball players in relation to level and position. The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness. 2008; 48(4):466-71. [Abstract] [Back to text]
- 25. RONGLAN LT, GRYDELAND J. The effects of changing the rules and reducing the court dimension on the relative strengths between game actions in top international Beach Volleyball. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport. 2006; 6(1):1-12. [Abstract] [Back to text]
- 26. TILI M, GIATSIS G, KOYLOUMENTA I. The FIVB women's Beach Volleyball winners. Thessaloniki: 13en International Congress of Physical Education & Sport; 2011. [Back to text]
- 27. ZETOU E, GIATSIS G, MOUNTAKI F, KOMNINAKIDOU A. Body weight changes and voluntary fluid intakes of Beach Volleyball players during an official tournament. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sports. 2007; 11:139-145. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2007.01.005 [Back to text]