Abstract
Between 2009 and 2011 the Inter-American Court of Human Rights issued two convictions to Mexico and Uruguay. these cases may be regarded as paradigmatic of the repressive legacy of the seventies and eighties. Although both States have taken different routes of their political regimes, the crimes may come to be considered similar. Political contexts in which it has been discussed the legacy of human rights violations have differences. In this article we look at aspects of the pathways leading to the main judgments and official reactions to those decisions, and explores the reasons for such behavior.
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