Abstract

The study of Guillén & Jiménez (2001) with a sample of referees of 21 different sports showed the existence of a necessary characteristics for the correct referees work. Between those aspects they emphasized aspects like “impartiality / justice”, “knowledge of the regulation”, “knowledge to apply the regulation”, “equality in the treatment to the sportsmen” and “honesty”. The aim of this study is to analyze this same question with referees coming from an only sport: the Judo. For it, an adaptation of the instrument used by these authors to the Judo was used. The instrument was administered to 73 referees of Judo (different categories), to 68 judokas and to 24 trainers. The results show that exist several differences between the sample of the referees of Judo and the sample of referees of diverse disciplines Guillén & Jiménez (2001). In addition, in spite of existing some coincidence in the importance granted to some characteristics, several differences exist between the people pertaining to each sport estate, and even within the referees group based on the category.
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