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ABSTRACT: In this contribution an up-to-date list of abelisauroid ceratosaursians and carcharodontosaurid allosaurians recognized in South America is presented. Abelisauroids and carcharodontosaurids in South America show rich species diversity and a wide range of temporal and geographical distribution. At least eight formally described species of Abelisauroidae are recognized in Argentina and only one in Brazil; in contrast, only one species of Carcharodontosauridae is known for all South America. The fossil record of abelisauroids and carcharodontosaurids in South America shows a dominance of abelisauroids in the upper late Cretaceous, while the dominance of carcharodontosaurids as large predators was during the Cenomanian-Turonian. Although knowledge of the evolution of Abelisauroidae and Carcharodontosauridae in South America, as well as in the rest of Gondwana is still far for being complete, intensive explorations in recent years have provided greater insight into the composition of theropod faunas in the Cretaceous of Gondwana.
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RESUMO: Na presente contribuição é apresentada uma lista atualizada dos ceratossáurios abelisauroídeos e dos alosarídeos carcarodontosaurídeos sul-americanos. Os abelisauróideos e carcarodontosaurídeos possuem uma grande diversidade específica e com uma grande distribuição temporal e geográfica. São reconhecidas oito espécies de Abelisauroidia na Argentina e somente uma no Brasil, em contraste, só é conhecida uma espécie de Carcharodontosauridae na América do Sul. O registro fóssil denota uma importância dos abelisauroídeos no Cretáceo mais tardio, contudo o predomínio dos carcharodontosaurídeos como os grandes predadores somente ocorreram durante o Cenomaniano-Turoniano. Apesar da escassez do conhecimento da evolução dos Abelisauroidia e Carcharodontosauridae na América do Sul como o resto do Gondwana, a intensiva exploração nos últimos anos tem produzido um grande incremento de dados e brindado...
com novas luzes para a melhor compreensão da composição faunística desses terópodes do Cretáceo do Gondwana.

Palavras-chave: Abelisauridae; Carcharodontosauridae; Cretáceo; América do Sul.

1 – INTRODUCTION

The abelisaurid ceratosaurians and carcharodontosaurid allosaurians are the best-known large predatory theropod dinosaurs of the Cretaceous of Gondwana. Abelisaurids have been recognized in several localities of Argentina (BONAPARTE, 1991A, 1996; BONAPARTE; NOVAS, 1985; BONAPARTE; POWELL, 1980; BONAPARTE; NOVAS; CORIA, 1990; CORIA; CHIAPE; DINGUS, 2002; LAMANNA; MARTINEZ; SMITH, 2002; MARTINEZ ET AL. 1986; RAUHUT ET AL. 2003), Brazil (BERTINI, 1996; BITTENCOURT; KELLNER, 2002; CANDEIRO ET. 2004A,B; KELLNER; CAMPOS, 2002;), India (CHATTERJEE, 1978; HUENE; MATLEY, 1933; WILSON ET AL. 2003; NOVAS; AGNOLIN; BANDYOPADHYAY, 2004), and Madagascar (LA- VOCAT, 1955; CARRANO; SAMPSON; FORSTER, 2002; SAMPSON; CARRANO; FORSTER, 2001; SAMPSON ET AL. 1998;). Beside Gondwana regions, abelisaurids are also documented in France (BUFFETAUT ET AL. 1988) and Spain (ASTIBIA ET AL. 1990).

The record of carcharodontosaurids is less abundant and mainly restricted to Argentina (CO- RIA; SALGADO, 2000; VICKERS-RICH ET AL. 1999) and Continental Africa (Morocco; SERENO ET AL. 1996; STROMER, 1915); nevertheless, a few isolated tooth remains were also collected in the upper Cretaceous beds of Brazil (CANDEIRO ET AL., 2002, 2004A,B).

The fossil record of abelisaurids and carcharodontosaurids in South America shows rich species diversity and a wide range of temporal and geographical distribution. Thus, remains attributed to both groups were unearthed with increasing frequency in recent decades.

2 – OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY

In this contribution, we present an up-to-date list of Abelisauridae and Carcharodontosau- ridae taxa recognized in the Cretaceous of South America. Furthermore, we evaluate the paleogeographical and chronostratigraphical distribution of both groups in South America during the Cretaceous as the result of Gondwanan plate tectonics.

The data on abelisaurid and carcharodontosaurid species for the present review are mostly based in literature sources as well as in the direct observation of the specimens. The term Abelisauridea is used sensu Bonaparte (1991b), Novas (1992), Carrano, Sampson, Forster (2002), and Wilson et al. (2003), as the clade of ceratosaurians that includes Abelisauridae plus Noasauridae. The term Carcharodontosauridae follows the definition of Coria and Currie (2003) as a family of Allosauridae that comprises all descendants of the most recent common ancestor of Giganotosaurus and Carcharodontosaurus.

For the stratigraphic units in the Neuquén Basin we follow the arrangement proposed by Leanza (1999), and Leanza and Hugo (2001). For other stratigraphic units we follow: Southern Basins, Page et al. (1999); Salta Basin, Salfity and Marquilla (1999); San Luis Basin, Medeiros and Schultz (2002), and Bauru Basin, Fernandes and Coimbra (1996).
3 – PALEOGEOGRAPHY AND UPPER CRETACEOUS TECTONIC EVENTS: A BRIEF REVIEW

During most of the Mesozoic the continental masses were principally arranged in two separated continents: Laurasia in the north and Gondwana in the south (BONAPARTE, 1986; FORSTER, 1999; HAY ET AL., 1999; PITMAN ET AL., 1993). By the end of the Mesozoic these masses started to separate into their modern conformation.

The separation of Gondwana started in the late Jurassic as a product of rifting along the present South America and Africa borders. This separation continued, by the Cretaceous times the South Atlantic Ocean started to form, and Gondwana split into the Eastern and Western landmasses (PITMAN ET AL., 1993). Eastern Gondwana comprised of Africa, India-Madagascar; whereas Western Gondwana included South America, Antarctica, and Oceania. By the late Cretaceous India separated from Madagascar and started the northwest movements that lead to a collision with Eurasia. Western Gondwanan landmasses were still connected by the beginning of the Tertiary (HAY ET AL., 1999; PASCUAL; ORTIZ JAUREGUIZAR; PRADO, 1996; SAMPSON ET AL. 1998).

Two main paleogeographic features allowed abelisaurids and carcharodontosaurids to attain a widespread distribution during Cretaceous times. These were the “Gondwanan Bridge” that linked India-Madagascar and the South America-Oceania platforms, and the “North bridge,” connecting north Africa and Europe (e.g. HAY ET AL. 1999). In addition, South America and North America were sporadically connected through Central America at the end of the Cretaceous (BONAPARTE, 1986; BONAPARTE ET AL. 1984). Thus, these transient geographic connections between West Gondwana and other landmasses during the late Cretaceous permitted faunal interchanges that directly influenced the faunal composition of the terrestrial ecosystems (BONAPARTE, 1991B; SAMPSON ET AL. 1998).

Finally, it is worth mentioning that during the late Cretaceous South American areas shared the same paleogeographical history; however during the Maastrichtian the southern-most part of South America was affected by the Maastrichtian-Danian marine transgression, which separated the Argentinean and Brazilian basins (Salta and Neuquén Basins in Argentina and Bauru Basin in Brazil) (PASCUAL; ORTIZ JAUREGUIZAR; PRADO, 1996; ZAMBRANO, 1987). This disconnection could have caused same faunal differentiation between the northern and southern parts of South America.

4 – ABELISAUROIDEA AND CARCHARODONTOSAURIDAE BACKGROUND

 Abelisauroidea is a ceratosaurian clade that includes small to large-sized predators that evolved during the Cretaceous in Gondwanan landmasses. The group is composed of two families, Abelisauridae and Noasauridae, which are widely distributed in both temporal and geographical rank. Abelisauridae was created by Bonaparte and Novas (1985) to accommodate *Abelisaurus conahuensis*. After this first discovery, knowledge of the group was increased considerably by new discoveries, and by the reinterpretation of fragmentary and isolated remains; which permitted the recognition of an important radiation on Gondwanan landmasses during the Cretaceous including several adaptive morphotypes (BONAPARTE, 1991B).

Noasauridae was erected by Bonaparte and Powell (1980) to include a single species, *Noasaurus leali* from Lecho Formation (Salta Province). Subsequently this family was related to abelisaurids (BONAPARTE, 1991B; BONAPARTE; NOVAS; CORIA, 1990).

Beside South America (Table 1), abelisaurid species were also recognized in India: *Indosuchus raptiorius* Huene and Matley, *Indosaurus matleyi* Huene (BONAPARTE, 1986, 1991B; BONAPARTE; NOVAS; CORIA, 1990; CHATTERJEE,
1978; CHATTERJEE; RUDRA, 1996; NOVAS; AGNOLIN; BANDYOPADHYAY, 2004), Lametasaurus indicus Matley (WILSON ET AL. 2003), and Rajasaurus narmadensis Wilson, Sereno, Srivastava, Bhatt, Khosla, and Sahni (WILSON ET AL. 2003); Madagascar: Majungatholus atopus Lavocat (SAMPSON ET AL. 1998); Niger: Rugopus primus and Spinostrpeus gautieri Sereno, Wilson, Conrad (SERENO. WILSON; CONRAD, 2004); Sereno, Duthiel, Laroche, Larsson, Lyon, Magwene, Sidor, Varicchio and Wilson: Deltadromeus agilis (SERENO ET AL. 1996); and France: Tarascosaurus sallavinus Le Loeuff and Buffetaut (LE LOEFF; BUFFETAUT, 1990). Noasaurids discovered in India include Laevisuchus indicus Huene (CARRANO; SAMPSON; FORSTER, 2002; NOVAS; AGNOLIN; BANDYOPADHYAY, 2004); and from Madagascar Masiakasaurus knopfleii Sampson, Carrano and Forster (CARRANO; SAMPSON; FORSTER, 2002; SAMPSON; CARRANO; FORSTER, 2001).

Other non-South American abelisaurids of uncertain affinities are Jubulpuria tenius Huene and Matley, Ornithomimoides mobilis Huene and Matley, O.? barasimlensis Huene and Matley, Coeluroidea largus Huene and Matley, and Dryptosauridae grandis Huene and Matley, from India — nomen nudum (NOVAS;AGNOLIN; BANDYOPADHYAY, 2004); Elaphrosaurus bambergi Janensch from Tanzania (CARRANO; SAMPSON; FORSTER, 2002; WILSON ET AL. 2003); and Genusaurus sisteronis Accetta, Beaudoin, Dejarnat-Friès, Michard and Taquet from France (ACCARIE ET AL. 1995).

Carcharodontosauridae is a family of allosaurid theropods, which is composed of the largest theropod species (CORIA; SALGADO, 2000; Table 2). The first recognized member of this family was Carcharodontosaurus saharicus Stomer from Continental Africa (Algeria, Niger, Tunisia, Sudan and Morocco; SERENO ET AL. 1996; STROMER, 1915; 1931); then later followed Acrocanthosaurus atokensis Stoval and Langston from United States (HOLTZ, 2000; SERENO ET AL., 1996), and Giganotosaurus carolinii Coria and Salgado from Argentina (CORIA; SALGADO, 1995; SERENO ET AL. 1996) were included. Most recent research supports the hypothesis that the Carcharodontosauridae are allosaurians; nevertheless, it is noteworthy that Novas (1997) found several derived features shared between carcharodontosaurids and abelisaurids suggesting a close phylogenetic affinity with the latter.

5 – ABELISAUROIDEA AND CARCHARODONTOSAURIDAE SPECIES

The following is a brief, annotated list of abelisaurid and carcharodontosaurid taxa from the Late Cretaceous of South America (Tables 1 and 2). The Argentinean and Brazilian species and records are in chronological order (from early to late forms).

5.1 – Abelisauroidae

5.1.1 – Argentina

Ligabueino andensis Bonaparte, 1996. Ligabueino andensis comes from the La Amarga Formation (Hauterivian-Barremian), La Amarga locality, southern Neuquén Province (BONAPARTE, 1996). Ligabueino is a very small theropod that is based on; a cervical and two dorsal vertebral neural arches, right ilium, two incomplete pubis, a left femur, and two pedal phalanges. This species is considered to be a basal abelisaurid (BONAPARTE, 1996) with possible noasaurid affinities (CARRANO; SAMPSON; FORSTER, 2002).

Ilokelesia aguadagrandensis Coria and Salgado, 2000. Ilokelesia aguadagrandensis is from the Huincul Formation (Cenomanian-Turonian; Río Limay Subgroup) in the Aguada Grande locality, eastern Neuquén Province. Ilokelesia is based on some skull bones, two cervical, one dorsal, and five articulated vertebrae, fragmentary ribs, some haemal arches, and some pedal phalanges (CORIA; SALGADO, 2000). This species is considered to be a
Abelisaurinae and carcharodontosauridae (theropoda, dinosauria) in the cretaceous of south america. Paleogeographical and geochronological implications

Carlos Roberto dos Anjos Candeiro, Agustín Guillermo Martinelli

basal abelisaurid (CORIA; SALGADO, 2000).

**Xenotarsosaurus bonapartei** Martínez, Giménes, Rodriguez, and Bochatey, 1986. *Xenotarsosaurus bonapartei* comes from the Bajo Barreal Formation (middle Cenomanian-late Turonian) in the Sarmiento Department, in the southern part of the Chubut Province. *Xenotarsosaurus* is a medium-sized abelisaurid based on two incomplete cervico-dorsal vertebrae, a right femur, tibia and fibula, and a fused astragalus-calcaneous (MARTÍNEZ ET AL. 1986).

**Velocisaurus unicus** Bonaparte, 1991a. *Velocisaurus unicus* comes from the Bajo de la Carpa Formation (Santonian; Río Colorado Subgroup) of Neuquén city, Neuquén Province. This species is a small theropod, which is only known from the right tibia and almost complete right foot. *Velocisaurus* was tentatively interpreted as a Ceratosauria (BONAPARTE, 1991A); in addition some authors have found a few features in common with noasaurids (CARRANO; SAMPSON; FORSTER, 2002). Nevertheless, new materials should confirm the taxonomic status of this taxon.

**Abelisaurus comahuensis** Bonaparte and Novas, 1985. *Abelisaurus comahuensis* comes from the Anacleto Formation (Santonian-Early Campanian; Río Colorado Subgroup; HEREDIA; SALGADO, 1999) in the Río Negro Province. *Abelisaurus* is a medium to large (6 to 7 m long) theropod that is only known from a partial skull of about 0.85 meters (Figs. 1 and 2). Since the discovery of this species, the diversity and phylogenetic relationships of abelisaurids have begun to be more understood, it has also provided new insights on the biogeographic distribution of this gondwanic lineage of theropods (BONAPARTE, 1986, 1991B).

**Aucasaurus garrodi** Coria, Chiappe, and Dingus, 2002. *Aucasaurus garrodi* was recently described from an almost complete skeleton from the Anacleto Formation (early Campanian; Río Colorado Subgroup) from Auca Mahuevo, eastern Neuquén Province (CORIA; CHIAPPE; DINGUS, 2002). *Aucasaurus* was unearthed from the same mudstone and siltstone strata that has produced several remains of fossilized nests, eggs, and embryos of still undetermined but possible titanosaur neosauropods (CHIAPPE; CORIA, 2000). *Aucasaurus* and *Carnotaurus* (see below) were nested together in the Tribe Carnotaurini as the most derived members of the family Abelisauridae (CORIA; CHIAPPE; DINGUS, 2002).

**Carnotaurus sastrei** Bonaparte, 1985. *Carnotaurus sastrei* from La Colonia Formation (Maastrichtian) of Chubut Province is, without a doubt, the best-known abelisaurid. The skeleton is almost complete (with exception of the distal portion of the tibiae, both fibulae, the hind feet, and the medial and distal end of the tail), and exceptionally well preserved including the skin impressions (BONAPARTE; NOVAS; CORIA, 1990). After the original taxonomic designation of *Carnotaurus* (BONAPARTE, 1985), a complete description of the whole skeleton was performed by Bonaparte, Novas, Coria (1990); revealing a lightly-built theropod about 7 meters long, with the skull high and short bearing two prominent frontal horns (Fig. 3).

**Noasaurus leali** Bonaparte and Powell, 1980. *Noasaurus leali* comes from the Lecho Formation (Maastrichtian), southern Salta Province. Noasaurus is a small theropod based on; a complete left maxilla, a right quadrate, a cervical neural arch, a dorsal vertebral body, cervical ribs, the right metatarsal II, a pedal phalanx, and an ungual phalanx of the foot. Because of derived features found in the maxilla and in the cervical neural arch, Bonaparte and Powell (1980) erected the family Noasauridae, which previously was regarded as part of the gondwanan radiation of abelisaurids (BONAPARTE, 1991B; NOVAS, 1997). In addition, closely related forms to *Noasaurus* were reported from the Maastrichtian of Madagascar (CARRANO; SAMPSON; FORSTER, 2002; SAMPSON; CARRANO; FORSTER, 2001).

**Other abelisaurid remains.** In the Cerro Barcino Formation (Hauterivian-Barremian) at Cerro Chivo,
northern Chubut Province, two isolated caudal vertebrae of a medium sized abelisaurid were reported (RAUHUT ET AL. 2003). Furthermore, an isolated but nearly complete left maxilla with some teeth was discovered in the Bajo Barreal Formation (middle Cenomanian-late Turonian) in the Sierra de San Bernardo, Chubut Province, and subsequently was assigned to the subfamily Carnotaurinae (LAMANNA; MARTINEZ; SMITH, 2002).

5.1.2 – Brazil

_Pycnonemosaurus nevesi_ Kellner and Campos, 2002. _Pycnonemosaurus nevesi_ comes from the Adamantina Formation (Turonian-Santonian) of the Cambebe area, Mato Grosso State, and represents the first Brazilian abelisaurid formally described (KELLNER; CAMPOS, 2002). This species is based on five teeth (BITTENCOURT; KELLNER, 2002), parts of seven caudal vertebrae, fragments of ribs, and an incomplete right tibia and fibula. _Pycnonemosaurus_ is a medium to large-sized theropod of approximately 6 to 7 meters in length, regarded as an abelisaurid because it share several derived features with other well known member of the group, such as _Ilokelesia, Carnotaurus_, and _Aucasaurus_ (KELLNER; CAMPOS, 2002).

**Abelisaurid remains.** The first abelisaurid from Brazil was discovered by Bertini (1996). This material consists of a partial premaxilla with a tooth. The specimen was discovered in Adamantina Formation sediments (Bauru Group, Turonian-Santonian) in São Paulo (Santo Anastácio area) and Minas Gerais (Prata area) States. Furthermore, Candeiro et al. (2002) and Candeiro et al. (2002, 2004a,b) reported abelisaurid teeth from the states of Minas Gerais (Peirópolis area) and São Paulo (Alfredo Maronderes, Flórida Paulista, Santo Anastácio) in the Adamantina and Marilia Formations (Late Maastrichtian) of the Bauru Group. These remains are very fragmentary, making an accurate identification difficult.

---

Figure 1 – Skulls of Abelisauridae. A, _Abelisaurus conahuensis_ Bonaparte and Novas (modified from BONAPARTE AND NOVAS, 1985); B, _Carnotaurus sastrei_ Bonaparte (modified from BONAPARTE, NOVAS, CORIA, 1990). Scale bar represents 10 cm.
Table 1 – Abelisauroidea records from South America.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abelisauroidea</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stratigraphy</th>
<th>Reference Selected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ligabueinoides andensis</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>La Amarga, Barremian</td>
<td>Bonaparte (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llokelesia aquadagrandaensis</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Huincul Fm., Cenomanian-Turonian</td>
<td>Coria and Salgado (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenontarsosaurus bonapartei</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Bajo Barreal Fm., middle</td>
<td>Martinez et al. (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cenomanian-Late Turonian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocisaurus unicus</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Bajo de La Carpa, Turonian</td>
<td>Bonaparte (1991a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pycenomosaurus nevīs</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Adamantina Fm., Turonian-Santonian</td>
<td>Campos and Kellner (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abelisaurus comahuensis</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Anacleto Fm., Santonian-Early Campanian</td>
<td>Bonaparte (1991b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aucasaurus garoidi</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>La Colonia Fm., Maastrichtian</td>
<td>Bonaparte, Novas and Coria (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnotaurus sastrei</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Lecho Fm., Maastrichtian</td>
<td>Carrano, Sampson, Forster (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noasaurus leali</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Bajo Barreal Fm., middle</td>
<td>Lamanna, Martinez and Smith (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cenomanian-Late Turonian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abelisauridae</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Cerro Barcino Fm., Hauterivian-Barremian</td>
<td>Rauhut et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abelisauridae</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Adamantina Fm., Turonian-Santonian</td>
<td>Candeiro et al. (2004a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marília Fm., Late Maastrichtian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 – CARCHARODONTOSAURIDAE

5.2.1 – Argentina

Giganotosaurus carolinii Coria and Salgado, 1995. Giganotosaurus carolinii comes from the Candeleseros Formation (Cenomanian, Río Limay Subgroup) of the El Chocón locality, Neuquén Province. This species is considered to be the largest theropod in the world with a skull length of about 1.7 meters (CALVO; CORIA, 2000; CORIA; SALGADO, 1995) (Figs. 3 and 4). Giganotosaurus is based on approximately 70% of the skeleton, including several skull bones, teeth, and most of the postcranal elements (CORIA; CURRIE, 2003; CORIA; SALGADO, 1995). In addition, isolated teeth and a fragment of a left dentary from the same stratigraphic horizons of the holotype of Giganotosaurus were described and assigned to the genus (CALVO, 1999; DE VALAIS; APESTEGUIA, 2001).

Quilmesaurus curriei Coria, 2001. Quilmesaurus curriei comes from the Allen Formation (Campion-Maastrichtian) of the Salitral Ojo de Agua locality, Río Negro Province. This species consists of only a distal portion of a right femur and a complete right tibia. Quilmesaurus was originally regarded as a non-theropod theropod possibly related to Giganotosaurus (CORIA, 2001). Later, Quilmesaurus was considered an abelisaurid (Kellner and Campos, 2002), but recently Juárez Valiéri, Fiorelli and Cruz (2004) regarded it as nomen dubium due to the lack of derived features needed to construct a robust taxon.

Carcharodontosaurid remains. Isolated teeth and skeletal remains are recognized from the Cerro Barcino (Hauterivian-Barremian; Chubut Province; NOVAS; DE VALAIS, 2001; VICKERS-RICH ET AL. 1999;), Mata Amarilla (Turonian; Chubut Province; NOVAS ET AL. 1999), Anacleto (Santonian; Neuquén Province; ALC Ober ET AL. 1998), Los Alamitos (Late Campanian; Río Negro Province; APESTEGUIA ET AL., in press,), and Allen (Campanian-Maastrichtian; Río Negro Province; MARTINELLI; FORASIEPI, in press) Formations.
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5.2.2 – Brazil

**Carcharodontosaurid remains.** Recently carcharodontosaurid remains were reported in the Adamantina (Turonian-Santonian, Bauru Group; CANDEIRO ET AL. 2002, 2004A), and Marilia Formations (Maastrichtian, Bauru Group; CANDEIRO, 2002; CANDEIRO ET AL., 2004B). Many carcharodontosaurid teeth have been found in the Cenomanian-Turonian beds of Maranhão State in recent years. Medeiros and Shultz (2002) attributed these teeth coming from the São Luis Basin (VILAS-BOAS ET AL., 1999) as being of *Carcharodontosaurus saharicus*; nevertheless, we prefer to consider as carcharodontosaurid indet. due to the lack of diagnostic features.

Figure 3 – Reconstruction of the skeleton of the carcharodontosaurid *Giganotosaurus carolinii* Coria and Salgado performed by the paleoartist Jorge Blanco. Estimated length is of about 14 meters.
Table 2 – Carcharodontosauridae records from South America

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carcharodontosauridae</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stratigraphy</th>
<th>ReferenceSelected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Giganotosaurus carolinii</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Candeleros Fm., Cenomanian</td>
<td>Coria and Currie (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Quilmesaurus currie</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Allen Fm., Campanian-Maastrichtian</td>
<td>Coria (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcharodontosauridae</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Cerro Barcino Fm., Hauterician-Barremian; Mata Amarilla Fm., Turonian; Anaclet Fm., Santonian-Early Campanian; Allen Fm., Campanian-Maastrichtian; Los Alamitos Fm, Campanian</td>
<td>Martinelli and Forasiepi (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcharodontosauridae</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>São Luis Basin, Albian-Cenomanian; Adamantina Fm., Turonian-Santonian; Marília Fm., Late Maastrichtian</td>
<td>Medeiros and Schultz (2002), Candeiro et al. (2004a,b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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6 – DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The paleogeographical distribution of Abelisauridae and Carcharodontosauridae remains is the product of the long, isolated evolution of both groups on Gondwanan landmasses (BONAPARTE, 1986).

The early occurrence of Abelisauridae comes from the Hauterivian-Barremian Cerro Barcino Formation (Chubut Province; RAUHUT ET AL. 2003); suggesting that the abelisaurids split into the two recognized families (Abelisauridae and Noasauridae) at least in the early Lower Cretaceous. From these times, *Ligabueinomandens* is also known from the La Amarga Formation (Neuquén Province; BONAPARTE, 1996), and is regarded as a basal abelisaurid (BONAPARTE, 1996; CARRANO; SAMPSON; FORSTER, 2002); however further studies and materials should clarify its affinities within this group. Both Neuquén and Chubut discoveries represent the oldest Cretaceous records of theropods in South America (BONAPARTE, 1996; RAUHUT ET AL. 2003).

In the early Lower Cretaceous, carcharodontosaur remains are also found at Cerro Barcino (Chubut Province, NOVAS; DE VALAIS, 2001; VICKERS-RICH ET AL., 1999;) indicating that both groups of large theropods (abelisaurids and carcharodontosaurids) appeared together in the fossil record as two distinctive gondwanic clades. During the Cenomanian-Turonian, the occurrence of carcharodontosaurids is high in South America (CORIA; SALGADO, 1995; DE VALAIS; APESTEGÜÍA, 2001; NOVAS ET AL. 1999) as well as in Continental Africa (e.g. Sereno et al., 1996), and comprises the largest theropod forms. Some authors postulated that carcharodontosaurs became extinct in the Turonian (APESTEGÜÍA, 2002); nevertheless, some isolated teeth and postcranial remains suggest the presence of a member of this family in the Santonian Anacleto Formation (Neuquén Province; ALCEROBER ET AL., 1998), Late Campanian Los Alamitos (Río Negro Province; Apesteguía et al. in press), Campanian-Maastrichtian Allen Formation (Río Negro Province; MARTINELLI; FORASIEPI, in press), Turonian-Santonian Adamantina Formation (Brazil; CANDEIRO ET AL. 2002), and in the Maastrichtian Marília Formation (Brazil; CANDEIRO, 2002; CANDEIRO ET AL., 2003, 2004B). Therefore, if the taxonomic identification of these records is correct and the features used for recognizing carcharodontosaur af finities are unequivocal (e.g. wrinkles in the crown tooth), the group persisted into the latest Upper Cretaceous. Moreover, it is worthy to mention that presumable Campanian-Maastrichtian carcharodontosaurids are small to medium-sized, contrasting with older taxa characterized by their large size.

When the carcharodontosaur record is more abundant (in the Cenomanian-Turonian), medium to large size abelisaurids are also known (CORIA AND SALGADO, 2000; MARTÍNEZ ET AL., 1986). The most derived abelisaurids appear well documented in the Late Cretaceous with extremely specialized forms such as *Carnotaurus sastroi* (BONAPARTE; NOVAS; CORIA, 1990). Carnotaurins are known in the Santonian-Campanian (CORIA; CHIAPPE; DINGUS, 2002) and in the Maastrichtian (BONAPARTE; NOVAS; CORIA, 1990; PASCUAL ET AL. 2000); nevertheless, Lamanna, Martinez and Smith (2002) described a carnosaur maxilla from the Bajo Barreal Formation (late Cenomanian-early Turonian) and suggested that the origin of this subfamily took place before the middle Cretaceous. We believe that more material is required to confirm this suggestion.

Noasauridae is still poorly known in South America but its importance as a derived, endemic group of theropods in Gondwana is remarkable (BONAPARTE, 1991B). Moreover, a new taxon from the Maastrichian of Madagascar provides a wide knowledge of this bizarre family (CARRANO; SAMPSON; FORSTER, 2002; SAMPSON; CARRANO; FORSTER, 2001).

In summary, the fossil record of abelisaurids and carcharodontosaurids in South America shows a dominance of abelisaurids in the upper Late Cretaceous, while the dominance of large,
carcharodontosaurid predators was during the Cenomanian-Turonian. At least eight formally described species of Abelisuroidea are recognized in Argentina and only one in Brazil. In contrast, only one species of Carcharodontosauridae is known for all South America.

Although the knowledge of the evolution of Abelisuroidea and Carcharodontosauridae in South America, as well as in the rest of Gondwana, is still far for being complete, intensive explorations in recent years have provided greater insight into the composition of theropod faunas in the Cretaceous of Gondwana.
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