Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências
ISSN: 0001-3765
aabc@abc.org.br
Academia Brasileira de Ciências
Brasil

SALOMÃO, SOLANGE R.; MITSUHIRO, MÁRCIA R.K.H.; BELFORT Jr., RUBENS
Visual impairment and blindness: an overview of prevalence and causes in Brazil
Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências, vol. 81, núm. 3, septiembre, 2009, pp. 539-549
Academia Brasileira de Ciências
Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências (2009) 81(3): 539-549
(Annals of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences)
ISSN 0001-3765
www.scielo.br/aabc

Visual impairment and blindness: an overview of prevalence and causes in Brazil

SOLANGE R. SALOMÃO, MÁRCIA R.K.H. MITSUHIRO and RUBENS BELFORT Jr.

Instituto da Visão, Departamento de Oftalmologia, Escola Paulista de Medicina, Universidade Federal de São Paulo/UNIFESP
Rua Botucatu, 822, 04023-062 São Paulo, SP, Brasil

Manuscript received on August 31, 2008; accepted for publication on April 27, 2009;
contributed by RUBENS BELFORT JR.*

ABSTRACT

Our purpose is to provide a summary overview of blindness and visual impairment on the context of recent Brazili ocular epidemiologic studies. Synthesis of data from two cross-sectional population-based studies – the São Pau Eye Study and the Refractive Error in School Children Study is presented. 3678 older adults and 2441 school childr were examined between July 2004 and December 2005. Prevalence of blindness in older adults using presenting visu acuity was 1.51% decreasing to 1.07% with refractive correction. The most common causes of blindness in older adu were retinal disorders, followed by cataract and glaucoma. In school children, the prevalence of uncorrected visu impairment was 4.82% decreasing to 0.41% with refractive correction. The most common cause of visual impairme in school children was uncorrected refractive error. Visual impairment and blindness in Brazil is an important pub health problem. It is a significant problem in older Brazilians, reinforcing the need to implement prevention blindness programs for elderly people with emphasis on those without schooling. In school-children cost-effecti strategies are needed to address a readily treatable cause of vision impairment – prescription and provision of glasse

Key words: blindness, visual impairment, prevalence, population-based, ocular epidemiology.

INTRODUCTION

Visual impairment and blindness have a significant impact on the socioeconomic development of individuals and societies. Their consequences are an important public health issue with greater impact in the developing countries, where 80% of the world blindness occurs (Congdon et al. 2003). Visual impairment, which may be defined as blindness (best-corrected vision of $< 20/400$ in the better vision eye, by the World Health Organization [WHO]) or low vision (best-corrected vision $< 20/60$ in the better vision eye according to WHO), is one of the most common disabilities: currently, there are worldwide an estimated 37 million people with ness and 124 million people with low vision (Re et al. 2004). Most of them have lost their sight eases that are treatable or preventable (Pizzar al. 2004).

The number of individuals with blindness reach 76 million by 2020. The most significant is the decline in both mortality and fertility rate tributing to a rapid aging of populations in mos tries (West and Sommer 2001). More than 82% blind persons are 50 years of age or older. Giv jected demographic changes and population g the incidence of chronic, non-communicable d affecting eyesight is expected to increase (Re

In commemoration of the 75th anniversary of

To reduce this estimated doubling of blind cases, a lot of effort will be required in the future. In response to this global need, the WHO launched in 1999 the Vision 2020: The Right to Sight, an initiative in partnership with non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and eye care organizations (Pararajasegaram 1999). Vision 2020 aims to eliminate avoidable blindness in the world by 2020 and targets the world's leading causes of avoidable visual impairment (Pizzarello et al. 2004). Analysis of global epidemiological data on the pattern of blindness indicates that up to 75% of the cases are avoidable. Avoidable blindness is defined as blindness which could be either treated or prevented by known, cost-effective means. Among the main causes of avoidable blindness are cataract, refractive errors (myopia, hyperopia and astigmatism), glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy and age-related macular degeneration.

Prevention of avoidable visual impairment leads to substantial long-term savings in health-care and social expenditures, in proportion to the number of individuals who no longer need medical or social assistance. Savings also accrue from the significantly reduced commitment made by family members caring for a visually impaired person. There is a direct link between the social and economic deprivation experienced by visually impaired individuals (specifically those in lower-income countries) and their ability to seek and obtain medical care. The resulting downward socioeconomic spiral can be reversed through widely available, appropriate, cost-effective preventive and curative interventions (WHO 2006).

Since the prevention of blindness and visual impairment is a high priority topic in public health, there is a continuing need for population-based studies to provide an up-to-date characterization of the magnitude and nature of the blindness problem. Societal changes and medical advances in the last decades have resulted in corresponding changes in the burden of blindness and visual impairment. Progressive urbanization, longer life expectancy, and behavioral changes in many parts of the world have contributed to an increase of newly emergent blindness causes, such as diabetic retinopathy and age-visual impairment and blindness are crucial for the establishment of local programs and supra-national, continental and world prevention strategies. This information is of critical importance for both scientists and international agencies working in the field.

Brazil is an example of a country which experienced important social changes in the last decades of the 20th century, with massive urbanization and the implementation of modern medical care radically shifting the public health landscape. Several medical areas had beneficial impact, among them successful programs for HIV infection prevention and a new initiative to improve access to modern cataract surgery.

Due to initiatives to improve access to modern cataract surgery implemented by health authorities in the late 90's, Brazil has built a reputation of positive trend in eye care delivery services into the international prevention of blindness community. This fact, in addition to the scarcity of reliable epidemiological data, had motivated WHO to choose Brazil as a target country to perform an extensive population-based eye survey. The objective of this ambitious project was to investigate prevalence and causes of visual impairment and blindness in two specific populations: older adults and school-age children.

This article aims to review current knowledge for the main causes of visual loss, with a focus on recent population-based studies performed in a low-middle income region of São Paulo city in older adults and school-age children.

Definition of Blindness and Visual Impairment

Blindness is defined either in terms of best-corrected distance visual acuity (the most appropriate refractive correction) or presenting distance visual acuity (the individual's current refractive correction) in the better eye. Also of importance in the definition of blindness is the level of visual acuity that is applied. Visual acuity levels of $< 20/400$ or $< 20/200$ in the better eye have been commonly used to define blindness. Visual impairment is defined as visual acuity of $20/60$ or less in the better acuity eye (Dandona and Dandona 2006).

more developed countries have often used visual acuity less than 20/200 to define blindness (Congdon et al. 2004, Laitinen et al. 2005, Taylor et al. 2005). In modern times, the importance of visual tasks, such as driving and reading, means that vision loss should be classified in several visual categories for a thorough description of visual impairment spectrum. In a recent prevalence survey in elderly urban population in Brazil, following previous epidemiological surveys in developing countries, several visual impairment categories were studied (Araújo-Filho et al. 2008).

Prevalence and Causes of Visual Impairment and Blindness

The prevalence of blindness is defined as the number of affected individuals per hundred population in a given period of time. It can vary considerably among countries depending on socio-economic factors and available health and eye care services. A prevalence of 0.25 in the general population is expected in communities with good economy and health services, rising to 1.0 or more in communities with very poor economy and health services. The difference in prevalence is mainly because blindness from cataract, refractive errors, and corneal scarring (trachoma, corneal infections, and vitamin A deficiency) has been eliminated in communities with good economy and eye services. The problem of cataract blindness in the industrialized world has been largely solved through the availability of affordable, high-quality surgery with intraocular lens (IOL) implantation, resulting in cataract-care delivery that equals or is greater than the incidence of visually disabling cataract (Apple et al. 2000).

The South American section of Vision 2020 initiative has determined cataract, childhood blindness, refractive error and diabetic retinopathy as target diseases for the region. The objective is to improve cataract surgical rates, detection and treatment of retinopathy of prematurity and low vision and refractive services (Pizzarello et al. 2004).

wide. Recent estimates suggest that 18 million
(48% of all cases) are blind from cataract (Resn
al. 2004). The prevalence of cataract also increas
age in developing countries (Brian and Taylor
Many risk factors are associated with the onset
progression of cataract: metabolic diseases (dia
chronic UV-B light exposure, medications (ste
smoking and genetics (West 2007). Since cataract
removed by with an easy, safe and cost-effective s
procedure, this condition is a potentially curabl
of blindness. The challenge to deal with this
cause of blindness is to provide available high-v
low-cost, safe and high-quality surgery with affo
intra-ocular lenses in appropriate eye health care

A compilation of previous studies of adult
years of age and older in Latin America focu
evaluating blindness due to cataract using a sim
ophthalmic exam described in the Rapid Assessm
Cataract Surgical Services (RACSS) protocol has
fied cataract as a main cause of blindness, rangin
41% in urban Brazil to 87% in rural Peru (Lim
al. 2008).

Data from population-based studies on surgi
low-up are essential for the assessment of the pro
quality and its final visual acuity outcomes. Eva
of postoperative results should consider good p
ing visual acuity, low rate of complications an
quality of life related to vision, so that activities
driving, reading, sewing, interpersonal interactio
computer skills can be restored.

Prevention of cataract blindness can be purs
avoidance of known risk factors, as well as edu
programs to encourage those at risk of blindness
tain comprehensive ophthalmologic examinatio
regular basis. Other cultural aspects have also to
dressed. Acceptance of impaired sight as an ine
consequence of old age, fear of the operation,
with individuals who have had bad experiences,
encouragement from the family, lack of knowled
cerning where surgery is provided, distance from
vice, lack of a person to accompany the patient to t

Refractive error

Refractive errors (myopia, hyperopia and astigmatism) affect a large proportion of the population worldwide, irrespective of age, sex and ethnic group. They can be easily diagnosed, measured and corrected with spectacles or other refractive corrections to attain normal vision. If, however, they are not corrected or the correction is inadequate, refractive errors become a major cause of low vision and even blindness. Visual impairment from uncorrected refractive errors can have immediate and long-term consequences in children and adults, such as lost educational and employment opportunities, lost economic gain for individuals, families and societies, and impaired quality of life. Various factors are responsible for refractive errors remaining uncorrected: lack of awareness and recognition of the problem at personal and family level, as well as at community and public health level; non-availability of and/or inability to afford refractive services for testing; insufficient provision of affordable corrective lenses; and cultural disincentives to compliance (Resnikoff et al. 2008).

Refractive error as a cause of blindness has not received much attention because many definitions of blindness have been based on best-corrected distance visual acuity, including the definition used in the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems – ICD-10 (Dandona and Dandona 2006). A major limitation of ICD-10 categories is that they do not allow refractive errors to be assessed as cause of visual impairment. The WHO recently suggested that “presenting visual acuity” (i.e. visual acuity obtained with currently available refractive correction, if any), as well as uncorrected visual acuity, be used in all population-based surveys. Thus, presenting vision as opposed to best-corrected vision provides the prevalence of visual impairment that could be improved simply by appropriate corrective refraction (Resnikoff et al. 2008, Gilbert et al. 2008).

A recent compilation of surveys performed in several regions of the world showed an estimated 153 million people to be visually impaired from uncorrected

plementation of large scale vision screening programs, sufficient personnel to perform quality refraction and provision of affordable quality spectacles (Dandona and Dandona 2001).

Age-related Macular Degeneration (AMD)

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a disorder of the macular area of the retina, most often clinically apparent after 50 years of age, characterized by discrete whitish-yellow spots identified as “drusen” which are external to the neuroretina or the retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE) and may be soft or hard. The disciform variant of this disorder was first labeled senile macular degeneration. In the most severe forms of AMD, either the RPE may atrophy completely (geographic atrophy or “dry AMD”), or growth of new vessels and leakage can occur (exsudative or “wet AMD”), with possible detachment of the RPE and retina as well (West 2000). There is currently no effective treatment that will prevent AMD or restore vision once it has been lost. Thus, research into the pathogenesis and treatment of this disease should have a high priority.

AMD causes difficulty with tasks requiring central vision, such as reading, writing, driving and recognizing faces, and is a leading cause of legal blindness in the older population in industrialized countries (Klein et al. 2002, Taylor et al. 2005). This very high rate will lead to an increasing importance of AMD as the population ages and the number of elderly people increases (Van-Newkirk et al. 2000).

Risk factors for AMD are increasing age, smoking, family history, Caucasian ethinicity among others (Wang et al. 1998). On the other hand, persons with higher education were at lower risk for AMD (Age-Related Eye Diseases Study Research Group 2000). Pooled data to assess the prevalence and potential risk factors for late AMD in three racially similar populations from North America, Europe, and Australia provide strong and consistent evidence that tobacco smoking is the principal known preventable exposure associated with any form of AMD. The findings support further health advocacy

Many modalities have been tested for the treatment of neovascular AMD. Antioxidant supplements were tested for prevention and to slow the progression of the disease with some benefit for slowing vision loss and no preventive effects (Evans 2008). Other types of treatment have been used for neovascular (exsudative) AMD: photodynamic therapy with verteporfin, intravitreal triamcinolone, antivascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) agents, such as pegaptanib and, to a greater extent, ranibizumab and bevacizumab. Recent reports on the subject suggest that most of these treatments improve visual acuity of AMD patients, but these are still short-term results and more research about these new therapies need to be done (Schmidt-Erfurth and Pruente 2007).

Diabetic Retinopathy (DR)

Diabetes mellitus is an important public health problem affecting nearly 200 million people worldwide. Diabetic retinopathy (DR), a specific microvascular complication of diabetes, is a major cause of blindness. The prevalence of DR increases with duration of diabetes, and nearly all persons with type 1 diabetes and more than 60% of those with type 2 have some retinopathy after 20 years (Klein et al. 1998).

The disease can be classified into 2 stages: nonproliferative and proliferative. In nonproliferative DR, the earliest visible signs are microaneurysms and retinal hemorrhages. Progressive capillary nonperfusion is accompanied by the development of cotton-wool spots, venous beading, and intraretinal microvascular abnormalities. Proliferative DR is characterized by the growth of new blood vessels on the surface of the retina or the optic disc. These abnormal vessels may bleed, resulting in vitreous hemorrhage, subsequent fibrosis, and tractional retinal detachment. Diabetic macular edema (DME), which can occur at any stage of DR, is characterized by increased vascular permeability and the deposition of hard exudates at the central retina. Primary interventions, such as intensive glycemic and blood pressure control, can reduce the incidence of DR, while secondary interventions, such as laser photocoagulation, may prevent

betes, hyperglycemia/glycated hemoglobin value, tension, hyperlipidemia, pregnancy, nephropath disease, obesity, smoking, moderate alcohol co tion and physical inactivity (Klein et al. 1998, van et al. 2003, Mohamed et al. 2007).

It is estimated that, in 2002, DR accoun about 5% of world blindness, representing almos lion blind. As the incidence of diabetes gradu creases, there is the possibility that more indi will suffer from eye complications which, if no erly managed, may lead to permanent eye damag control of glycemia decreases the risk of the inc and the progression of the retinopathy. If sight th ing retinopathy is present, timely laser photocoag of the retina decreases the risk of a subsequent visual lesion (WHO 2008).

Glaucoma

In the public health context, glaucoma is an irrev optic neuropathy associated with characteristic c of the optic nerve head with corresponding nerv loss and peripheral and ultimately central visu defects (Wolfs et al. 2000, Foster et al. 2002, Co et al. 2003). Glaucoma can be classified into ang sure and open angle types. Open angle glaucoma is defined as a condition of an intraocular pressu bitrarily set at > 21 mmHg, that causes glauco damage of the optic disc and field loss (West 200 estimated 6.7 million people are blind from gla worldwide, with almost 70 million affected by t ease (Congdon et al. 2003).

Although standardization of diagnostic m including intraocular pressure, cup disc ratio, visu testing, and inclusion of gonioscopy is required, mary risk factor for OAG is elevated intraocular p (West 2000). Recent clinical trials indicate that lo the intraocular pressure can prevent the developr glaucoma in individuals with elevated intraocula sure, and can decrease the likelihood of progres those with early disease (Congdon et al. 2003) prevalence of glaucoma clearly rises with age (W et al. 1998, Wolfs et al. 2000). Risk factors amo

Ethnic differences in the prevalence of the various types of glaucoma are marked (Leske 2007). Data from LALES show that Latinos with a predominantly Mexican ancestry in Los Angeles have rates of open-angle glaucoma (OAG) comparable to those of US blacks, and significantly higher than those seen in non-Hispanic whites. According to this study, the high rate of undiagnosed OAG in Latinos suggests that the role of early screening, diagnosis, and management should be further examined (Varma et al. 2004).

Glaucoma accounts for 10–20% of all blindness in many countries. In industrialized nations for people over 40 years of age, its prevalence is 1.5–2% and, in African populations, it is at least 2–3 times this figure (Apple et al. 2000). Glaucoma is an increasing problem, for which treatment is effective if initiated early in the disease and if suitable specialist ophthalmic services are available. About current utilization of eye care services, blacks account for 6.0% of all cataract visits and 13.6% of all the glaucoma visits. Substantial racial differences also exist in annual rates of ambulatory visits for both cataract and glaucoma among those aged 65 and over (Javitt 1995).

The management of this condition involves explaining a complex health problem and the need to adhere to a regime of self administered eye drops, or to accept surgery. Improvements should address locally identified barriers, which might include quality of clinical care, as well as all the other non-clinical aspects of care. There is a need to improve the quality of information provided to patients to promote adherence to treatment regimes and follow up, to increase awareness of possible side effects and action needed to prevent recurrence (Hubley and Gilbert 2006).

Population-Based Studies in Brazil

Between 2003 and 2005, two population-based surveys of prevalence and causes of visual impairment and blindness were conducted in an urban low-middle income area of São Paulo, Brazil: the São Paulo Eye Study (Salomão et al. 2008) and the Refractive Error in School-Children – RESC (Salomão et al. 2008). These two surveys used

1998, 2000, Li et al. 1999, Michon et al. 2002, He et al. 2004, 2007); Chile (Maul et al. 2000); India (Murthy et al. 2001, 2002, Nirmalan et al. 2002, Dandona et al. 2002); South Africa (Naidoo et al. 2003) and Malaysia (Goh et al. 2005).

Prevalence and causes of visual impairment and blindness in older adults in Brazil

The São Paulo Eye Study (SPES) was a population-based study of urban, low-middle income residents of three districts of São Paulo city (Ermelino Matarazzo, Vila Jacuí and São Miguel) aged 50 years or older. Detailed methods have been published previously (Salomão et al. 2008). Briefly, 3768 participants were recruited from 22 randomly selected clusters. A door-to-door household census was conducted to identify residents who had lived in their homes for at least 6 months and were aged 50 years and older. The study was carried out from July 2004 to December 2005. The Committee on Ethics on Research of UNIFESP approved the implementation of the survey protocol. Human subject research approval of the original protocol was cleared by the World Health Organization Secretariat Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects.

Within each cluster, enumerated persons were invited to the clinical examination station at the nearby hospital on a mutually agreed upon date. Written informed consent was obtained at the examination station using a scripted consent form. The examination included presenting visual acuity (which was measured with participant's spectacles, if usually worn), ophthalmic examination of the eyelid, globe, pupillary reflex, lens and measurement of intra-ocular pressure (IOP). Best-corrected visual acuity was measured for those with visual acuity 20/40 or worse, and for those previously operated for cataract.

For our analysis, all participants were classified according to the vision in their better eye. Visual impairment was defined as presenting visual acuity 20/40 or worse in the better eye. It was attributable to refractive error if best-corrected visual acuity was 20/32 or better

was attributable to refractive error if best-corrected visual acuity was 20/32 or better. Cases of vision impairment not caused by refractive error were attributed to the disease considered as a principal cause if more than one disease occurred in the eye.

Data were available for a total of 3678 participants, with participation rate of 87%. The prevalence of visual impairment ($< 20/63$ to $\geq 20/200$) in the better eye was 4.74% (95% CI: 3.97%–5.53%), and 2.00% (95% CI: 1.52%–2.49%) with best correction. The prevalence of presenting bilateral blindness ($< 20/200$) was 1.51% (95% CI: 1.20%–1.82%), and 1.07% (95% CI: 0.79%–1.35%) with best-correction. Extrapolating these figures to the general population of adults aged 50 years or older in the country, there were 1,120,000 Brazilians with uncorrected or uncorrectable visual impairment, including 357,000 Brazilians with uncorrected or uncorrectable blindness. Blindness was shown to be associated not only with older age, as expected, but also with the lack of formal education.

Retinal disorders (including diabetic retinopathy, macular degeneration, retinal detachment, and other retinal causes) were the main cause of blindness, followed by cataract and glaucoma. One explanation for the relatively high ranking of retinal disorders as a cause of blindness is the success of the Brazilian initiative to improve access to cataract surgical services. With a more than tripling of the annual number of cataract surgeries over the past 5-year period, cataract blindness is likely to have been significantly reduced and, therefore, blindness due to other ocular diseases/conditions is becoming more prominent.

Overall, 32% of visual impairment and 6% of presenting blindness was caused by correctable refractive error, either myopia or hyperopia. In going beyond the protocol used in the Nepal, China, and India surveys, this study explicitly included visual acuity $< 20/32$ to $\geq 20/63$ as a category of reduced vision (labeled near-normal vision) Including this mild impairment, this category was considered important in densely populated urban areas such as São Paulo, where visual requirements for driving and work purposes may be more de-

The prevalence of visual impairment and bli
based on best-corrected visual acuity puts São P
a position somewhat similar to that in the United
where the prevalence of best-corrected visual ac
$< 20/40$ to $> 20/200$ in the better seeing eye
ported as 1.98% among those 40 years of age,
prevalence of blindness $\leq 20/200$ as 0.78% (C
et al. 2004).

Because Brazil covers large territory with m
cioeconomic and regional discrepancies, additio
veys including rural areas with poor access to e
are needed to provide more widely representati
mates of visual impairment and blindness in Bra

Prevalence and causes of visual impairment in school children in Brazil

Clinical evidence suggests that refractive error (R
cluding amblyopia and strabismus, are commo
thalmic disorders in children. However, despite t
ognized importance of correcting refractive and
in children, there are few reliable studies on the ty
prevalence of the various anomalies encountere
and particularly myopia, places a substantial bur
the individual and on society. Myopia can have a
tial negative impact on career choice, ocular heal
sometimes self-esteem. School-age children cons
particularly vulnerable group, in which uncorrec
may have a dramatic impact on learning capabil
educational potential. Data on RE prevalence a
utilization of corrective spectacles among sch
children are needed for eye health care planning.

In addressing the widespread need for popu
based data on childhood refractive error, the Ref
Error Study in Children (RESC) protocol to ass
prevalence of visual impairment and refractive e
children of different ethnic origins and cultural s
using consistent definitions and methods was dev
(Negrel et al. 2000).

Previous RESC surveys showed that visual
ment, because of refractive error, was uncommon
children not attending school (Pokharel et al. 200
dona et al. 2002, Naidoo et al. 2003). On th

ther, because of resistance among parents in providing consent for cycloplegia, on a recent survey among private secondary schools in Kathmandu, Nepal, only children with visual impairment were evaluated with cycloplegic refraction (Sapkota et al. 2008). The current emphasis in RESC surveys is, thus, not on evaluating the prevalence of refractive errors, but on visual impairment with refractive error.

The RESC study in Brazil was a population-based survey using school-based sampling of low-middle income school age children from nine randomly chosen public schools in the districts of Ermelino Matarazzo, Vila Jacuí and São Miguel in São Paulo city. A full methodological description has been provided elsewhere (Salomão et al. 2008). A random sample of 2825 children ages 11–14 years registered in grades 5–8 were invited for a clinical ophthalmologic examination performed in the school. The study was carried out from June to November 2005. The Committee on Ethics on Research of UNIFESP approved the implementation of the survey protocol. Human subject research approval of the original protocol was cleared by the World Health Organization Secretariat Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects.

School authorities contacted the parents/guardian of each child to obtain informed consent. The examination included uncorrected and presenting visual acuity (which was measured with participant's spectacles, if usually worn), ocular motility, external eye and anterior segment (eyelid, conjunctiva, cornea, iris, and pupil). Subjective cycloplegic refraction was performed in children with unaided (uncorrected) visual acuity of 20/40 or worse in either eye. A principal cause for eyes with uncorrected visual acuity 20/40 or worse was assigned. Refractive error was assigned routinely if acuity improved to at least 20/32 with refractive correction. Children with visual impairment that improved with refraction were prescribed and provided free spectacles, as well as local medical advice for minor disorders. Children needing tertiary medical treatment were referred to the eye clinic at the local UNIFESP unities of Ermelino Matarazzo Hospital or São Paulo Hospital.

sual acuity 20/40 or worse in the better eye was 4.82%, 2.67%, and 0.41%, respectively. The prevalence of visual impairment with myopia and visual impairment with hyperopia, was 5.46% and 2.05% respectively. Refractive error was a cause in 76.8% of children with visual impairment in one or both eyes; amblyopia, 11.4%; retinal disorders, 5.9%; other causes, 2.7%, and unexplained causes, 7.7%. For those who could benefit from adequate glasses, 51.9% were without the necessary correction.

Considering that a significant number of children are without appropriate refractive correction, the relatively low prevalence of visual impairment with myopia or hyperopia should not be taken to suggest that refractive errors are an insignificant contributor to visual disability in Brazil. Because visual impairment can have a detrimental impact on social and educational development in a child's life, exploration of cost-effective strategies to eliminate this easily treatable cause of visual impairment are warranted.

CONCLUSIONS

Epidemiological data from two recent population-based studies in Brazil have brought important contribution for health authorities to planning and implement eye care services. Retinal disorders in older adults and uncorrected refractive errors in both older adults and school children are target diseases. Actions to maintain the access to modern cataract surgery and provision of good quality affordable glasses are desirable and should be sustainable. Retinal diseases are emerging as principal cause of unavoidable blindness in older adults from urban Brazilian areas, and strategies to address this important issue are extremely different from those implemented against cataract blindness. Early diagnosis and adequate therapy for retinal disorders require specific technology, as well as long-term and permanent care. Since Brazil is a country with regional and socio-economic disparities, studies in rural and less privileged areas in the country are needed for a thorough picture of the magnitude and causes of visual impairment and blindness.

sion during the Brazilian population-based studies. The two Brazilian studies mentioned in this review paper were supported by the World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland (under National Institutes of Health [Bethesda, Maryland] contract no. N01-EY-2103) to RBJ; Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), grant #04/06670-9 to SRS; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) research fellowship (SRS and RBJ); Fundo de Apoio aos Docentes e Alunos da UNIFESP (FADA) research fellowship (SRS and RBJ).

RESUMO

Nosso objetivo é fazer uma revisão de cegueira e deficiência visual no contexto de recentes estudos epidemiológicos oculares brasileiros. É apresentada a síntese dos dados de dois estudos populacionais transversais – o Estudo Ocular de São Paulo e o Estudo de Erros Refrativos em Escolares. Entre julho de 2004 e dezembro de 2005 foram examinados 3678 adultos e 2441 escolares. A prevalência de cegueira em adultos mais velhos considerando a acuidade visual apresentada foi de 1,51% diminuindo para 1,07% com a correção refrativa. As causas mais comuns de cegueira em adultos mais velhos foram os distúrbios de retina, seguidos de catarata e glaucoma. Em escolares a prevalência de deficiência visual não corrigida foi de 4,82% diminuindo para 0,41% com a correção refrativa. Em escolares a causa mais comum de deficiência visual foram erros refrativos não corrigidos. A deficiência visual e a cegueira são um importante problema de saúde pública no Brasil. É um problema significante em brasileiros mais velhos reforçando a necessidade de implementação de programas de prevenção de cegueira para pessoas idosas com ênfase nos indivíduos sem escolaridade. Em escolares são necessárias estratégias de custo-eficácia para atingir uma causa de deficiência visual facilmente tratável – a prescrição e a provisão de óculos.

Palavras-chave: cegueira, deficiência visual, prevalência, estudo populacional, epidemiologia ocular.

REFERENCES

AGE-RELATED EYE DISEASE STUDY RESEARCH GROUP. 2000. Risk factors associated with age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology 107: 2224–2232.

ARAÚJO-FILHO A, SALOMÃO SR, BEREZOVSKY NOTO RW, MORALES PHA, SANTOS FRG AN FORT JR R. 2008. Prevalence of visual impairmen ness, ocular disorders and cataract surgery outc low-income elderly from a metropolitan region Paulo – Brazil. Arq Bras Oftalmol 71: 246–253.

BRIAN G AND TAYLOR H. 2001. Cataract blindness lenges for the 21st century. Bull World Health 249–256.

CONGDON N, O'COLMAIN B, KLAVER CC, KL MUÑOZ B, FRIEDMAN TS, KEMPEN J, TAYL AND MITCHELL P. 2004. Eye diseases prevale search group: causes and prevalence of visual imp among adults in the United States. Arch Ophthaln 477–485.

CONGDON NG, FRIEDMAN DS AND LIETMAN T. 20 portant causes of visual impairment in the worl JAMA 290: 2057–2060.

DANDONA L AND DANDONA R. 2006. Revision c impairment definitions in the International St Classification of Diseases. BMC Medicine 4: 7.

DANDONA R AND DANDONA L. 2001. Refracti blindness. Bull World Health Org 79: 237–243.

DANDONA R, DANDONA L, SRINIVAS M, SAHARE SAIAH S, MUÑOZ SR, POKHAREL GP AND E LB. 2002. Refractive error in children in a rural tion in India. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 43: 615–

EVANS J. 2008. Antioxidant supplements to prevent down the progression of AMD: a systematic rev meta-analysis. Eye 22: 751–760.

FOSTER PJ, BUHRMANN R, QUIGLEY HA AND JO GJ. 2002. The definition and classification of gl in prevalence surveys. Br J Ophthalmol 86: 238–

GILBERT CE, ELLWEIN LB AND THE REFRACTIVE STUDY IN CHILDREN STUDY GROUP. 2008. lence and causes of functional low vision in sch children: results from standardized population su Asia, Africa and Latin America. Invest Ophthal 49: 877–881.

GOH PP, ABQARIYAH Y, POKHAREL GP AND E LB. 2005. Refractive error and visual impair school-age children in Gombak District, Malaysi thalmology 112: 678–685.

HE M, ZENG J, LIU Y, XU J, POKHAREL GP AND E LB. 2004. Refractive error and visual impair

children in rural southern China. Ophthalmology 114: 374–382.

HUBLEY J AND GILBERT C. 2006. Eye health promotion and the prevention of blindness in developing countries: critical issues. Br J Ophthalmol 90: 279–284.

JAVITT JC. 1995. Preventing blindness in Americans: the need for eye health education. Surv Ophthalmol 40: 41–44.

KLEIN R, KLEIN BE, MOSS SE AND CRUICKSHANKS KJ. 1998. The Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy, XVII: the 14-year incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy and associated risk factors in type 1 diabetes. Ophthalmology 105: 1801–1815.

KLEIN R, KLEIN BEK, TOMANY SC, MEUER SM AND HUANG EH. 2002. Ten-year incidence and progression of age-related maculopathy: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. Ophthalmology 109: 1767–1779.

LAITINEN A, KOSKINEN S, HÄRKÄNEN T, REUNANEN A, LAATIKAINEN L AND AROMAA A. 2005. A nationwide population-based survey on visual acuity, near vision, and self-reported visual function in the adult population in Finland. Ophthalmology 112: 2227–2237.

LESKE MC. 2007. Open-angle glaucoma – an epidemiologic overview. Ophthalm Epidemiol 14: 166–172.

LESKE MC, NEMESURE B, HE Q, WU S, HEJTMANCIK JF AND HENNIS A. 2001. For the Barbados Family Study Group. Patterns of open-angle glaucoma in the Barbados Family Study. Ophthalmology 108: 1015–1022.

LI S, XU J, HE M, WU K, MUNOZ SR AND ELLWEIN LB. 1999. A survey of blindness and cataract surgery in Doumen County, China. Ophthalmology 106: 1602–1608.

LIMBURG H, VON-BISCHHOFFSHAUSEN FB, GOMEZ P, SILVA JC AND FOSTER A. 2008. Review of recent surveys on blindness and visual impairment in Latin America. Br J Ophthalmol 92: 315–319.

MAUL E, BARROSO S, MUNOZ SR, SPERDUTO R AND ELLWEIN LB. 2000. Refractive Error Study in Children: results from La Florida, Chile. Am J Ophthalmol 129: 445–454.

MICHON JJ, LAU J, CHAN WS AND ELLWEIN LB. 2002. Prevalence of visual impairment, blindness, and cataract surgery in the Hong Kong elderly. Br J Ophthalmol 86: 133–139.

MOHAMED Q, GILLIES MC AND WONG TY. 2007. Management of diabetic retinopathy: a systematic review. JAMA 298: 902–916.

MURTHY GVS, GUPTA S, ELLWEIN LB, MUNOZ SR, BACHANI D AND DADA VK. 2001. A population-based eye survey of older adults in a rural district of Rajasthan I. Central vision impairment, blindness, and cataract surgery. Ophthalmology 108: 679–685.

NAIDOO KS, RAGHUNANDAN A, MASHIGE KP, GOVENDER P, HOLDEN BA, POKHAREL GP AND ELLWEIN LB. 2003. Refractive error and visual impairment in African children in South Africa. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 44: 3764–3770.

NEGREL AD, MAUL E, POKHAREL GP, ZHAO J AND ELLWEIN LB. 2000. Refractive Error Study in Children: sampling and measurement methods for a multi-country survey. Am J Ophthalmol 129: 421–426.

NIRMALAN PK, THULASIRAJ RD, MANEKSHA V, RAHMATHULLAH R, RAMAKRISHNAN R, PADMAVATHI A, MUNOZ SR AND ELLWEIN LB. 2002. A population based eye survey of older adults in Tirunelveli district of south India: blindness, cataract surgery, and visual outcomes. Br J Ophthalmol 86: 505–512.

PARARAJASEGARAM R. 1999. Vision 2020 – the right to sight: from strategies to action. Am J Ophthalmol 128: 359–360.

PASCOLINI D, MARIOTTI SP, POKHAREL GP, PARARAJASEGARAM R, ETYALE D, NEGREL AD AND RESNIKOFF S. 2004. 2002 global update of available data on visual impairment: a compilation of population-based prevalence studies. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 11: 67–115.

PIZZARELLO L, ABIOSE A, FFYTCHE T, DUERKSEN R, THULASIRAJ R, TAYLOR H, FAAL H, RAO G, KOCUR I AND RESNIKOFF S. 2004. Vision 2020: the right to sight. Arch Ophthalmol 122: 615–620.

POKHAREL GP, REGMI G, SHRESTHA SK, NEGREL AD AND ELLWEIN LB. 1998. Prevalence of blindness and cataract surgery in Nepal. Br J Ophthalmol 82: 600–605.

POKHAREL GP, NEGREL AD, MUNOZ SR AND ELLWEIN LB. 2000. Refractive Error Study in Children: results from Mechi Zone, Nepal. Am J Ophthalmol 129: 436–444.

RESNIKOFF S, PASCOLINI D, ETYA'ALE DD, KOCUR I, PARARAJASEGARAM R, POKHAREL GP AND MARIOTTI SP. 2004. Global data on visual impairment in the year 2002. Bull World Health Organ 82: 844–851.

SALOMÃO SR, CINOTO RW, BEREZOVSKY AB, ARAÚJO-FILHO A, MITSUHIRO MRKH, MENDIETA L, MORALES PHA, POKHAREL GP, BELFORT JR R AND ELLWEIN LB. 2008. Prevalence and causes of vision impairment in older adults in Brazil: the São Paulo Eye Study. Ophthal Epidemiol 15: 167–175.

SALOMÃO SR ET AL. 2008. Prevalence and causes of visual impairment in low-middle income school children in São Paulo, Brazil. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 49: 4308–4313.

SAPKOTA YD, ADHIKARI BN, POKHAREL GP, POUDYAL BK AND ELLWEIN LB. 2008. The prevalence of visual impairment attributable to refractive error and other causes in school children of upper-middle socioeconomic status in Kathmandu. Ophthal Epidemiol 15: 17–23.

SCHMIDT-ERFURTH UM AND PRUENTE C. 2007. Management of neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Prog Ret Eye Res 26: 437–451.

SMITH W, ASSINK J, KLEIN R, MITCHELL P, KLAVER CCW, KLEIN BEK, HOFMAN A, JENSEN S, WANG JJ AND DE JONG PTVM. 2001. Risk factors for age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology 108: 697–704.

TAYLOR HR, KEEFFE JE, VU HTV, WANG JJ, ROCHTCHINA E, PEZZULLO ML, MITCHELL ML AND MITCHELL P. 2005. Vision loss in Australia. Med J Aust 182: 565–568.

VAN LEIDEN HA, DEKKER JM, MOLL AC, NIJPELS G, HEINE RJ, BOUTER LM, STEHOUWER CD AND POLAK BC. 2003. Risk factors for incident retinopathy in a diabetic and nondiabetic population: the Hoorn study. Arch Ophthalmol 121: 245–251.

VANNEWKIRK MR, NANJAN MB, WANG JJ, MITCHELL P, TAYLOR HR AND MCCARTHY CA. 2000. The prevalence of age-related maculopathy: the Visual Impairment Project. Ophthalmology 107: 1593–1600.

VARMA R, YING-LAI M, FRANCIS BA, NGUYEN BB, DENEEN J, WILSON MR, AJZEN SP AND LOS ANGELES LATINO EYE STUDY GROUP. 2004. Prevalence of open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension in Latinos. Ophthalmology 111: 1439–1448.

WANG JJ, MITCHELL P, SMITH W AND CUMMIN 1998. Bilateral involvement by age related macu lesions in a population. Br J Ophthalmol 82: 743

WENSOR MD, MCCARTY CA, STANISLAOSKY Y INGSTON PM AND TAYLOR HR. 1998. The pre of glaucoma in the Melbourne Visual Impairment Ophthalmology 105: 733–739.

WEST S. 2000. Looking forward to 20/20: a focus epidemiology of eye diseases. Epidemiol Rev 22:

WEST S. 2007. Epidemiology of cataract: accomplis over 25 years and future directions. Ophthaln demiol 14: 173–178.

WEST S AND SOMMER A. 2001. Prevention of bl and priorities for the future. Bull World Health 244–248.

WHO – WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. 2006. tion of avoidable blindness and visual impairme Fifty-ninth World Health Assembly. Geneva: WH 12 p. 1–3. http://www.who.int/gb/e/e_wha59.ht cessed on June 3, 2008).

WHO – WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. 2008. Eye Diseases. http://www.who.int/blindness/caus priority/en/index6.html (accessed on June 30, 200

WOLFS RCW, BORGER PH, RAMRATTAN RS, K CCW, HULSMAN CAA, HOFMAN A, VINGERL HITCHINGS RA AND DE JONG PTVM. 2000. ing views on open-angle glaucoma: definitions an lences – the Rotterdam Study. Invest Ophthalmol 41: 3309–3321.

ZHAO J, JIA L, SUI R AND ELLWEIN LB. 1998. Pre of blindness and cataract surgery in Shunyi County Am J Ophthalmol 126: 506–514.

ZHAO J, PAN X, SUI R, MUNOZ SR, SPERDUTO ELLWEIN LB. 2000. Refractive Error Study in C results from Shunyi District, China. Am J Oph 129: 427–435.