



Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências

ISSN: 0001-3765

aabc@abc.org.br

Academia Brasileira de Ciências

Brasil

Huckembeck, Sônia; Alves, Livia T.; Loebmann, Daniel; Garcia, Alexandre M.
What the largest tadpole feeds on? A detailed analysis of the diet composition of *Pseudis*
minuta tadpoles (Hylidae, Dendropsophini)

Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências, vol. 88, núm. 3, septiembre, 2016, pp. 1397-
1400

Academia Brasileira de Ciências
Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=32746972018>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative



What the largest tadpole feeds on? A detailed analysis of the diet composition of *Pseudis minuta* tadpoles (Hylidae, Dendropsophini)

SÔNIA HUCKEMBECK², LÍVIA T. ALVES², DANIEL LOEBMANN² and ALEXANDRE M. GARCIA¹

¹Instituto de Oceanografia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande/ FURG,
Av. Itália, Km 8, Carreiros, 96203-900 Rio Grande, RS, Brasil

²Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande/ FURG,
Av. Itália, Km 8, Carreiros, 96203-900 Rio Grande, RS, Brasil

Manuscript received on May 18, 2015; accepted for publication on August 10, 2015

ABSTRACT

We analyzed the diet of 25 individuals of *Pseudis minuta* tadpoles which consisted in debris with more than 30%, follow by diatoms, euglenids, green algae, and desmids. With regard to the feeding strategy, *P. minuta* tadpoles are generalist consumer. The negative correlation between the abundance of items in the digestive tract and the mouth width indicate an effect of metamorphosis on the diet.

Key words: algae, anuran, metamorphosis, trophic ecology.

INTRODUCTION

Neotropical frogs from the genera *Pseudis* encompass those hylids species most adapted to live in aquatic environments (Huckembeck et al. 2014). The most conspicuous feature of this genus, however, is the fact their tadpoles are much larger than adults and for this reason they are known as Paradoxal frogs (Garda and Canatella 2007). Currently, seven *Pseudis* species are recognized and widely distributed from South America to the east Andes, being *Pseudis minuta* Günther, 1858 the most austral species with a widely distribution along the Pampa Domain of Argentine, Brazil and Uruguay (Frost 2016).

Although the genus *Pseudis* is relatively well studied with regards to reproduction, ecology and

taxonomy (e.g. Barrio 1970, De Sá and Lavilla 1997, Kwet 2000), there is little information on the trophic ecology of the larvae (Arias et al. 2002, Vera-Candioti 2007). Consequently, little is known about the role species of tadpoles in aquatic environments (Santos et al. 2016).

Additionally, there are no studies on potential diet shifts during the development of *Pseudis* tadpoles. Hence, there is a gap in knowledge of the trophic ecology of *Pseudis minuta* tadpoles, which only their morphological description and habitat utilization is currently known (De Sá and Lavilla 1997). Information on the trophic ecology of *P. minuta* tadpoles will contribute to the knowledge of the natural history of the species and help to understand its functional role in the ecosystem during the pre-metamorphic phase. Thus, the goal of this study was to analyze the diet composition during the development of *P. minuta* tadpoles.

Correspondence to: Sônia Huckembeck
E-mail: sohuckembeck@yahoo.com.br

The study was carried out on a wetland area with ephemeral water body (31°06'88.7"S, 50°51'30.6"W) at the Lagoa do Peixe National Park (LPNP), which is located in Rio Grande do Sul state, southern Brazil. Vegetation was dominated by Poaceae family grasses, a few shrubs and species of macrophytes (see Huckembeck et al. 2014). *Pseudis minuta* tadpoles were collected in April 2009 by dip nets employed randomly along the water body and fixed in 10% formalin. Collection permits were provided by ICMBio (number processes: 14523-2 and 14523-4).

For each specimen collected total length (TL) and mouth width (MW), in mm, were obtained and their stages of development were classified according to Gosner (1960). Digestive tracts were dissected; the content was homogenized with 1 ml of distilled water and placed in Uthermol chamber to analyze under an optical microscope with 40x magnification (Arias et al. 2002). Unidentified items, which formed a mass of organic material, were classified as debris.

We calculated frequency of occurrence (FO) and numerical percentage (NP) for food items found in each digestive tract. These parameters were used to calculate the alimentary index as proposed by Kawakami and Vazzoler (1980), with numerical abundance replacing volume. The alimentary index after the modification was $AI_i = Fi * NP_i / \sum (Fi * NP_i) * 100$, where AI_i is the alimentary index of item i in the individual diet's; Fi is the frequency of occurrence of item i in the sample; and NP_i is the numerical percentage of the item. Linear regression between the biometric data and the abundance of items for each specimen was made and Pearson coefficient (R^2) was calculated. Residual analysis was performed to investigate the suitability of the regression. Residual analysis met the normality (LT, Shapiro-Wilk (W)=0.938, p =0.163; MW, W =0.915, p =0.05), independence (LT, Durbin-Watson (DW)=1.811, p =0.314; MW, DW =2.708, p =0.963), and homoscedasticity (LT,

Breusch-Pagan (BP) = 0.444, p =0.504; MW, BP =0.013, p =0.907) required for regressions. For those testes we used Past 3.0 software.

A total of 25 individuals between 25 and 44 Gosner stages were analyzed, resulting in 61 unique food items (Table I). According to the alimentary index (%), debris and microalgae were the most significant food sources (see Table I). Some authors criticize the use of IAI alleging the possibility of an overestimation of small size and numerous items (Hyslop 1980). However, our results corroborate the analysis of stable isotopes presented by Huckembeck et al. (2014), which indicates that *P. minuta* tadpoles are primary consumers. In addition, the diet pattern found in *P. minuta* is in consonance with diet described to *P. paradoxa* tadpoles, which feed mainly on algae, invertebrates, plant remains and debris (Arias et al. 2002). On the other hand, Vera-Candioti (2007) described the diet of *P. paradoxa* mainly composed by insects follow by macrophytes. Our findings revealed a prevalence of benthic algae, suggesting that tadpoles are nektonics and fed on microalgae attached to aquatic vegetation and substrates in the water.

Significant values was found only in the relationship between the abundance of items and MW (R^2 = 0.49, t = -4.541, p =0.00). It was noted with an increase MW (stage 25, mean \pm standard deviation: 0.49 \pm 0.21mm to stage 43 and 44, mean \pm standard deviation: 4.75 \pm 0.66mm), there was a decrease in food intake in the late Gosner stages (42, 43 and 44). No significant relationship was observed between the abundance of food items and TL (R^2 = 0.003, t =- 0.259, p = 0.79). According to Jenssen (1967), which studied ontogenetic diet shift in tadpoles of *Lithobates clamitans*, ontogenetic changes in diet composition of tadpoles occur due to anatomical and morphological modifications of digestive tract during their metamorphosis. The decrease in food intake observed in our study could be explained by the occurrence of the fasting

TABLE I
Alimentary index of the diet of *Pseudis minuta* tadpoles collected in the LPNP. Acronyms: FO%, frequency of occurrence; NP%, numerical percentage; AI, alimentary index.

Item	FO%	NP%	AI	Item	FO%	NP%	AI	Item	FO%	NP%	AI
Bacillariophyceae	100	20.08	21.00	Chlorophyceae	83.3	9.94	8.66	<i>Fragilaria</i> sp.			<i>Staurastrum</i> sp. 6
<i>Caloneis</i> sp.				<i>Ankistrodesmus</i> sp.				<i>Fragilaria</i> sp. 2			<i>Staurastrum</i> sp. 7
<i>Cymbella</i> sp.				<i>Ankistrodesmus</i> sp. 2				<i>Licnophora</i> sp.			<i>Staurastrum</i> sp. 8
<i>Diploneis</i> sp.				<i>Desmodesmus</i> sp.				<i>Pseudostaurosira</i> sp.			<i>Staurodesmus</i> sp.
<i>Encyonopsis</i> sp.				<i>Monoraphidium</i> sp.				<i>Tabellaria</i> sp.			Others
<i>Eunotia</i> sp.				<i>Monoraphidium</i> sp. 2				<i>Tabularia tabulata</i>			Debris
<i>Frustulia</i> sp.				<i>Pediastrum</i> sp.				Zygnemaphyceae	87.5	2.15	1.97
<i>Gomphonema</i> sp.				<i>Pediastrum tetras</i>				<i>Bambusina</i> sp.			Plant remain
<i>Gomphonema</i> sp. 2				<i>Scenedesmus</i> sp.				<i>Cosmarium</i> sp.			20.8
<i>Gyrosigma</i> sp.				<i>Tetraedron</i> sp.				<i>Cosmarium</i> sp. 2			0.23
<i>Navicula</i> sp.				Coscinodiscophyceae	91.7	2.89	2.77	<i>Cosmarium</i> sp. 3			0.05
<i>Navicula</i> sp. 2				<i>Cyclotella</i> sp.				<i>Euastrum</i> sp.			
<i>Nitzschia</i> sp.				<i>Melosira</i> sp.				<i>Euastrum</i> sp. 2			
<i>Nitzschia</i> sp. 2				Euglenophyceae	95.8	14.45	14.48	<i>Euastrum</i> sp. 3			
<i>Pinnularia</i> sp.				<i>Euglena</i> sp.				<i>Micrasterias</i> sp.			
<i>Sellophora</i> sp.				<i>Phacus</i> sp.				<i>Mougeotia</i> sp.			
<i>Staurastrum</i> sp. 5				<i>Trachelomonas</i> sp.				<i>Staurastrum</i> sp.			
<i>Stauroneis</i> sp.				<i>Trachelomonas</i> sp. 2				<i>Staurastrum</i> sp. 2			
<i>Surirela</i> sp.				Fragilariophyceae	91.7	16.86	16.16	<i>Staurastrum</i> sp. 3			
<i>Terpsinoe</i> sp.				<i>Asterionella</i> sp.				<i>Staurastrum</i> sp. 4			

period in these stages, which, in *L. clamitans*, begin with the emergence of the forelimbs, followed by absorption of the tail (Jenssen 1967).

In summary, we conclude that *Pseudis minuta* tadpoles could be considered generalist consumer that primarily feed on algae. Furthermore, it is possible that the morphological changes occurring during advanced metamorphosis stages can influence food intake, therefore additional field and laboratory experiments should be performed to evaluate it.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Paulo César Abreu for his support and generous approval in loaning his microscope; and Stefanie Miranda for her assistance in sample processing. This work was supported by the International Foundation for Science (No. A/4419-1) and by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (No. 482920/2007-6). Sônia Huckembeck was funded by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), and Alexandre Miranda Garcia was funded by a research grant provided by CNPq (305888/2012-9).

REFERENCES

- ARIAS MM, PELTZER PM AND LAJMANOVICH RC. 2002. Diet of giant *Pseudis paradoxa platensis* (Anura, Pseudidae) from Argentina. *Phyllomedusa* 1: 97-100.
- BARRIO A. 1970. Caracteres del canto nupcial de los Pséuidos (Amphibia, Anura). *Physis* 29: 511-515.
- DE SÁ RO AND LAVILLA EO. 1997. The tadpole of *Pseudis minuta* (Anura: Pseudidae) an apparent case of heterochrony. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 18: 229-240.
- FROST DR. 2016. Amphibian species of the world: an online reference. Version 6.0. Electronic database. New York (NY): American Museum of Natural History.
- GARDA AA AND CANNATELLA DC. 2007. Phylogeny and biogeography of paradoxical frogs (Anura, Hylidae, Pseudae) inferred from 12S and 16S mitochondrial DNA. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 44: 104-114.
- GOSNER K. 1960. A simplified table for staging anuran embryos and larvae with notes on identification. *Herpetologica* 16: 183-190.
- HUCKEMBECK S, LOEBMANN D, ALBERTONI EF, HEFLER SM, OLIVEIRA MCLM AND GARCIA AM. 2014. Feeding ecology and basal food sources that sustain the Paradoxal frog *Pseudis minuta*: a multiple approach combining stomach content, prey availability, and stable isotopes. *Hydrobiologia* 740: 253-264.
- HYSLOP EJ. 1980. Stomach content analysis: a review of methods and their applications. *J Fish Biol* 17: 411-429.
- JENSSEN TA. 1967. Food habits of the green frog, *Rana clamitans* before and during metamorphosis. *Copeia* 1967: 214-218.
- KAWAKAMI E AND VAZZOLER G. 1980. Método gráfico e estimativa de índice alimentar aplicado no estudo de alimentação de peixes. *Bol Inst Oceanogr* 29: 205-207.
- KWET A. 2000. The genus *Pseudis* (Anura: Pseudidae) in Rio Grande do Sul, southern Brazil, with description of a new species. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 21: 38-55.
- SANTOS FJ, PROTÁZIO AS, MOURA CW AND JUNCÁ FA. 2016. Diet and food resource partition among benthic tadpoles of three anuran species in Atlantic Forest tropical streams. *J Freshwater Ecol* 31: 53-60.
- VERA-CANDIOTI MF. 2007. Anatomy of anuran tadpoles from lentic water bodies: systematic relevance and correlation with feeding habits. *Zootaxa* 1600: 1-175.