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ABSTRACT

This report describes the first prevalence of antibodies and experimental inoculation of suspected samples of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) from ELISA positive pigs from swine herds in Brazil. Based on the hypothesis that this agent is present in swine herds worldwide, the objective of this work was to establish a diagnostic methodology and to investigate the occurrence of PRRSV in Brazilian swine herds. Fifty-four swine herds, the total number which imported genetic material (live pigs or swine semen) from countries where PRRS was endemic from 1990 to December 2000, from eight Brazilian States all included in this study. The sampling used was such as to detect a prevalence of infection of 5%, with a confidence level of 95%. A total of 3785 serum samples were tested for PRRSV antibodies by ELISA. Following the ELISA test, which was performed with two different commercial kits, all serum positive pigs were retested, examined and additional materials were collected. Viral isolation in permissive tissue culture cells and swine bioassays were performed. Additionally, reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and nested RT-PCR were also performed. We could not demonstrate the presence of PRRSV or RNA of PRRSV by viral isolation or RT-PCR (or nested RT-PCR), respectively in all of the analyzed samples. Furthermore, the pigs inoculated with PRRSV suspicion samples did not seroconvert nor produce characteristic PRRS lesions in the swine bioassay. Thus, our results indicate no evidence of PRRSV in the samples analyzed from swine herds in this study.
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INTRODUCTION

In order to improve the genetics of breeding herds, Brazilian hog producers imported genetic material (semen and live animals) originating from countries which are recognized by their genetic technology, such as the North American and Europeans countries. However, this trade could have brought serious problems for the health status of swine Brazilian herds, such as the entrance of disease causing agents present in those countries but not in Brazil, such as the PRRS virus (PRRSV).

PRRSV is an enveloped RNA virus with a genome of 15 Kb, containing 7 open reading frames (ORFs), with 45 to 65 nm of diameter. The ORF 7 encodes a nucleocapsid protein which induces a strong immune response, and it is conserved among different PRRSV isolates. Based on viral genome organization, replication strategy, protein composition and nucleotide sequence, the virus has been included in the Arteriviridae family (MEULENBERG et al., 1993).

PRRS was first described in the United States in 1987 (KEFFABER, 1989), in Europe in 1990 (WENSOVOORT et al., 1991) and later in Asia. The disease was initially called Mystery Disease or Swine Infertility and Respiratory Syndrome (SIRS) (GOYAL, 1993). PRRS is clinically presented by anorexia and respiratory problems in swine of all ages, high mortality in newborn and weaned piglets, low conception rate in breeders and an increase in the abortion rate, stillborns and the occurrence of weak newborns (DONE et al., 1996). PRRSV was isolated in the Netherlands by WENSOVOORT et al. (1991) and afterwards was identified in many countries around the world where it is endemic (COLLINS et al., 1992).

Although there are intranational and international regulations for the transit control of animals, notification of the disease, quarantine, elimination of affected animals, the virus continued to be disseminated around the world (DEWEY, 1997). Some countries have adopted the ELISA test for PRRSV for serological monitoring as a routine. However, those countries which have reported the occurrence of the virus in their herds had reductions in semen and breeders sale. In 1992 PRRS became a B level disease at OIE (International Office of Epizooties), which requires that country members must report their PRRSV status and their control methods (DEWEY, 1997). Other South American countries such as Colombia, Venezuela and Chile, recently, have identified the occurrence of antibodies against PRRSV and typical signs of PRRS in their swine herds (DEWEY 1999; NARANJO, 2001). In all of those countries, the initial source of infection was the introduction, by importation, of infected swine at the beginning of the 90's, or at the end of the 80's, from countries where PRRS was present. In Chile, the viral RNA was identified by RT-PCR and the viral genome sequencing indicated that the Chilean isolate had significant homology with North American PRRSV isolates (NARANJO, 2001).

No disease has yet been reported in Brazil (DEWEY, 1997; 1998; 1999). Although ELISA tests have been performed in serum samples from Brazilian swine herds since 1994 with only negative results, no additional laboratory tests were performed (CIACCI-ZANELLA, 2001). Those studies were from 218 farms from several Brazilian States and a total of 4831 serum samples of swine on several stages of production (breeders, weaners and growers). DEWEY (1998; 1999) confirms that a serum-prevalence study performed by a breeding company between 1996 and 1997 has reported only negative results. Since 1997, swine producers in Brazil have adopted some importation rules such as all imported pigs must be in quarantined, and during this period, be tested negative for PRRSV antibodies. The imported pigs must come from herds free of PRRSV, be tested negative for PRRSV at the origin and during quarantine in Brazil. The serological test used in Brazil is the PRRSV Elisa Herdcheck imported from IDEXX (USA). This is a fast, sensitive test and identifies both North-American and European isolates. However, there are some disadvantages; due to importation rates it has become expensive and it may generate false-positive results, which requires re-testing, thus compromising its specificity. Thus a precise and fast diagnostic is very important, because in the majority of the cases the pigs show no clinical symptoms but are viremic and consequently the virus can be disseminated. In addition to that, PRRS can be misdiagnosed with another viral diseases such as pseudorabies, swine influenza or porcine parvovirus, and this can affect the diagnosis and the control of this syndrome (DONE et al., 1996). The transmission of PRRSV may occur by aerosol, thus the dissemination among pigs in a herd can be fast. The virus may also be isolated from porcine semen, which indicates that PRRSV can be disseminated through artificial insemination (SWENSON et al., 1994; DONE et al., 1996). The presence of PRRSV in the porcine semen can be an additional source of infection and also...
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introduction of the agent into the swine herd. This is an important point in the epidemiology of the disease and a problem for countries free of PRRSV but that import frozen semen from countries in which the virus is present.

In this paper we investigate the presence of antibodies for PRRSV in swine farms in Brazil which imported live pigs or swine semen from 1990 to December of 2000. This survey was a partnership between the Brazilian Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Production and Food Supply (MAPA) and the Embrapa Swine and Poultry Research Center. Swine serum originated from those swine farms were tested and when positive or suspicion samples were detected, further examinations were performed. Laboratory tests such as immunofluorescence antibody, viral isolation, swine bioassays and RT-PCR or nested RT-PCR were performed in order to detect PRRSV antibodies or to isolate PRRSV or identify PRRSV RNA.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A total of 3785 swine serum samples from 54 different farms of eight Brazilian States (Rio Grande do Sul, Santa Catarina, Paraná, São Paulo, Minas Gerais, Mato Grosso, Mato Grosso do Sul and Goiás) were analyzed, being 3442 of the first sampling and 343 of the second. They were sent to the Animal Health Laboratory at Embrapa Swine and Poultry Research Center (Embrapa Suínos e Aves, Concórdia, Santa Catarina, Brazil) for serological tests using a commercial ELISA kit imported from IDEXX Laboratories (HerdChek® PRRS IDEXX ELISA test). The sampling was done at the breeding herd, considering an estimated prevalence of 5% and a confidence level of 95%. Positive samples at the IDEXX ELISA test were also tested by the CIVTEST™ SUIS PRRS (HIPRA).

Immunofluorescence antibody (IFA) test was performed in MARC-145 cell cultures inoculated with PRRSV fixed slides (provided by Fernando Osório, University of Nebraska Lincoln, USA) performed as described previously (MENGELING et al., 1996).

For viral isolation attempts, tissues from the pigs were collected at necropsy (lung, tonsil, lymph nodes, among others), in addition to serum (MENGELING et al., 1995), blood, or tonsil fragment collected at biopsy (DEE & MOLITOR, 1998). Tissues (and also tonsil fragment from biopsies) were pooled and homogenized in F10 – 199 medium containing penicillin (100U/ml) and streptomycin (100µg ml⁻¹) to make approximately a 10% suspension. The sample was clarified, filtered through a 0.22µm – pore – size filter, and used as an inoculum. Blood collected in vials containing EDTA (Vacutainer cat # 6432) was centrifuged to separate PBMC (peripheral blood mononuclear cells) by a single step gradient of Ficoll-Hypaque (NORTH & HENRY, 1980). Viral isolation was carried out in MARC-145 cell culture (monkey kidney cells, (KIM et al., 1993)). Flasks of 25 cm² of semi-confluent MARC-145 cells were inoculated with 1 ml of the inoculum, which could be organs suspension, or sera or 5 x 10⁶ cells / ml of PBMC, and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C in CO₂ atmosphere for virus adsorption. Then, 4 ml of fresh complete medium (F10-199 medium supplemented with 5% FCS and antibiotics) was added, and the flasks were incubated for an additional 16 hours. Following incubation, the complete medium was replaced and the cells were then incubated for a further 72 h (KIM et al., 1993; MENGELING et al., 1996).

RNA from serum or total blood was isolated from positive samples for PRRS antibodies using the TRizol® Reagent (GibcoBRL). RNA from swine organs (lung, tonsil, lymph nodes, fetuses, umbilical cords, among others) previously kept frozen at ~80°C was isolated using the CHOMCZYNSKI & SACCHI (1987) extraction method using guanidine thiocyanate (Promega), phenol and chloroform. Those two different methods were used for different sampling materials due to better RNA yield. The reverse transcriptase reaction and PCR amplification was carried out as described by ALLENDE et al. (2000). Primers used were designed from conserved sequences of the ORF7 (open reading frame 7) (MENG et al., 1995), which codes a viral nucleocapsid protein conserved among different PRRSV isolates (MEULENBERG et al., 1993). Another two sets of primers, outer and nested, derived also from ORF7 sequences, were used for nested RT-PCR (CHRISTOPHER-HENNINGS et al., 1995). Positive control RNA used in these tests was RNA extracted from PRRSV cultivated in MARC-145 cells (provided by Fernando Osório, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, USA).

Swine bioassay for the detection of PRRSV in suspicion samples and further reproduction of the disease was performed as described previously (CHRISTOPHER-HENNINGS et al., 1995). Ten 5–10 day-old piglets seronegative for PRRSV antibodies and from seronegative PRRSV dams were kept in isolators with negative pressure for a period of 30 days. Piglets were inoculated with 5ml of the inoculum (see above), as 1,0ml intranasal (0,5ml in each nostril) and 4,0ml intraperitoneally. Serum samples from inoculated piglets were collected before challenge and weekly for a period of 4 weeks and at necropsy in order to test serum-conversion by the PRRS ELISA.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The goals of this work were to perform a survey for PRRSV antibodies in Brazilian domestic swine, investigate possible positive results by more specific tests and also to implement diagnostic analysis in our laboratories for further PRRSV monitory. Although this was a preliminary study, it comprehended swine herds from major swine production States in Brazil (ABIPRENS, 2003) and the sampling used in this study was obtained from swine herds from the 08 states which officially imported genetic material from countries where PRRS was endemic. Thus, we understood that the only way that the PRRSV could enter in Brazil was by importation of semen or live pigs, as occurred in another South American, and other countries around the world (DEWEY 1999; NARANJO, 2001). Thus, this surveillance was based in a concern of the swine producers, veterinarians and technical personnel from the Animal Health Defense Secretary from the Brazilian Agriculture, Animal Production and Food Supply Ministry.

The occurrence of single reactors in those studied herds moved us to develop and to establish complementary and more sensitive laboratorial diagnostic tests. We were able to implement techniques to identify PRRSV RNA or to perform viral isolation in vitro (tissue culture cells) or in vivo (swine bioassay) in those suspicion samples positive by ELISA. Figure 1 shows the description of the PRRS survey.

**Seroprevalence of PRRSV in studied Brazilian swine herds**

All 3442 serum samples were tested by the HerdChek® PRRS IDEXX ELISA test. The positive samples were re-tested in at least two independent tests. From the tested animals, 27 samples (0.78%) were positive [sample to positive ratio (S/P) = 0.4] by the ELISA test and 04 samples (S/P > 0.2 and = 0.4) were suspicion, totaling 31 positive/suspicion samples [0.9% (Apparent Prevalence = ApP)] and 3411 (99.1%) negatives. The test manufacturers affirm that this test is able to detect antibodies for both American and European PRRSV serotypes. They also inform that their test offers a sensitivity (Se) of 1.0 and specificity (Sp) of 0.995 with positive samples reaching a S/P ≥ 0.4 (COLLINS et al, 1996). With these characteristics it is estimated that the actual prevalence (AcP) is 0.4%, (PIFFER & GUIDONI, 1997). In addition to that, with this prevalence, the predictive positive value for this test is 55% as the predictive value for the negative sample is 1.0. This indicates that the probability of a positive serum to be really positive is equal to 55%.

\[
AcP = \frac{ApP + Sp - 1}{Se + Sp - 1}
\]

\[
AcP = \frac{0.009 + 0.995 - 1}{1 + 0.995 - 1}
\]

\[
AcP = 0.4%
\]

In other words, the predictive values measure the confidence of the test and they depend, not only in the test characteristic but also in the prevalence, which is very low or null (PIFFER & GUIDONI, 1997). The hypothesis of the nullity of the serologic prevalence of this test for PRRS was confirmed when all positive samples from the IDEXX ELISA test were submitted to the CIVTEST® SUIS PRRS (HIPRA), when all results were negative, following the criteria for positively or negativity recommended by the manufacturer. Considering that PRRS is a disease of high contagiously, if this disease existed in our herds, the prevalence indices would be superior to those found in this study. A second sampling of serum was performed with 343 samples of suspicion animals (litters and close contacts). Serum samples from farms of three Brazilian states were received. Following the retest of the five retested farms, only seven animals resulted positive.

**Viral isolation**

All serum samples from pigs positive/suspicion on the ELISA test, swine organs, PBMC from blood and tonsil fragments from biopsies were inoculated in PRRSV susceptible tissue culture cells
MARC-145. No cytopathic effect (CPE) was observed even after 7 days pi. Negative results indicate the absence of infective virus particles due to negative PRRSV samples, or absence of viremia at the moment of blood collection or virus inactivation due the inadequate conservation of the swine samples.

**Immunofluorescence antibody test (IFA) for PRRSV**

None of the 38 serum samples tested were positive at the IFA test. Those samples included the 31 positive/suspicion samples and seven negative serum samples at the ELISA test.

**Swine bioassay**

Piglets were inoculated IP with an inoculum (pool of collected samples) prepared from material collected from five herds in which seven pigs resulted positive at the ELISA test. For the period of four weeks, none of the inoculated piglet presented characteristic PRRS symptoms and the ELISA test for all weekly tested pigs was negative. This result indicates that no serum-conversion occurred. At the end of the experiment, pigs were euthanized and analyzed by histopathology (histop.), ELISA, viral isolation and RT-PCR (and nested RT-PCR).
virus particles at the viral isolation on MARC-145 cells or PRRSV RNA by RT-PCR using ORF7 primers.

**RT-PCR for PRRSV**

All RNA samples from positive serum at the ELISA test, swine organs from positive pigs at the ELISA test and from piglets from the swine bioassay were negative. No amplification was detected also when a different set of primers (nested RT-PCR) was used. Figure 2 shows an example of the RT-PCR assay for PRRSV that was carried out on routine. All of those samples were from pigs positive at the ELISA test.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The serological tests performed in the joint EMBRAPA and MAPA study indicated a prevalence less than 0.4% in the tested swine population, and this number does not fit with the epidemiological characteristics of PRRSV around the world. All samples tested by RT-PCR and viral isolation were also negative. It is important to mention that those results are from surveys carried out on swine farms, which originated from the major swine producing States in Brazil. In spite of the fact that some serum samples have given positive results using the ELISA test, we have no knowledge of an outbreak or even clinical diagnosis, viral isolation or detection of PRRSV RNA in Brazil. Although our results indicate no evidence of the PRRS in Brazil, which give a positive advantage face other swine producing countries, it is necessary to constantly monitor Brazilian swine populations to avoid the introduction and dissemination of this virus in the Brazilian herds.
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