



Ciência Rural

ISSN: 0103-8478

cienciarural@mail.ufsm.br

Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Brasil

Dal'Col Lúcio, Alessandro; Benz, Vilson
Accuracy in the estimates of zucchini production related to the plot size and number of
harvests
Ciência Rural, vol. 47, núm. 1, 2017, pp. 1-5
Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Santa Maria, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=33148021012>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal
Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative



Accuracy in the estimates of zucchini production related to the plot size and number of harvests

Alessandro Dal'Col Lúcio¹ Vilson Benz²

¹Departamento de Fitotecnia, Centro de Ciências Rurais (CCR), Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), 97105-900, Santa Maria, RS, Brasil. E-mail: adlucio@ufsm.br. Corresponding author.

²Colégio Politécnico da Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), Santa Maria, RS, Brasil.

ABSTRACT: *The productive variability in horticultural crops affects the planning and quality of the experiments, inflating the error and leading to wrong conclusions with low experimental accuracy and reliability. The objective of this study was to dimension the plot size and number of harvests that are necessary so that there is improvement in the accuracy of zucchini production. The production data used was the one from the uniformity trials where the basic units (BU) were identified with the number of crop row and were numbered according to the position inside the row. With the values of individual production, (fresh fruit biomass) different plots sizes were simulated by summing up the adjacent BUs in the crop rows and the two forms of harvesting groups. For each plot size simulated and their respective harvests, a variation coefficient (%) was estimated at the crop row. A response surface design was applied, with a dependent variable in the variation coefficient and, with the independent variable, the plot size and number of harvests. Best combination between plot size and number of harvests is seven plants and half the productive cycle in both growing seasons.*

Key words: *Cucurbita pepo*, uniformity trial, multiple regression.

Precisão nas estimativas de produção de abobrinha italiana relacionado ao tamanho da parcela e número de colheitas

RESUMO: *A variabilidade produtiva em cultivos olerícolas afeta o planejamento e a qualidade de experimentos, inflacionando o erro e levando a conclusões errôneas, com baixa precisão experimental e confiabilidade. O objetivo deste estudo foi dimensionar o tamanho da parcela e o número de colheitas necessárias para que haja melhoria da precisão nas estimativas de produção de abobrinha italiana. Foram utilizados dados de produção de ensaios de uniformidade em que as unidades básicas (UB) foram identificadas com o número da linha de cultivo e numeradas conforme a posição dentro da linha. Com os valores da produção individual (fitomassa fresca de frutos), foram simulados diferentes tamanhos de parcela somando as UBs adjacentes na linha de cultivo e duas formas de agrupamentos de colheita. Para cada tamanho de parcela simulado e suas respectivas colheitas, foi estimado o coeficiente de variação (%) na linha de cultivo. Foi aplicado o modelo de superfície de resposta, com variável dependente, o coeficiente de variação e, independentes, o tamanho de parcela e o número de colheitas. A melhor combinação entre o tamanho de parcela e o número de colheitas é de sete plantas e metade do ciclo produtivo, em ambas estações de cultivo.*

Palavras-chave: *Cucurbita pepo*, ensaio de uniformidade, regressão múltipla.

INTRODUCTION

Horticulture is a highly intensive activity in its most different aspects; it requires high technology and is in constant change, being more demanding regarding the quality of technical information than other areas. Horticulture exploration is identified by the reduced size of the occupied physical area, but it is highly used in both space and time (FILGUEIRA, 2003).

In the last decade, while the production and productivity of vegetables in Brazil increased 33% and 38%; respectively, the area was reduced in 5%. The Southeast and South Brazilian regions hold

three quarters of the production volume, while the Northeast and Midwest regions produced 25% of the total (MELO & VILELA, 2007).

So the additions of new technologies would generate production of vegetables, and that is only possible through the application of experimentation with consistent techniques that allow the control of experimental accuracy and reliability of inferences, keeping residual variability at an acceptable and suitable level. Thus, the experimental research is crucial for the application of techniques with the intent of improving quality of the experiments and; consequently, reliability of the results obtained.

Definitions of size, form of plot, number of repetitions, size of sample and experimental outline, among other characteristics, are directly influenced by the variability inherent to the experiment (STEEL et al., 1997). This variability also interferes when analyzing the results statistically, inflating the experimental error estimate, leading the researcher to interpretations and conclusions that have low experimental accuracy and reliability in the results. Factors such as the presence or absence of fruits harvested, damages caused to the plants due to the harvesting, the heterogeneity of soil fertility, small differences in the amount of water for irrigation, differences in draining, trampling among the crop rows, occurrence of insect pests, diseases and weed, are variability sources and should be minimized in the performance of experiments in protected cultivation.

Authors such as LOPES et al. (1998), LORENTZ et al. (2005), LÚCIO et al. (2008), CARPES et al. (2008) and COUTO et al. (2009) have pointed out that there is a significant variability between the crop rows and the harvests done, regardless of the horticulture assessed and that such variability alters in a significant way the estimates of the sample sizes, types of sampling, size and form of plot, experimental outline and enough number of harvests to better differentiate between the treatments studied.

One of the alternatives to evaluate the experimental area is the use of uniformity tests, also known as uniformity trials, where the area is cultivated throughout the length with a certain species and identical cultural practices, without applying treatments. After that, the area is divided in small plots, and the production of each plot is measured separately, in a way that the yield of plots may be summed up to plot form of different sizes and forms (STORCK et al., 2006). From data generated in uniformity trials, it is possible to identify the behavior of the productive variability among the plots and among the harvests.

There are several papers defining the number of plants per plot in experiments with horticulture of multiple harvests (MELLO et al., 2004; LORENTZ et al., 2005; CARPES et al., 2010; LÚCIO et al. 2010; SANTOS et al., 2012). However, there is a lack of papers that associate this size to the number of harvests that should be done so that there is a smaller variability in the production data collected and, consequently, greater experimental accuracy. If the lowest variability is associated with a low number of harvests, it is possible to reduce the time necessary for the assessment of treatments, in case that is

possible, and it is not necessary to wait until the end of the crop cycle, saving time, resources and avoiding a situation where the variables collected are subject to greater variations in the observed values.

The objective of this study was to estimate the plot size and number of harvests that are necessary so that there is improvement in the accuracy of zucchini fruits production estimates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data used was from the uniformity trials, without the application of treatments, made in protected cultivation with the crop of zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo*), in the Crop Sciences Department at Federal University of Santa Maria, with coordinates 29° 43' 23" S and 53° 43' 15" W and altitude of 95m. Two uniformity trials were carried out in a greenhouse, using caserta cultivar. The first one in the summer-autumn season and the second one in the winter-spring season, composed by eight rows of 20 plants, with a space of 0.9m between the plants and 1m between the rows, where each plant constituted a basic unit (BU). Fruits were harvested when presented the length of approximately 18cm allowing 12 harvests in the first trial and 30 in the second one. The number of harvests in the winter-spring season was greater because climatic conditions are more favorable for growth and fruits development.

In the trials, the BUs were identified with the number of crop row and were numbered according to their position inside the row. With the values of individual production (fresh fruit biomass), different plots sizes (1, 2, 4, 5, and 10) were simulated by summing up the adjacent BUs in the crop rows and the two forms of harvesting groups. The first form of grouping was with the sum of consecutive harvests, as follows: (1st), (1st + 2nd), (1st + 2nd + 3rd), ... until the total of crop harvests is reached. The second form of grouping is described on table 1. Different forms of crop group were used in order to identify which of magnitude greater decrease in the percentage of nulls in fruit production and; therefore, to variability in the data. For each plot size simulated and their respective harvests, a variation coefficient (%) was estimated at the crop row.

To estimate the plot size and the number of harvests necessary to reduce variability of the experiments, a second-order polynomial regression was used, described by Neter and Wasserman (1974) as: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_{11} X_1^2 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_{22} X_2^2 + \beta_{12} X_1 X_2 + \varepsilon$ where Y, as a dependent variable, is the variation

Table 1 - Harvesting groups simulated for the crops of zucchini.

-----Uniformity trials-----		
Groupings	1st	2nd
	Individuals	Individuals
	2 to 2	2 to 2
	3 to 3	3 to 3
	4 to 4	5 to 5
	6 to 6	6 to 6
	Total	10 to 10
		15 to 15
		Total

coefficient between the plots inside the crop row and X_1 and X_2 , as independent variables, are respectively the plot sizes simulated and harvests carried out.

The model was rewritten in matrix notation

$\bar{Y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + X' \hat{a} + X' \hat{A} X$, in which: $X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$ contains the values of the pair whose answer's

estimate is desired; $\hat{a} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ formed by the linear

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{11} & \frac{\hat{\beta}_{12}}{2} \\ \frac{\hat{\beta}_{21}}{2} & \hat{\beta}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

coefficients of the equation; and, is composed by the quadratic coefficients and linear interaction of the model. For the estimated response surface a response function of the critical point was estimated by $X^* = \frac{-1}{2} \hat{A}^{-1} \hat{a}$. The maximum or minimum nature of the critical point was identified by the signal of the eigenvalues associated to the matrix \hat{A} , that is, you find the values $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ so that the determinant of $(\hat{A} - \lambda I)$ equals zero (I = identity matrix). Parameters of regression equations were estimated by the least squares method, using the statistic package SOC (NTIA/EMBRAPA, 1989).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Through the second-order polynomial equation, it was possible to identify the critical point that minimizes the variation coefficient of the zucchini fresh fruit biomass for the combination of independent variables, plot size and harvesting group. Generally, plot size varied approximately from six to eight basic units in both growing seasons and the harvesting group was from seven to 17 in the

summer-autumn season and winter-spring season, respectively (Table 2).

Models obtained with the first form of grouping always provided lower determination coefficients (R^2) when compared to the second form of grouping, regardless of the cultivation season (Table 2). According to HAIR et al. (2009), R^2 is the proportional measurement of the variance of the dependent variable around its mean, which is explained by the independent variables and, if the regression model is properly applied and estimated, the researcher may presume that the higher the value of R^2 , the higher the power of explanation of the regression equation and the higher the power to predict the dependent variable. In this case, the models estimated with the second form of grouping have a higher power of explanation compared to the first one.

The higher value of R^2 in the second form of grouping is related to the number of observations because, as an example, when grouping the harvests two by two in the first crop there is a total of 48 values of VC and in the first form of grouping, there are eight VC values. The use of a greater number of VC values of the rows resulted in a lower variability in the estimated model and; therefore, a higher R^2 .

As expected, in the smaller plots and harvesting groups, the highest variation coefficient values were reported. There is a VC reduction with the increase of the plot size and with the increase in the number of harvests that the VC reduces in the intermediate groupings.

The point that minimizes the VC is located in plot sizes and intermediate harvests. In the summer-autumn season, with the grouping of seven harvests, it is characterized as practically half the productive cycle (12 harvests) and in the winter-spring season, with groups of 17 harvests, it is also practically half of the cycle (30 harvests). This result indicate that as harvests go by, the production of plants tends to homogenize and that the beginning and the end of the productive periods are the moments in which the fruits fresh mass has higher variability within the crop rows.

CARGNELUTTI FILHO et al. (2004) reported higher values of VC in the beginning and in the end of the harvests of tomatoes because in those development stages, the beginning and end of the fruit production are not uniform among the plants and; consequently, alternate their productions. LÚCIO et al. (2010), also with tomatoes, seen that the bigger production of fruits is focused mainly at half productive cycle and that in the end of the cycle the

Table 2 - Polynomial equations of second degree adjusted and their respective determination coefficients (R^2) in two cultivation seasons of zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo*) (S), in two forms of grouping (G), with a critical point of minimum to the plot size (X_1) and harvesting group (X_2).

S ¹	G ²	Fitted equation	X_1	X_2	R^2
1	1	$VC\% = 194.228 - 21.615 X_1 + 1.135 X_1^2 - 28.086 X_2 + 1.498 X_2^2 + 0.636 X_1 X_2$	7.32	7.81	0.70
1	2	$VC\% = 205.968 - 30.910 X_1 + 1.777 X_1^2 - 30.897 X_2 + 1.610 X_2^2 + 1.046 X_1 X_2$	6.49	7.48	0.90
2	1	$VC\% = 135.577 - 13.959 X_1 + 0.618 X_1^2 - 10.098 X_2 + 0.231 X_2^2 + 0.246 X_1 X_2$	7.76	17.65	0.58
2	2	$VC\% = 123.876 - 19.345 X_1 + 1.094 X_1^2 - 10.035 X_2 + 0.230 X_2^2 + 0.379 X_1 X_2$	5.90	16.93	0.84

¹: S1 = summer-autumn; S2 = winter-spring; ²: G1 = (1^a). (1^a+2^a). (1^a+2^a+3^a). ...; G2 = table 1.

variability increases due to the physiological aspects of the plant because they go to a senescence state.

FEIJÓ et al. (2005) when assessing different intervals of the harvest saw that the use of the initial half of the zucchini productive period in a greenhouse and six plants by plot are enough to estimate the experimental error. This result agrees with what was observed, suggesting that the intermediate harvests are more homogeneous and that its use with an appropriate plot size increases the experimental accuracy.

In all the cases, it was possible to identify the VC minimum point. It is observed that there was a rapid reduction of the variation coefficient due to the accumulation of few harvests or small increase of the plot size used. This is because many times the plants do not have fruits or they are not appropriate for being harvested yet, resulting in null values of production and increasing variability inside the crop rows. LÚCIO et al. (2010) also realized that there was a reduction in the magnitude of this indicator of accuracy due to the filling out of gaps formed by plants that were not harvested, resulting in the increase of the mean.

There was a difference in the number of total harvests on both cultivation seasons (in the first season with twelve harvests and in the second with 30 harvests). This difference influenced mainly the definition of better grouping of harvests to be used, indicating that in times that are favorable for cultivation, where there is great growth and fruit production, there is the need for conducting the highest number of harvests so that there is a reduction in the variability between the plots in the crop rows. According to FILGUEIRA (2003), the crops of zucchini produced and developed better when the temperatures are mild; cold is not favorable while

elevated temperatures harm the pollination and compromise productivity. Authors such as LÚCIO et al. (2008) and CARPES et al. (2010) saw differences in productivity of zucchini among experiments in several cultivation seasons, attributing as the main factor the variability that exists in the sunshine and in the air mean temperature during the performance of the experiments. STRASSBURGER et al. (2011), assessing the dynamics of growing zucchini, seen that the availability of solar radiation of the cultivation period affects strongly the production and distribution of dry matter, as well as all the growth indexes of the crops of zucchini, and the growth rates of the crop and fruits are higher when the solar radiation is higher.

For the second cultivation season (winter/spring), generally, the values of VC in the response surface were smaller than the first season (summer/autumn). Like in the first season of cultivation, there was a smaller number of harvests and few of them were said as intermediate, which possibly are the most uniform in production. Reduction of VC was also always higher in the second form of grouping harvests, and this possibly attributed to the way in which the groups were formed, enabling intermediate harvests to be included in a smaller number of plots and number of harvests.

Usually, when considering the crop rows as blocks, the definition of plot size is made through the multiple of the number of basic units available inside the rows. Considering the VC minimum point reported in both cultivation seasons, the closest dimensions that can be used, in this situation, are five or ten basic units. However, when choosing the bigger plot size, there will be fewer of them available for the application of treatments. In this scenario, the use plot of five basic units and the performance of seven to 17 harvests for the summer-autumn and winter-

spring seasons, respectively, would be the most efficient combinations for the reduction of variability in the production estimates with greater utilization of protected environment, time and resources. In case the researcher is interested in the total of harvests, it is possible to see that the reduction of VC is sharper in plots of four and eight basic units where there is certain variability stabilization; in this case, the use of plots formed by five BUs continues to be a good strategy. However, the researcher will find greater variability in the production comparing to the use of a smaller number of harvests.

CONCLUSION

The best combination between the plot size and the number of harvests to obtain smaller values for the variation coefficient is of seven plants and half the productive cycle in winter/spring and summer/autumn cultivation seasons.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the financial support of the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq/Brasil).

REFERENCES

- CARGNELUTTI FILHO, A. et al. Número de colheitas e comparação de genótipos de tomateiro cultivados em estufa de plástico. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, v.39, p.953-959, 2004. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0100-204X2004001000002>. Accessed: Nov. 02, 2014. doi: 10.1590/S0100-204X2004001000002.
- CARPES, R.H. et al. Ausência de frutos colhidos e suas interferências na variabilidade da fitomassa de frutos de abobrinha italiana cultivada em diferentes sistemas de irrigação. *Revista Ceres*, v.55, p.590-595, 2008. Available from: <<http://www.ceres.ufv.br/ojs/index.php/ceres/article/view/3377/1267>>. Accessed: Jan. 15, 2015.
- CARPES, R.H. et al. Variabilidade produtiva e agrupamentos de colheitas de abobrinha italiana cultivada em ambiente protegido. *Ciência Rural*, v.40, p.294-301, 2010. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?pid=S0103-84782010000200007&script=sci_arttext>. Accessed: Nov. 07, 2014. doi: 10.1590/S0103-847820100005000007.
- COUTO, M.R.M. et al. Transformação de dados em experimentos com abobrinha italiana em ambiente protegido. *Ciência Rural*, v.39, p.1701-1707, 2009. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0103-84782009000600011>. Accessed: Feb. 22, 2015. doi: 10.1590/S0103-847820090005000110.
- FEIJÓ, S. et al. Repetibilidade da produção de frutos de abobrinha italiana. *Revista Brasileira de Agrociência*, v.11, p.39-43, 2005. Available from: <<https://periodicos.ufpel.edu.br/ojs2/index.php/CAST/article/viewFile/1165/960>>. Accessed: Nov.20, 2008.
- FILGUEIRA, F.A.R. Agrotecnologia moderna na produção e comercialização de hortaliças. In: _____. **Novo manual de olericultura**. 2.ed. Viçosa: UFV, 2003. 412p.
- LOPES, S.J. et al. Técnicas experimentais para tomateiro tipo salada sob estufas plásticas. *Ciência Rural*, v.28, p.193-197, 1998. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0103-84781998000200002>. Accessed: Jan. 26, 2013. doi: 10.1590/S0103-84781998000200002.
- LORENTZ, L.H. et al. Variabilidade da produção de frutos de pimentão em estufa plástica. *Ciência Rural*, v.35, p.316-323, 2005. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?pid=S0103-84782005000200011&script=sci_arttext>. Accessed: Jul. 05, 2014. doi: 10.1590/S0103-84782005000200011.
- LÚCIO, A.D. et al. Variância e média da massa de frutos de abobrinha-italiana em múltiplas colheitas. *Horticultura Brasileira*, v.26, p.335-341, 2008. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?pid=S0102-05362008000300009&script=sci_arttext>. Accessed: May 02, 2013. doi: 10.1590/S0102-05362008000300009.
- LÚCIO, A.D. et al. Agrupamento de colheitas de tomate e estimativas do tamanho de parcela em cultivo protegido. *Horticultura Brasileira*, v.28, p.190-196, 2010. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0102-05362010000200009>. Accessed: Dec. 05, 2014. doi: 10.1590/S0102-05362010000200009.
- MELO, P.C.T.; VILELA, N.J. Importância da cadeia produtiva brasileira de hortaliças. In: REUNIÃO ORDINÁRIA DA CÂMARA SETORIAL DA CADEIA PRODUTIVA DE HORTALIÇAS, 13., 2007, Brasília, DF. **Anais...** MAPA: Brasília, 2007. Available from: <http://www.abhorticultura.com.br/downloads/cadeia_produtiva.pdf>. Accessed: May 12, 2012.
- MELLO, R.M. et al. Size and form of plots for the culture of the italian pumpkin in plastic greenhouse. *Scientia Agricola*, v.61, p.457-461, 2004. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?pid=S0103-90162004000400017&script=sci_arttext>. Accessed: Ago. 13, 2014. doi: 10.1590/S0103-90162004000400017.
- NTIA/EMBRAPA. Núcleo tecnológico para informática. **SOC - Software científico**. Campinas, 1989. 548p.
- SANTOS, D. et al. Tamanho ótimo de parcela para a cultura do feijão-vagem. *Revista Ciência Agronômica*, v.43, p.119-128, 2012. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1806-66902012000100015>. Accessed: May 18, 2015. doi: 10.1590/S1806-66902012000100015.
- STEEL, R.G.D. et al. **Principles and procedures of statistics: a biometrical approach**. New York: McGraw- Hill, 1997. 666p.
- STORCK, L. et al. **Experimentação vegetal**. Santa Maria: UFSM, 2006. 198p.
- STRASSBURGER, A.S. et al. Dinâmica de crescimento da abobrinha italiana em duas estações de cultivo. *Acta Scientiarum Agronomy*, v.33, p.283-289, 2011. Available from: <<http://periodicos.uem.br/ojs/index.php/ActaSciAgron/article/view/5952/5952>>. Accessed: Nov. 19, 2014. doi:10.4025/actasciagron.v33i2.5952.