



Ciência Rural

ISSN: 0103-8478

cienciarural@mail.ufsm.br

Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Brasil

Dias Júnior, Gilson Sebastião; Silveira, Vítor Augusto; Ascari, Ivan Júnior; Apocalypse Nogueira Pereira, Renata; de Arruda Camargo Danés, Marina; Neves Pereira, Marcos
Replacement of raw soybean with roasted soybean increased milk production in Holstein cows

Ciência Rural, vol. 47, núm. 5, 2017, pp. 1-7
Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Santa Maria, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=33150130009>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

Replacement of raw soybean with roasted soybean increased milk production in Holstein cows

Gilson Sebastião Dias Júnior¹ Vítor Augusto Silveira¹ Ivan Júnior Ascari¹
Renata Apocalypse Nogueira Pereira² Marina de Arruda Camargo Danés¹ Marcos Neves Pereira^{1*}

¹Departamento de Zootecnia, Universidade Federal de Lavras (UFLA), 37200-000, Lavras, MG, Brasil. E-mail: mpereira@dzo.ufla.br.
*Corresponding author.

²Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária de Minas Gerais (EPAMIG), Lavras, MG, Brasil.

ABSTRACT: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of total replacement of raw whole soybean (RAW) for roasted whole soybean (ROS) on the production performance of Holstein cows. Two experiments were carried out using a simple reversal design where RAW has been completely replaced by ROS. In experiment 1, 22 cows (175±60 days in milk) were used, and the dietary inclusion level of RAW or ROS was 3.7% of dry matter (DM). In experiment 2, 16 cows (130±50 days in milk) were used, and the dietary inclusion level of RAW or ROS was 11% of DM. In both experiments, ROS increased milk production by 1.1 kg day⁻¹ without changing fat and protein production. Dry matter intake or milk urea nitrogen were not affected by dietary soy source. In experiment 2, plasma glucose concentration was decreased, and allantoin/creatinine ratio in urine tended to decrease in ROS. Experiment 2 also evaluated the nutrient digestibility and ruminal degradation kinetics of crude protein in two soybean sources. Roasting had no effect on the digestibility of DM, organic matter, and neutral detergent fiber. Roasted whole soybean had greater fraction B and lower protein degradation rate than did RAW; this showed that heat treatment was effective in increasing the rumen undegradable amino acid flow to the animal, which suggested a potential mechanism of action for improved performance observed in ROS.

Key words: non-degradable protein, roasting, milk production.

Substituição de soja crua por soja tostada aumentou a produção de leite em vacas da raça Holandesa

RESUMO: O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar o efeito da substituição total de soja integral crua (SC) por soja integral tostada (ST) sobre o desempenho produtivo de vacas Holandesas. Foram realizados dois experimentos com delineamento experimental de reversão simples nos quais a SC foi totalmente substituída por ST. No experimento 1, foram utilizadas 22 vacas (175±60 DEL) e o nível de inclusão dietética de SC ou ST foi de 3,7% na matéria seca (MS). No experimento 2, foram utilizadas 16 vacas (130±50 DEL) e o nível de inclusão dietética de SC ou ST foi de 11% na MS. Em ambos os experimentos, ST aumentou a produção de leite em 1,1 kg d⁻¹, sem alterar as produções de gordura e proteína. O consumo de MS e nitrogênio uréico no leite não foram afetados pela fonte de soja dietética. No experimento 2, a concentração de glicose plasmática foi reduzida e a relação alantoina/creatinina na urina tendeu a ser reduzida por ST. O experimento 2 também avaliou a digestibilidade de nutrientes e a cinética de degradação ruminal da proteína bruta das duas fontes de soja. Não houve efeito da tostagem nas digestibilidades da MS, matéria orgânica e FDN. A ST apresentou maior fração B e menor taxa de degradação da proteína do que a SC, mostrando que o tratamento térmico foi efetivo em aumentar o fluxo de aminoácidos não degradáveis no rúmen para o animal, sugerindo um potencial mecanismo de ação para a melhora no desempenho observada com ST.

Palavras-chave: proteína não degradável no rúmen, tostagem, produção de leite.

INTRODUCTION

Soy is the main source of true protein for dairy cows in Brazil and is mainly in the form of soybean meal, which features high ruminal degradability (around 65% crude protein (CP)). This fact, coupled with the prohibition of using protein sources of animal origin, limits the formulation of diets rich in rumen undegradable protein (RUP). The replacement of proteinaceous food by high ruminal degradability foods rich in RUP might increase the metabolizable amino acid flow to the animal

(NRC, 2001). Heat treatment of whole soybean is an alternative for reducing protein degradability (ABDI et al., 2013).

However, results presented in the literature regarding the responses in farm animals fed with heat-treated soy diets are conflicting. Studies have shown that the substitution of raw soybean (RAW) by roasted soybean (ROS) is responsible for increasing milk production (FALDET & SATTER, 1991; FATHI NASRI et al., 2007), which emphasized the importance of raising the dietary content of RUP. Roasted whole soybean can supply dietary amino

acids in non-degradable form in the rumen, which can stimulate milk production (FALDET & SATTER, 1991). However, others studies on the replacement of RAW for ROS did not affect milk production (AMANLOU et al., 2012; ABDI et al., 2013).

Differences in responses in production reported in the literature can be attributed to several factors such as lactation stage and milk production rate (FATHI NASRI et al., 2007). Furthermore, the efficiency of the roasting process as a means of reducing protein degradability in soybean depends on the time and processing temperature. Excessive heat treatment can reduce protein digestibility and the availability of certain amino acids in the small intestine (SCOTT et al., 1991; FATHI NASRI et al., 2008). Thus, it is important to determine the ruminal degradability of protein in foods subjected to heat treatment. However, it is possible to observe a low number of studies with regard to the efficiency of the roasting process in ruminal degradability. In addition, there are no reports in the literature evaluating the use of ROS in low dietary inclusion levels.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the replacement of RAW for ROS on two dietary inclusion levels on the production performance of dairy cows. In addition, it aimed to investigate the ruminal degradation kinetics of protein from two soybean sources to evaluate the effectiveness of heat treatment in increasing RUP flow. The hypothesis is that the replacement of RAW for ROS improves the production performance of dairy cows due to an increase in rumen undegradable amino acid flow to the animal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were performed at the Better Nature Research Center (Ijaci, Minas Gerais, Brazil) where the animals were housed in a "tie-stall" barn with *ad libitum* access to water. In experiment 1, 22 Holstein cows (175±60 days in milk) were paired based on calving order and milk yield and randomly assigned to a treatment sequence delineating simple reversal design with two periods of 13 days and 10 days to adapt to treatment.

The complete diet (Table 1) was offered twice daily at approximately 06:00 and 14:00h in amounts to provide 10.0% orts (as-fed basis). Dry matter (DM) content (oven-drying at 105°C) of corn silage was monitored weekly to adjust the proportion of fresh ingredients and to ensure the constant composition of ingredients (% DM) of the diet.

The two treatments consisted of the inclusion of 3.7% of DM offered daily from RAW or

ROS. Both soy sources (Alfa Nutrisoja, Cooperalfa, Chapecó, Brazil) originated from the same lot differing only in heat treatment. In the roasting process, steam injection was used (125°C for 10 to 15min and 2kgf cm⁻²). Raw soybeans were processed in a fodder disintegrator without sieves.

Individual cow intake was calculated between 11 and 13 days, by recording the amount of feed offered and orts (as-fed basis). Samples of ingredients and orts were collected daily, stored at -20°C, and a compound period was formed from equal amounts of each daily sample. Composite samples were dried in forced-air oven at 55°C for 72h and ground through a 1-mm screen in a Wiley mill (A.H. Thomas, Philadelphia, PA). The DM content was determined by drying at 100°C for 24h and CP was determined by micro-Kjeldahl analysis (AOAC, 1990). Ether extract was analyzed according to AOAC (1990). Ash was analyzed by incineration at 550°C for 8h. The NDF was analyzed using a TE-149 fiber analyzer (Tecnal Equipamentos, Piracicaba, Brazil) with amylase and sodium sulfide.

Individual daily milk yield was recorded between 11 and 13 days, and a daily sample was obtained from the three milking collected in proportion to the production of each milking. These samples were placed in vials with bronopol to determine the fat, protein, lactose, and total solid content (APCBRH, Curitiba, Brazil), through optical and infrared systems (Bentley 2000, Bentley Instruments Inc., Chaska, MN). The analysis of milk urea nitrogen (MUN) concentration was performed (Chemspec 150 - Bentley Instruments®) using the Berthelot method (BERGMEYER, 1985). Milk energy secretion (Milke, Mcal day⁻¹) was calculated as $[(0.0929 \times \% \text{fat}) + (0.0547 \times \% \text{protein}) + (0.0395 \times \% \text{lactose})] \times \text{kg of milk}$ (NRC, 2001). Energy corrected milk (ECM, kg day⁻¹) was calculated as $\text{ECM} = \text{Milke} / 0.70$ (assumes 0.70 Mcal kg⁻¹ for milk with 3.7% fat, 3.2% protein, and 4.6% lactose).

In experiment 2, 16 Holstein cows (130±50 days in milk) were paired based on calving order and milk yield, and randomly assigned to a treatment sequence delineating simple reversal design with two periods of 21 days and 14 days to adapt to treatment. Treatments were the same as in experiment 1 with the exception of dietary inclusion level of RAW or ROS, which was 11% of DM (Table 1). Diets were offered at 07:00 and 15:00h in amounts to provide 10.0% orts (as-fed basis).

Individual cow intake was calculated between 16 and 20 days of each period, by recording the amount of feed offered and orts (as-fed basis). Collections of

Table 1 - Composition of diets offered in ingredients, and consumed diets in nutrients (% dry matter) in raw soybean (RAW) and roasted soybean (ROS) treatments (Experiments 1 and 2).

	-----Experiment 1-----		-----Experiment 2-----	
	RAW	ROS	RAW	ROS
-----Diet ingredient, % ofDM-----				
Corn silage	47.7	47.7	46.9	46.9
Ground raw whole soybeans	3.7	-	11.0	-
Ground roasted whole soybeans	-	3.7	-	10.9
coarsely ground roasted whole soybeans				
Finely ground corn	16.0	16.0	10.7	10.7
Soybean meal	16.9	16.9	13.0	13.0
Citrus pulp	12.3	12.3	16.2	16.2
HMBi ¹	0.12	0.12	-	-
Urea	0.4	0.4	-	-
Magnesium oxide	0.2	0.2	-	-
Sodium bicarbonate	0.8	0.8	1.3	1.3
Salt	0.3	0.3	-	-
Limestone	1.3	1.3	-	-
Minerals and vitamins ²	0.3	0.3	-	-
Minerals and vitamins ³	-	-	1.0	1.0
-----Nutrient composition, % ofDM-----				
CP	17.6	17.7	15.9	16.3
NDF	35.0	34.9	36.4	37.3
Ether extract	4.9	4.8	5.1	5.3
Ash	8.5	8.5	6.0	6.1
Non fiber carbohydrates ⁴	34.0	34.1	36.5	34.7

¹2-hydroxy-4-(methylthio) butanoic acid (Metaspart, Adisseo Inc., Antony, France). ²20.0% Ca; 15.0% P; 3.0% S; 3.0% Mg; 100mgkg⁻¹ of Co; 3,000mgkg⁻¹ of Cu; 180mgkg⁻¹ of I; 3,000mgkg⁻¹ of Mn; 12,000mgkg⁻¹ of Zn; 100,000UIkg⁻¹ of vitamin A; 250,000UIkg⁻¹ of vitamin D₃; 6,250UIkg⁻¹ of vitamin E. ³18.5% of Ca; 15.0% of P; 30.0% of S; 30.0% of Mg; 240mgkg⁻¹ of Co; 3,000mgkg⁻¹ of Cu; 180mgkg⁻¹ of I; 8,000mgkg⁻¹ of Mn; 12,000mgkg⁻¹ of Zn; 90mgkg⁻¹ of Se; 100,000UIkg⁻¹ of vitamin A; 250,000UIkg⁻¹ of vitamin D₃; 6,250UIkg⁻¹ of vitamin E. ⁴NCF=100-(CP + NDF + EE + Ash).

samples and their analysis were performed in the same way as already described in experiment 1.

Cows were milked three times a day under the same conditions and time of the experiment 1. Milk yield was measured on the same days of the assessment of consumption. Milk samples of six consecutive milking collected between 17 and 19 days were used to determine the total solids content and MUN (APCBRH) as procedures in experiment 1.

Total-tract apparent digestibility of DM, organic matter, NDF, and non-NDF organic matter was determined by total collection of feces performed in three periods of eight uninterrupted hours between 18 and 20 days of each experimental period (SALVATI et al., 2015). To obtain a representative sample of

24h without disturbing feed intake and milk yield, sampling started 8 h later in relation to the collection done on the previous day. Uniform fecal samples from each cow were continuously frozen along each collection day to yield a composite sample at the end of each period. Fecal composite samples were oven dried at 55°C for 72h and NDF and ash contents were determined according to the methodology described in experiment 1. Daily intake of digestible organic matter was calculated by multiplying the consumption of organic matter measured between 16 and 20 days by the coefficient of digestibility of organic matter measured between 18 and 20 days.

Six urine samples from each cow were obtained concomitantly with collection of feces to

estimate the relative microbial protein synthesis in the rumen. Each urine subsample was immediately acidified with 2% (vol/vol) sulfuric acid at 20% concentration and stored at 4°C until the end of the collection period when a sample from each cow was formed with equal proportions of each subsample. Composite urine samples were diluted 1:4 (urine/water) with distilled water and frozen at -20°C. Allantoin was performed as described by CHEN & GOMES (1995), and a commercial kit (Labtestdiagnostica S.A., Lagoa Santa, Brazil) was used for the determination of creatinine. Relationship between urinary allantoin and creatinine was used to estimate microbial protein flow to the intestine.

On the 20th day of each experimental period, blood samples were collected from the coccygeal vessels 12h after the morning feeding in order to assess plasma glucose concentration. Blood was collected in tubes containing potassium fluoride, centrifuged at 1,000 × g for 15min, and the obtained plasma was frozen at -20°C until analysis of glucose using a commercial kit (Labtest Diagnóstica S.A., Lagoa Santa, Brazil).

The protein degradability of RAW and ROS was evaluated *in situ* in three cows with rumen cannula. Five grams of pre-dried samples was placed in polyester bags (9 × 11cm) and incubated in triplicate in the rumen at 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24 and 72h. Considering that R is the protein mass on the residue after incubation and washing of the samples, and I is the initial mass of the sample, the protein fractions A, B, and C were calculated as: A (instantly degraded fraction) = (I-R of time 0)/I; C (indigestible fraction) = R at time 72/I; B (slowly degrades fraction) = 100 - (A + C). The fractional degradation rate of fraction B (Kd) was estimated over time as the slope of the linear regression of the natural logarithm of the residues as a percentage of sample initially incubated after subtracting the fraction C values.

Data of the experiments 1 and 2 were analyzed using the PROC GLM of SAS (9.1, Cary, NC, USA). The model used for the analysis: $Y_{ijkl} = \mu + B_i + V_{j(i)} + P_k + T_l + e_{ijkl}$; where: Y_{ijkl} = dependent variable; μ = overall mean; B_i = block effect (i = 1, 2...11 in exp. 1; i = 1, 2... 8 in exp. 2); $V_{j(i)}$ = cow effect within block (j = 1, 2... 22 in exp. 1; j = 1,... 16 in exp. 2); P_k = period effect (k = 1 or 2); T_l = effect of the treatment (l = RAW and ROS); e_{ijkl} = experimental error, independent, with normal distribution, mean zero and variance σ^2 . Data of the degradability test were analyzed using the PROC GLM. The model used for the analysis: $Y_{ij} = \mu + A_i + T_j + e_{ij}$; where: Y_{ij} = dependent variable; μ = overall mean; A_i = animal

effect (i = 1, 2 and 3); T_j = effect of the treatment (j = RAW and ROS); e_{ijkl} = experimental error, independent, with normal distribution, mean zero and variance σ^2 . Statistical significance and trends were considered at $P \leq 0.05$ and $P > 0.05$ to $P \leq 0.10$, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Consumption of DM (experiments 1 and 2, table 2) or digestible organic matter was not affected by the treatments (experiment 2, table 2). Similarly, FALDET & SATTER (1991) reported no difference in dry matter intake (DMI) when comparing raw or roasted isonitrogen soy diets provided for dairy cows with alfalfa silage as the only forage.

The substitution of RAW by ROS in both dietary inclusion levels (3.7 and 10.9% of DM) promoted a positive response in milk performance (Table 2) with increments in daily secretions of milk (1.1 kg day⁻¹) and lactose (0.06 kg day⁻¹). Furthermore, the production of solids increased in experiment 1 and tended to increase in experiment 2, and milk yield corrected for energy tended to increase in experiment 1 with ROS treatment. The response in performance to roasted soybean is variable. With the inclusion of 13% of DM in diets, FALDET & SATTER (1991) reported an increase of 4.5 kg day⁻¹ in milk yield with ROS replacing RAW. However, in other studies, responses were not observed with the addition of roasted soybean in production (AMANLOU et al., 2012; ABDI et al., 2013).

The highest milk yield observed with ROS treatment in two experiments was related to their increased lactose production (Table 2). Stimulation of lactose production in response to increased protein availability in the intestine has been observed in several studies (LEMOSQUET et al., 2009; GALINDO et al., 2011), which suggested a possible mechanism of action related to an increased input of RUP in roasted soybean treatment. Amino acids are precursors in hepatic gluconeogenesis, and can increase glucose availability for lactose synthesis (LEMOSQUET et al., 2009).

An increased glucose intake from amino acid could be verified by an increase of plasma glucose in ROS treatment, which was not observed in experiment 2 (Table 2). In fact, plasma glucose was decreased in the ROS treatment. The concentration of a metabolite in blood resulted from the production and absorption of intestinal processes vs. utilization by tissue cells. Roasted soybean treatment increased lactose production and tended to increase the

Table 2 - Milk composition, performance (Experiment 1), production performance, milk solids, allantoin/creatinine ratio in urine and plasma glucose concentration in dairy cows fed with diets containing raw soybean (RAW) or roasted soybean (ROS) (Experiment 2).

	-----Experiment 1-----				-----Experiment 2-----			
	RAW	ROS	SEM	P	RAW	ROS	SEM	P
DMI (kgday ⁻¹) ¹	20.5	20.4	0.30	0.76	19.1	19.5	0.39	0.51
DOMI (kgday ⁻¹) ²	-	-	-	-	13.3	13.2	0.31	0.80
Milk (kgday ⁻¹)	30.8	31.9	0.32	0.03	29.1	30.2	0.40	0.05
ECM (kgday ⁻¹) ³	28.0	29.1	0.47	0.09	27.3	28.4	0.52	0.18
Fat (kgday ⁻¹)	0.94	0.98	0.02	0.24	0.98	0.99	0.02	0.58
Protein(kgday ⁻¹)	0.96	0.98	0.01	0.11	0.89	0.91	0.01	0.22
Lactose (kgday ⁻¹)	1.42	1.48	0.02	0.01	1.33	1.39	0.02	0.03
Solids (kgday ⁻¹)	3.61	3.76	0.05	0.04	3.19	3.29	0.07	0.08
Fat (% no leite)	3.07	3.13	0.06	0.55	3.38	3.30	0.05	0.30
Protein (% in milk)	3.15	3.12	0.01	0.09	3.06	3.04	0.02	0.33
Lactose (% in milk)	4.62	4.67	0.02	0.06	4.57	4.60	0.02	0.22
Solids (%in milk)	11.79	11.88	0.06	0.30	11.01	10.999	0.04	0.17
MUN (mgdL ⁻¹) ⁴	14.9	15.1	0.31	0.65	11.9	11.9	0.28	0.84
MilKE (Mcalday ⁻¹) ⁵	19.6	20.4	0.30	0.09	19.2	19.7	0.27	0.18
Efficiency (Mcalkg ⁻¹) ⁶	0.96	1.01	0.02	0.09	-	-	-	-
Efficiency (Mcalkg ⁻¹) ⁷	-	-	-	-	1.49	1.50	0.03	0.21
Allantoin/creatinine ratio	-	-	-	-	2.2	1.6	0.24	0.08
Plasma glucose (mgdL ⁻¹)	-	-	-	-	54.8	51.4	0.90	0.01

¹Dry matter intake. ²Digestible Organic matter intake. ³ECM = Energy corrected milk. ⁴Milk urea nitrogen. ⁵MilKE= Milk energy secretion.

⁶Efficiency =Milk energy/DMI. ⁷Efficiency = Milk energy/DOMI.

production of solids (experiment 2) through processes that utilize glucose as a precursor or as an energy source and NADPH. Therefore, it is plausible to speculate that glucose extracted from the mammary gland was increased in the ROS treatment to meet this increased demand, thus reducing their plasma concentration (BOUTINAUD et al., 2008).

In addition to the effects related to glucose availability to the mammary gland, the largest amino acid supply can also act in intramammary metabolism altering metabolic pathways that prioritize lactose synthesis. LEMOSQUET et al. (2009) observed an increase in ratio between lactose secreted in milk and glucose extracted from the blood by the mammary gland in response to casein infusion in the duodenum accompanied by a decrease in plasma glucose concentration as observed in this study. When more glucose is targeted for lactose synthesis, the energy demand of the mammary gland must be supplied

by other substrates such as β -hydroxybutyric acid (LEMOSQUET et al., 2009). The mechanism by which amino acids have caused this change of metabolic pathways is not understood but may be related to its own amino acids being oxidized and used as an energy source for the cell, which releases glucose for anabolic functions such as lactose synthesis (RAGGIO et al., 2006).

Increased milk yield without the concomitant increase in DM intake resulted in an increasing trend in feed efficiency with roasted soybean diet in experiment 1 (Table 2). Roasting had no effect on MUN concentration (Table 2), which suggests that the change in soybean protein degradability did not affect ruminal nitrogen availability and probably the absorption of metabolizable protein of microbial origin. However, in experiment 2, there was a reducing trend in the allantoin/creatinine ratio with roasted soybean treatment, which can be attributed to

a small reduction in the amount of degradable protein available to the microorganisms in the rumen as a result of soybean roasting.

The apparent digestibility of DM, organic matter, and digestibility of non-NDF organic matter digestibility tended to decrease in the roasted soybean treatment (Table 3), which might suggest a limitation of microbial growth due to reduced protein ruminal degradation of this ingredient, especially when considered in conjunction with the reduction of allantoin/creatinine (Table 2) from the same treatment. However, as mentioned above, the MUN levels do not confirm this hypothesis. In addition, the digestibility of NDF is mostly affected by a limitation in microbial growth or activity, and this was not different among treatments (Table 3). Fecal nutrient analyses were not corrected for microbial contribution. Even though such contribution to DM and NDF is not as pronounced as it is to CP (which is why CP digestibility was not assessed), it still exists and might increase variation around these numbers.

The *in situ* rumen degradability test had a higher percentage of instantly degradable fraction (fraction A) in RAW than in ROS. In contrast, an increase in fraction B was found owing to heat treatment, but no differences in degradation rate in fraction B and fraction C between treatments were

found (Table 3). Considering a solid passage rate of 6%/h for both treatments, protein fractions and kd shown in table 3 and using the equation of NRC (2001), RAW presented an RUP of 50% and a ROS of 59%, which indicated that the roasting process was effective in reducing ruminal degradation protein without increasing fraction C.

Since the treatments did not alter DMI, the contribution of endogenous protein to metabolizable protein flow is assumed to be the same between RAW and ROS. With that, by calculating the RUP values in the consumption of soybean in experiment 2 and assuming the same content of CP for both soybeans and 85% of intestinal digestibility of protein (NRC, 2001), the difference between the metabolizable protein from ROS and RAW is only 69 g day⁻¹. This difference is unlikely to explain increases in glucose availability or use of amino acids as an energy source in the mammary gland. It is important to emphasize that the kd values obtained in this experiment are much lower than the values reported in the NRC (2001) for RAW and ROS (10.9%/h and 9.3%/h, respectively), which indicated that 69 g can potentially be overestimated. Therefore, the mechanism by which ROS increased production of lactose and milk solids may be related to the input of a specific amino acid that has a regulatory function in intramammary metabolism.

Table 3 - Apparent digestibility of nutrients in the total digestive tract of dairy cows fed with diets containing raw soybean (RAW) and roasted soybean (ROS) (Experiment 2), and ruminal degradation kinetics of crude protein in RAW and ROS (degradability test).

----- Apparent digestibility of nutrients in the total digestive tract -----				
	RAW	ROS	SEM	P
----- % -----				
DMD ¹	71.2	69.1	0.89	0.10
OMD ²	74.0	72.2	0.78	0.10
NDFD ³	53.7	53.0	1.73	0.78
Non-NDFOMD ⁴	86.6	84.7	0.65	0.06
----- Ruminal degradation kinetics -----				
	RAW	ROS	SEM	P
----- % of CP -----				
A ⁵	20.9	15.4	1.3	0.01
B ⁶	74.8	81.0	1.0	0.01
C ⁷	4.3	3.6	1.0	0.63
----- %/h -----				
B (Kd) ⁸	3.8	2.7	0.45	0.17

¹Dry matter digestibility. ²Organic matter digestibility. ³Neutral detergent fiber digestibility. ⁴Non-NDF organic matter digestibility. ⁵A = instantly degraded fraction. ⁶B = slowly degrades fraction. ⁷C = indigestible fraction. ⁸Fractional degradation rate of fraction B with r² was 0.89 for RAW and 0.91 for ROS.

CONCLUSION

The replacement of RAW by ROS on both dietary inclusion levels tested resulted in an increased milk yield without affecting consumption and milk composition, which suggested that heat treatment was effective in increasing the flow of rumen undegradable amino acids of soy bean to the animal. The *in situ* degradability test showed that the roasting process was effective in reducing ruminal degradability of soybean protein.

BIOETHICS AND BIOSSECURITY COMMITTEE APPROVAL

The protocol was approved by the Universidade Federal de Lavras Bioethic Committee in Utilization of Animals (protocol nº 040/2010).

REFERENCES

- ABDI, E. et al. Effects of soybeans roasting and monensin on milk production and composition and milk fatty acids profile of lactating dairy cows. **Livestock Science**, v.153, p.73-80, 2013. Available from: <<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1871141313000735>>. Accessed: Jun. 15, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2013.01.018.
- AMANLOU, H. et al. Effect of extruded and roasted soybeans on lactation performance and milk fatty acid profile of early lactating dairy cows. **International Journal of Biosciences**, v.2, n.10, p.11-18, 2012. Available from: <<http://www.openveterinaryjournal.com/2012/OVJ-075-06-12%20H.%20Amanlou%20et%20al.pdf>>. Accessed: Jul. 02, 2015.
- ASSOCIATION OF OFFICIAL ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS (AOAC). **Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists**. 15.ed. Arlington, 1990. 1105p.
- BERGMEYER, H.U. **Methods of enzymatic analysis**. Florida: VHC, 1985. 453p.
- BOUTINAUD, M. et al. Milking and feed restriction regulate transcripts of mammary epithelial cells purified from milk. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v.91, p.988-998, 2008. Available from: <<http://https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18292254>>. Accessed: Jun. 04, 2015. doi: 10.3168/jds.2007-0587.
- CHEN, X.B.; GOMES, M.J. Estimation of microbial protein supply to sheep and cattle based on urinary excretion of purine derivatives – an overview of the technical details. Aberdeen: Rowett Research Institute, International Feed Resources Unit, 1992. (Occasional Publication, p.1-21).
- FALDET, M.A.; SATTER, L.D. Feeding heat-treated full fat soybeans to cows in early lactation. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v.74, n.9, p.3047-3054, 1991. Available from: <<http://https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1779058>>. Accessed: Jun. 12, 2015. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78490-7.
- FATHI NASRI, M.H. et al. Past peak lactational performance of Iranian Holstein cows fed raw or roasted whole soybeans. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.80, p.191-239, 2007. Available from: <<http://www.ncrcresearchpress.com/doi/pdf/10.4141/CJAS07002>>. Accessed: Jun. 22, 2016. doi: 10.17/S0021859607007125.
- FATHI NASRI, M.H. et al. Effect of heat processing on ruminal degradability and intestinal disappearance of nitrogen and amino acids in Iranian whole soybean. **Livestock Science**, v.113, p.43-51, 2008. Available from: <<http://thescpub.com/PDF/ajavsp.2010.266.273.pdf>>. Accessed: Jun. 02, 2016. doi: 10.3168/jds.2010-3978.
- GALINDO, C.E. et al. Effect of amino acid or casein supply on whole-body, splanchnic, and mammary glucose kinetics in lactating dairy cows. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v.94, n.11, p.5558-5568, 2011. Available from: <[http://www.journalofdairyscience.org/article/S0022-0302\(11\)00583-2/pdf](http://www.journalofdairyscience.org/article/S0022-0302(11)00583-2/pdf)>. Accessed: Jun. 07, 2016. doi: 10.3168/jds.2010-3978.
- LEMOQUET, S. et al. Effects of glucose, propionic acid, and nonessential amino acids on glucose metabolism and milk yield in Holstein dairy cows. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v.92, n.7, p.3244-3257, 2009a. Available from: <[http://www.journalofdairyscience.org/article/S0022-0302\(09\)70641-1/pdf](http://www.journalofdairyscience.org/article/S0022-0302(09)70641-1/pdf)>. Accessed: Jun. 04, 2015. doi: 10.3168/jds.2008-1610.
- NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL (NRC). **Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle**. 7.ed. Washington, D.C: National Academy, 2001. 381p.
- RAGGIO, G.S. et al. Effect of casein and propionate supply on mammary protein metabolism in lactating dairy cows. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v.89, n.11, p.4340-4351, 2006. Available from: <<http://https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17033022>>. Accessed: Aug. 05, 2016. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72481-X.
- SALVATI, T.A. et al. Response of lactating cows to live yeast supplementation during summer. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v.98, p.4062-4073, 2015. Available from: <<http://https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25795491>>. Accessed: Nov. 27, 2016. doi: 10.3168/jds.2014-9215.
- SCOTT, T.A. et al. Effects of roasting, extrusion, and particle size on the feeding value of soybeans for dairy cows. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v.74, p.2555-2562, 1991. Available from: <<http://http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022030291784336>>. Accessed: May 18, 2016. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78433-6.