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ABSTRACT: This study aimed to characterize ocular diseases in dogs naturally affected by visceral leishmaniasis in Teresina, Piauí State, Brazil. The diagnosis was made using parasitological exams of the bone-marrow and lymph-node samples. The main ophthalmological findings were uveitis, conjunctivitis, blepharitis, keratitis, and keratoconjunctivitis sicca. Normocytic normochromic anemia was the main hematological finding, followed by thrombocytopenia. Plasma proteins were also considered, and hyperproteinemia, hypergamma globulinemia, and hypoalbuminemia were observed. Ocular histopathological examination revealed mild inflammation involving lymphocytes, monocytes, and macrophages. Results indicate the need to perform a differential diagnosis to rule out or establish the presence of Leishmania sp. in dogs presenting with ophthalmic lesions in endemic regions.
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INTRODUCTION

Visceral leishmaniasis (VL) is a chronic systemic disease that affects both dogs and humans (TORRES et al., 2006). Several Leishmania species have been found to affect dogs in South America; however, in Brazil, the most frequent etiological agent of the disease is Leishmania infantum chagasi (DANTA-TORRES, 2009), a protozoan of the family Trypanosomatidae (NOLI, 1999).

The main vector for this parasite is the Phlebotominae insect Lutzomyia longipalpis; however, there is evidence of Leishmania sp. Being transmitted by Lutzomyia cruzi in Brazil (PIRAJÁ & LUCHEIS, 2014). The domestic dog (Canis familiaris) is considered the main reservoir host for leishmaniasis in Brazil (LEITÉ et al., 2010).

Ocular manifestations in canine visceral leishmaniasis (CVL) are associated with other systemic findings of this disease (ROZE, 2005).
Studie shows that the prevalence of ocular diseases in dogs affected by VL varies from 25% to 80% (Ciaramella et al, 1997; Koutina et al, 1999). Ocular symptoms in dogs with leishmaniasis may range from simple conjunctivitis to severe cases of panophthalmitis (Pena et al, 2000).

Given the absence of studies describing ophthalmological findings observed clinically in dogs with CVL and the scarcity of studies on histopathological changes in the ocular structures of dogs suffering from CVL in Brazil, the objective of this study is to describe the ophthalmic lesions in cases of CVL and to characterize the histopathological changes in different ocular structures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study population consisted of a random selection of 100 male and female dogs >3 months of age. A total of 18 dogs were selected from private veterinary clinics located in the city of Teresina (Piaui State, Brazil), whereas 55 dogs were selected from the veterinary hospital of Universidade Federal do Piaui (Piaui State, Brazil), and 27 dogs were selected from the Department of Zoonosis Management of Teresina (GEZON), also located in Piaui State, Brazil. All of the dogs had tested positive for CVL. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Experimentation of the Universidade Federal do Piaui (case number 071/12).

All dogs underwent a parasitological examination to detect any amastigote forms of Leishmania sp. in the bone-marrow and lymph-node samples. The bone-marrow samples were obtained from the sternum or tibial crest using 25×8-mm needles and 20-mL syringes, whereas samples from the popliteal or prescapular lymph nodes were obtained using 25×8-mm needles and 10-mL syringes. Immediately after each collection, smears were made on microscope slides using the squash smear technique. The samples were fixed in methanol and stained according to the Romanowsky technique (Giemsa). The diagnosis was CVL-positive when Leishmania amastigote forms were found on the slides.

Complete blood count and albumin, globulin, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), urea, and creatinine tests were determined for all of the animals.

Eight dogs infected with Leishmania sp. and severe clinical findings were euthanized. Their eyes were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, processed, and included in paraffin. To search for inflammation processes and amastigote forms of the parasite, these organs were cut into 5-µm slices and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE).

The eye examination assessed the eyelids, palpebral and bulbar conjunctivae, third eyelid, cornea, sclera, anterior chamber, iris, and pupil with the aid of a halogen light and a magnifying glass that provided 2.5× magnification. Whenever possible, the crystalline lens, vitreous humor, retina, and optic nerve were assessed by direct ophthalmoscopy. A mydriatic eye drop (Mydriacyl, 1% tropicamide, Alcon, Brazil) was used for the fundoscopy.

Tear production was assessed using Schirmer tear flow strips (Ophthalmos, Brazil) whereas intraocular pressure was assessed using a rebound tonometer. The presence of corneal lesions and the extent of lacrimal drainage (Jones test) were determined with the aid of fluorescein dye on dried strips (Ophthalmos, Brazil) dissolved in 1mL of physiological saline.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Of the total number of dogs (100), 46 were male and 54 were female. Only the dogs from veterinary clinics and the HVU (73) had their ages recorded, and their ages ranged from 4 months to 12 years. Most of the dogs studied were of an unknown breed, but several breeds were reported (Table 1). These data suggest that leishmaniasis is 10x more prevalent for males (SEIXAS et al., 2012). Although some authors state that this disease is more common in males (SEIXAS et al., 2012), the current study found showed no predilection for one sex over another, nor did another study (ALMEIDA et al., 2010). This difference may be related to sampling. The first study used 23 animals, whereas our study used 100 animals.

Of the 100 dogs assessed, 73% (73/100) were found to have a monoinfection by Leishmania sp. The others showed coinfection with Ehrlichia canis. We only included the dogs with monoinfection in the clinical and histopathological study. It is important to note that infections were detected using cytopathological examination (presence of amastigotes) in the lymph-node and bone-marrow samples.

Although dogs with VL may remain asymptomatic, this study was similar to others (ALMEIDA et al., 2010) in its finding of a higher prevalence of symptomatic dogs among those monoinfected by Leishmania sp. (74.5%; 54/73). The major clinical findings observed in the monoinfected and symptomatic dogs in this study were ocular lesions (92%; 50/54), skin diseases (82%; 44/54), adenomegaly (75%; 41/54), onychogryphosis (74%; 40/54), and weight loss (38%; 20/54) (Figure 1). Other authors mention clinical findings
similar to those observed in this study (ALMEIDA et al., 2010). These findings reflect the systemic nature of this disease in dogs (CIARAMELLA et al., 1997).

In another study (ROZE, 2005), 38% VL-infected dogs presented only ocular lesions. In the current study, only 4% animals (2/54) had ocular lesions. The other dogs presented ocular findings associated with other clinical issues, as observed in another study (ALMEIDA et al., 2010). Once again, these findings represent the systemic nature of the

Table 1 - Number and percentage of dogs that underwent cytopathological examination of the bone-marrow and lymph-node samples to search for amastigote forms of *Leishmania* spp. (*n*=100) in Teresina (Piauí State, Brazil) according to breed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breeds</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unknown breed</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poodle</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit bull</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinscher</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shar-pei</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German shepherd</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rottweiler</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beagle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other known breeds (one individual each)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 - A: Clinical findings presented by dogs with visceral leishmaniasis in Teresina, Piauí State, Brazil (*n*= 54); (B): Percentages of the major ophthalmological findings presented by symptomatic dogs monoinfected by *Leishmania* sp. in Teresina, Piauí State, Brazil (*n*= 50).
All cases of uveitis in this study occurred in 92.0% dogs (60/73) presented high levels of total proteins; globulin fraction was increased in 92% dogs studied herein (67/73). Albumin values were below reference values in 88% cases (64/73). Protein electrophoresis also revealed albumin/globulin ratios below 1.5mg/dL in 99% samples analyzed (72/73). Other authors mention these same abnormalities in dogs with VL (IKEDA et al., 2003; FREITAS et al., 2012; BRAZ et al., 2015). Hyperproteinemia in CVL occurs as a result of the polyclonal activation of B cells, which increases the systemic nature of the disease (CIARAMELLA et al., 1997).

We observed ophthalmological findings in 92.0% symptomatic dogs assessed (50/54) (Figure 1); of these, 98.6% (49/50) presented them bilaterally. Bilateral ocular lesions are a common finding in cases of CVL (PEÑA et al., 2000; NARANJO et al., 2005; PEÑA et al., 2008) and may be associated with its chronicity (BRITO et al., 2006) as a result of the deposition of circulating immune complexes, and erythrocyte membrane instability, or decreased erythropoiesis (inflammatory disease anemia, iron deficiency, chronic kidney disease, and erythrocyte dysplasia) (IKEDA et al., 2003; FREITAS et al., 2012).

One of the consequences of uveitis is the formation of posterior synechiae, which was found in one dog in this study. These synechiae were probably to the result of the direct action of amastigote forms of the parasite or of the deposition of immune complexes on the anterior uvea (BRITO et al., 2010).

All cases of uveitis in this study occurred only in the anterior segment of the eye. These findings are probably to the result of the fact that anterior uveitis precedes posterior uveitis (COLLINS and MOORE, 1991). In addition, fundoscopic examination is not always possible in dogs with CVL (PEÑA et al., 2000; BRAZ et al., 2006) because of difficulties such as insufficient pupil response to mydriatics and deficient intraocular media transparency (FULGÊNCIO, 2006). We encountered these difficulties in the ophthalmological examination of the dogs studied herein, a factor which may justify the absence of the diagnosis of uveitis in the posterior segment. It is important to note that we found no inflammatory process of the posterior segment in any of the 16 eyes (eight dogs) that underwent histopathological examinations. However, dogs with VL may present lesions in the posterior segment, such as chorioretinitis and retinal detachment (CIARAMELLA et al., 1997; PEÑA et al., 2000; BRAZ et al., 2010) as a result of the expansion of the inflammatory processes from the anterior segment (COLLINS and MOORE, 1991).

A very frequent clinical ophthalmological finding was conjunctivitis (Figure 1), which was observed in 75.0% symptomatic dogs (40/54), and it was bilateral in 100% cases. CVL conjunctivitis occurs mainly as a result of the deposition of circulating immune complexes, which triggers vasculitis (BRITO et al., 2010). Another mechanism that may be involved in its development is the direct action of the parasite upon the conjunctiva (FULGÊNCIO, 2006; BRAZ et al., 2010).
Among the changes to the cornea observed herein, keratoconjunctivitis sicca (KCS) was diagnosed in 26.0% (14/54) of the symptomatic dogs assessed (Figures 1 and 2). This finding is in accordance with the literature, which indicates that the prevalence of KCS in dogs with VL can range from 2.6% to 26.8% (KOMNENOU and KOUTINAS, 2007). Decreased aqueous fraction of tears was associated with the presence of other clinical findings of the disease, such as ocular discharge, corneal edema, pigmentation and vascularization of the cornea, conjunctivitis, and keratitis (COLLINS and MOORE, 1991). A study on the lacrimal glands of canines with VL sought to determine the pathogenic mechanisms of KCS and found granulomatous infiltrate around the lacrimal gland ducts with consequent retrograde dilation and accumulation of secretions because of the presence of *Leishmania* amastigotes, culminating in the development of KCS (NARANJO et al., 2005). Thus, the likely etiology of KCS in the dogs studied herein may be the obstruction

Figure 2 - Photos of canine eyes presenting lesions produced by *Leishmania* sp. A: keratoconjunctivitis sicca with mucopurulent discharge; B: corneal dystrophy with observable central whitish deposit. C: corneal ulcer with impregnation by fluorescein.
of the tear ducts caused by adjacent inflammatory processes (FULGÊNCIO, 2006).

Another frequent observation (71%; 52/73 of the dogs) was the negative fluorescein result when assessing the patency of the nasolacrimal drainage (Jones test). This finding suggests the presence of dacryocystitis, a finding not reported in other studies.

We found unilateral corneal deposits associated with inflammation and vascularization of the cornea and compatible with corneal degeneration in 8.2% dogs studied (6/73). Corneal degeneration is a rare ocular disease in dogs with VL; they may have kidney disease associated with uremia, a condition which can explain this type of deposit in the cornea (FULGÊNCIO, 2006).

One dog presented bilateral central corneal deposits not associated with an inflammatory process and suggestive of corneal dystrophy (Figure 2). Although rare, this can occur in cases of CVL (ROZE, 2005). However, it is unlikely that the corneal dystrophy observed in this study is associated with the presence of *Leishmania* sp., because this type of deposit is hereditary in nature and has no concomitant inflammatory process (HERRERA, 2008).

One dog presented progressive retinal atrophy. This ocular lesion can occur in dogs with VL (PEÑA et al., 2008) and likely arises from the deposition of immune complexes (BRITO et al., 2010).

Glaucoma was diagnosed in one dog, as in other studies with dogs with LV (PEÑA et al., 2000). It was likely secondary to anterior uveitis, given the presence of inflammatory cells in the structures that make up the drainage angle of the aqueous humor (PEÑA et al., 2008).

Cataracts were diagnosed in 4% cases (2/50) (Figure 1). Although no correlation has been established between the formation of cataracts and leishmaniasis, it is worth considering that changes in the aqueous humor in dogs with VL and uveitis can make the crystalline lens opaque (FULGÊNCIO, 2006).

We observed corneal ulcers (Figures 1 and 2) in 10% dogs (5/50). These lesions are unusual clinical findings in CVL, but when they are present, they are associated with inflammatory process produced by the presence of amastigotes in the cornea (BRITO et al., 2007).

Of the 16 eyes evaluated by histopathological examination, 87.5% (14/16) presented inflammatory infiltrates, with an intensity ranging from discreet to severe, and with focal and multifocal distribution (Figure 3A). When the ocular structures were considered, we found abnormalities in the anterior uveal tract. In the ciliary body, there was abundance of inflammatory infiltrates in the lymphocytes, plasma cells, and macrophages invading the loose connective tissue and smooth muscles and beginning around a vessel, findings which represent perivasculitis (Figure 3B). These results are similar to those reported by other authors who have studied the same disease in dogs (PEÑA et al., 2008; BRITO et al., 2010). Inflammatory lesions characterized by mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates have been observed in histopathological studies of leishmaniasis, but other studies have not reported the presence of the amastigote form of *Leishmania* found herein (KLOTZ et al., 2000).
CONCLUSION

Ocular diseases were common clinical findings in dogs with CVL in Teresina, Piauí State, Brazil, and were found at a prevalence of 92% in monoinfected dogs. These issues can occur in isolation (as the only sign of the disease) or can be associated with other, more classic findings of the disease. Anterior uveitis represents the primary ocular issue associated with VL and may trigger secondary glaucoma and iris synechiae. The presence of inflammatory processes in ocular structures may suggest a direct action of the parasite in the pathogenesis of ophthalmic lesions of immunemediated nature in the inflammatory response. Thus, VL should be included in the differential diagnosis of ophthalmic lesions in dogs by clinicians and pathologists, especially in endemic areas.
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