

Dementia & Neuropsychologia

ISSN: 1980-5764

demneuropsy@uol.com.br

Associação Neurologia Cognitiva e do

Comportamento

Brasil

O'Connor, Claire M.; Clemson, Lindy; Lima da Silva, Thaís Bento; Piguet, Olivier; Hodges, John R.; Miosh, Eneida

Enhancement of carer skills and patient function in the non-pharmacological management of frontotemporal dementia (FTD). A call for randomised controlled studies

Dementia & Neuropsychologia, vol. 7, núm. 2, abril-junio, 2013, pp. 143-150

Associação Neurologia Cognitiva e do Comportamento

São Paulo, Brasil

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=339529043002



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



Enhancement of carer skills and patient function in the non-pharmacological management of frontotemporal dementia (FTD)

A call for randomised controlled studies

Claire M. O'Connor^{1,2}, Lindy Clemson¹, Thaís Bento Lima da Silva³, Olivier Piquet^{2,4}. John R. Hodges^{2,4}. Eneida Mioshi^{2,4}

ABSTRACT. FTD is a unique condition which manifests with a range of behavioural symptoms, marked dysfunction in activities of daily living (ADL) and increased levels of carer burden as compared to carers of other dementias. No efficacious pharmacological interventions to treat FTD currently exist, and research on pharmacological symptom management is variable. The few studies on non-pharmacological interventions in FTD focus on either the carer or the patients' symptoms, and lack methodological rigour. This paper reviews and discusses current studies utilising non-pharmacological approaches, exposing the clear need for more rigorous methodologies to be applied in this field. Finally, a successful randomised controlled trial helped reduce behaviours of concern in dementia, and through implementing participation in tailored activities, the FTD-specific Tailored Activities Program (TAP) is presented. Crucially, this protocol has scope to target both the person with FTD and their carer. This paper highlights that studies in this area would help to elucidate the potential for using activities to reduce characteristic behaviours in FTD, improving quality of life and the caregiving experience in FTD.

Key words: frontotemporal dementia, non-pharmacological intervention, functional disability, randomised controlled trial.

REFORCO DAS HABILIDADES DOS CUIDADORES E DA FUNCÃO DO PACIENTE NO MANEJO NÃO FARMACOLÓGICO DA DEMÊNCIA FRONTOTEMPORAL (DFT): NECESSIDADE PARA ESTUDOS CLÍNICOS RANDOMIZADOS E CONTROLADOS

RESUMO. A DFT é uma condição única que se manifesta por uma variedade de sintomas, principalmente em atividades da vida diária (AVD) e aumento da carga sobre os cuidadores em comparação aos cuidadores de outras demências. Não existe nenhuma intervenção farmacológica para tratamento da DFT até o momento e pesquisas sobre o manejo farmacológico dos sintomas são variáveis. Os poucos estudos em intervenção não farmacológica em DFT focam nos cuidadores ou em sintomas dos pacientes, faltando rigor metodológico. Este artigo revisa e discute os estudos atuais que utilizam abordagem não farmacológica, o que expõe a clara necessidade para metodologias mais rigorosas a serem aplicadas neste campo. Finalmente, um ensaio clinico randomizado bem sucedido ajudou na redução de comportamentos em demência, através da implementação da participação em atividades ajustadas, é apresentado o FTD-specific Tailored Activities Program (TAP). Este protocolo visa abordar tanto o paciente com DFT quanto seu cuidador. Este manuscrito evidencia que pesquisas dentro desta area aiudariam a elucidar o potencial em usar estas atividades para redução dos comportamentos característicos em DFt, melhorando a qualidade de vida e experiências dos cuidadores em DFT.

Palavras-chave: demência frontotemporal, intervenção não farmacológica, ensaio clinico randomizado, incapacidade funcional.

1Ageing, Work & Health Research Unit, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Sydney. 2Neuroscience Research Australia, Sydney, Australia. 3Behavioural and Cognitive Neurology Unit, Neurology Department, University of São Paulo, São Paulo SP, Brazil. "School of Medical Science, University of New South Wales,

Eneida Mioshi. Neuroscience Research Australia. PO Box 1165 - Randwick, NSW 2031 - Sydney, Australia. Email: e.mioshi@neura.edu.au

Conflicts of interest and source of funding. EM is a recipient of a National Health Medical Research Council of Australia Early Career Fellowship (APP1016399). OP is supported by an NHMRC Clinical Career Development Fellowship (APP1022684). JRH is supported by a NHMRC Program Grant. LC is supported by an NHMRC Career Development Fellowship (APP1036639). For the remaining authors none were declared.

Received March 22, 2012. Accepted in final form May 23, 2013.

INTRODUCTION

 $\mathbf{F}^{ ext{rontotemporal}}$ dementia (FTD) is a term used to describe a progressive neurodegenerative disorder associated with atrophy in the frontal and temporal lobes of the brain.1 The three main clinical variants of FTD are classified based on their early symptoms, comprising a behavioural variant (bvFTD) and two language variants.2 The language variants are further classified depending on their pattern of language impairment: semantic dementia (svPPA) and progressive non-fluent aphasia (nfv-PPA).3,4 SvPPA is also associated with marked behavioural symptoms.^{5,6} Symptom overlap can occur between the variants as disease spreads later in the course of disease progression.^{7,8} Regardless of variant, FTD affects functional ability from an early stage, especially more complex activities.

In 2011, a set of revised diagnostic criteria was proposed for the bvFTD. With the revised criteria, a diagnosis of "possible" bvFTD requires three of the six clinically discriminated characteristics: loss of inhibition, apathy/ inertia, loss of empathy, perseveration/compulsive behaviours, hyperorality and dysexecutive neuropsychological profile. "Probable" bvFTD requires the additional features of functional disability and characteristic neuroimaging, whereas bvFTD "with definitive frontotemporal lobar degeneration" requires histopathological confirmation or evidence of pathogenic mutation.^{2,9,10} Therefore, investigating functionality is essential for the diagnosis and it is also relevant for the treatment of the syndrome, given that the impact on activities of daily living (ADLs) can be used as a clinical parameter.

Functional ability, as measured by ADLs, is more impaired in FTD than in Alzheimer's disease (AD). 11-13 In a previous study, we found that impairments in complex, instrumental ADLs (IADLs) such as managing finances and medications, are similarly impaired across FTD subtypes, while deficits in basic ADLs (BADLs) such as showering, dressing and eating differ.¹² Patients with nfv-PPA were found to present with the least change in BADL performance, followed by svPPA, with bvFTD patients being the most impaired subgroup. Over time, however, svPPA have been shown to decline at a slower rate than both bvFTD and nfv-PPA. 14,15

Impairments in function lead to progressive and marked dependence on the carer; in addition, behavioural symptoms associated with FTD frequently make dementia caregiving even more challenging.16 Behavioural symptoms are at the core of FTD and the severity of behavioural symptoms appears to be related to the setting in which the patient lives. People with FTD living at home present with more severe levels of behavioural disturbance than those living in care facilities;¹⁷⁻¹⁹ however, this is likely to be related to disease staging or use of medication. A study by Mourik et al. 18 found that carers of people with FTD living at home were more distressed than carers of people living in residential care. This finding, however, is not universal, as demonstrated by a study in England where carers of people with FTD living at home and of those living in residential care shared similar levels of stress and depression.¹⁷ Finally, carers of people with FTD have been shown to demonstrate higher levels of depression, stress and burden than carers of other types of dementia such as AD.^{17,20,21} Given the severe nature of both behavioural changes and functional deficits, it is not surprising that disease severity, as measured by a combination of behaviour and functional impairments, should be the main contributor to burden in FTD carers, rather than just one of these aspects alone.²²

The unique devastating impact that FTD has on both the person with FTD and their carers demonstrates the great need for interventions targeted to this specific dementia syndrome. Pharmacological interventions in FTD have yet to be shown to provide any clear benefit, 23 which may be related to the highly heterogeneous nature of FTD pathology.²⁴ This lack of effective treatment in FTD has been exemplified by a recent multi-centre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the drug memantine²⁵ Results from this study found the intervention group to have a greater decline in mental speed and more frequent adverse cognitive side effects than the placebo group. Pharmacological interventions currently used in FTD are therefore primarily targeted at treating specific behavioural symptoms such as agitation, aggression or obsessive behaviour. 26,27 Large scale, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials to prove the effectiveness of these pharmacological drugs have yet to be conducted.^{23,28} Investigations into several medications have had mixed results in FTD including some showing adverse side effects such as worsening of symptoms.²⁸

It is clear that the development of appropriate nonpharmacological interventions in FTD is of the utmost importance. This paper will argue for the importance of non-pharmacological interventions to improve patient function in FTD - through the integration of addressing both patient behaviour and carer issues, while reviewing studies which have been centred on carer issues or patient behaviour to date.

METHODS

A search of MEDLINE, PubMed, Cochrane database, and CINAHL was conducted up until 30th January 2013. The search terms frontotemporal dementia, frontotemporal lobar degeneration, and Pick's disease, were combined with the terms treatment, intervention, nonpharmacological, support, carer, caregiver, and therapy. Articles were considered for inclusion if they discussed non-pharmacological interventions within an FTD cohort. Due to the lack of studies with rigorous methodology for clinical trials, studies of all levels of evidence (n=16) which met these inclusion criteria were considered for review. In other words, the number of papers available was so small that we included them all in this review. It should be noted that two of these studies considered for review were written in Japanese and thus were not accessed in full text.

Non-pharmacological interventions in FTD. It is becoming increasingly recognised that people with FTD and their carers have unique needs for support. These include skills to manage profound behavioural and language changes, issues surrounding finances and availability of appropriate care services as a result of the often younger onset of the disease, combined with greater levels of carer stress and burden than in other types of dementia such as AD.²⁹⁻³² Perhaps not surprisingly, FTD carers appear significantly less satisfied with support from specialist health care providers than AD carers.³³ This finding highlights the lack of appropriate services that address specific issues in FTD, and the pressing need for further investigation into FTD-specific non-pharmacological intervention strategies. Mendez³⁴ reported that non-pharmacological management strategies in FTD should primarily include behavioural interventions, education and a focus on the carer. To date, crucially, no randomised controlled trials have taken place to evaluate the benefit of any non-pharmacological interventions in FTD. Table 1 summarises all current studies available.

Carer-based interventions. Interventions promoting education. German studies35,36 have reported on an FTDspecific carer support group (n=8) aimed at providing information, advice and support. Seven physician-facilitated structured sessions were conducted, which were designed to be both educational and therapeutic. Outcomes were analysed immediately post intervention via interviews, and again after six months via mailed questionnaires, with a qualitative approach. Overall, carers reported improved understanding and knowledge of the disease, but less than half reported having learnt strategies to care for themselves better as carers. Nonetheless, no control group was included in the study.

With similar aims to the German studies, Banks et al.29 reported on an intervention based on a series of FTD-specific conferences for FTD carers, which incorporated an educational lecture session followed by a facilitated support group. Evaluation forms were used to gather qualitative feedback from carers who attended these sessions. While some feedback was positive regarding information and support provided at the sessions, other feedback was rather negative and stated that the lecture content was impractical. This study also did not have a control group, and lacked quantification from which to obtain greater interpretation of the results.

An online video-conferencing education and support group for FTD spousal carers (n=6), which involved 10 weekly one-hour sessions facilitated by a healthcare professional was trialled in Canada.³⁷ This online intervention was based on evidence from efficacious dementia carer support groups previously reported, 38,39 where these groups were adapted to suit FTD cohorts. Qualitative analysis conducted post intervention (via interview) identified that carers reported social support and accessibility as positive aspects to the intervention. Reduced burden was also reported; levels of stress, however, remained unchanged, and the study lacked a control group to avoid the Hawthorne effect, where bias may have been introduced by participants knowingly receiving an intervention.40

Structured interventions promoting carers' skills. Riedijk et al. 19 reported that carers who use passive coping strategies were more likely to have high levels of burden and decreased health-related quality of life (QOL). The importance of carer education and identifying support services was also highlighted by Mohandras and Rajmohan.41 Following on from these, a recent study investigated the impact of a structured intervention program for FTD carers to specifically teach skills on cognitive appraisal and coping strategies, including education on seeking support. The main outcomes were reduction of burden and enhancement of coping skills.⁴² The intervention was based on a previously developed intervention for general dementia carers,43 and adapted to suit FTD populations. The program involved an intervention group (n=9) and a control group (n=12), and was run in weekly sessions for 15 weeks (2 hours each). The study reported that both carer burden and carer reaction to behaviours decreased significantly in the intervention group, which persisted at 12 months follow-up. 42 Transferability of the skills learnt in the intervention was demonstrated through the qualitative analysis of a fictitious problem-solving scenario.44 However, similarly

Author Date	Intervention	Target	Methodology	Level Evidence (NHMRC)	Sample size	Outcomes
Litvan 2001	Potential use of neurotransmitter replacement, biologic treatment, and carer management	 Approaches to improve FTD management 	 Expert opinion; no data 	*	N/A	• WA
Lough & Hodges 2002	Behavioural modification techniques	Behavioural management	Case report	2	-	Specific BPSD were altered with the patient through use of behavioural modification techniques
Diehl et al. 2003a 2003b	 Support group for FTD carers (providing information/advice/support) Structured to provide educational and therapeutic sessions 7 weekly sessions 	Carer education	Pilot; Mixed methods study with one treatment group; Qualitative	2	ω	 Improved understanding and knowledge of FTD Less than half learnt strategies to care for themselves
Banks et al. 2006	 3-part series of FTD-specific conferences for carers over one year One hour lecture followed by health care worker-run support group 	Carer education and support	Descriptive; Qualitative	*	Session 1 (n=55)Session 2 (n=51)Session 3 (n=48)	 Some carers reported information and support was positive, while some gave negative feedback regarding impractical- ity of session content
Merrilees 2007	Potential use of A-B-C Model	 Behavioural management 	 Expert opinion; no data 	*	N/A	• N/A
Grinberg et al. 2008 and Grinberg & Phillips 2009	 Integrating a day program specialised for persons with FTD into an already established day program Tailor-made individual, small group and specialised activities Staff strategies to generate increased self-worth and accomplishment Education/support offered to family/carers Behaviour management techniques and supportive/enabling approach to address needs of FTD patients and their families' 	Behavioural management Carer education and respite Increase QOL for patients, family and carers	Descriptive; Qualitative	*	_ω	Qualitatively reported to be positive outcomes Issues with frequent predominance of older group members and distance to attend
Yamakawa et al. 2008	Environmental intervention A (doors shut to remove stimulus of seeing beds to sleep on during the day) and intervention B (staff walking with participant to increase activity levels) were compared	Behavioural management	Objective (power IC tags) and subjective measures; SSD	2	-	Sleep-wake cycles restored with inter- vention A; with intervention B nighttime ambulation increased significantly
Marziali and Climans 2009	 Online video conferencing education and support group for FTD spousal carers 	Carer education and support	 Feasibility study; qualitative 	‡	Q	 Carers reported social support and accessibility as positive aspects of the intervention Reduced burden was reported Levels of stress remained unchanged

Chow et al. 2011	 Web-based anonymous survey developed specifically for FTD carers to investigate need for FTD carer support resources 	Guide for available resources	Guide for available resources	≥	78*	 Family counselling and public education regarding FTD identified as priorities by carers
Raglio et al. 2012	 Active Music therapy (interaction with music therapist using instruments and vocals) 50 therapy sessions over 6 months 	Behavioural management	Case report	≥	-	Reduced global scores for NPI, CMAI and CSDD (>50% reduction)
Mioshi et al. 2012	 Structured FTD carer group program (problem solving/re-framing/seeking support) 15 weekly sessions 	Carer education, coping and Pilot; comparative study management strategies	Pilot; comparative study	≡ -2	21	Intervention group carers demonstrated reduced burden (ZB) and reaction to behaviours (CBI-R); maintained at 12 months. No change reported on DASS Change in humour on COPE
McKinnon et al. 2013	Structured FTD carer group program (cognitive appraisal/coping strategies) 15 weekly sessions	Carer education, coping and management strategies	Carer education, coping and Pilot; Qualitative; comparamanagement strategies tive study	≡ -2	21	Intervention group carers improved by 63% in functional responses on fictitious scenario compared with only 13% of those in control group

evels of evidence based on the National Health and Medical Research Council (MHMRC) evidence hierarchy (66); I=systematic review, II=andomised controlled trial, III-1=pseudorandomised controlled trial, III-2=comparative study with concurrent controls, III-3=comparative study N=case series with either post-test or pre-test/post-test outcomes. "This study does not fulfil any of the criteria recommended by the NHMRC evidence hierarchy." only 62 completed entire survey, FTD: frontotemporal dementia; BFSD: behavioural and sychological symptoms of dementia; SSD: single subject design; QOL: quality of life; NPI: Neuropsychiatric inventory; CMAI: Cohen-Mansfield Agitation inventory; CSDD: Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia; ZBI: Zarit Burden Inventory; CBI-R: Cambridge Behavioural Inventory Revised; DASS: Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale; COPE: Cope questionnaire without concurrent controls,

to the qualitatively reported results from Marziali and Climans,³⁷ no change in carer stress was identified, and the participants were similarly not randomised to intervention or control groups.

Despite these positive outcomes generated from carer-based interventions, the studies mentioned above are of a limited transferability due to limitations in study design, such as lack of randomisation, and small sample sizes. Rigorous studies are therefore required to reproduce the promising findings, and to further support the establishment of carer-based interventions in FTD.

Patient-based interventions. The current lack of randomised controlled trials on non-pharmacological interventions in FTD extends to patient-based interventions. In this area, the majority of studies have been based on either case studies or narratives and expert opinion articles.

Behavioural modification interventions have been described, where a multidisciplinary FTD-specific dayprogram was implemented within an existing day program at an adult day centre. 30,45 The aim of the program was very broad, offering individualised activities to patients, specific FTD-related training for staff members, as well as provision of education and support to family carers. Preliminary outcomes of the program are reported to be positive. The authors also discussed frequent limitations of such programs for people with FTD due to the frequent predominance of older group members, or to distance.³⁰ This important variable highlights the need for development of community-based (local) FTD specific interventions to facilitate accessibility to carers.

Yamakawa et al.46 reported on a single-subject design study where an environmental intervention was used with an FTD patient to restore sleep-wake cycles. The intervention of shutting doors during the day was shown to significantly reduce the patient's evening and night-time disturbances. Lough and Hodges⁴⁷ also published a case report describing strategies for behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) management in a patient with FTD. In the study, behaviour modification techniques were found to be effective for altering the expression of specific BPSD exhibited by the participant; quantitative outcome measures to support these findings were, however, missing. A recent case study⁴⁸ reported on the use of active music therapy to reduce BPSD in a patient with FTD. The result with this case was the reduction of BPSD by more than 50%. Taken together, these single-subject design studies suggest that interventions focused on patient behaviours may be beneficial in FTD. Future studies should focus on rigorous methodology.

Expert advice on non-pharmacological interventions to date. Litvan⁴⁹ highlighted the need for improved carer management in FTD, recommending carer education, emotional support, treatment of psychiatric morbidities,

and provision of respite care. The need to offer appropriate support for FTD carers was also discussed by a Canadian exploratory study suggesting the internet as a platform for accessible FTD educational resources.⁵⁰

The antecedent-behaviour-consequences (ABC) model is an approach used by family carers for management of behavioural symptoms in FTD.³² In this model, brain atrophy is considered the antecedent [A]; behavioural symptoms secondary to this atrophy is considered the behaviour [B], and carer response to this behaviour is the consequence [C]. Specific examples of BPSD were used to explicate this behavioural modification based intervention, with suggested interventions ranging from environmental, behavioural, pharmacologic and physical.⁵¹ Although these approaches seem coherent in the FTD context, a pressing need for research studies to verify the efficacy of these recommendations exists.

Improving function in FTD: an intervention that integrates patient and carer issues concomitantly. To date, most interventions in FTD have focused on either carer burden or behavioural disturbances, with limited number of studies with interventions focused on activity. Two Japanese studies aimed at promoting improved function have been found in FTD. Ikeda et al.⁵² reported on the benefit of individualised activities based on previous interests for reducing BPSD in people with FTD (n=4), while another study presented an OT approach⁵³ involving family education in conjunction with patient-based interventions to increase QOL and improve care in FTD. However, limited information is available as both studies were published in Japanese journals.

A promising intervention, the Tailored Activities Program (TAP), has yet to be trialled in FTD. This approach incorporates the aforementioned concepts of using individualised activities with patients in conjunction with carer education, and thus shows great potential for success in this cohort. Developed by Gitlin et al.,54 TAP is a community-based occupational therapy based intervention designed to reduce BPSD by prescribing personalised activities. Importantly, these activities are based on preserved capabilities and previous interests and roles, with scope for transferability as the dementia progresses.

Crucially, the TAP pilot study⁵⁴ was a two-group randomised controlled trial (n=60) conducted with general dementia patients. Results demonstrated a treatment effect for reduced incidences of BPSD overall, with significant decreases in shadowing, repetitive questioning, agitation and argumentation. Following the intervention, carers reported greater activity engagement and ability for patients to keep busy, as well as fewer hours on duty or doing things for the patient. Carer skills increased through increased mastery, self-efficacy using activities, and greater use of simplification techniques. These initial outcomes of enhanced activity engagement and reduced BPSD along with enhanced caregiving skills, suggest TAP warrants consideration as a good candidate for non-pharmacological intervention within FTD populations living in the community. FTD-specific services, as well as general services able to cater for FTD patients are lacking.⁵⁵ Development of an FTD-specific TAP protocol potentially provides translational opportunity for the development of an appropriate community-based intervention for people with FTD.

TAP requires active carer involvement, from activity development to generalisation of strategies and downgrading of activity for future decline in function. Similar use of problem solving strategies seem to have contributed to the positive carer outcomes reported by Mioshi et al.,42 and Robinson.56 Both studies have highlighted the importance of FTD carers to learn problem solving techniques to develop strategies to assist with patient function by themselves. This finding appears to be the key to success, because carers are instilled with an increased sense of control by taking an active approach to resolving specific problems. This concept was also suggested by Talerico and Evans,57 who proposed working with carers to implement personalised environmental and behavioural interventions to promote improved function and QOL in a person with FTD.

One of the challenges to improve function in FTD is to address the marked frontal deficits that characterise the disease, such as apathy and executive dysfunction. 58,59 The TAP intervention involves structuring activities based on single rather than multiple tasks,60 a strategy also suggested by Massimo and Grossman²⁷ to assist with impairments in executive functioning in FTD.^{2,59} Other studies⁶¹ have also advocated the importance of providing interventions which match the functional level of the person with FTD, while Robinson⁵⁶ proposed the potential use of strategies to enhance attention and use procedural/implicit learning strategies despite the degenerative nature of FTD. Moreover, the TAP intervention also involves setting up the environment to facilitate initiation and sequencing, 60 another potentially important consideration in FTD where apathy frequently prevents initiation of tasks,^{58,62} and exec-

utive dysfunction and utilisation behaviour may impede the continuation of an activity. 27,63

The paucity of reliable, accessible information regarding services for FTD dyads living in the community is an issue discussed by a number of studies. 33,35,50,57 These studies have argued that carers need detailed information about the condition in order to improve their understanding of the disease process, and thus better cope with their own emotional reactions. This concept leads to the recommendation to involve professionals with expert knowledge in FTD to work with affected families for greater efficacy. 61 The TAP intervention involves providing general dementia information to carers, which can therefore be tailored for FTD-specific information.

Finally, TAP also includes carer stress-reducing techniques, an important consideration in FTD interventions, 21,42,61 especially in light of marked levels of stress reported by carers. 64,65

Conclusions and future directions. FTD is a unique condition which manifests with a range of behavioural symptoms,⁵⁹ marked ADL dysfunction¹² and increased levels of carer burden as compared to carers of other dementias such as AD.²² No efficacious pharmacological interventions to treat FTD exist, and research involving nonpharmacological interventions to manage symptoms is variable. A few studies regarding non-pharmacological interventions in FTD have been published; however, the need for rigorous methodologies to be applied is clear. A promising approach is the use of an FTD-specific TAP protocol, which can target both the person with FTD and their carer. Such a program has scope to elucidate the potential for using activity to improve patient function and reduce behavioural changes, while improving carers' skills and reducing difficulties in the caregiving experience in FTD.

REFERENCES

- Hodges JR. Frontotemporal dementia (Pick's disease): Clinical features and assessment. Neurology 2001;56(Suppl 4):S6-S10.
- Raskovsky K, Hodges JR, Knopman D, et al. Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. Brain 2011;134:2456-2477.
- Gorno-Tempini ML, Hillis Ae, Weintraub S, et al. Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. Neurology 2011;76:1006-1014.
- Neary D. Snowden JS. Gustafson L. et al. Frontotemporal lobar degeneration: A consensus on clinical diagnostic criteria. Neurology 1998; 51:1546-1554
- Hodges JR, Patterson K. Semantic dementia: A unique clinicopathological syndrome. Lancet Neurol 2007;6:1004-1014.
- Rosen HJ, Allison SC, Ogar JM, et al. Behavioural features in semantic dementia vs other forms of progressive aphasias. Neurology 2006; 67:1752-1756
- Chow TW, Miller BL, Boone K, Mishkin F, Cummings JL. Frontotemporal dementia classification and neuropsychiatry. Neurologist 2002;8: 263-269.
- Kertesz A, McMonagle P, Blair M, Davidson W, Munoz DG. The evolution and pathology of frontotemporal dementia. Brain 2005;128: 1996-2005.
- Hodges JR, Davies RR, Xuereb JH, et al. Clinicopathological correlates in frontotemporal dementia. Ann Neurol 2004:56:399-406.
- 10. Mendez MF, Perryman KM. Neuropsychiatric features of frontotemporal dementia: Evaluation of consensus criteria and review. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 2002;14:424-429.
- 11. Kipps CM, Mioshi E, Hodges JR. Emotion, social functioning and activities of daily living in frontotemporal dementia. Neurocase 2009;15:
- 12. Mioshi E, Kipps CM, Dawson K, Mitchell J, Graham A, Hodges JR. Activities of daily living in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer disease. Neurology 2007;68:2077-2084.
- Wicklund AH, Johnson N, Rademaker A, Weitner BB, Weintraub S. Profiles of decline in activities of daily living in non-Alzheimer dementia. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2007:21:8-13.
- 14. Mioshi E, Hodges JR. Rate of change of functional abilities in frontotemporal dementia. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2009;28:419-426.
- 15. Mioshi E, Hsieh S, Savage S, Hornberger M, Hodges JR. Clinical staging and disease progression in frontotemporal dementia. Neurology 2010:74:1591-1597.
- 16. Passant U, Elfgren C, Englund E, Gustafson L. Psychiatric symptoms and their psychosocial consequences in frontotemporal dementia. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2005;19(Suppl. 1):S15-S18.

- 17. Mioshi E, Kipps CM, Hodges JR. Activities of daily living in behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2009:23:70-76.
- 18. Mourik JC, Rosso SM, Niermeijer MF, et al. Frontotemporal dementia: Behavioral symptoms and caregiver distress. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2004;18:299-306.
- 19. Riedijk SR, De Vugt ME, Duivenvoorden HJ, et al. Caregiver burden, health-related quality of life and coping in dementia caregivers: A comparison of frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2006;22:405-412.
- 20. Boutoleau-Bretonniere C, Vercelletto M, Volteau C, Renou P, Lamy E. Zarit burden inventory and activities of daily living in the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2008;25:272-277.
- 21. Nunnemann S, Kurz A, Leucht S, Diehl-Schmid J. Caregivers of patients with frontotemporal lobar degeneration: A review of burden, problems, needs, and interventions. Int Psychogeriatr 2012;24:1368-1386.
- 22. Mioshi E, Foxe D, Leslie F, et al. The impact of dementia severity on caregiver burden in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer disease. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2013;27:68-73.
- 23. Hodges JR. Hope abandoned: Memantine therapy in frontotemporal dementia. Lancet Neurol 2013;12:121-123.
- 24. Josephs KA, Hodges JR, Snowden JS, et al. Neuropathological background of phenotypical variability in frontotemporal dementia. Acta Neuropathol 2011;122:137-153.
- Boxer AL, Knopman DS, Kaufer DI, et al. Memantine in patients with frontotemporal lobar degeneration: A multicentre, randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Neurol 2013;12:149-156.
- Jicha GA, Nelson PT. Management of frontotemporal dementia: targeting symptom management in such a heterogeneous disease requires a wide range of therapeutic options. Neurodegener Dis Manag 2011; 1:141-156.
- 27. Massimo L, Grossman M. Patient care and management of frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen 2008; 23:125-131.
- 28. da Gloria Portugal M, Marinho V, Laks J. Pharmacological treatment of frontotemporal lobar degeneration: Systematic review. Rev Bras Psiq
- Banks S, Rogalski E, Medina J, Skoglund A, Morhardt D. Organizing a series of educational and support conferences for caregivers of individuals with frontotemporal dementia and primary progressive aphasia. Alzheimers Care Q 2006;7:243-250.
- Grinberg A, Lagunoff J, Phillips D, Stern B, Goodman M, Chow T. Multidisciplinary design and implementation of a day program specialized

- for the frontotemporal dementias. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen 2008:22:499-506
- 31. Ibach B, Koch H, Koller M, Hajak G, Putzhammer A. The situation of caregiver counselling in patients with frontotemporal lobar dementia in old psychiatry. Psychiatr Prax 2004;31(Suppl 1):S120-S122.
- Merrilees J. A model for management of behavioural symptoms in frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2007;21: S64-S69
- Rosness TA, Haugen PK, Engedal K. Support to family carers of patients with frontotemporal dementia. Aging & Mental Health 2008;12:
- Mendez MF. Frontotemporal dementia: Therapeutic interventions. In: Giannakopoulos P, Hof PR, editors. Dementia in Clinical Practice. 24. Basel: Karger; 2009:168-178.
- Diehl J, Mayer T, Forstl H, Kurz A. A support group for caregivers of patients with frontotemporal dementia. Dementia 2003;2:151-161.
- Diehl J. Mayer T. Kurz A. Forstl H. [Features of frontotemporal dementia from the perspective of a special family support group]. Nervenarzt 2003;74:445-449.
- Marziali E, Climans R. New technology to connect frontotemporal dementia spousal caregivers online. Canadian Review of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias 2009:12:23-27
- Brodaty H, Green A, Koschera A. Meta-analysis of psychosocial interventions for caregivers of people with dementia. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2003:51:657-64.
- Sorensen S, Pinquart M, Duberstein P. How effective are interventions with caregivers? An updated meta-analysis. Gerontologist 2002;42:
- Taylor MC. Evidence-based practice for occupational therapists. 2 ed. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing; 2007.
- Mohandas E, Rajmohan V. Frontotemporal dementia: An updated overview. Indian J Psychiatry 2009;51(Suppl 1):S65-S69.
- 42. Mioshi E, McKinnon C, Savage S, O'Connor CM, Hodges JR. Improving burden and coping skills in frontotemporal dementia caregivers: A pilot study. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2013:27:84-86.
- Hebert R, Levesque L, Vezina J, et al. Efficacy of a psychoeducative group program for caregivers of demented persons living at home: A randomized controlled trial. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2003;
- McKinnon C, O'Connor CM, Savage S, Hodges JR, Mioshi E. Qualitative results of a structured group program for carers of people with frontotemporal dementia. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2013;28:216-218.
- Grinberg A, Phillips D. The impact of a community day program on the lives of patients with frontotemporal dementia and their caregivers. Canadian Review of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias
- Yamakawa M, Shigenobu K, Makimoto K, Zhu C, Ashida N, Tabushi K. Environmental control interventions for frontotemporal dementia with reversed sleep-wake cycles. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen 2008:23:470-476.
- Lough S, Hodges JR. Measuring and modifying abnormal social cognition in frontal variant frontotemporal dementia. J Psychosom Res 2002:53:639-646.
- Raglio A, Bellandi D, Baiardi P, Gianotti M, Ubezio MC, Granieri E. Music

- therapy in frontal temporal dementia: A case report. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012:60:1578-1579
- Litvan I. Therapy and management of frontal lobe dementia patients. Neurology 2001;56(Suppl 4):S41-S45.
- 50. Chow TW, Pio FJ, Rockwood K. An international needs assessment of caregivers for frontotemporal dementia. Can J Neurol Sci 2011;38: 753-757
- 51. Merrilees J, Miller BL. Long-term care of patients with frontotemporal dementia. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2003;4:S162-S164.
- 52. Ikeda M, Tanabe H, Horino T, et al. Care for patients wit Pick's disease--by using their preserved procedural memory. Seishin Shinkeigaku Zasshi 1995:97:179-192.
- 53. Shigenobu K, Ikeda M. Care for the patients with frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Brain Nerve 2009;61:1337-1342.
- 54. Gitlin LN, Winter L, Burke J, Chernett N, Dennis MP, Huack WW. Tailored Activities to manage neuropsychiatric behaviors in persons with dementia and reduce caregiver burden: A randomized pilot study. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2008;16:229-239.
- 55. Morhardt D. Accessing community-based and long-term care services: Challenges facing persons with frontotemporal dementia and their families. J Mol Neurosci 2011;45:737-741.
- 56 Robinson KM Rehabilitation applications in caring for patients with Pick's disease and frontotemporal dementias. Neurology 2001;56(Suppl. 4):S56-S58.
- 57. Talerico KA, Evans LK. Responding to safety issues in frontotemporal dementia. Neurology 2001;56(Suppl. 4):S52-S55.
- Piguet O, Hornberger M, Mioshi E, Hodges JR. Behavioural-variant frontotemporal dementia: Diagnosis, clinical staging, and management. Lancet Neurol 2011;10:162-172.
- 59. Rabinovici GD, Miller BL. Frontotemporal lobar degeneration: Epidemiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis and management. CNS Drugs 2010:24:375-398
- 60. Gitlin LN, Winter L, Earland TV, et al. The Tailored Activity Program to reduce behavioral symptoms in individuals with dementia: Feasibility, acceptability, and replication potential. Gerontologist 2009;49:428-439.
- 61. Merrilees J, Ketelle R. Advanced practice nursing: Meeting the caregiving challenges for families of persons with frontotemporal dementia. Clin Nurse Spec 2010;24:245-251.
- 62. Manoochehri M, Huey ED. Diagnosis and management of behavioral issues in frontotemporal dementia. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2012:
- 63. Neary D, Snowden J, Mann D. Frontotemporal dementia. Lancet Neurol 2005;4:771-780.
- Mekala S, Alladi S, Chandrasekar K, et al. Cultural differences are reflected in variables associated with carer burden in FTD: A comparison study between India and Australia. Dement Neuropsychol 2013;7: 104-109
- Mioshi E, Bristow M, Cook R, Hodges JR. Factors underlying caregiver stress in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2009;27:76-81.
- National Health and Medical Research Council: Additional levels of evidence and grades for recommendations for developers of guidelines: Final draft. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council;