



Dementia & Neuropsychologia

ISSN: 1980-5764

demneuropsych@uol.com.br

Associação Neurologia Cognitiva e do
Comportamento
Brasil

Martins de Oliveira, Glaucia; Cachioni, Meire; Falcão, Deusivania; Batistoni, Samila;
Lopes, Andrea; Guimarães, Vanessa; Bento Lima-Silva, Thais; Liberalesso Neri, Anita;
Sanches Yassuda, Mônica

Relationships between episodic memory performance prediction and sociodemographic
variables among healthy older adults

Dementia & Neuropsychologia, vol. 9, núm. 1, 2015, pp. 58-63

Associação Neurologia Cognitiva e do Comportamento

São Paulo, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=339538469009>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

Relationships between episodic memory performance prediction and sociodemographic variables among healthy older adults

Glaucia Martins de Oliveira¹, Meire Cachioni², Deusivania Falcão³, Samila Batistoni³, Andrea Lopes³, Vanessa Guimarães³, Thais Bento Lima-Silva⁴, Anita Liberalesso Neri⁵, Mônica Sanches Yassuda²

ABSTRACT. Previous studies have suggested that performance prediction, an aspect of metamemory, may be associated with objective performance on memory tasks. **Objective:** The objective of the study was to describe memory prediction before performing an episodic memory task, in community-dwelling older adults, stratified by sex, age group and educational level. Additionally, the association between predicted and objective performance on a memory task was investigated. **Methods:** The study was based on data from 359 participants in the FIBRA study carried out at Ermelino Matarazzo, São Paulo. Memory prediction was assessed by posing the question: "If someone showed you a sheet with drawings of 10 pictures to observe for 30 seconds, how many pictures do you think you could remember without seeing the sheet?". Memory performance was assessed by the memorization of 10 black and white pictures from the Brief Cognitive Screening Battery (BCSB). **Results:** No differences were found between men and women, nor for age group and educational level, in memory performance prediction before carrying out the memory task. There was a modest association ($\rho=0.11$, $p=0.041$) between memory prediction and performance in immediate memory. On multivariate linear regression analyses, memory performance prediction was moderately significantly associated with immediate memory ($p=0.061$). **Conclusion:** In this study, sociodemographic variables did not influence memory prediction, which was only modestly associated with immediate memory on the Brief Cognitive Screening Battery (BCSB). **Key words:** memory, memory prediction, metamemory, older adults.

RELAÇÕES ENTRE PREVISÃO DE DESEMPENHO DE MEMÓRIA EPISÓDICA E VARIÁVEIS SOCIODEMOGRÁFICAS ENTRE IDOSOS SAUDÁVEIS

RESUMO. Estudos prévios sugerem que a previsão de desempenho, um dos aspectos da metamemória, pode estar associada ao desempenho em tarefas de memória. **Objetivo:** Objetivou-se descrever a previsão de desempenho antes da realização de uma tarefa de memória episódica em idosos residentes na comunidade, divididos por sexo, faixas de idade e escolaridade. Adicionalmente, objetivou-se estudar a associação entre a previsão de desempenho com o desempenho objetivo em tarefa de memória. **Métodos:** Foram utilizados os dados de 359 idosos participantes do estudo FIBRA realizado em Ermelino Matarazzo, São Paulo. Foi utilizada uma questão referente à previsão de desempenho: "Se alguém lhe mostrasse uma folha com desenhos de 10 figuras para observar por 30 segundos, quantas figuras o/a senhor/a acha que conseguiria se lembrar em seguida sem ver a folha?". O desempenho de memória foi avaliado pela memorização de 10 figuras em preto e branco da Bateria Breve de Rastreio Cognitivo (BBRC). **Resultados:** Não foram encontradas diferenças entre homens e mulheres, entre faixas etárias ou de escolaridade para a previsão de desempenho antes da realização de tarefa de memória. Houve associação modesta ($\rho=0,11$, $p=0,041$) entre a previsão de desempenho e o desempenho em memória imediata. Na análise de regressão linear multivariada a previsão de desempenho associou-se de forma moderadamente significativa com a memória imediata ($p=0,061$). **Conclusão:** Neste estudo observou-se que as variáveis sociodemográficas não influenciaram a previsão de desempenho que esteve associada de forma modesta com a memória imediata na Bateria Breve de Rastreio Cognitivo (BBRC).

Palavras-chave: memória, previsão de desempenho, metamemória, idosos.

¹Degree in Gerontology – Escola de Artes, Ciências e Humanidades da Universidade de São Paulo. ²Associate Professor in Gerontology – Escola de Artes, Ciências e Humanidades da Universidade de São Paulo. ³Assistant Professor in Gerontology - Escola de Artes, Ciências e Humanidades da Universidade de São Paulo. ⁴Degree in Gerontology – Escola de Artes, Ciências e Humanidades da Universidade de São Paulo. ⁵Masters in Neurology from the Department of Neurology, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo. ⁶Full Professor at UNICAMP School of Education.

Mônica Sanches Yassuda. Av. Arlindo Bettio 1000 / Prédio I-1 / sala 322 – 03828-000 São Paulo SP – Brazil. 03828-000. E-mail: yassuda@usp.br

Disclosure: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Received May 14, 2014. Accepted in final form September 03, 2014.

INTRODUCTION

Some cognitive functions tend to decline with age, such as episodic and working memory, executive functions and attention.¹ However, some aspects of cognition, such as metamemory, remain less well studied in aging.

The term “metamemory” originally referred to a broad array of knowledge which people held about memory.² The concept now also encompasses beliefs, such as self-efficacy, performance prediction and emotions in memory. Metamemory is especially relevant in Gerontology, since it is held that beliefs about memory can affect performance of older adults on memory tasks.³⁻⁵ Negative beliefs about memory are thought to have a deleterious impact on the use of strategies, on effort dedicated to the task and goal-setting, all of which can be regarded as moderating variables of the relationship between beliefs and memory performance.⁶

Metamemory can be studied by means of performance prediction. Under this paradigm, participants are asked to make predictions about their performance before performing memory task. Performance prediction is thought to involve an assessment of the difficulty level of the proposed task, together with an assessment of one's own ability to perform that task.⁵

Studies on performance prediction in memory tasks have produced mixed results, but tend to indicate that elderly overestimate their performance - not as a result of over confidence, but because they tend to underestimate the difficulty level of the task.^{5,7}

In Brazil, Yassuda et al.⁸ assessed the validity of the Portuguese version of a metamemory questionnaire, the Metamemory in Adulthood Questionnaire (MIA) and also of a self-efficacy questionnaire, the Memory Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (MSEQ), in 33 younger and 27 older healthy Brazilian adults. The results of the analyses suggested that the Portuguese versions of these instruments exhibit good psychometric characteristics and are promising for research use in Brazil.

The relationship between performance prediction and objective performance on memory tasks may be influenced by the low level of schooling often found among elderly in the Brazilian milieu. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to describe memory prediction before performing a visual episodic memory task, in a sample of older adults from Ermelino Matarazzo, participants of the FIBRA study, stratified by sex, age group and educational level. Additionally, the association between predicted and objective performance on an episodic memory task was investigated.

METHODS

Participants. This study was based on data from 359 participants of the population-based study “Frailty profiles in Brazilian elderly”, conducted by the Fibra Network, UNICAMP, in response to Public call MCT-CNPq/MS-SCTIE-DECIT – no. 17/2006. A total of 384 elderly residents of Ermelino Matarazzo were interviewed between July 2008 and June 2009. The present study included all participants with complete data for the variables of interest (N=359). Participants scoring below the cut-off score on the Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE) were not excluded.⁹ Further information on the methods employed in the FIBRA study is available in Neri et al.¹⁰

Instruments. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Medical Sciences of the State University of Campinas, under report number 208/2007. All participants completed an extensive protocol, during a single session, which included sociodemographic variables, health-related variables, anthropometric measures, psychosocial variables and variables on frailty criteria.

Participants completed the MMSE and answered the following question about memory performance prediction: “If someone showed you a sheet with drawings of 10 pictures to observe for 30 seconds, how many pictures do you think you could remember without seeing the sheet?”. The participants then carried out a memory task from the Brief Cognitive Screening Battery.¹¹

The task consisted of naming and memorization of 10 common black and white drawings. The figures were named by the subject (Naming), who was then asked to recall each drawing immediately, without having been told the figures had to be memorized (Incidental Memory). Subsequently, the figures were displayed again and the subject asked to memorize them for 30 seconds for further recall (Immediate Memory). The procedure was then repeated (Learning). After performing other tasks for around 5 minutes, the subject was asked to evoke the figures shown previously (Delayed Memory). Finally, the 10 figures, mixed with another 10 distractor figures, were redisplayed and the participant asked to recognize those figures displayed originally (Recognition). Scoring on these tests ranges from 0 to 10 points.

For the present study, data related to performance on memory and performance prediction tests were analyzed. Sociodemographic data were used as independent variables and to characterize the sample.

Data analyses. The Chi-square test was employed to com-

pare the categorical variables between groups. The absence of a normal distribution for the continuous variables dictated the use of non-parametric tests, namely, the Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis U-tests. When a p-value <0.05 was determined on the Kruskal-Wallis test, the Multiple Comparisons z values test was applied. Spearman Correlation analyses were also carried out.

For analysis of the relationship between predicted and objective performance on memory tests in the presence of sociodemographic variables, linear regression analysis was carried out with the multivariate model using Stepwise Forward variable selection criteria, i.e. from the most simple to most complex model. The variables yielding $p < 0.10$ on univariate regression analyses were included in the final multiple models. The variables sex, age, schooling, family income in minimum wages and performance prediction were included in the models as independent variables whereas the cognitive variables from the BCSB were used as dependent variables in separate models (MMSE, Naming, Incidental Memory, Immediate Memory, Learning, Delayed Memory and Recognition).

The data were keyed into Version 3.1 of the Epidata Program. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS v.17.0 and Statistica v. 7.0 software packages. The level of significance adopted for the statistical tests was 5%, i.e. a p-value <0.05.

RESULTS

The sample comprised predominantly female participants, aged 65-75 years, married or in common law union and educated to primary school level (Table 1).

Participants predicted recall of an average of five figures (SD=2.3) if asked to memorize 10 figures (Table 2). Participants scored an average of 23.90 (SD=3.56) on the MMSE and 7.49 (SD=1.97) on the Delayed Memory task. No significant difference in performance prediction was found between men and women. Table 2 reveals that men performed better than women on the MMSE (24.75(M) vs. 23.48 (W) $p < 0.001$).

No significant difference in performance prediction was found for age or schooling (Table 3). Younger and more educated participants had better cognitive performance.

Table 4 depicts correlations, revealing a significant association among the cognitive variables. The performance prediction variable was moderately but significantly associated with Immediate Memory.

The multivariate regression analysis (Table 5) revealed that the cognitive variables were influenced by schooling, age and sex. Performance prediction had

no significant influence on cognitive variables but was moderately associated with Immediate Memory.

Table 1. Data characterizing the sample (n=359).

Variables		N	%
Sex	Male	120	33.43
	Female	239	66.57
Age groups	65-69	138	38.44
	70-74	115	32.03
	75-79	63	17.55
	80 or over	43	11.98
	Mean (SD)	72.16	5.65
	Median	71.00	
	Minimum – Maximum	71.00-92.00	
Marital status	Single	29	8.08
	Married/Stable union	178	49.58
	Divorced, legally separated	26	7.24
	Widow(er)	126	35.10
Schooling (in years)	Illiterates	62	17.27
	From 1 to 4 years	225	62.67
	From 5 to 8 years	59	16.43
	From 9 to 11 years	7	1.95
	12 years or more	6	1.67
	Mean (SD)	3.46	2.81
	Median	4.00	
	Minimum – Maximum	21.00	
Personal income	Up to 1.0 MW	144	40.11
	From 1.1 to 3.0 MWs	153	42.62
	From 3.1 to 5.0 MWs	40	11.14
	From 5.1 to 10.0 MWs	8	2.23
	Over 10 MWs	4	1.11
	Not informed	10	2.79
Family income	Up to 1.0 MW	26	7.24
	From 1.1 to 3.0 MWs	164	45.68
	From 3.1 to 5.0 MWs	74	20.61
	From 5.1 to 10.0 MWs	32	8.91
	Over 10 MWs	10	2.79
	Not informed	53	14.76
Social welfare benefits	None	42	11.70
	Retired	204	56.82
	Pensioners	65	18.11
	Retired and Pensioners	48	13.37

MW: minimum wage.

Table 2. Mean and standard deviations for metamemory and cognitive performance variables for total sample and for men and women.

Variable	Mean	SD±	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Men (n=120)	Women (n=239)	p-value
						Mean SD	Mean SD	
Predicted number of figures recalled								
Total	5.04	2.31	0.00	5.00	10.00	4.95 (1.99)	5.09 (2.46)	0.984
Total scores on tests								
MMSE	23.90	3.56	9.00	24.00	30.00	24.75 (3.51)	23.48 (3.51)	<0.001
Naming	9.70	1.21	0.00	10.00	10.00	9.56 (1.57)	9.77 (0.98)	0.275
Incidental	5.66	1.47	0.00	6.00	10.00	5.37 (1.41)	5.80 (1.48)	0.017
Immediate	7.57	1.59	0.00	8.00	10.00	7.21 (1.70)	7.75 (1.50)	0.003
Learning	8.25	1.61	0.00	8.00	10.00	8.12 (1.58)	8.32 (1.62)	0.177
Delayed	7.49	1.97	0.00	8.00	10.00	7.38 (1.83)	7.54 (2.04)	0.183
Recognition	9.39	1.08	0.00	10.00	10.00	9.40 (1.05)	9.38 (1.10)	0.801

MMSE: Mini-mental State Exam.

Table 3. Mean and standard deviations for metamemory and cognitive performance variables among elderly from different age and schooling groups.

Variables	Age groups								p-value
	65-69		70-74		75-79		80 or over		
	Mean	SD±	Mean	SD±	Mean	SD±	Mean	SD±	
Predicted number of figures recalled									
Total	4.80	2.14	5.24	2.35	4.92	2.22	5.47	2,77	0.277
Total scores on tests:									
MMSE	25.18	2.83	23.91	3.41	23.11	3.06	20.93	4,58	<0.001
Naming	9.94	0.34	9.56	1.46	9.65	1.36	9.36	1,83	0.002
Incidental	6.07	1.30	5.58	1.46	5.44	1.32	4.81	1,81	<0.001
Immediate	8.10	1.19	7.43	1.56	7.40	1.70	6.45	1,93	<0.001
Learning	8.72	1.07	8.17	1.71	8.08	1.56	7.17	2,21	<0.001
Delayed	8.12	1.43	7.52	1.87	7.11	1.88	5.88	2,79	<0.001
Recognition	9.67	0.67	9.32	1.28	9.30	0.87	8.76	1,49	<0.001
	Schooling								p-value
	Illiterates		From 1 to 4 years		5 years or more				
	Mean	SD±	Mean	SD±	Mean	SD±			
Predicted number of figures recalled									
Total	5.31	2.91		4.96	2.25		5.07	1.88	0.836
Total scores on tests									
MMSE	20.77	3.96		24.48	2.99		24.78	3.42	<0.001
Naming	9.20	2.00		9.80	0.98		9.81	0.85	<0.001
Incidental	5.38	1.85		5.71	1.37		5.74	1.41	0.460
Immediate	7.15	1.85		7.61	1.59		7.81	1.23	0.137
Learning	7.70	1.99		8.28	1.55		8.60	1.31	0.020
Delayed	6.89	2.67		7.58	1.78		7.71	1.77	0.323
Recognition	9.08	1.16		9.43	1.07		9.51	1.01	0.015

Age groups (MMSE: 65-69≠70-74, 65-69≠75-79, 65-69≠80 or over, 70-74≠80 or over. Incidental: 65-69≠75-79, 65-69≠80 or over. Immediate: 65-69≠70-74, 65-69≠75-79, 65-69≠80 or over, 70-74≠80 or over. Learning: 65-69≠80 or over, 70-74≠80 or over. Delayed Recall: 65-69≠75-79, 65-69≠80 or over, 70-74≠80 or over. Recognition: 65-69≠75-79, 65-69≠80 or over. Schooling (MMSE: Illiterates≠1 to 4 years, Illiterates≠5 years or more. Learning: Illiterates≠5 years or more. Naming: Illiterates≠1 to 4 years.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, no influence of sex, age or schooling on performance prediction was found. Performance prediction was moderately associated with Immediate Memory.

In contrast with the present findings, a previous study detected an association between performance

prediction and sex. Hertzog, Dixon and Hultsch¹² reported that women showed a significant increase in their sequential performance predictions, i.e. they were able to monitor their performance and revise predictions more effectively than men.

In the present study, older participants did not predict lower performance, as might be expected given age-

Table 4. Correlation matrix between performance prediction and cognitive variables.

	Performance prediction	MMSE	Naming	Incidental	Immediate	Learning	Delayed memory
MMSE	rho=-0.03 p=0.601						
Naming	rho=-0.03 p=0.621	0.26 <0.001					
Incidental	rho=0.10 p=0.053	0.29 <0.001	0.16 0.003				
Immediate	rho=0.11 p=0.041	0.30 <0.001	0.22 <0.001	0.54 <0.001			
Learning	rho=0.02 p=0.660	0.32 <0.001	0.20 <0.001	0.42 <0.001	0.58 <0.001		
Delayed	rho=0.04 p=0.450	0.31 <0.001	0.18 <0.001	0.44 <0.001	0.55 <0.001	0.69 <0.001	
Recognition	rho=0.08 p=0.123	0.34 <0.001	0.27 <0.001	0.31 <0.001	0.34 <0.001	0.31 <0.001	0.33 <0.001

rho (Spearman's correlation coefficient) and p (p-value).

Table 5. Multiple linear regression analyses for sex, age, schooling, income and performance prediction as independent variables. Variable selection criteria: Stepwise Forward Modeling (p<0.10).

Performance	Independent variables	B	Standard error	p-value
MMSE	Age	-0.217	0.030	<0.001
	Schooling	0.355	0.059	<0.001
	Sex	-1.076	0.349	0.002
Naming	Age	-0.032	0.012	0.005
	Schooling	0.060	0.023	0.010
	Sex	0.250	0.135	0.065
Incidental	Age	-0.068	0.013	<0.001
	Sex	0.414	0.159	0.009
Immediate	Age	-0.087	0.014	<0.001
	Sex	0.618	0.168	<0.001
	Income	0.000	0.000	0.003
	Performance prediction	0.066	0.035	0.061
Learning	Age	-0.080	0.015	<0.001
	Schooling	0.068	0.029	0.020
Delayed	Age	-0.126	0.018	<0.001
Recognition	Age	-0.042	0.010	<0.001
	Schooling	0.046	0.020	0.020

Reference for the sex variable is male gender.

related decline in episodic memory. Previous studies have reported the influence of age on performance prediction but included younger adults, children and older adults in their samples.¹²⁻¹⁴

With regard to schooling, elderly with higher educational level, who generally perform better on memory tasks, can be expected to have superior performance prediction. However, this expectation was not confirmed by the present data. Additionally, no association was found between predicted and objective performance on memory tasks, in contrast with previous international studies. In the present study, analysis of the distribution of scores for the performance prediction variable showed that a significant number of participants gave an intermediate prediction value (5). It is possible that faced with the difficulty of providing an estimate on future performance, participants chose a mid-point on the scale, which may partially explain the disparities with previous studies. The present study should

encourage other researchers to investigate this aspect of metamemory and its relationship with performance on episodic memory tasks.

Myths and stereotypes associated with aging can potentially exert an influence on cognitive performance of elderly individuals. Future studies should further investigate the variables associated with the metamemory construct, which has been little investigated in Brazil's elderly population.

To conclude, sociodemographic variables did not influence predicted memory performance, which was only modestly associated with immediate memory on the BCSB.

Acknowledgements. We thank all those involved in the FIBRA Project for their assistance. This study is based on data collected in Ermelino Matarazzo. Financial support was provided by the National Research Council (CNPq), under process no.1467755/2011-1.

REFERENCES

1. Salthouse TA. Influence of age on practice effects in longitudinal neuro-cognitive change. *Neuropsychology* 2010;24:563-572.
2. Flavell JH. First discussant's comments: What's memory development the development of? *Hum Development* 1971;14:272-278.
3. Cavanaugh JC, Feldman JM, Hertzog C. Memory beliefs as social cognition: A reconceptualization of what memory questionnaires assess. *Rev Gen Psychol* 1998;2:48-65.
4. Cavanaugh JC, Green EE. I believe, therefore I can: Self-efficacy beliefs in memory aging. In: E. A. Lovelace (Eds.), *Aging and cognition: Mental processes, self awareness, and interventions*. Amsterdam: Elsevier 1990:189-230.
5. Woo E, Schmitter-Edgecombe M, Fancher, JB. Memory Prediction Accuracy in younger and older adults: A Cross-Sectional and Longitudinal Analysis. *Aging Neuropsychol Cogn* 2008;15:68-94.
6. Berry JM, West RL, Dennehey DM. Reliability and validity of the self-efficacy questionnaire. *Dev Psychol* 1989;25:701-713.
7. Mantyla T, Ronnlund M, Kliegel M. Components of executive functioning in metamemory. *Appl Neuropsychol* 2010;17:289-98.
8. Yassuda MS, Lasca VB, Neri AL. Metamemória e auto-eficácia: Um estudo de validação de Instrumento de pesquisa sobre memória e envelhecimento. *Psicol Reflex Crít* 2005;18:78-90.
9. Brucki SMD, Nitrini R, Caramelli P, Bertolucci PHF, Okamoto IH. Sugestões para o uso do mini-exame do estado mental no Brasil. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr* 2003;61: 77-781.
10. Neri AL, Yassuda MS, de Araújo LF, et al. Methodology and social, demographic, cognitive, and frailty profiles of community-dwelling elderly from seven Brazilian cities: the FIBRA Study. *Cad Saúde Pública* 2013; 29:778-792.
11. Nitrini R, Caramelli P, Herrera JR, et al. Performance of illiterate and literate nondemented elderly subjects in two tests of long-term memory. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2004;10:634-638.
12. Hertzog C, Dixon, RA, Hultsch DF. Relationships between metamemory, memory predictions, and memory task performance in adults. *Psychol Aging* 1990;5:215-227.
13. Cavanaugh JC, Poon LW. Metamemorial Predictors of Memory Performance in young and Older Adults. *Psychol Aging* 1989;4:365-368.
14. Jopp, D, Hertzog C. Activities, Self-Referent Memory Beliefs, and Cognitive Performance: Evidence for Direct and Mediated Relations. *Psychol Aging* 2007;22:811-227.