



Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP

ISSN: 0080-6234

reeusp@usp.br

Universidade de São Paulo

Brasil

de Oliveira, Adriana Cristina; Souza Damasceno, Quésia  
Superfícies do ambiente hospitalar como possíveis reservatórios de bactérias resistentes: uma revisão  
Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP, vol. 44, núm. 4, diciembre, 2010, pp. 1118-1123  
Universidade de São Paulo  
São Paulo, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=361033306038>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System  
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal  
Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

# Surfaces of the hospital environment as possible deposits of resistant bacteria: a review

SUPERFÍCIES DO AMBIENTE HOSPITALAR COMO POSSÍVEIS RESERVATÓRIOS DE BACTÉRIAS RESISTENTES: UMA REVISÃO

SUPERFICIES INANIMADAS DEL AMBIENTE HOSPITALARIO COMO POSIBLES RESERVORIOS DE BACTERIAS RESISTENTES: UNA REVISIÓN

Adriana Cristina de Oliveira<sup>1</sup>, Quésia Souza Damasceno<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

The main objective of this study is to identify, in the literature, articles about the occurrence of contamination from inanimate surfaces and a possible dissemination of resistant bacteria in the hospital environment. A bibliographic survey was performed with articles published in the databases LILACS, MEDLINE, Science Direct, SCOPUS and ISI Web of Knowledge, between 2000 and 2008. Twenty-one articles were selected and analyzed. The analyzed studies highlighted the presence of bacteria on monitors, bed grids, tables, faucets, telephones, keyboards and other objects. There was a prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* resistant to methicillin, *Clostridium difficile*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Enterococcus* resistant to vancomycin, being the predictive factor the previous occupation of patients colonized by these microorganisms. There was a similarity observed among the isolated strains of colonized and/or infected patients and the strains of the environment by molecular typification. These evidences reinforce the need for knowledge and control of the sources of pathogens in the hospital environment.

## KEY WORDS

Cross infection.  
Contamination.  
Drug resistance, bacterial.

## RESUMO

O principal objetivo deste estudo é identificar, na literatura, artigos sobre a ocorrência de contaminação das superfícies inanimadas e uma possível disseminação de bactérias resistentes no ambiente hospitalar. Realizou-se um levantamento bibliográfico de artigos publicados nas bases de dados LILACS, MEDLINE, Science Direct, SCOPUS e ISI Web of Knowledge, entre 2000 e 2008. Foram selecionados e analisados vinte e um artigos. Nos estudos analisados, realçou-se a presença de bactérias em monitores, grades de cama, mesas, torneiras, telefones, teclados de computador e outros objetos. Houve predominância de *Staphylococcus aureus* resistente à metilina, *Clostridium difficile*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* e *Enterococcus* resistentes à vancomicina, sendo fator preditivo a ocupação prévia por pacientes colonizados por tais microrganismos. Verificou-se semelhança entre as cepas isoladas de pacientes colonizados e/ou infectados e as cepas do ambiente por tipificação molecular. Essas evidências reforçam a necessidade de conhecimento e controle de fontes de patógenos no ambiente hospitalar.

## DESCRIPTORES

Infecção hospitalar.  
Contaminação.  
Farmacorresistência bacteriana

## RESUMEN

Se objetivó identificar en la literatura artículos sobre la ocurrencia de contaminación de superficies inanimadas y la posible disseminación de bacterias resistentes en el ambiente hospitalario. Se realizó una investigación bibliográfica en las bases de datos LILACS, MEDLINE, Science Direct, SCOPUS e ISI Web of Knowledge acerca de artículos publicados entre 2000 y 2008. Fueron seleccionados veintiún artículos. En los estudios analizados, se puso de manifiesto la presencia de bacterias en monitores, barandas de camas, mesas, canillas, teléfonos, teclados de computadora y otras. Existió predominancia de *Staphylococcus aureus* resistente a la metilina, *Clostridium difficile*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* y *Enterococcus* resistentes a la vancomicina, resultando como factor predictivo el uso previo por parte de pacientes colonizados por tales microorganismos. Se verificó semejanza en las cepas aisladas de pacientes colonizados y/o infectados y del ambiente por tipificación molecular. Esas evidencias refuerzan la necesidad de conocimiento y control de fuentes de patógenos en el ambiente hospitalario.

## DESCRIPTORES

Infección hospitalaria.  
Contaminación.  
Farmacorresistencia bacteriana.

<sup>1</sup> Nurse. Master. PhD. Professor at Federal University of Minas Gerais College of Nursing. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil. [adrianacoliveira@gmail.com](mailto:adrianacoliveira@gmail.com) <sup>2</sup> Nurse. Master's Student by the Graduate Program at Federal University of Minas Gerais College of Nursing. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil. [qdamasceno@yahoo.com.br](mailto:qdamasceno@yahoo.com.br)

## INTRODUCTION

The dissemination of health-care associated infections (HAI) often originates from cross contamination. The most common means of pathogen transference occurs between the hands of health professionals and patients<sup>(1)</sup>.

However, the hospital environment may contribute with the dissemination of pathogens. Environments occupied by colonized and/or infected patients generally can become contaminated<sup>(1)</sup>. The presence of bacteria is common in inanimate surfaces and equipment<sup>(2)</sup>.

It was identified that in the USA there is frequent contamination of surfaces by vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Although the microorganisms survive in the environment, the role that surfaces play in the dissemination remains unclear<sup>(3-4)</sup>.

The definition of the role that the environment has on the acquisition of HAI is highlighted by the need for multiple strategies to control the dissemination of antibiotic-resistant bacteria; a global issue that increases the length of stay, costs and morbidity<sup>(5)</sup>. Therefore, it is important to evaluate the role of the environment regarding infections to propose strategies that would reduce contamination and dissemination by pathogens<sup>(6)</sup>.

It is observed that the environment may have a greater effect on intensive care units (ICU) because of the severe and unstable clinical conditions of patients who require intensive care, in addition to factors such as cleaning, disinfection, physical structure, amount of equipments and surfaces in certain units<sup>(7)</sup>.

The dissemination of health-care associated infections is complex and has multifactor causes. In this sense, addressing the environment in bacteria dissemination aims at achieving a better understanding of HAI control, defining policies for control and building awareness about the subject among health professionals<sup>(8)</sup>.

## OBJECTIVE

The objective was to identify in literature articles about the occurrence of inanimate surface contamination and possible dissemination of resistant bacteria in the hospital environment.

## METHOD

A literature review was performed of journals published in English, from 2000 to 2008, on the following data bases: Medical Literature (MEDLINE), Latin-American and Caribbean Center on Health Sciences Information (LILACS), Science Direct, SCOPUS (Database of research literature) and the ISI Web of Knowledge virtual research platform. This period was considered because the subject has not been much addressed and has been gaining the attention of researchers. The following keywords were used: *cross infection, transmission, environment and bacterial drug resistance*.

The inclusion criteria were the following: original articles presenting surface contamination associated with hospital infection and bacterial resistance, in adult patient units, using laboratory tests (biochemical and/or molecular) and statistical. A total 348 articles were found. Those that were not related with the subject (327) were excluded, and, thus, 21 articles were analyzed.

## RESULTS

...it is important to evaluate the role of the environment regarding infections to propose strategies that would reduce contamination and dissemination by pathogens.

It was found that, in endemic and outbreak situations, there is environment contamination and bacterial transferring between patients and the environment (Chart1). In these studies, the bacterial species were identified using biochemical tests (gram staining, coagulase, oxidase, pyruvate and others). The profile of bacterial isolates was verified by antimicrobial susceptibility test by disk diffusion or determining the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) by the E-test<sup>(1-21)</sup>.

The clonal relationship of bacterial isolates was often verified using pulsed-field electrophoresis (PFGE); a technique of high discriminatory power, broad application to the several species, and which permits to compare the similarity between strains<sup>(17-21)</sup>.

In the endemic observation there was a greater prevalence of MRSA and VRE with a risk of patients acquiring infections in a contaminated environment. In outbreaks there were mostly gram negative bacteria; carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii*. Environment contamination by *C. difficile* was observed in both endemic and outbreak situations<sup>(1-14,16-18,20-21)</sup>. Despite the involvement of the environment in outbreaks in some studies the environment cultures were negative or, when positive, there was no strand similarity, suggesting other sources of dissemination<sup>(22-23)</sup>.

**Chart 1** - Studies using biochemical and/molecular analysis of surface contamination and possible dissemination of resistant bacteria in ICU in endemic and outbreak situations, USA, France, Ireland, United Kingdom, Germany, Switzerland, Turkey, Canada, Spain, Belgium - 2000 - 2008


Observations in endemic situations		
Bacterial species	Study design	Bacterial dissemination
VRE <sup>(1,3,5,11-12)</sup>	1) Cultures of the environment and patients of two ICU. Determined the risk factors for being infected by VRE <sup>(1,11)</sup> . * 2) Culture of patients and room surfaces before and after routine cleaning in 27 episodes <sup>(3)</sup> . 3) Phases: 1- baseline, 2- educational intervention, 3- no intervention and 4- Hand washing. Samples were obtained from the hands of the workers, environment, and patients <sup>(5)</sup> . 4) Culture of patients, surfaces and from workers' hands and/or gloves before and after procedures <sup>(12)</sup> .	1) High risk of being infected by VRE in the admission to rooms that were occupied one week before by a colonized individual (RR: 3.1; 95% CI, 1.6–5.8) or contaminated after cleaning ( $P<0.02$ ) <sup>(1,11)</sup> . 2) Correlation between the ratio of positive culture per patient or environment and for workers' hands with or without gloves ( $r=0.59$ ; $P=0.008$ ) <sup>(3)</sup> . 3) The increase in cleaning time was associated with the reduction of VRE in environment cultures ( $P<0.0001$ ) <sup>(5)</sup> . 4) The VRE transference rate was 10.6% between surfaces and patients <sup>(12)</sup> .
MRSA <sup>(4,8)</sup>	5) Culture of patient rooms. Comparison of isolates from environment and patients <sup>(4,8)</sup> .	5) MRSA isolates were identical or related in patients and environment in 70% of cases (25.4%) <sup>(4,8)</sup> .
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> <sup>(7,13)</sup>	8) Cultures of patients, room taps and workers' hands <sup>(7)</sup> . 9) Cultures of samples from the inside of taps in nine occasions (1997 – 2000) <sup>(13)</sup> .	8) Possibility of transmitting strands from contaminated taps. After applying the measures there was a reduction in the colonization or infection rate by the pathogen ( $P<0.01$ ) <sup>(7,13)</sup> .
MRSA and VRE <sup>(9)</sup>	10) Cultures of patients to search for MRSA and VRE in 8 ICU of the hospital <sup>(9)</sup> . *	10) High chance of being infected by MRSA (odds ratio, 1.4; $P=.04$ ) and VRE (odds ratio, 1.4; $P=.02$ ) in rooms that were previously occupied by a colonized individual <sup>(9)</sup> .
MRSA and VRE and gram negative <sup>(10,14)</sup>	11) Culture of the environment at ICU in the hospital for positive routine patient cultures (1997- 1999) <sup>(10)</sup> . 12) Culture of computer keyboards and taps of an ICU in eight episodes (two months) <sup>(14)</sup> .	11) Environment contamination, especially with multi-resistant gram positive bacteria - MRSA and VRE ( $P<0.00001$ ) <sup>(10)</sup> . 12) Contamination of computer keyboards and taps, especially with MRSA - ( $P<0,01$ ) <sup>(14)</sup> .
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> <sup>(15)</sup>	13) Culture of the environment of six health facilities from a metropolitan area <sup>(15)</sup> .	13) The environment of patients with <i>C. difficile</i> was more probable of having microorganism isolation – ( $P<0.01$ ) <sup>(15)</sup> .
Observations in outbreak situations		
Bacterial species	Study design	Bacterial dissemination
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> Strands resistant to carbapenems and cephalosporins <sup>(16-17)</sup> .	1) Comparison of isolates from cultures of patients, environment and workers' hands <sup>(16)</sup> . * 2) Cultures of patients, surfaces and equipment from two ICUs of the hospital <sup>(17)</sup> . 3) Culture of patients, environment (surfaces, equipment and solutions) and workers' hands <sup>(18)</sup> .	1) Identical strands in secretions, environment and workers' hands <sup>(16)</sup> . 2) Identical strand in patients and surfaces and dissemination of the strand between the ICUs <sup>(17)</sup> . 3) A multi-resistant strand was recovered from surfaces and patients <sup>(18)</sup> .
<i>C. difficile</i> Strands resistant to fluoroquinolone <sup>(19)</sup> .	4) Identification of patients with <i>C. difficile</i> before and after the outbreak. Culture of the environment <sup>(19)</sup> .	4) Fluoroquinolone-resistant strands on surfaces, colonized individual and patient <sup>(19)</sup> .
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> Strands resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, gentamicins and carbapenems <sup>(2,6,20)</sup> .	5) Investigation of postoperative infections and culture of the environment <sup>(2)</sup> . ** 6) Culture of blood and wound secretions, environment and tap water <sup>(6)</sup> . 7) Cultures of patients, environment, cytoscapy equipment and disinfection solution <sup>(20)</sup> . ** 8) Culture of rectal swab samples, workers' hands, solutions and environment <sup>(21)</sup> .	5) Identical isolate from patients and instruments <sup>(2)</sup> . 6) Dissemination of the pathogen associated with the contamination of cleaning materials (RR = 3.9; $P<0.01$ ) <sup>(6)</sup> . 7) Single isolate clone from several patients, environment and instruments <sup>(20)</sup> . 8) Single multi-resistant clone in patients, taps and surfaces <sup>(21)</sup> .

\* Studies in which only biochemical tests were applied.

\*\* Studies performed outside the ICU.

## Hospital environment surfaces and recovered bacterial species

The following were often analyzed: bed, tap, computer and monitor (Figure 1)<sup>(3-4,6-14)</sup>.



**Figure 1** - Analyzed surfaces and the bacteria recovered from the hospital environment, USA, France, Ireland, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Germany, Hawaii, Katar, Mexico, Japan - 2000-2008

The following were recovered from bed surfaces: VRE, *P. aeruginosa*, *C. difficile*, *A. baumannii* and MRSA. The latter was the most frequent and was also found on handles, chairs, toilet seats, and table<sup>(1,3,7-11,14-16)</sup>. The most prevalent on taps was *P. aeruginosa*; common to humid places<sup>(13)</sup>.

In a study in which the length of cleaning was increased to comply with an institutional protocol, there was no bacterial recovery compared to before, when bacteria was detected on telephones, taps and infusion pumps<sup>(11)</sup>. However, there have been reports on the persistence of VRE in the environment likely due to the incomplete removal of the pathogen in the cleaning<sup>(1)</sup>. Recontamination was verified a few weeks after exchanging the contaminated taps, in an outbreak by *P. aeruginosa*, possibly because of the formation of biofilm<sup>(13)</sup>.

## DISCUSSION

The hospital environment was highlighted as a potential reservoir of MRSA, VRE, *P. aeruginosa*, *C. difficile* and *A. baumannii*<sup>(1,8,10-13,16-21)</sup>. The higher contamination rate in ICU is coherent with the physical structure, high number of equipments and the conditions of intensive care patients, who tend to have more risk factors and higher infection rates. In this environment the risk of being infected by MRSA and VRE may increase in the presence of colonized patients or if the length of stay exceeds the average of 15 days, as stated by the guideline on the management of multidrug-resistant organisms in health care settings, 2006<sup>(9,24)</sup>.

The contamination of monitors and computers corroborated the hypothesis that surfaces that are touched often become more contaminated<sup>(5,8)</sup>. That premise reinforces the

idea that it is often for professions to go by without washing their hands after touching a patient and return to their activities without being aware of the possibility of disseminating microorganisms.

The similarity of strands added to other evidence permits to draw more precise considerations about the origin of an outbreak so as to favor the implementation of effective control measures<sup>(2,17-21)</sup>. Molecular tests consist of an important aid in verifying the similarity of strands. Nevertheless, those tests are still not easily accessible to hospital laboratories, due to their limited financial resources which often make them unfeasible<sup>(4)</sup>.

The circulation of a single clone in outbreaks evinces the involvement of the environment in HAI. However, surface contamination may differ between outbreak and endemic periods<sup>(1)</sup>. During outbreaks, environment contamination may be higher. Nonetheless, in endemic situations, it was also registered that surfaces were contaminated with strands similar to those of patients and the contaminated environment was the predictive factor in the acquisition of VRE and MRSA<sup>(1,8-9,11)</sup>.

The contamination of apparently clean locations reinforces the possibility of pathogen dissemination. Places considered *clean* surfaces, without any apparent dirtiness, often make effective cleaning measures to go ignored. The traffic of people; health team and visitors, in the unit and their consequent contact with different patients, objects and surfaces imply possibilities of pathogen dissemination if the necessary precautions are not observed, especially hand washing. However, other means may be involved in the transference of pathogens<sup>(22-23)</sup>.

The transference of MRSA and VRE from surfaces and equipment was reinforced in the guideline for environment infection control in health-care facilities as a probable means of dissemination. Some highlights of the study were: surface contamination by VRE was higher in clinical areas of patients colonized in multiple body regions, with diarrhea and failures in workers using gloves or patients, relatives and visitors washing their hands<sup>(24)</sup>. Permanent education of workers and providing orientations to patients, relatives and visitors about measures to control HAI are an important aspect to be addressed at health services.

Surface contamination could be reduced with the act of washing hands before and after being in contact with the patients and the various surfaces. However, health professionals' adherence to this practice has been reported to be less than 50% in general health facilities<sup>(12,15)</sup>. Several aspects permeate the effective use of HAI control measures. It has been observed, according to the guideline about the control of multi-resistant organisms in health facilities that professionals are more receptive to control measures when the leaders also participate<sup>(25)</sup>.

The clarification of the role that surfaces have in the dissemination of HAI could provide support to increase adherence to control measures and reviewing policies, besides

notifying about the means of dissemination that are still underestimated.

Intensifying the cleaning routine reduces the dissemination of pathogens. More attention should be given to the adequacy of the length, the frequency and specific care when cleaning surfaces, because removing dirtiness is important to reduce biofilms. The dissemination of pathogens could be prevented by using engineering and environment control strategies, i.e., by organizing the patient unit to make it easier to clean and taken care of <sup>(26)</sup>.

## FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

The contamination of surfaces in the ICU environment associated to a higher risk of being infected by MRSA and VRE was frequent in endemic situations, while in and outbreaks the more prevalent were carbapenem-resistant *A. baumannii* and *P. aeruginosa*. In both situations it was observed there was similarity between strands found in patients and isolated strands from environment surfaces. The molecular methods were the most used in analyses of the dissemination of HAI.

## REFERENCES

1. Drees M, Snyderman DR, Schmid CH, Barefoot L, Hansjosten K, Vue PM, et al. Prior environmental contamination increases the risk of acquisition of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;46(5):678-85.
2. Kayabas U, Bayraktar M, Otlu B, Ugras M, Ersoy Y, Bayindir Y, et al. An outbreak of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* because of inadequate disinfection procedures in a urology unite: A pulsed-field gel electrophoresis – based epidemiologic study. Am J Infect Control. 2008;36(1):33-8.
3. Hayden MK, Blom DW, Lyle EA, Moore CG, Weinstein RA. Risk of hand or glove contamination after contact with vancomycin-resistant enterococcus or the colonized patients' environment. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2008;29(2):149-54.
4. Sexton T, Clark P, O'Neill E, Dillane T, Humphreys H. Environmental reservoirs of methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus in isolation rooms: correlation with patient isolates and implications for hospital hygiene. J Hosp Infect. 2006;62(2):187-94.
5. Hayden MK, Bonten MJM, Blom DW, Lyle EA, van de Vijver DAMC, Weinstein RA. Reduction in acquisition of of vancomycin-resistant enterococcus after enforcement of routine environmental cleaning measures. Clin Infect Dis. 2006;42(11):1552-60.
6. Eengelhart S, Krizek L, Glasmacher A, Fischnaller E, Marklein G, Exner M. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* outbreak in a haematology-oncology unit associated with contaminated surface cleaning equipment. J Hosp Infect. 2002;52(1):93-8.
7. Huang SS, Datta R, Platt R. Risk of acquiring antibiotic-resistant bacteria from prior room occupants. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166(16):1945-51.
8. Lemmen SW, Häfner H, Zolldann D, Stanzel S, Lüttichen R. Distribution of multi-resistant gram-negative versus gram-positive bacteria in the hospital inanimate environment. J Hosp Infect. 2004;56(3):191-7.
9. Rogues A-M, Boulestreau H, Lashe'ras A, Boyer A, Gruson D, Merle C, et al. Contribution of tap water to patient colonisation with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a medical intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect. 2007;67(1):72-8.
10. Hardy KJ, Oppenheim BA, Gossain S, Gao F, Hawkey PM. A study of relationship between environmental contamination with Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) and patients' acquisition of MRSA. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2006;27(2):127-32.
11. Martinez JA, Ruthazer R, Karen H, Barefoot L, Snyderman DR. Role of environmental contamination as a risk factor for acquisition of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in patients treated in a medical intensive care unit. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163(16):1905-12.
12. Duckro AM, Blom DW, Lyle EA, Weinstein RA, Hayden MK. Transfer of vancomycin-resistant enterococci via healthy care worker hands. Arch Intern Med. 2005;165(3):302-7.



13. Petignat C, Francioli P, Nahimana N, Wenger A, Bille J, Schaller MD, et al. Exogenous source of *pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a intensive care unit patients: implementation of infection control and follow-up with molecular typing. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2006;27(9):953-7.
14. Bures S, Fishbain JT, Uyehara CFT, Parker JM, Berg BW. Computer keyboards and faucet handles as reservoirs of nosocomial pathogens in intensive care unit. *Am J Infect Control*. 2000;28(6):465-70.
15. Dubberke ER, Reske KA, Noble-Wang J, Thompson A, Killgore G, Mayfield J, et al. Prevalence of *clostridium difficile* environmental contamination and strain variability in multiple healthy care facilities. *Am J Infect Control*. 2007;35(5):315-8.
16. ElShafie SS, Alishaq M, Garcia ML. Investigation of an outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in trauma intensive care unit. *J Hosp Infect*. 2004;56(1):101-5.
17. Enoch DA, Summers C, Brown NM, Moore L, Gillham MI, Burnstein RM, et al. Investigation and management of an outbreak of multidrug-carbapenem-resistant *acinetobacter baumannii* in Cambridge, UK. *J Hosp Infect*. 2008;70(2):109-18.
18. Simor AE, Lee M, Vearncombe M, Jones-Paul L, Barry C, Gomez M, et al. An outbreak due to multiresistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in a burn unit: risk factors for acquisition and management. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2002;23(5):261-7.
19. Kazakova SV, Ware K, Baughman B, Bilukha O, Paradis A, Sears S, et al. A hospital outbreak of diarrhea due to an emerging epidemic strain of *Clostridium difficile*. *Arch Inter Med*. 2006;166(22):2518-24.
20. Pena C, Dominguez MA, Pujol M, Verdaguer R, Gudiol F, Ariza J. An outbreak of carbapenem-resistant *pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a urology ward. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2003;9(9):938-43.
21. Deplano A, Denis O, Poiriel L, Hocquet D, Nonhoff C, Byl B, et al. Molecular characterization of an epidemic clone of panantibiotic-resistant *pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2005;43(3):1198-204.
22. Wybo I, Blommaert L, De Bier T, Soetens O, De Regt J, Lacor P, et al. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in a Belgian university hospital after transfer of patients from Greece. *J Hosp Infect*. 2007;67(4):374-80.
23. Comert FB, Kulah C, Aktas E, Ozlu N, Celebi G. First isolation of vancomycin-resistant enterococci and spread of a single clone in a university hospital in northwestern Turkey. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2007;26(1):57-61.
24. Sehulster LM, Chinn RYW, Arduino MJ, Carpenter J, Donlan R, Ashford D, et al. Guidelines for environmental infection control in health-care facilities. Recommendations from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). Chicago, IL: American Society for Healthcare Engineering/American Hospital Association; 2004.
25. Siegel JD, Rhinehart E, Jackson M, Chiarello L, Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). Management of Multidrug-Resistant Organisms in Healthcare Settings, 2006. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2006.
26. Shulester L, Chinn RY. Guidelines for environmental infection control in healthcare facilities. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2003.