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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Advances in the field of molecular biology have provided the differentiation of molecular subtypes of breast tumors, providing better prognosis and important tools for the treatment of patients with breast cancer. Among these subtypes, the changes in the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 gene (HER2/neu), increase its copy number and generating HER2 protein amplification. Studies show that patients with breast cancer HER2/neu amplified tend to relapse earlier and have shorter survival time, the monoclonal antibody Trastuzumab is the therapy indicated. The eligibility of patients for therapy is initially made by the immunohistochemistry (IHC) technique, which evaluates the expression level of the HER2 protein. After this evaluation, the cases with equivocal diagnosis (score 2+), are referred to a more accurate technique, the chromogenic in situ hybridization (CISH).

Objective: To analyze the sensitivity and specificity of the antibody SP3, and determine their level of agreement with the CISH technique.

Material and methods: Retrospective study in the database of the anatomy-pathology laboratory, in CISH tests reports for HER2/neu.

Conclusion: The results revealed that clone SP3 showed 100% specificity and 92% sensitivity. IHC reveals variability in its results; however, it is known that the technique is an important tool in the daily routine of laboratories, contributing to the initial screening of patients with breast cancer, which later showed satisfactory results when compared with the CISH technique.
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Human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 genotype (Her2/neu)

The HER2/neu gene is variously known as neu, HER2 and c-erb-B2. A mutated version of the gene was first observed in a rat neuro glialastoma and, therefore, named neu. The HER2/neu comprises breast carcinoma with estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) negative, but that present overexpression of the protein also called HER2. In normal cells and in the majority of breast cancers, only two copies of the gene expressing low levels of p185 protein (protein HER2) are usually transported. The gene amplification or overexpressing is evaluated on 25% to 35% of invasive breast carcinomas, which, in most cases (around 90%), are attributed to the amplification of this protooncogene located on long arm of chromosome 17 (17q12). A minority of cases where it is observed HER2/neu gene amplification is characterized by chromosome 17 polysomy, which leads to increased gene copy number, resulting in high expression and thus providing equivocal diagnostic.

There are reports in the literature on patients with breast cancer with amplified HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. Moreover, it was also indicated to reduce the chances of developing distant metastases in patients with HER2/neu-positive metastatic breast cancer. The therapy with humanized monoclonal antibody Trastuzumab combined with adjuvant chemotherapy was initially used to prolonging survival in patients with HER2/neu-positive metastatic breast cancer. Moreover, it was also indicated to reduce the chances of developing distant metastases in patients who do not already have them. This benefit occurs because the treatment is directed to the antigen HER2/neu protein, inhibiting the growth of tumor cells with HER2/neu overexpressing. The correct detection of HER2/neu oncogene amplification is essential to enable eligibility of patients with breast cancer and lead them to treatment with Trastuzumab. This product is indicated because it is a specific targeted therapy, which acts blocking the extra cellular domain of receptor.

Protein overexpressing and immunohistochemistry (IHC)

IHC is a technique used to analyze the overexpression of p185 protein in tissue of mammary carcinomas, and was incorporated to surgical pathology as a complementary method. It is considered a technique of low cost, besides being the most used in the daily routine of pathology laboratories. The development of monoclonal antibodies provided great source of highly specific reagents for the demonstration of different tissue and cell antigens; along with the advent of antigen retrieval they were considered milestones in the evolution of IHC.

Several mono and polyclonal antibodies are commercially available for use in IHC, with differences in sensitivity and specificity, and may result in variations in the final quality of the reactions. Among these antibodies options commonly used in the method, the mouse monoclonal antibody CB11 and rabbit monoclonal antibody SP3 stand out.

The principle of the IHC method lies in binding primary antibodies that recognize the specific antigen. The limitations associated with the Avidin-Biotin complex system led to the development of systems detection with enhanced sensitivity and specificity, using a polymer-based IHC method. This method uses a polymer structure with multiple secondary antibodies and enzyme molecules conjugated.

IHC provides the results of protein expression of the HER2 gene using a scoring system ranging from zero to three. According to the guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and the College of American Pathologists (CAP), the staining intensity of the cytoplasmic membrane must be observed, which has values ranging from negative (0), no immunoreactivity or immunoreactivity ≤ 10% of the tumor cells; negative (1+), weak or faint immunoreactivity in more than 10% of the tumor cells, but only part of the membrane is positive; equivocal (2+), weak to moderate complete membrane immunoreactivity in more than 10% of the tumor cells or intense circumferential membrane staining in ≤ 30% of the cells; and positive (3+), moderate to strong complete membrane immunoreactivity in more than 30% of the tumor cells. The use of this scale has varying interpretations that depend on the technique reaction quality, the type of antibody used, and the observer evaluation. These discrepancies in interpretations of the results are, especially when the IHC reveals score 2+, and this value was considered inconclusive.

FIGURE 1 – Representation of IHC reaction

IHC: immunohistochemistry; DAB: diaminobenzidine; HRP: horseradish peroxidase.
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for diagnosis. Based on this, the samples are sent to be tested in situ hybridization (ISH), since they are able to provide accurate and reliable results\(^{18,24}\).

**Overexpression of gene and ISH**

The ISH techniques determine the number of copies of a gene. They use complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) probes, marked to the target genomic sequencing. Regarding the patients with breast tumors, the chromogenic in situ hybridization (CISH) technique assesses the level of amplification of the HER2/neu gene, measuring its copy number by combined ISH, as well as by a digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled probe, which targets the HER2 gene, and a dinitrophenol (DNP)-labeled centromeric probe, specific for the centromeric alpha-satellite region of chromosome 17\(^{(25)}\) (Figure 2). The formation of double-stranded marked by probing may be visualized using primary antibodies (unlabelled), which are detected by secondary antibodies conjugated to polymerized enzyme. The enzymatic reactions of the substrates lead to the formation of strong red signals (chromosome 17) and green (HER2 gene) permanent\(^{(25)}\). This reaction creates a chromogenic reaction similar to IHC staining, which enables viewing by light microscopy, as well as to avoid the need for fluorescence (used in the ISH method by fluorescence [FISH]), and provide a permanent staining record result. In addition, CISH has a lower cost compared with the fluorescent method and is more easily interpreted by pathologists, since it can be correlated with tumor histology at the same time because they are more used to IHC labeling than the fluorescent signal\(^{10,15,16,24}\).

CISH has emerged as a potentially promising alternative to FISH, showing high correlation between its results in the literature\(^{6,15,16,26,27}\). Both methods are approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and considered the gold standard to assess the status (level) of gene amplification when required for confirming the ambiguous results of IHC\(^{16,20}\). Furthermore, CISH allows morphological tumor analyzing (interpreting the heterogeneity of it), the gene copies number of in different parts of the tumor and the observation of invasive or in situ carcinoma fields, providing a special clinical meaning to HER2/neu\(^{16,26-28}\).

**OBJECTIVE**

This study aimed to analyze the SP3 antibody sensitivity and specificity and to determine their level of agreement with the CISH technique.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This study was conducted through a survey of the Centro de Diagnóstico Anatopatológico (CEDAP) database, located in the city of Joinville, Santa Catarina. This work is approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná (PUCPR) under CAAE record: 12717213.5.0000.0020. In the routine, the breast cancer samples are initially submitted to HER-2 gene status search by IHC, using the CB11 clone (Cell Marque\(^{®}\)). When the result of this reaction presents score 2+, the assistant doctor responsible for the patient requests the CISH study (ZytoVision\(^{®}\)). When the result of this reaction presents score 2+, the assistant doctor responsible for the patient requests the CISH study (ZytoVision\(^{®}\)) to definition the case. For ratification of the results of the CISH, a new IHC is requested now using SP3 clone (Cell Marque\(^{®}\)). In some special cases, the CISH research is still required by the assistant doctor, even when satisfactory results by IHC technique are revealed (score 0, 1+ or 3+).

Thus, we selected all reports from January 2011 to March 2014 that showed research HER2/neu gene amplification obtained by CISH technique, accompanied by IHC tests with SP3 clone, totaling 98 cases.

The parameters for interpretation of IHC score and evaluation of gene amplification by CISH followed the recommendations of the ASCO/CAP, as shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively\(^{(29)}\). The assessment performed by CISH has specific probe for marking the chromosome 17, excluding the chances of misinterpretation of cases with chromosome 17 polysomy.
The 98 cases were divided into two groups according to the expression level of the gene: a) positive CISH – HER2/neu gene overexpression; b) negative CISH – absence of HER2/neu gene overexpression. After exposure of the data, it was performed the comparison and correlation of SP3 clone and CISH results.

**IHC methodology**

The methodology for samples preparation was performed according to the manufacturer’s instruction manual. After preparing the slides with the selected sections, they were taken to the oven for 30 minutes at 70°C. Antigen retrieval was performed on the PT link (Dako®) instrument for 20 minutes at 97°C. The slides were washed for 5 minutes in wash buffer (Wash Buffer 20× concentrate). Endogenous peroxidase was inactivated with Reagent Peroxidase Blocking (Dako®) for 5 minutes, adding 100 µl over the sections. Then the solution was washed from the slides, proceeding again with wash buffer. Following, 100 µl of the antibody used (SP3 – dilution 1:500) was pipetted, maintained for 20 minutes at room temperature. The antibody was removed of the slides; and another washing was performed with wash buffer. Then the solution of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) was pipetted and left for 20 minutes at room temperature; then washed for 5 minutes in wash buffer. To the revelation, a drop of Substrate Buffer + diaminobenzidine (DAB) Chromogen was added. After exposure of the data, the counterstaining was performed with hematoxylin for 10 minutes and washed twice under running water. The sections were made at 3 µm thick and laid to dry in an oven at 70°C for 1 hour. Following they were incubated for 10 minutes in xylene at 10 minutes at 70°C. Then slides were incubated for 5 minutes into xylene at room temperature (twice), followed by 3 minutes in 100% ethanol (three times) and for 5 minutes in 3% hydrogen peroxide solution. They were rinsed in distilled water for 1 minute (twice), and then incubated at 95°C in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution for 15 minutes. Subsequently they were transferred to distilled water for 2 minutes (twice). The pepsin solution was applied and incubated for 5 minutes in a moist chamber at room temperature. After this period of time, washing the slides in distilled water was conducted. Dehydration was performed with 70%, 90% and 100% ethanol for 1 minute each; the slides were air dried.

### TABLE 1 — Report of the HER2 immunohistochemical results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IHC score</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>%CWA/C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (negative)</td>
<td>No immunoreactivity or immunoreactivity in less than 10% tumor cells</td>
<td>~60%</td>
<td>0%-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+ (negative)</td>
<td>Weak immunoreactivity in more than 10% of tumor cells, but only part of the membrane is positive</td>
<td>~10%</td>
<td>0%-7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+ (equivocal)#</td>
<td>Weak to moderate complete membrane immunoreactivity in more than 10% of the tumor cells or intense circumferential membrane staining in less than 30% cells</td>
<td>~5%-10%</td>
<td>25%-35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ (positive)</td>
<td>More than 30% of the tumor cells should intense and uniform staining of the circumferential membrane. A homogenous pattern must be present</td>
<td>~15%-20%</td>
<td>95%##</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from: College of American Pathologists (CAP), 2012.

%C: percentage of cases; %CWA/C: percentage of cases with amplification by FISH or CISH. * The panel of expert recommended to test the doubtful cases to gene amplification by FISH. ** The expert panel increased the fraction of cells with membrane staining from 10% to 30%, to increase the correlation with gene amplification by FISH. According to the panel, cancer with 3+ by IHC result should show gene amplification in at least 95% of cases.

IHC: immunohistochemistry; FISH: fluorescence in situ hybridization; CISH: chromogenic in situ hybridization.

### TABLE 2 — Report of the results of study with FISH and CISH for HER2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISH result</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive for amplification</td>
<td>&gt; 6 copies of the gene or &gt; 2.2 ratio</td>
<td>&gt; 6 copies of the gene may be present in a ratio &lt; 2.2, if the polysomy is present. Besides the relation, the number of genes and number of 17 chromosome must be provided when they are determined as part of the test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivocal for amplification</td>
<td>4 to 6 gene or 1.8 to 2.2 ratio#</td>
<td>The guidelines suggest counting additional cells by FISH, retest, or performing IHC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative for amplification</td>
<td>&lt; 4 gene or &lt; 1.8 ratio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from: College of American Pathologists (CAP), 2012.

ISH: in situ hybridization; FISH: fluorescence in situ hybridization; CISH: chromogenic in situ hybridization; IHC: immunohistochemistry * patients with ratio 2.0 or higher were eligible for Herceptin® test.

### Pretreatment (first day)

The sections were made at 3 µm thick and laid to dry in an oven at 70°C for 1 hour. Following they were incubated for 10 minutes in xylene at 10 minutes at 70°C. Then slides were incubated for 5 minutes into xylene at room temperature (twice), followed by 3 minutes in 100% ethanol (three times) and for 5 minutes in 3% hydrogen peroxide solution. They were rinsed in distilled water for 1 minute (twice), and then incubated at 95°C in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution for 15 minutes. Subsequently they were transferred to distilled water for 2 minutes (twice). The pepsin solution was applied and incubated for 5 minutes in a moist chamber at room temperature. After this period of time, washing the slides in distilled water was conducted. Dehydration was performed with 70%, 90% and 100% ethanol for 1 minute each; the slides were air dried.
**Denaturing and hybridization**

The probe was homogenized by vortexing. Pipette 8 µl into the sections, which were covered with a cover slip. Preparations denaturation was performed in hybridizer for 5 minutes at 78°C-80°C. The slides were left overnight, hybridizing at 57°C.

**Detection (second day)**

Cover slips were removed by submerging them in Wash Buffer SSC for 5 minutes at 25°C, and then washed for 5 minutes in the same buffer at 75°C-80°C. Following the slides were washed in distilled water for 1 minute (twice) and again in buffer. Anti-DIG/DNP-Mix (room temperature) was applied over the sections and incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C in a moist chamber. Washed for 1 minute in the buffer above mentioned and applied horseradish (HRP)/alkaline phosphatase (AP)-Polymer-Mix on cuts, and incubated for 15 min at 37°C in a moist chamber. During incubation of the slides, a AP-Red Solution (adding one drop of AP-Red Solution A in a graduated test tube, adding 1 ml of AP-Red Solution B) was prepared. It is noteworthy that the prepared solution is photosensitive. The slides were washed three times more for 1 minute in Wash Buffer tris-buffered saline (TBS) (20× concentrate); AP-Red Solution was applied incubating them for 10 minutes at room temperature, in the dark, between 7 and 15 minutes. During incubation a HRP-Green Solution (two drops of HRP-Green Solution A into a graduated tube, adding 1 ml of HRP-Green Solution B, mix thoroughly) was prepared. The slides were washed for 2 minutes in distilled water, counterstained for 2 minutes with Nuclear Blue Solution (room temperature), washed with running water and flowing three times of 30 seconds in 100% ethanol. Finally, they were flowed twice 30 seconds in xylene and mounted with mounting medium.

**RESULTS**

From the 98 cases studied, 48 showed positive CISH and 50 were negative. Assessing the agreement of IHC with positive CISH group, 77% (37 cases) showed agreements using the SP3 clone (score 3+). In the same group, 6% (three cases) were negative (score 0; 1+) by IHC, and 16% (8 cases) showed inconclusive result (score 2+). Regarding the negative CISH group, 94% (47 cases) showed agreement with the gold standard assessment, while 6% (three cases) still remained uncertain in its results (Table 3). In this same group, there were no cases presenting positive results by IHC.

The sensitivity and specificity of clone SP3 clone were also evaluated, using CISH as standard test. For this analysis, we used only the cases that showed negative (0/1+) and positive (3+) results by IHC (Table 4). After performing the calculations, SP3 showed 92% sensitivity and 100% specificity. The positive predictive value (PPV) was 100% (NPV) was 94%; 96% accuracy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score IHC</th>
<th>CISH positive</th>
<th>CISH negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0/1+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

A few years ago, the rabbit monoclonal antibodies have been increasingly popular. This is due to the fact they have higher affinity for the desired epitope, revealing a more intense positive staining with lower background staining, and therefore it has higher affinity and specificity than rat monoclonal antibodies. The rabbit monoclonal antibodies are directed to the extracellular domain of the HER2 receptor, whereas the same therapy with Trastuzumab also targets the extracellular epitope of HER2; antibodies that detect this portion can produce results with greater clinical relevance regarding treatment response.

The percentage observed in the correlation of results between the techniques studied shows that the SP3 clone has a good correlation when measured with the gold Standard. The negative
CISH group showed the best correlation, in which 94% (47/50) of the cases in agreement between CISH and SP3. In the same group, 6% (3/50) of cases were equivocal by SP3 clone. There were no cases of de SP3 score 3+, which revealed negativity for gene amplification.

Studies show high concordance between the SP3 clone with FISH or CISH tests(30). When compared with the rabbit polyclonal antibody A0485, some authors have noted a significant reduction of 2+ with SP3(31). Manion et al.(20) reported that using the rabbit monoclonal antibody anti-SP3 instead of A0485 reduced about 50% the number of doubtful cases sent to the FISH test.

But the positive CISH group had a lower percentage of agreement, showing 77% (37/48) of the cases correlating results between SP3 and CISH. We still must point out the cases which had false negative result and/or equivocal (one case 0, two cases 1+ and eight cases 2+), since the possible exclusion of these patients for proper treatment with Trastuzumab, once they are truly positive for HER2/neu gene amplification, further worse their life’s expectation, which is already limited.

Studies report the variability of scores among observers. The scores 0 and 3+ have high agreement, in contrast to the scores 1+ and 2+, which are often interpreted with variations(18, 26, 32). Among these indicative, it is clear that despite IHC well-known advantages by pathology laboratory, this has the disadvantage of being a semiquantitative(18, 26, 28). This shows the need to accurately verify the gene amplification in samples that do not exhibit clear results.

The samples evaluated in this study derived from ambiguous results (score 2+) of CB11 clone, when tested in the initial routine of the laboratory and then referred to the CISH technique for exact revealing the result through gene amplification, along with the SP3 clone to corroborate this result. Unlike scores 0 and 3+ that have good definition standard, the score 2+ is doubtful, and often score 1+ is also interpreted in the same way. The score 1+ overestimation may occur by the pathologist when it does not have a well-defined tumor histological section or a distinct staining of tissue structures, thus causing the referring for the gold standard technique – CISH –, which enables the patient has the opportunity for a more reliable diagnosis when only subjected to IHC.

The research carried out to examine six different mouse and rabbit monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies performed by Nunes et al.(20) showed that the rabbit mono or polyclonal antibodies are more sensitive and ensure adequate treatment for patients with gene overexpression, including SP3. However, in our study, using CISH as a reference test, the SP3 was 92% sensitivity and 100% specificity. Other studies also show greater SP3 specificity in tendency, and less sensitivity, when compared with other clones, they were evaluated by FISH or CISH standard test(14, 22, 33). These results are less sensitive due to the number of false negative cases (0/1+) by SP3, which were amplified by CISH.

The discrepancy results in IHC is reported in the literature, although this technique widely used and well accepted in pathology laboratories. There are several possible explanations for these differences, such as the use of different antibodies available on the market, the different antibody staining techniques, including dilution and antigen retrieval, the experience of individuals involved in the technique, the lack of internal quality control, the time it takes for the sample to be submerged in formalin, the duration of fixing and also the use of inappropriate fasteners(14, 21, 24, 31, 33, 34). The choice of an ideal clone to detect the protein overexpression of HER2/neu gene seems to have not yet been found and fully supported by a group of. According to Gown and Goldstein(33), the choice between either clones may be the less important when comparing the procedures related to the IHC in the pre-analytical and analytical phase in which these, in turn, are even less important than the ability of the pathologist in evaluating the sample and fitting it in the categories 0/1+, 2+ e 3+, knowing that interpreting variations between evaluators may occur(14, 22, 24, 31, 34).

CONCLUSION

The study found that the SP3 clone shows good results compared to CISH technique/method. The sensitivity and specificity of SP3 also reached satisfactory levels.

Realizing the possible differences between the results of IHC, even though this is an important and valuable tool in the daily routine of pathology laboratories, it is suggested a new analysis, with another clone, when the result of the first is not clearly obtained. Sending the inconclusive samples for genetic evaluation by ISH, whether chromogen or fluorescent, seems to be the most reliable way to ensure proper treatment of patients with breast cancer HER2/neu overexpressing.
RESUMO

Introdução: Avanços no campo da biologia molecular têm proporcionado a diferenciação dos subtipos moleculares das neoplasias mamárias, fornecendo melhor prognóstico e ferramentas importantes para a terapêutica de pacientes com câncer de mama. Entre esses subtipos, as alterações ocorridas no gene receptor tipo 2 do fator de crescimento epidérmico humano (HER2/neu) amplificam o seu número de cópias e geram o aumento da proteína HER2. Estudos mostram que pacientes portadoras de câncer de mama HER2/neu amplificado tendem a ter recidiva mais cedo e tempo de sobrevida menor, sendo o anticorpo monoclonal Trastuzumab a terapia indicada. A elegibilidade das pacientes para a terapia é feita inicialmente pela técnica de imuno-bistoquímica (IHQ), que avalia o nível de expressão da proteína HER2. Após essa avaliação, os casos que apresentam diagnósticos equivocos (escore 2+) são encaminhados para uma técnica mais precisa, a hibridização cromogênica in situ (CISH). Objetivo: Analisar a sensibilidade e a especificidade do anticorpo SP3, além de determinar o seu nível de concordância com a técnica de CISH. Material e métodos: Estudo retrospectivo no banco de dados de um laboratório anatomo-patológico, em laudos de exames de CISH para HER2/neu. Conclusão: Os resultados revelaram que o clone SP3 apresentou 100% de especificidade e 92% de sensibilidade. A IHQ revela variabilidade em seus resultados, porém é sabido que a técnica é uma importante ferramenta na rotina diária dos laboratórios, contribuindo na triagem inicial das pacientes portadoras de câncer de mama, que, posteriormente, mostram resultados satisfatórios quando comparados com a técnica de CISH.

Unitermos: neoplasias da mama; hibridização in situ; imuno-bistoquímica.

REFERENCES


MAILING ADDRESS

Franciele Ferreira Wolf
Rua Mário Lobo, 61, sala 606, Edifício Terraço Center; CEP 89201-330; Joinville-SC, Brazil; Phone: +55 (47) 3422-9607; Fax: +55 (47) 3422-8169/3433-9499; e-mail: fran_bios@hotmail.com.