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1 Introduction

Rice is a common food staple consumed by diverse societies and represents the basis of diet for more than half of the world’s population. Brazil is one of the major producers of cereal grains and the largest in South America. It produced approximately 10 million tons in 2003 (FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS, 2004). According to research on Family Incomes carried out by the Brazilian Institute of Statistics and Geography (INSTITUTO BRASILEIRO DE GEOGRAFIA E ESTATÍSTICA, 2004), the average amount of household rice consumption was approximately 25 kg/person/year. The easy cultivation of rice, its conservation and transportation, high yield, low cost, high nutritional value, and countless forms of utilization are just some of the many characteristics that make rice so popular and appreciated by different cultures (PHILIPPI, 2003). According to Philippi (2003), rice constitutes an excellent source of carbohydrates and energy in addition to vitamins such as thiamin, riboflavin, and niacin; however, iron is not a significant component of the white rice popularly consumed in this country (UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS, 2006).

The fortification of foods with nutrients is an accepted practice which has been employed by food industries since the second half of the 20th century (REILLY, 1996) aiming at increasing the nutritional value and prevent or correct one or more nutrient deficiencies (BRASIL, 1998).

Today, with the advance of technology, rice can be an efficient option as a vehicle for fortification of iron as a way to minimize the prevalence of iron deficiency anemia, which represents, world-wide, a hegemonic nutritional problem in public health terms affecting, principally, children and reproductive aged women (WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, 2001). In Brazil, iron deficiency anemia has shown the tendency to assume epidemic proportions over the last decades (OLIVEIRA et al., 2002; MONTEIRO; SZARFARC; MONDINI, 2000).
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The objective of this study was to examine sensory differences between conventional rice and iron-fortified Ultra Rice rice (UR) and determine consumer acceptance. Differences between both types of rice were analyzed using the Duo-Trio Test on 37 non-trained judges. The Acceptance Test evaluated general rice appearance, color, aroma and taste by 43 non-trained judges, using a 7-point hedonic scale with extremes ranging from “really disliked” and “really liked.” There were no significant differences between the analyzed samples of conventional rice and UR rice using Duo-Trio Test. Mean values from the Acceptance Test for the evaluated attributes (general appearance, color, aroma and taste) were 5.6 and 5.9, corresponding to “liked” and “really liked” according to the hedonic scale, respectively. The iron did not alter the sensory characteristics of the final product, and the iron-fortified rice was well accepted.
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Resumo

O objetivo deste trabalho foi verificar diferenças sensoriais entre o arroz convencional e o arroz fortificado com ferro e determinar a aceitação deste pelo consumidor. As diferenças entre os dois tipos de arroz foram analisadas por meio do teste Duo-Trio, com 37 julgadores não treinados. O Teste de Aceitação avaliou aparência geral, cor, aroma e sabor do arroz fortificado com 43 julgadores não treinados, utilizando escala hedônica de sete pontos, com extremos “desgostei muito” e “gostei muito”. Não houve diferenças significativas entre as amostras analisadas pelo Teste Duo-Trio. Os valores médios obtidos no Teste de Aceitação para os atributos avaliados (aparência geral, cor, aroma e sabor) foram entre 5,6 e 5,9; correspondentes a “gostei” e “gostei muito” de acordo com a escala hedônica. O ferro não causou alterações às características sensoriais do produto final, e o arroz fortificado foi bem aceito.
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Fortification or enrichment are efficient means for reaching out to targeted populations without the need for cooperation of individuals, therefore making them easy, safe, inexpensive, and effective ways of solving, in short and middle terms, minor nutrient deficiencies (FERREIRA, 2000).

However, Hurrel (2002) states that some technical difficulties can arise when adding this nutrient to foods because the selected type of iron can interfere with the sensory characteristics of the final product (food matrix). Thus, sensory tests can detect small and perceptive alterations by human senses, which oftentimes cannot be verified by other analytic procedures, and assess the impact on acceptance of the product by the consumers (CARDELLO, H. M. A. B.; CARDELLO, L., 1998).

Sensory analysis is a scientific method to identify sensory properties of food using human senses: vision, hearing, smell, taste, and touch (DUTCOSKY, 1996). The test must be included as a guarantee of the quality of foods to be an intergraded multi-dimensional means and possess important advantages such as, to determine the acceptance of a product, in part, by consumers (CARDELLO, H. M. A. B.; CARDELLO, L., 1998). Therefore, the objective of this work was to verify the sensory differences between conventional rice and iron-fortified Ultra Rice (UR) and its acceptability by the consumers with the intention to test the latter for commercialization in the Brazilian market.

2 Materials and methods

The tested rice was type 1, polished, long-grain, and it was manufactured by Camil Foods Company (Blumenau - SC). The fortified cereal (UR) was formulated with the addition of ferric pyrophosphate (Aksell Quimica Ltda., São Paulo) according to the process proposed by Hotz et al. (2008). Firstly, the iron-fortified rice was prepared by adding iron fortifier and other stabilizing ingredients to rice flour. The dough prepared from this mixture was extruded (using the same extrusion process used to make pasta), cut to form rice-shaped grains, and then dried. The extruded grains were manufactured by Camil Foods, Ltd., Sao Paulo, Brazil. The resulting rice product was an enriched composition of 46.7 mg of iron/100 g of rice grains.

2.1 Sample preparation

The following ingredients and quantities were used to prepare both types of rice for the two sensory tests (described below): Oil, 11 mL; garlic, 5 g; salt, 10 g; rice, 500 g; hot water, 1250 mL; and cold water, 560 mL.

2.2 Sensory analysis

The sensory evaluation of rice was conducted in two parts. The first part had the objective of verifying the differences between UR rice and conventional, commercially sold white rice grain rice donated by the manufacturer. For this purpose, the Duo-Trio Sensory Test was applied under controlled conditions (MORAES, 1988; MEILGAARD; CIVILLE; CARR, 2006) using individual cabins at the Laboratory of Dietetics at the School of Nutrition of the Federal University of Minas Gerais – UFMG.

The cabins were illuminated with white light designed to avoid masking visual differences between the tested products. Thirty-seven untrained panelists of different gender and age groups were recruited from the University community (students, staff, and professors). Once inside the cabins, the panelists received a standard rice sample (20 g) and two unknown (20 g) samples of rice; one was the same as the standard rice sample and the other was the fortified rice sample. All samples were served on white plastic plates.

The three samples were arranged side by side on white polyethylene trays (INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ORGANIZATION, 1982). The standard sample was identified as “P” and the other two were coded with 3 random algorithms. The panelists were instructed to taste the plates containing rice samples from left to right, and between each sample plate, to drink water at room temperature to minimize the influence of the residue present on taste buds. Each panelist received an evaluation form (Figure 1) in which they should circle the sample number they considered equal to the standard rice sample. The probability of having chosen right or wrong choices, that is, to indicate correctly, which unknown sample was equivalent to the reference sample was equal to 0.5.

The objective of the second part of this study was to verify the acceptance of UR rice by volunteers who reside in the city of Vespasiano, Minas Gerais, the same population of participants of an earlier, randomized study that evaluated iron deficiency, and who benefited from participating by receiving donations of UR rice for 5 months.

To test for acceptability (MEILGAARD; CIVILLE; CARR, 2006), 43 untrained volunteer participants of different backgrounds (age, gender, and professions), were recruited randomly from five health clinic locations of the city. The rice was prepared in a kitchen at the community center, and the sample tasting was conducted in a well illuminated white lighting room, with a table and some chairs. There was no communication among the participants during the test.

Each participant was served a 20 g sample of cooked rice in a white small plastic bowl, a plastic fork, and an evaluation form (Figure 1) to rate four attributes: general appearance, color, aroma, and taste according to (INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ORGANIZATION, 1982) instructions. A 7-point hedonic verbal scale (MINIM, 2006) was used which contained extremes that indicated “very much disliked” (1) to “liked very much” (7). This study was approved by the Human Ethics Committee at the

![Figure 1. Duo-Trio Test evaluation form.](image-url)
General appearance had a mean of 6.0 ± 1.4, odor 5.6 ± 1.2, color 5.9 ± 1.2, and smell 5.6 ± 1.5.

3 Results and discussion

The results of the Duo-Trio Test showed that among the 37 participants, 18 correctly identified the sample as being equal to the reference sample. To achieve 5% significance, 24 responses were necessary for the mono-caudal comparison to show a significant difference between the two types of rice (UR rice and conventional, non-fortified rice).

Fourteen participants wrote down commentaries on their evaluation sheets as follows; 42.8% (n = 6) stated that there were many similarities between samples; 7.1% (n = 1) commented that the sample was well accepted; 21.4% (n = 3) said that there was a noticeable taste in one of the samples; 21.4% (n = 3) observed a color difference.

The Duo-Trio Test is a discriminative method, and it has been used in studies to determine if there are noticeable differences between foods when their ingredients, processing, packaging, or storage are somehow changed (DUTCOSKY, 2005). The results of this study demonstrate that the enrichment of food products (in this case iron added to rice), consumed on a daily basis, may not cause significant impacts on the cultivation and food habits. Therefore, the production and industrial commercialization of such products may become an attractive option.

The Acceptance Test is useful if one desires to investigate the consumer behavior in relation to products (MEILGAARD; CIVILLE; CARR, 2006). In this test, the means and standard deviations (SD) results for general appearance, color, odor, and taste are shown in Table 1. The panelists' ratings were between “liked” and “liked a lot.” This result was also rated between “liked” and “liked a lot” on the test scale (Figure 1).

The evaluated food attributes were positively rated in addition to being well accepted. In spite of some perceptual differences observed in the Duo-Trio Test by a small number of panelists (N = 9), namely color and texture, this fact did not interfere with a favorable product acceptance. Therefore, there was no significant difference between the analyzed samples and between the graded attributes in the descriptive sensory analysis for visual, smell, and taste characteristics demonstrating that the UR rice showed favorable acceptance.

The composition of ferric pyrophosphate has the advantage of not causing interferences in the sensory characteristics of food (HURREL, 1997), due to its low solubility (SALGUEIRO, 2002; HURRELL, 1997), and it presents white coloration (NABESHIMA et al., 2005). From a nutritional point of view, this iron salt presents the disadvantage of variable absorption, as it dissolves very slowly under a normal acid concentration, and it presents white coloration. However, it was observed that samples containing ferric pyrophosphate (4.2 mg Fe.100 g⁻¹ of flour) resulted in a fluffier bread. Thus, in the present study, the UR rice fortified with ferric pyrophosphate presented a differentiated texture, more moist, which does not interfere with consumer acceptance.

Yet, in another study on sensory characteristics, Moretti et al. (2005) evaluated rice fortified with ferric pyrophosphate using different particle sizes (ranging from 0.5 to 2.5 μm) and at various concentrations (0.5 to 1%) using the triangular sensory analysis tests involving 18 panelists. The results suggest that the differences between the conventional and fortified cereals were minimal since less than half of the panelists detected differences, results similar to those found in the Duo-Trio Test used in the present study.

In a different study by Moretti et al. (2006), extruded rice grains containing 10 mg iron/gram of rice were subjected to Triangle tests to determine whether local women could distinguish the iron-fortified rice from unfortified rice. Four local recipes were tested along with cooked and uncooked rice. The panel was composed of 24 middle-class Indian women. The subjects were blindfolded. The results demonstrated that the fortified and unfortified uncooked rice were indistinguishable. Similarly, in the cooked recipes, meals containing the fortified rice were indistinguishable from the meals containing unfortified rice.

A limitation to this study was the failure to implement the Acceptance Test in individual booths. The evaluation of samples was conducted in rooms reserved at the community centers, which represented partly controlled environments, that is, with

Table 1. Mean and standard deviation (± SD) of attribute values recorded by evaluators according to each analyzed characteristic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>General appearance</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Odor</th>
<th>Smell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
no physical separation between the panelists. These conditions may have created the opportunity for communication between the participants or other forms of influence on the result. Another limitation was the inclusion of subjects that had less than four years of education, which made it difficult to use the hedonic scale adapted for the Acceptance Test. Thus, according to the profile of the panelists, the Facial Hedonic Scale would have been better adequate for this study.

4 Conclusions

Since no significant differences were observed, according to the studied variables, samples of UR rice and conventional rice, the former demonstrates good consumer acceptance suggesting that ferric pyrophosphate, under the concentration discussed, is a preferred inorganic iron salt compound to enrich rice without inducing unacceptable sensory characteristics to the final product. Moreover, rice used as a vehicle for fortification of iron can represent an important alternative to enriched foods since it is deeply rooted in the population's daily dietary culture and eating habits in addition to being widely accessible and affordable by all socio-economic levels of society. Finally, further studies are needed to better evaluate consumer acceptability tests and the bioavailability of this important and widely consumed food in rice-eating populations.
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