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Abstract
The aim of this study was to verify the influence of the addition of the enzyme xylanase (four concentrations: 0, 4, 8, and 12 g.100 kg–1 flour) on the characteristics of loaf bread made with white wheat flour or whole grain wheat flour. Breads made from white flour and added with xylanase had higher specific volumes than those of the control sample (no enzyme); however, the specific volume did not differ significantly (p ≤ 0.05) among the breads with different enzyme concentrations. All formulations made from whole grain wheat flour and added with xylanase also had specific volumes significantly higher than those of the control sample, and the highest value was found for the 8 g xylanase.100 kg–1 flour formulation. With respect to moisture content, the formulations with different enzyme concentrations showed small significant differences when compared to the control samples. In general, breads made with the addition of 8 g enzyme.100 kg–1 flour had the lowest firmness values, thus presenting the best technological characteristics.
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1 Introduction

Whole grain wheat flours are nutritionally superior to white flours because they have greater amounts of fiber, minerals, vitamins, phenolic compounds, phytic acid, and phytoestrogens, among other constituents (KULAWINEK et al., 2008). Most products obtained from wheat flour are effectively fortified with certain nutritive substances, such as group B vitamins (thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, and folic acid), and iron (SLAVIN et al., 1999). According to Kulawinek et al. (2008), several studies have shown that the bioactive compounds added to food as artificial supplements are not as beneficial as those naturally present in grains. Studies correlate the consumption of whole grain products with health benefits, such as the reduction of the risk of chronic diseases like obesity, diabetes, coronary heart disease, stroke, hypertension, and some types of cancer (CHATENOUD et al., 1998; ANDERSON, 2004; KULAWINEK et al., 2008).

Although whole grain wheat flour has better nutritional and functional values, its use leads to losses to the final product in terms of technology. Therefore, the use of enzymes is an interesting alternative to produce whole grain foods (SILVA, 2007). Additionally, due to their great contribution to the improvement of bakery product quality and shelf-life, enzymes that degrade polysaccharides have been widely used (CABALLERO; GOMEZ; ROSSEL, 2007).

Arabinoyxylans, also called pentosans, are the main non-starch polysaccharides in wheat flour, and are found in concentrations between 1.5 and 2.5%. They can be classified as water extractable arabinoyxylans (WEAX) and water-unextractable arabinoyxylans (WUAX). Although they are found in small amounts in wheat flour, arabinoyxylans greatly affect dough characteristics due to their unique physicochemical properties. It is frequently reported that WEAX are beneficial,
while WUAX have negative effects on bakery products; the reasons for this are not completely understood (COURTIN; DELCOUR, 2002). According to Wang et al. (2003), the negative effects of WUAX are related to their interference in the gluten network formation. Besides competing for water, making it unavailable for gluten network development, WUAX destabilize the gas cells by forming physical barriers in the gluten network during dough development (GOESAERT et al., 2005).

Xylanase enzymes randomly attack the main arabinoxylan chain (comprised of xylose units) reducing the substrate polymerization degree and, thus, releasing water and decreasing the amount of water-insoluble pentosans (ROUAU; EL HAYEK; MOREAU, 1994; COURTIN; DELCOUR, 2002). One of the consequences of WUAX solubilization is the reduction of their ability to retain water, resulting in the redistribution of water to other flour components, mainly gluten, thus increasing its extensibility (POPPER, 1997).

Xylanases improve the properties of dough handling, oven spring, and bread volume (SPROÖSSLER, 1997; COURTIN; DELCOUR, 2002). They cause a strong impact on the structure and functionality of arabinoxylans, with different baking effects, depending on the concentration and specificity of the enzyme for water-extractable arabinoxylans (WEAX) or water-unextractable arabinoxylans (WUAX) (ROUAU; EL HAYEK; MOREAU, 1994; COURTIN; DELCOUR, 2002).

Caballero, Gómez and Rosell (2007) studied the changes in dough rheology, quality, and shelf-life of breads caused by the use of enzymes and found that bread quality parameters were significantly affected by the addition of xylanase at a dosage of 6 mg.100 g⁻¹ flour. According to Rouau, El Hayek and Moreau (1994), the addition of optimum xylanase levels (60 ppm) to flours significantly improved general dough characteristics with greater effects in weaker flours. Popper (1997) also observed an increase in the oven rise and final bread volume when the formulation was added with endoxylanase. The product obtained had a finer and softer crumb structure and longer shelf-life.

The aim of this study was to compare the influence of the addition of different xylanase concentrations to loaf bread prepared with white flour or whole grain wheat flour.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Materials

The following ingredients were used in bread production: white flour and whole grain wheat flour (Anaconda Industrial Agricola of Cereals S.A., São Paulo, SP); hydrogenated vegetable shortening (Cargill Agricola S.A., Mairinque, SP); Lacsol powdered milk (Alibra Ingredientes Ltda., Campinas, SP); Agglomerated calcium propionate (Metachem Ind. and Com. Ltda., São Paulo, SP); Zea bread improver (Emulzint, Jundiaí, SP), made from corn, and/or cassava, and/or wheat starch, and calcium carbonate, polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monooleate, calcium stearoyl-2-lactil lactate, ascorbic acid and alpha-amylase; Pentomax xylanase enzyme with 2000 U.g⁻¹ activity (Prozyn Ind. e Com. Ltda., São Paulo, SP); wheat gluten (Labonathus Biotecnologia Internacional Ltda., São Paulo, SP); Fleischmann instant baker’s yeast recommended for bread and pizza (AB Brasil Ind and Com. de Alimentos Ltda., Jundiaí, SP), salt, and refined sugar.

2.2 Characterization of white flour and whole grain wheat flours

The flours were characterized for moisture, proteins, and ash content, according to AACC methods number 44-15A, 46-13 and 08-12 (AMERICAN..., 1995). The lipid content was determined according to the Analytical Standards of the Adolfo Lutz Institute (1985), and the carbohydrate content was determined by difference. All analyses were performed in quadruplicate, except for the determination of ash content, which was performed in quintuplicate. The dietary fiber content was obtained from the manufacturer’s specifications (ANACONDA...., 2008).

2.3 Bread production

The formulation of the loaf bread used in this study included: 100.0% flour (white flour or whole grain wheat flour), 2.0% yeast, 2.0% salt, 4.0% sugar, 3.0% fat, 4.0% powdered milk, 0.2% calcium propionate, 1% bread improver, and water (according to the farinographic absorption: 66.0% for white flour and 69.9% for whole grain wheat flour - flour basis). Four percent vital wheat gluten was added to the whole grain wheat flour bread formulation. The enzyme xylanase was added to the formulations at four different levels: 0, 4, 8 and 12 g.100 kg⁻¹ flour based on the manufacturer’s specification that indicates the use from 4 to 10 g enzyme.100 kg⁻¹ flour. The enzyme was added directly to the flour before the other ingredients were added to the mixer.

The loaf breads were prepared using the straight dough method. The ingredients were mixed in a HAE 10 mixer (Hyppolito, Ferraz de Vasconcelos, SP, Brazil). This stage was conducted in two steps: the first step at slow speed for 5 minutes and the second at fast speed until the gluten network was completely developed. The dough was then divided into portions of 400 g and put at rest for 15 minutes. Then, the dough portions were modeled in a HM2 modeler (Hyppolito, Ferraz de Vasconcelos, SP, Brazil), put into greased pans, and taken to a Thermopão Maquip fermentation chamber, model 20B (Klimaquip, Pouso Alegre, MG, Brazil) for 80 minutes with temperature (30 °C) and humidity (80%) control. After fermentation, the dough portions were baked in a HF4B oven (Hyppolito, Ferraz de Vasconcelos, SP, Brazil) for 40 minutes at 160 °C. After baking, the breads were taken out of the pans and were placed in polypropylene bags after cooling for 2 hours, and were stored at room temperature in order to be analyzed during storage.

2.4 Bread quality assessment

The specific volume of breads was determined on the day they were prepared using the millet seed displacement method in triplicate. The specific volume was calculated by dividing the bread volume (mL) by its mass (g), according to AACC method...
number 55-50 (AMERICAN..., 1995). Bread crumb moisture and instrumental texture determinations were performed after 1, 4, and 7 days of storage, according to AACC methods number 44-15A and 74-09 (1995), respectively. Moisture was determined in triplicate and texture in 10 replicates. The parameters used in the instrumental texture analysis were: P/35 aluminum probe; test speed: 1.7 mm/s; 40% sample compression; pre-test speed: 1.7 mm/s; post-test speed: 3.0 mm/s; and force: 20 g (compression force). The dietary fiber content of breads was calculated based on the dietary fiber content of the flours obtained from the manufacturer’s specifications (ANACONDA..., 2009).

2.5 Statistical analysis

The analysis of the results was performed using the SAS version 8.2 software and ANOVA and the Tukey test (The effect of enzyme concentration and days of storage was evaluated for each type of flour.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Proximate composition of white flour and whole grain wheat flours

White flour moisture content was 11.08% and that of the whole grain wheat flour was 9.83%. Both values are within the limit established by the Brazilian Health Ministry in Resolution RDC number 263, of 22.09.2005 (BRASIL, 2005b) for flour, which is of 15%. In Brazil, there is still no regulation for whole grain wheat flour, so Normative Instruction number 8, of 06.02.2005, of the Ministry of Agriculture (BRASIL, 2005a) was used, according to which whole wheat flour must have at least 8.0% protein content (BRASIL, 2005a). The protein content of the whole grain wheat flour studied was 10.31%, higher than that of the white wheat flour (Table 1). Although the protein content of white wheat flour was lower than that of whole grain wheat flour, the technological quality of the former is better since it contains a greater amount of gluten-forming proteins (SILVA, 2007).

Ash content is directly related to fiber content, which interferes with the wheat flour quality. The ash content, as expected, was higher in whole grain wheat flour than in white wheat flour. This percentage is in accordance with Normative Instruction number 8, which establishes that wheat flour classified as whole grain must have ash content between 1.4 and 2.5% (BRASIL, 2005a). The ash content of white wheat flour was quite high (1.39%), indicating contamination by external grain layers. However, this value is within the specifications of Normative Instruction number 8, which establishes a maximum ash value of 1.4% for type 2 flour. This content depends mainly on the wheat extraction level and also on the variety and environmental conditions, among other factors.

The lipid content of whole grain wheat flour was 60% higher than the value obtained for white wheat flour. This result was expected since whole grain wheat flour contains germ and bran, which are rich in lipids which are mostly eliminated by the milling process to obtain white wheat flour.

Regarding the carbohydrate content, the white wheat flour had higher content (83.32%) than that of the whole grain wheat flour (80.76%). Silva (2007) obtained 85% carbohydrates in white wheat flour and 81% in whole grain wheat flour. In whole grain wheat flour, the greater amount of other non-carbohydrate constituents, such as minerals (ash) and lipids reduce the carbohydrate content.

Dietary fiber content values are in accordance with the USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference that establishes 2.4% in white wheat flour and 12.2% in whole grain wheat flour (UNITED..., 2008). Approximately 2-3% of these fibers are arabinoxylans in the wheat flour and about 5% in the whole grain, and this type of fiber is the substrate for the enzyme xylanase (COLLINS et al., 2006).

3.2 Loaf bread quality assessment

One of the most important quality determinations of bread is volume, mainly in formulations using whole grain wheat flour, in which the volume tends to be lower than that of breads made with white wheat flour (SHAH; SHAH; MADAMW AR, 2006). Loaf breads presented specific volumes between 4.09 and 5.16 mL.g⁻¹ (Table 2). Ishida (2012) determined the specific volume of pan breads in the Brazilian market and found mean values of 4.72 mL.g⁻¹ (white breads) and 4.70 mL.g⁻¹ (whole breads).

As can be observed, the specific volumes of breads made with white flour added with different xylanase enzyme concentrations (0, 4, 8, and 12 g.100 kg⁻¹ flour) did not differ significantly (p ≤ 0.05) one from another, however, they were significantly higher than the specific volume of breads without the addition of the enzyme. All formulations differed significantly from their respective control formulations by having higher specific volume values. For the breads made with whole grain wheat flour, the formulation using 8 g xylanase.100 kg⁻¹ flour was the one that had the highest specific volume. It was observed that the formulation prepared with higher xylanase concentration (12 g.100 kg⁻¹) increased greatly in volume during the fermentation process; however, the breads collapsed during baking. In order to work with such a dosage, it would probably be necessary to reduce fermentation time, which was kept fixed in this study for comparison effects.

Similar results were obtained by Lai, Davis and Hoseney (1989). These authors observed that the addition of bran to white flour absorbed a great volume of water and, due to this, gluten
was not completely hydrated resulting in breads with smaller volumes. Norma and Guillermo (2003) observed a bread volume increase of approximately 30% with a concentration of 25 ppm xylanase, but with concentrations of 150 and 200 ppm of this enzyme, the volume was similar to the control sample without xylanase. Jiang et al. (2005) also observed an increase in bread specific volume with the use of different xylanase dosages (0 to 100 ppm) and the greatest volume was obtained with 40 ppm of the enzyme (41.4% higher than that of the control).

With respect to moisture (Table 2), on days 1 and 4, the loaf breads made from white wheat flour with different xylanase concentrations did not show any significant difference (p ≤ 0.05) when compared to the formulation without enzyme. In addition, on day 7 of storage, only the formulation prepared using 4 g.100 kg⁻¹ had moisture content significantly higher than that of the formulation without enzyme. There was no significant difference between the moisture values of whole grain wheat flour loaf breads made from different enzyme concentrations on the storage days assessed.

The moisture content of the breads with white wheat flour and no enzyme (0 g.100 kg⁻¹) on the different days of analysis (days 1, 4 and 7) differed significantly (p ≤ 0.05). The white breads with 4, 8, and 12 g enzyme.100 kg⁻¹ flour presented similar behavior, i.e., they did not differ significantly on days 1 and 4, and showed a difference (reduction) on day 7.

The moisture content of whole grain wheat flour breads differed only from those with 4 g enzyme.100 kg⁻¹ flour on the 3 days of analysis. For the whole grain breads with 8 and 12 g enzyme.100 kg⁻¹, the moisture content did not differ during the storage period proving effective in maintaining bread freshness.

The moisture content of the breads after 4 days of storage was very close to that of the first day, and this behavior was observed for both flours used. On the other hand, on day 7 there was moisture reduction in both formulations (with white and whole grain wheat flour). In a study conducted with whole grain wheat flour, Silva (2007) found similar moisture content values, within the 41.18 and 44.54% range. Figure 1 shows the

Table 2. Influence of xylanase addition on quality characteristics of loaf bread produced with white and whole grain wheat flours evaluated during a 7-day storage period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (g enzyme.100 kg⁻¹ flour)</th>
<th>Specific volume (mL·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)</th>
<th>Firmness (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>Day 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White wheat flour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.02b</td>
<td>41.89 ± 0.11ab</td>
<td>4.28 ± 0.19ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.16 ± 0.11a</td>
<td>42.13 ± 0.33a</td>
<td>41.67 ± 0.20a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.14 ± 0.05b</td>
<td>41.55 ± 0.24a</td>
<td>41.41 ± 0.29a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.12 ± 0.14a</td>
<td>42.13 ± 0.08a</td>
<td>42.36 ± 1.26a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole grain wheat flour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.09 ± 0.10bc</td>
<td>42.58 ± 1.43bc</td>
<td>43.87 ± 0.52a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.66 ± 0.12c</td>
<td>43.76 ± 0.11bc</td>
<td>44.44 ± 0.19a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.96 ± 0.14c</td>
<td>43.72 ± 0.21bc</td>
<td>43.62 ± 0.34a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.49 ± 0.07f</td>
<td>43.30 ± 0.41bc</td>
<td>43.80 ± 1.31a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means ± standard deviation. Means with different lowercase letters in the same column (and for the same flour) indicate significantly difference (p ≤ 0.05). Means with different uppercase letters in the same row (and for the same flour) indicate significantly difference (p ≤ 0.05) for moisture content and firmness separately.

Due to the redistribution of moisture between the crumb and the crust, the reduction of these values after 7 days can be noticed.

With respect to firmness (Table 2), it was observed that for both types of breads there was an increase during the storage period. The hardening of the crumb can be assigned to the different starch crystallization forms, protein and pentosan structural changes, and also to the moisture loss of bread after baking (OVADIA; WALKER, 1996; ESTELLER et al., 2004). Bread firmness values with different enzyme concentrations differed significantly from those of the control sample on day 1, except for the bread with whole grain wheat flour and 12 g xylanase.100 kg⁻¹ flour.

The firmness of breads with white wheat flour and 8 g enzyme.100 kg⁻¹ flour was significantly (p ≤ 0.05) lower than that of the others, and the control formulation had the highest crumb firmness value. On the last day of analysis, only breads with 8 g.100 kg⁻¹ differed significantly (p ≤ 0.05) from the control sample; however, they did not differ significantly from breads with 12 g enzyme.100 kg⁻¹ flour. This indicates that the higher enzyme concentrations tested in this study resulted in lower bread crumb firmness after storage.

The whole grain wheat flour breads with xylanase in the concentrations of 4 and 8 g.100 kg⁻¹ flour on the first day had lower firmness values and were significantly different (p ≤ 0.05) from the others. On day 7 of storage, the formulation with 8 g.100 kg⁻¹ was the one that had the lowest firmness value and significantly differed (p ≤ 0.05) from the other formulations.

Comparing texture on the different storage days, only the firmness values of the breads with white wheat flour and 12 g enzyme.100 kg⁻¹ flour did not differ significantly (p ≤ 0.05) on different days. The breads with whole grain wheat flour (0, 4, 8, and 12 g enzyme.100 kg⁻¹ flour) had similar behavior in relation to firmness: no statistical differences were found between days 4 and 7 or between days 1 and 4.
Influence of xylanase on bread prepared with white flour or whole grain wheat flour

4 Conclusions

Breads made with white wheat flour had greater specific volume; however, it was possible to produce good quality loaf breads using whole grain wheat flour, and the most adequate enzyme concentration was 8 g xylanase.100 kg⁻¹ flour.

Regarding moisture content, breads prepared with white flour had lower values when compared to those prepared with whole grain flour. All formulations had lower moisture contents at the end of the storage period. Among the breads made from white flour, only those with 4 g xylanase.100 kg⁻¹ flour differed significantly from the control during storage. Among those made from whole grain flour and xylanase and the control, there was no significant difference.

The addition of the enzyme xylanase also influenced bread texture, and the addition of 8 g xylanase.100 kg⁻¹ flour promoted the lowest crumb firmness values for both breads.
Therefore, it was concluded that the addition of xylanase can contribute to the improvement of the technological characteristics of breads made from white wheat flour and whole grain wheat flour. The dosage of 8 g xylanase·100 kg⁻¹ flour yielded higher specific volume and lower firmness.
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