



Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia
Veterinária

ISSN: 0103-846X

zacariascbpv@fcav.unesp.br

Colégio Brasileiro de Parasitologia
Veterinária
Brasil

Rodríguez-Vivas, Róger Iván; Pérez-Cogollo, Luis Carlos; Rosado-Aguilar, José Alberto;
Ojeda-Chi, Melina Maribel; Trinidad-Martinez, Iris; Miller, Robert John; Yongsheng Li,
Andrew; Pérez de León, Adalberto; Guerrero, Félix; Klafke, Guilherme
Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus resistant to acaricides and ivermectin in cattle farms
of Mexico

Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária, vol. 23, núm. 2, abril-junio, 2014, pp. 113-
122

Colégio Brasileiro de Parasitologia Veterinária
Jaboticabal, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=397841492001>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus resistant to acaricides and ivermectin in cattle farms of Mexico

Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus resistentes aos acaricidas e ivermectina nas fazendas de gado do México

Róger Iván Rodríguez-Vivas^{1*}; Luis Carlos Pérez-Cogollo¹; José Alberto Rosado-Aguilar¹;
Melina Maribel Ojeda-Chi¹; Iris Trinidad-Martínez¹; Robert John Miller²; Andrew Yongsheng Li³;
Adalberto Pérez de León³; Félix Guerrero³; Guilherme Klafke⁴

¹ Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, Mérida, Yucatán, México

² Cattle Fever Tick Research Laboratory, USDA, Edinburg, USA

³ Knippling-Bushland U.S. Livestock Insects Research Laboratory, USDA, ARS, Kerrville, USA

⁴ FEPAGRO Saúde Animal. Laboratório de Parasitologia, Eldorado do Sul, RS, Brasil

Received October 16, 2013

Accepted February 17, 2014

Abstract

Ticks and the diseases they transmit cause great economic losses to livestock in tropical countries. Non-chemical control alternatives include the use of resistant cattle breeds, biological control and vaccines. However, the most widely used method is the application of different chemical classes of acaricides and macrocyclic lactones. Populations of the cattle tick, *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*, resistant to organophosphates (OP), synthetic pyrethroids (SP), amitraz and fipronil have been reported in Mexico. Macrocyclic lactones are the most sold antiparasitic drug in the Mexican veterinary market. Ivermectin-resistant populations of *R. (B.) microplus* have been reported in Brazil, Uruguay and especially in Mexico (Veracruz and Yucatan). Although ivermectin resistance levels in *R. (B.) microplus* from Mexico were generally low in most cases, some field populations of *R. (B.) microplus* exhibited high levels of ivermectin resistance. The CHPAT population showed a resistance ratio of 10.23 and 79.6 at lethal concentration of 50% and 99%, respectively. Many field populations of *R. (B.) microplus* are resistant to multiple classes of antiparasitic drugs, including organophosphates (chlorpyrifos, coumaphos and diazinon), pyrethroids (flumethrin, deltamethrin and cypermethrin), amitraz and ivermectin. This paper reports the current status of the resistance of *R. (B.) microplus* to acaricides, especially ivermectin, in Mexican cattle.

Keywords: *Rhipicephalus (B.) microplus*, acaricide, resistance, macrocyclic lactone, tick control.

Resumo

Carrapatos e as doenças por eles transmitidas causam grandes perdas econômicas ao gado dos países tropicais. Alternativas não-químicas incluem o uso de raças de gado que sejam resistentes, controle biológico e vacinas. No entanto, o método mais utilizado é a aplicação de diferentes classes químicas de acaricidas e lactonas macrocíclicas. Populações de piolhos de gado, *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*, resistentes aos organofosfatos (OP), piretoides sintéticos (SP), amitraz e fipronil, foram descritas no México. Lactonas macrocíclicas são as drogas antiparasitárias mais vendidas no mercado veterinário mexicano. Populações de *R. (B.) microplus* resistentes à ivermectina foram relatadas no Brasil, Uruguai e especialmente no México (Veracruz e Yucatan). Embora os níveis de resistência à ivermectina no *R. (B.) microplus* do México tenha sido relativamente baixa, na maioria dos casos, algumas populações campestres de *R. (B.) microplus* mostraram altos níveis de resistência à ivermectina. A população CHPAT mostrou uma razão de resistência de 10,23 e 79,6 na concentração letal de 50% e 99%, respectivamente. Muitas populações campestres de *R. (B.) microplus* são resistentes a múltiplas classes de drogas antiparasitárias, incluindo organofosfatos (clorpirifós, coumafós e diazinon), piretoides (flumetrina, deltametrina e cipermetrina), amitraz e ivermectina. Este artigo relata o estado atual de resistência do *R. (B.) microplus* aos acaricidas, especialmente ivermectina, no gado mexicano.

Palavras-chave: *Rhipicephalus (B.) microplus*, acaricida, resistência, lactona macrocíclica, controle de carrapatos.

*Corresponding author: Róger Iván Rodríguez-Vivas

Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán,
Km 15.5 carretera Mérida-Xmatkuil, CP. 97100, Mérida, Yucatán, México

e-mail: rvivas@uady.mx

Introduction

Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus (*R. (B.) microplus*) (Canestrini) is an endemic pest of cattle in tropical and subtropical regions of the world, causing major economic losses to cattle producers through direct physical effects on the parasitized animal and indirectly through transmission of infectious disease agents such as *Babesia bovis*, *B. bigemina* and *Anaplasma marginale* (SOLORIO-RIVERA et al., 1999; RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2004, 2005).

Chemical acaricides have played an essential role in control of this tick, but intensive acaricide use has favored the development of resistant populations (RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2006a, b). Macrocyclic lactones (MLs) have emerged as an alternative to mitigate the negative effects of ticks, including tick populations resistant to most acaricides (LANUSSE et al., 1997). Macrocyclic lactones are endectocides derived from *Streptomyces avermitilis* (avermectins) *S. cyaneogriseus* (milbemectins) and the genus *Saccharopolyspora* (Spinosyns) (LIFSCHITZ et al., 2002; LUMARET et al., 2012). In Mexico, the pharmaceutical industry reported that ivermectin is the preferred anthelmintic to control gastrointestinal nematodes in ruminants and it is also used to control cattle ticks (RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2014). However, as an effect from its intensive use, reports of *R. (B.) microplus* resistant to ivermectin have been documented in Brazil, Uruguay and Mexico (KLAFKE et al., 2006; CASTRO-JANER et al., 2011; PEREZ-COGOLLO et al., 2010a, b; FERNÁNDEZ-SALAS et al., 2012a, b). This paper reports the current status of the resistance of *R. (B.) microplus* to acaricides, especially ivermectin, in Mexican cattle.

Effect of *Rhipicephalus (B.) microplus* on Cattle Production

Approximately one billion cattle, most of which are in the tropics, are at risk from various tick species or tick-borne diseases (PEGRAM et al., 1993), causing significant production losses. *Rhipicephalus (B.) microplus* is an endemic pest of cattle in tropical and subtropical regions of the world, causing major economic losses to cattle producers through direct physical effects on the parasitized animal and indirectly through disease transmission of infectious agents such as *Babesia bovis*, *B. bigemina* and *Anaplasma marginale* (SOLORIO-RIVERA et al., 1999; RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2004, 2005). In addition to the costs of chemicals, labor, equipment and production losses associated with treatment, the cost of maintaining tick-free zones and boundaries is highly expensive (WHITE et al., 2003).

Each engorged female tick has been shown to reduce weight gain by 0.6 g in beef cattle (SUTHERST et al., 1983) of which 65 % was attributed to tick infestation (stress and anorexia from the irritation cause by the ticks) and 35 % to loss of blood taken by the ticks (SEEBECK et al., 1971). In Australia, Jonsson et al. (1998) estimated that each engorging female of *R. (B.) microplus* was responsible for the loss of 8.9 ml of daily milk production and 1.0 g of bodyweight. In the last study reported in Mexico, the estimated cost of production losses, mortality, hide damage

and control of *R. (B.) microplus* and its transmitted diseases was estimated to be \$48 million USD per annum (RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2005).

Current tick-control methods involve use of non-chemical and chemical methods, and the systematic application of two or more methods (integrated pest management). Chemical control is the most widely used and in most cases the only method available to the producer (RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2006a). The present work will focus on chemical control of ticks.

Chemical Control of *Rhipicephalus (B.) microplus*

Available chemicals used in the treatment of ectoparasites of veterinary importance act either systemically, following uptake of the compound from host tissues, or by direct contact with the target parasites following external application. Virtually all ectoparasiticides are neurotoxins, exerting their effect on the ectoparasite nervous system (TAYLOR, 2001) (Table 1). Traditional methods for the delivery of an acaricide treatment to cattle to control ticks required formulations such as an emulsifiable concentrate, wettable powder or flowable products that could be diluted in water and applied to cattle by a hand sprayer, spray race or through immersion of animals in a dipping vat. More recently, treatment possibilities include the use of pour-on products, injectables, an intraruminal bolus, acaricide-impregnated ear tag and pheromone-acaricide-impregnated devices attached in different ways to the host (GEORGE et al., 2004).

Many drug classes have been and are used as acaricides to treat cattle ticks, this include arsenicals, organochlorides (OCs), organophosphates (OPs), carbamates, amidines, phenylpyrazoles, insect growth regulators, synthetic pyrethroids (SPs) and MLs (AGUILAR-TIPACAMU; RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS, 2003; GEORGE et al., 2004).

In Mexico, organophosphate acaricides were heavily used in the national tick eradication program between 1974 and 1984 (TRAPAPA, 1989). The organophosphates used during that period include coumaphos, chlorpyrifos, chlorfenvinphos, diazinon and ethion. Pyrethroid and amitraz acaricides were introduced into Mexico in 1986; however, amitraz use was limited initially due to higher cost. The use of amitraz became more frequent after 1993 when SP resistance problems started to hinder the tick control efforts in Mexico. One chemical alternative for the control of ticks in Mexico is the use of MLs that exhibit an endectocidal spectrum of activity including acaricidal properties (RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2010).

Currently Major Used Acaricide Groups

Organophosphates and Carbamates. Organophosphates, i.e. coumaphos, and carbamates have similar effect acting on acetylcholinesterase (AChE) (CORBETT, 1974a, b). AChE is one of the most efficient enzymes, being capable of an extremely rapid rate of hydrolysis of acetylcholine and generation of the active enzyme (KWONG, 2002). Organophosphates are neutral esters

Table 1. Mode of action and target sites for currently used acaricides and macrocyclic lactones.

Antiparasitic drug	Site of action	Mode of action	References
Acaricides			
Organophosphates	Acetylcholinesterase	Inhibiting the action of acetylcholinesterase at cholinergic synapses and at muscle end plates.	MacDonald (1995)
Pyrethroids	Voltage-gated Na ⁺ -channel	Prolonged opening of sodium channels in nerve, muscle and other excitable cells.	Catterall (2001)
Phenylpyrazoles	GABA receptor	Blocking transmission of signals by the inhibitory neurotransmitter, GABA. The compound binds within the chloride channel and consequently inhibits the flux of Cl ⁻ ions into the nerve cell.	Cole et al. (1993)
Benzoylphenyl urea	Chitin formation	Chitin synthesis inhibitors.	Spindler et al. (1990)
Formamidines	Octopamine receptors	Agonism of octopamine receptors.	Evans and Gee (1980)
Macrocyclic lactones			
Avermectins	Glutamate-gated Cl ⁻ -channel	Blocking nerve signals by interfering with the glutamate-gated chlorid (GluCl) channel receptors	Martin et al. (2002)
Milbemycins	Glutamate-gated Cl ⁻ -channel	High affinity for the glutamate-gated ion channels in close proximity to GABA-gated chloride channels.	Lumaret et al. (2012)
Spinosyns	Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors	Disruption of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors.	Lewer et al. (2009)
		Spinosyn A may has a novel mode of action.	Orr et al. (2009)

of phosphoric acid or its thio analogue and act by inhibiting the action of AChE at cholinergic synapses and at muscle end plates. The OP mimics the structure of acetylcholine (ACh) and when it binds to AChE it causes transphosphorylation of the enzyme. The transphosphorylated AChE is unable to breakdown accumulating ACh at the post-synaptic membrane leading to neuromuscular paralysis (TAYLOR, 2001). Organophosphate compounds can be extremely toxic in animals and humans causing an inhibition of AChE. They are generally active against fly larvae, flies, lice, ticks and mites on domestic livestock and fleas and ticks on dogs and cats, although activity varies between compounds and differing formulations (MacDONALD, 1995).

Synthetic pyrethroids. The term “pyrethroid” is commonly used to designate a synthetic insecticide that is derived structurally from the natural pyrethrins. Pyrethroids are grouped into two categories (type I and type II) based on their distinct poisoning symptoms, effects on nerve preparations, and their chemical structures (NARAHASHI, 1986). Type I pyrethroids lack an α -cyano group which is present at the phenylbenzyl alcohol position of type II pyrethroids. Type I pyrethroids cause repetitive discharges in response to a single stimulus, while type II pyrethroids cause a membrane depolarization accompanied by a suppression of the action potential (SODERLUND et al., 2002). The mode of action of pyrethroids has been studied using vertebrate and non-insect invertebrate nerve preparations. Collectively, these studies show that pyrethroids cause prolonged opening of sodium channels in nerve, muscle and other excitable cells (CATTERALL, 2001), primarily by inhibiting channel deactivation and stabilizing the open configuration of the sodium channel (CATTERALL, 2001; SODERLUND et al., 2002; RAYMOND-DELPECH et al., 2005). Studies on the mechanism of action of pyrethroids on insect sodium channels expressed in oocytes and the molecular mechanism of knockdown resistance (*kdr*) confirmed that sodium

channels are the target of SP insecticides (DONG, 2007). Type I pyrethroids (i.e. cismethrin and permethrin) appear to bind to resting or inactivated channels, shifting the voltage dependence of activation to more negative potentials and causing a slowly-activating sodium current. These compounds also produce characteristic sodium tail currents following a depolarizing pulse that decay with first-order time constants (ZHAO et al., 2000). In contrast to these results, Type II pyrethroids exhibit profound use-dependent modification of sodium currents, which implies that these compounds bind preferentially to activate sodium channel states (TAN et al., 2002). The lethal activity of SP seems to involve action on both peripheral and central neurones, while the knock-down effect, is probably produced by peripheral neuronal effects only (CASIDA et al., 1983).

Cypermethrin, deltamethrin and cyhalothrin are examples of SPs that are effective on susceptible ticks (> 98% of efficacy). Flumethrin was designed for application to cattle as pour-on, but there is also an emulsifiable concentrate formulation that can be applied as a dip or spray. The active ingredient in the pour-on has a remarkable capacity for spreading rapidly on the skin and hair from points of application along the dorsal line of an animal to all areas of the body. The residual effect of treatment with flumethrin is extended if the pour-on formulation is applied (GEORGE et al., 2004). Flumethrin for the control of both one-host and multi-host ticks species on cattle is effective at relatively low concentrations compared to other SPs (STENDEL, 1985).

Phenylpyrazoles. Fipronil is a phenylpyrazole compound, which blocks transmission of signals by the inhibitory neurotransmitter, GABA, presents in insects (RAUH et al., 1990). Fipronil binds within the chloride channel and consequently inhibits the flux of Cl⁻ ions into the nerve cell resulting in hyperexcitation of the insect nervous system (COLE et al., 1993). Additionally, fipronil and its metabolite fipronil sulfone block two types of glutamate activated

chloride channels in insects (ZHAO et al., 2005). Also, it acts in the reproductive process by altering both the structure and function of germinative cells of *R. sanguineus* females (OLIVEIRA et al., 2009). Fipronil is used worldwide for the treatment and control of flea and tick infestations on cattle, cats and dogs (TAYLOR, 2001; GEORGE et al., 2004). Fipronil applied as a pour-on to cattle infested with *R. (B.) microplus* had a therapeutic efficacy greater than 99 % in susceptible ticks (DAVEY et al., 1998).

Insect growth regulators. These constitute a group of chemical compounds that do not kill the target parasite directly, but interfere with their growth and development. Insect growth regulators act mainly on immature stages of the parasites and then, are not usually suitable for the rapid control of established adult populations of parasites. Based on their mode of action they can be divided into: a) chitin synthesis inhibitors (benzoylphenyl ureas), b) chitin inhibitors (triazine/pyrimidine derivatives) and c) juvenile hormone analogues (TAYLOR, 2001). Fluazuron, a benzoylphenyl urea, is efficacious against ticks and some mite species. The adverse consequences for ticks on cattle treated with a pour-on of this acaricide are the reduction of the fecundity and fertility of engorged females to near zero, and mortality of immature ticks because they unable to moult to the next instar (GEORGE et al., 2004).

Formamidines. The main member of this group is amitraz, which is a formamidine-like acaricide that acts at octopamine receptor sites in ectoparasites resulting in neuronal hyperexcitability and death (EVANS; GEE, 1980). The overstimulation of octopamine synapses in the CNS results in tremors and convulsions in juvenile and adult arthropods. At sublethal levels these compounds influence egg-laying, feeding activity and raise the excitatory state of the arthropod (ORR et al., 1990). It is toxic against mites, lice and ticks in domestic livestock. In cattle, for example, amitraz has been widely used in dips, sprays or pour-on formulations for the control of single-host and multihost tick species (TAYLOR, 2001). Amitraz continues to be one of the most popular acaricides for the control of *R. (B.) microplus* in Australia, southern Africa and Latin America (JONSSON; HOPE, 2007). Amitraz is unstable in dipping vats, but adding sufficient calcium hydroxide or hydrated lime to raise and maintain the pH of the vats at 12, insures the stability of the active ingredient (GEORGE et al., 2004).

Mixture of acaricide groups. Several OPs synergize the toxicity to *R. (B.) microplus* of cypermethrin and deltamethrin. The reduction in concentration of a relatively expensive SP used with a relatively cheap OP acting as a synergist provided an efficacious, inexpensive product for the control of OP-resistant tick populations (SCHNITZERLING et al., 1983). In Australia, the product combination of cypermethrin + chlorfenvinphos and deltamethrin + ethion remains on the market (GEORGE et al., 2004). In Brazil, there are a number of different formulations based on mixtures of OPs and SP, for use in dipping vats, aspersion or in pour-on formulations. The most common are formulated with chlorpyrifos and cypermethrin, with or without a synergist (i.e. pyperonylbutoxide). Also, it is common to find in the market associations between two organophosphates (i.e. chlorpyrifos and dichlorvos). Recently it was launched in the Brazilian market a pour-on formulation of fluazuron in association with abamectin (SINDAN, 2013). The use of these mixtures is very popular

in Brazil, in a survey conducted among cattle producers of the state of São Paulo, 30.2% of them reported the use of OPs and SPs mixtures to control cattle ticks (MENDES et al., 2011). In Mexico, mixtures of acaricides are available in the market and cymiazole + cypermethrin is one of the most used (RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2006a). One value of these mixtures may be their possible use for the control of both ticks and the horn fly.

One reason to research acaricide mixtures and synergized formulations is their potential to control populations of *R. (B.) microplus* resistant to one of the acaricides in the formulation under consideration. In this way, combination products could prolong the useful life of certain classes of acaricides (BARRÉ et al., 2008; RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2013). Knowles (1982) noted that the formamidine pesticides chlordime form and amitraz can act as a synergist of organophosphate, organochlorine, carbamate, and pyrethroid pesticides. Subsequent publications confirmed the synergism between amitraz, and pyrethroids against ticks (LI et al., 2007). Prullage et al. (2011) demonstrated acaricidal synergism between amitraz and fipronil. Recently, Rodríguez-Vivas et al. (2013) found strong synergism with the mixture of cypermethrin + amitraz + pyperonyl butoxide and this mixture was the most effective killing larvae resistant against pyrethroids in vitro (96.7-100% of larval mortality), and >95% control that persisted for 28 days post-treatment against *R. (B.) microplus* infesting cattle under field conditions in the Mexican tropics. Although the mode of action of pyrethroids and formamidines is different, Liu and Plapp (1990) demonstrated that formamidines act as target-site synergists of pyrethroids by modifying binding cooperativity in target tissues of insects.

Although it is a potentially useful tool to combat acaricide resistance, a product based on an acaricide mixture has to be used rationally (RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2013). Such a strategy needs to be part of an integrated tick control program that incorporates other technologies to minimize the economic impact of *R. (B.) microplus* and other ticks affecting cattle in a sustainable manner (RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2005). For example, synergized mixtures of acaricides may also potentiate the effect of anti-tick vaccines among populations of *R. (B.) microplus* that are already resistant to certain pesticides (NARI-HENRIOUD, 2011; KISS et al., 2012). Stewardship of acaricidal combination products is required because it has been demonstrated that some agriculturally damaging insect species can develop resistance to pesticide mixtures (IRAC, 2012). Further studies on the effect of selection pressure of acaricide mixture on the development of resistance in both laboratory and in field populations of *R. (B.) microplus* are needed.

Macrocyclic Lactone Groups

MLs are broad-spectrum antiparasitic drugs, extensively used in veterinary medicine. They are known as “endectocide” compounds based on their unique activity against external and internal parasites (SHOOP et al., 1995). The avermectins, milbemycins and spinosyns are collectively referred to as MLs which comprise several classes of chemicals derived from cultures of soil micro-

organisms. Such compounds are extensively and increasingly used in veterinary medicine and agriculture (LUMARET et al., 2012).

Avermectins. Avermectins are macrocyclic fermentation products of *Streptomyces avermitilis* (later renamed *S. avermectinius*). Eight naturally occurring novel macrocyclic lactones, namely ivermectin A1a/A1b, A2a/A2b, B1a/B1b, B2a/B2b, have been discovered (LUMARET et al., 2012).

Avermectins inhibit the gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) neurotransmission at two or more sites in nematodes, blocking interneuronal stimulation of excitatory motoneurons and thus leading to a flaccid paralysis (TURNER; SCHAEFFER, 1989). The drug is believed to block nerve signals by interfering with the glutamate-gated chloride (GluCl) channel receptors (found only in invertebrates), which make them likely to affect membrane stability (MARTIN et al., 2002). In arthropods, the avermectins interfere with the transmission between nervous and muscular cells, because the GABA receptors are located at the neuromuscular junction. In vertebrates, it stimulates the release of GABA in neurons, but as these are usually in the brain and thus protected by the blood/brain barrier, the drug is exceptionally safe for mammals (ÓMURA, 2008). In the mite *Tetranychus cinnabarinus*, the major resistance mechanism to ivermectin B1 was the increasing activities of carboxylesterases and glutathione-S-transferase and the increase of mixed function oxidase of odemethylase activity (LIN et al., 2009).

Ivermectin and abamectin are generally used to control the ecto- and endoparasites (mites and nematodes) of livestock and antifilarial chemotherapy in humans. Ivermectin is the most widely used avermectin. Ivermectin's exceptional effectiveness has led to some negative aspects being identified. The drug is only partially metabolized in the alimentary tract and residual active ingredient can appear intact in the faeces at levels depending on how the drug is formulated and applied. In animal health, injectable and pour-on formulations are particularly long-living and can prove toxic for 2–8 weeks, especially as avermectins are not water soluble but bind tightly to particulate matter. Due to ivermectin's potency, the residues can cause mortality in a diverse range of invertebrates, especially beetles and fly larvae. ML, especially ivermectin is eliminated in high concentrations in the excreta of treated animals, causing negative effects on dung beetle populations (DIERSMANN et al., 2006; SUÁREZ et al., 2009). Adult individuals of a number of coprophagous beetle species (i.e. the genera *Aphodius*, *Copris*, *Euoniticellus*, *Onitis* and *Onthophagus*) exhibit low mortality when exposed to ivermectin, but these species also experience substantial reductions in fecundity, larva survival and imago adult emergence rates (HEMPEL et al., 2006; OECD, 2010).

Milbemycins. Moxidectin, the most important milbemycin, is a semisynthetic methoxime derivative of nemadectin, a fermentation product of *Streptomyces cyanogriseus* subsp. *noncyanogenus*. Chemically, avermectins differ from each other by chain substitutions on the lactone ring, whilst milbemycins, which are structurally related, differ from the avermectins through the absence of a sugar moiety from the lactone skeleton (ROCK et al., 2002). Milbemycin oxime is used against intestinal nematodes in dogs and cats, against adult heartworm in dogs, and against ectoparasites in companion animals. Milbemectin (a mixture of $\geq 70\%$ milbemycin A4 and

$\leq 30\%$ milbemycin A3) is an insecticide and acaricide effective against all development stages of mites. In common with other ML, moxidectin displays a high affinity for the glutamate-gated ion channels specific to invertebrates. These glutamate-gated binding sites apparently occur in close proximity to GABA-gated chloride channels, and the macrolide endectocides may increase GABA-gated sites as well (LUMARET et al., 2012).

Spinosyns. Spinosyns are tetracyclic-macrolide compounds produced from the fermentation of soil-dwelling bacterial species in the genus *Saccharopolyspora* (LEWER et al., 2009). The active ingredient spinosad consists of a mixture of spinosyns A and D (thus its name, spinosAD) (KIRST, 2010). The unique mechanism of action of spinosyns involves the disruption of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. However, the spinosyn A, the major constituent of the insecticide spinosad, does not interact directly with known binding sites of insect nicotinic receptors. Orr et al. (2009) suggested that spinosyn A exerts its insecticidal actions via a novel mode of action.

Macrocyclic Lactones Used in Mexico to Control Cattle Ticks

In Mexico, the pharmaceutical industry reported that MLs were the most used anti-parasitic drugs in domestic ruminants from 2007 to 2009 (45-50% of the total market) (RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2014). The efficacy of ivermectin, doramectin and moxidectin for the control of *R. (B.) microplus* populations resistant to OPs, amidine and SPs has been demonstrated (SIBSON, 1994; AGUILAR-TIPACAMU; RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS, 2003; DAVEY et al., 2005). In the Mexican tropics, moxidectin (1 %) has been shown to have an efficacy against natural infestation of *R. (B.) microplus* greater than 95 %, 28 days after application (AGUILAR-TIPACAMU; RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS, 2003). Arieta-Román et al. (2010) showed that the long-acting moxidectin-10 % (1mg/kg) and ivermectin-3.15 % (0.63 mg/kg) have an efficacy against natural infection of *R. (B.) microplus* greater than 95 %, 70 and 56 days after applications, respectively. In the USA, Davey et al. (2005) reported that spinosad applied topically to cattle using spray formulations proved effective to control cattle tick infestations.

Resistance of *Rhipicephalus (B.) microplus* to Acaricides

In Mexico, *R. (B.) microplus* has developed resistance to all main classes of acaricides in past decades due to intensive use of chemical acaricides (RODRÍGUEZ-VIVAS et al., 2012). Resistance to OP acaricides first developed in the 1980s in Mexico, and resistance to SP emerged in the 1990s. Amitraz was introduced along with SP to control OP-resistant ticks in 1986. Initially, Amitraz was not widely used, owing to its higher cost, but its use became more prevalent and intensive after SP resistance was discovered in 1993 (FRAGOSO-SANCHEZ et al., 2011). The first case of Amitraz resistance in *R. (B.) microplus* from Mexico was confirmed in 2001 at a ranch in the state of Tabasco (SOBERANES et al.,

2002). The first report of *R. (B.) microplus* resistant to fipronil in Northern States of Mexico was made by Miller et al. (2013).

Rodríguez-Vivas et al. (2007) studied 217 field populations of *R. (B.) microplus* and determined the prevalence (measured by bioassays) of farms with resistance to SPs, OPs and amitraz in the southern Mexico, and they found that SP resistance such as deltamethrin, cypermethrin and flumethrin was one of the most serious problems in the Mexican tropics (from 66 to 96 % farms showed resistance to SPs). Furthermore, Rodríguez-Vivas et al. (2006a) studied 98 field populations of *R. (B.) microplus* in Yucatan, Mexico and found that 63, 61 and 59 % of those tick populations were resistant to flumethrin, deltamethrin and cypermethrin, respectively. The findings of *R. (B.) microplus* resistant to all three major classes of acaricides in Mexico underscore the seriousness of the resistance situation and the importance of having a resistance management strategy in Mexico.

Resistance of *Rhipicephalus (B.) microplus* to Macrocytic Lactones

The first reports of tick resistance to MLs were made in Brazil. Martins and Furlong (2001) reported cross-resistant *R. (B.) microplus* to doramectin, ivermectin and moxidectin in a field trial. Klafke et al. (2006) reported a *R. (B.) microplus* population resistant to ivermectin in the State of Sao Paulo, Brazil. The evaluated population (Barra Alegre) showed a LC_{50} value significantly higher than the susceptible strain and a resistance ratio (RR) of 3.78 (3.57-4.12). Recently, Klafke et al. (2011) evaluated the applicability of laboratory bioassays to diagnose ivermectin resistance in *R. (B.) microplus*. Studying nine different populations of cattle tick from the states of São Paulo, Rio Grande do Sul and Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, the authors found that populations without previous exposure to ivermectin exhibited RR between 0.87 and 1.01. However, populations previously exposed to ivermectin showed RR between 1.83 and 4.62.

Klafke et al. (2010) used four selection methods to increase the RR to ivermectin of a Brazilian *R. (B.) microplus* strain. After ten generations, the RR increased from 1.37 to 8.06. This work showed for the first time that it was possible to increase ivermectin resistance in *R. (B.) microplus* in laboratory conditions.

Castro-Janer et al. (2011) studied the susceptibility of 18 *R. (B.) microplus* field populations from different Uruguayan counties to ivermectin by using the larval immersion test. Five tick populations presented a higher RR_{50} (1.35-1.98) compared with the susceptible tick reference strain

Table 2 shows the resistance ratio estimates at 50% and 99% for ivermectin resistance in *R. (B.) microplus* subjected to the larval immersion in two states of Mexico. Pérez-Cogollo et al. (2010a) reported for the first time field populations of *R. (B.) microplus* resistant to ivermectin in Yucatan, Mexico. The authors studied three cattle farms (SFDO, SPN, LUADY). The LUADY (RR_{50} : 2.04 and 2.29, RR_{99} : 2.67 and 3.55), SPN (RR_{50} : 3.55 and 3.68, RR_{99} : 8.19 and 11.06) and SFDO (RR_{50} : 6.84 and 8.59, RR_{99} : 54.17 and 87.86) ticks had significantly higher LC_{50}/LC_{99} than the reference susceptible Deutch strain, demonstrating resistance in the field-collected populations. Furthermore, there was significant difference between LC_{50}/LC_{99} of the SFDO, SPN and LUADY tick populations, which indicates not only the presence of resistant populations, but also different levels of resistance to ivermectin in the field populations studied. Furthermore, Pérez-Cogollo et al. (2010b) conducted a survey to evaluate the resistance level of 30 field populations of *R. (B.) microplus* to ivermectin at cattle farms with history of MLs use in Yucatan, Mexico. The authors found that field populations of *R. (B.) microplus* demonstrated various levels of resistance to ivermectin. The top three resistant populations were CHPAT (RR_{50} =10.23, RR_{99} =179.6), SDGO (RR_{50} =7.37, RR_{99} =115.3) and FND (RR_{50} =7.09, RR_{99} =50.22). However, the level of ivermectin resistance in most tick samples from cattle farms in Yucatan, Mexico were relatively low.

Fernández-Salas et al. (2012a) determined the status of resistance or susceptibility to ivermectin in 53 populations of *R. (B.) microplus* collected in another state of Mexico (Veracruz). They found that 13 tick populations were susceptible to ivermectin, 18 had incipient resistance and 22 had significant resistance. RR_{50} of the susceptible tick populations varied from 0.59 to 1.07. The populations that showed the highest level of resistance were: ANTE (RR_{50} = 8.21; RR_{99} = 46.0), PALO (RR_{50} = 6.25; RR_{99} = 35.47), P.VIE (RR_{50} = 5.89; RR_{99} = 180.3), AURO (RR_{50} = 5.36; RR_{99} = 13.82 and CEDR (RR_{50} = 4.11; RR_{99} = 26.47). As a significant finding of this study, it was identified that farms that used MLs ≥ 4 times per year were more likely to develop *R. (B.) microplus* resistant to ivermectin (OR = 13.0; p = 0.0028). Recently, Fernández-Salas et al. (2012b) reported for the first time a *R. (B.) microplus* population in Mexico with different levels of resistance to OP, SP, Amitraz and ivermectin. The studied tick population showed a RR at 99% to ivermectin of 6.52-9.58.

At present, the molecular basis of resistance to MLs is not well understood. Insensitivity of the GluCl receptor, which prevents drug binding to its target site, has been associated with ivermectin resistance in some nematodes and arthropods (McCAVERA et al., 2009; KWON et al., 2010). In arthropods, MLs resistance is also

Table 2. Resistance ratio estimates at 50% and 99% for ivermectin resistance in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* subjected to larval immersion test in two states of Mexico.

Mexican state	Total number of studied tick populations	Farm name and resistance ratio of tick populations where the highest level of resistance to ivermectin was reported	References
Yucatan	3	SFDO (RR_{50} =6.84 and 8.59, RR_{99} =54.17 and 87.86)*	Pérez-Cogollo et al. (2010a)
Yucatan	30	CHPAT (RR_{50} =10.23, RR_{99} =179.6)	Pérez-Cogollo et al. (2010b)
Veracruz	53	P.VIE (RR_{50} =5.89; RR_{99} = 180.3)	Fernández-Salas et al. (2012a)
Veracruz	1	CLAR (RR_{50} =2.67 and 3.03, RR_{99} =6.52 and 9.58)*	Fernández-Salas et al. (2012b)

RR_{50} : resistance ratio at 50%, RR_{99} : resistance ratio at 99%. *Bioassays were performed twice at different times with different collected samples

associated with an increase in oxidative metabolism. Recently, it has become evident from molecular, biochemical and pharmacokinetic studies that the most important molecules involved in all of these processes are ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter proteins (BOURGUINAT et al., 2011). ABC transporters comprise a superfamily of membrane-integrated proteins expressed in all organisms, from bacteria to humans. These proteins are responsible for pumping xenobiotic and endogenous metabolites through extra- and intracellular membranes, thereby reducing cellular concentrations of toxic compounds (HOLLAND; BLIGHT, 1999). Recently, Pohl et al. (2011) demonstrated that the ABC transporter efflux pump is a defense mechanism against ivermectin in *R. (B.) microplus*.

The use of MLs to control ticks and gastrointestinal in cattle is expected to continue to increase in Mexico, due to convenience (broad spectrum), increasingly accessible prices (especially generic versions of MLs) and lack of effective chemical alternatives. A better understanding on spatial distribution, risk factors and possible cross-resistance associated to ivermectin resistance in the Mexican tropics is needed (PEREZ-COGOLLO et al., 2010a). Strategies of resistance management need to be pointed to keep the selection pressure at a minimum level, while still achieving an appropriate control of the parasite. Strategies involving the early detection of resistance and use of tactics designated to increase the useful life of MLs are recommended.

Conclusions

In Mexico many field populations of *R. (B.) microplus* are resistant to multiple classes of antiparasitic drugs, including OPs, SPs, amitraz and ivermectin. Ivermectin-resistant populations of *R. (B.) microplus* have been reported in Latin America, especially in Mexico (in two states, Veracruz and Yucatan). Although ivermectin resistance levels in *R. (B.) microplus* from Mexico were generally low in most cases, some field populations of *R. (B.) microplus* exhibited high levels of ivermectin resistance. Strategies involving the early detection of resistance and the use of integrated tick control are recommended.

References

- Aguilar-Tipacamu G, Rodríguez-Vivas RI. Effect of moxidectin against natural infestation of the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus* (Acarina: Ixodidae) in the Mexican tropics. *Vet Parasitol* 2003; 111(2-3): 211-216. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017\(02\)00355-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017(02)00355-2)
- Arieta-Román RJ, Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Rosado-Aguilar JA, Ramírez-Cruz GT, Basto-Estrella G. Persistencia de la eficacia de dos lactonas macrocíclicas contra infestaciones naturales de *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* en bovinos del trópico mexicano. *Rev Mex Cienc Pecu* 2010; 1(1): 59-67.
- Barré N, Li AY, Miller RJ, Gaia H, Delathière JM, Davey RB, et al. *In vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of deltamethrin and amitraz mixtures for the control of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in New Caledonia. *Vet Parasitol* 2008; 155(1-2): 110-119. PMID:18565679. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.04.016>
- Bourguinat C, Keller K, Blagburn B, Schenker R, Geary TG, Prichard RK. Correlation between loss of efficacy of macrocyclic lactone heartworm anthelmintics and P-glycoprotein genotype. *Vet Parasitol* 2011; 176(4): 374-381. PMID:21300438. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.01.024>
- Casida JE, Gammon DW, Glickman AH, Lawrence LJ. Mechanisms of selective action of pyrethroid insecticides. *Ann Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 1983; 23: 413-438. PMID:6347050. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pa.23.040183.002213>
- Castro-Janer E, Rifran L, González P, Niell C, Piaggio J, Gil A, et al. Determination of the susceptibility of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) to ivermectin and fipronil by Larval Immersion Test (LIT) in Uruguay. *Vet Parasitol* 2011; 178(1-2): 148-155. PMID:21277092. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.12.035>
- Catterall WA. Structural biology: a 3D view of sodium channels. *Nature* 2001; 409(6823): 988-991. PMID:11234048. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35059188>
- Cole LM, Nicholson RA, Casida JE. Action of phenylpyrazole insecticides at the GABA-gated Chloride channel. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 1993; 46(1): 47-54. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/pest.1993.1035>
- Corbett JR. Insecticides inhibiting acetylcholinesterase. In: Corbett JR. *The biochemical mode of action of pesticides*. New York: Academic Press; 1974a.
- Corbett JR. Neuroactive insecticides, excluding inhibitors of acetylcholinesterase. In: Corbett JR. *The biochemical mode of action of pesticides*. New York: Academic Press; 1974b.
- Davey RB, Ahrens EH, George JE, Hunter JS, Jeannin P. Therapeutic and persistent efficacy of fipronil against *Boophilus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) on cattle. *Vet Parasitol* 1998; 74(2-4): 261-276. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017\(97\)00152-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017(97)00152-0)
- Davey RB, Miller JA, George JE, Snyder DE. Effect of repeated spinosad treatments on cattle against *Boophilus annulatus* under south Texas field conditions. *Southwest Entomol* 2005; 30(4): 245-255.
- Diersmann E, Ferreira L, Chaaban A, Moya-Borja G. Impacto do uso de ivermectina de longa ação na sucessão ecológica dos excrementos de bovinos tratados, tomando-se como modelo o besouro coprófago *Digitonthophagus gazella* (Fabricius, 1787). *Rev Bras Agroecol* 2006; 1: 883-886.
- Dong K. Insect sodium channels and insecticide resistance. *Invert Neurosci* 2007; 7(1): 17-30. PMID:17206406 PMID:PMC3052376. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10158-006-0036-9>
- Evans PD, Gee JD. Action of formamidine pesticides on octopamine receptors. *Nature* 1980; 287(5777): 60-62. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/287060a0>
- Fernández-Salas A, Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Alonso-Díaz MA, Basurto-Camberos H. Ivermectin resistance status and factors associated in *Rhipicephalus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) populations from Veracruz, Mexico. *Vet Parasitol* 2012a; 190(1-2): 210-215. PMID:22785128. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.06.003>
- Fernández-Salas A, Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Alonso-Díaz MA. First report of a *Rhipicephalus microplus* tick population multi-resistant to acaricides and ivermectin in the Mexican tropics. *Vet Parasitol* 2012b; 186(3-4): 338-342. PMID:21824728. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.07.028>
- Fragoso-Sanchez H, Garcia-Vazquez Z, Tapia-Perez G, Ortiz-Najera A, Rosario-Cruz R, Rodríguez-Vivas RI. Response of Mexican *Rhipicephalus*

(*Boophilus microplus*) ticks to selection by amitraz and genetic analysis of attained resistance. *J Entomol* 2011; 8(3): 1812-5670.

George JE, Pound JM, Davey RB. Chemical control of ticks on cattle and the resistance of these parasites to acaricides. *Parasitology* 2004; 129(Suppl S1): S353-S366. PMID:15938518. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0031182003004682>

Hempel H, Scheffczyk A, Schallnass HJ, Lumaret JP, Alvinerie M, Römbke J. Toxicity of four veterinary parasiticides on larvae of the dung beetle *Aphodius constans* in the laboratory. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 2006; 25(12): 3155-3163. PMID:17220084. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1897/06-022R2.1>

Holland IB, Blight MA. ABC-ATPases, adaptable energy generators fuelling transmembrane movement of a variety of molecules in organisms from bacteria to humans. *J Mol Biol* 1999; 293(2): 381-399. PMID:10529352. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1999.2993>

Insecticide Resistance Action Committee - IRAC. *IRAC International Insecticide Mixture Statement*. Version 1.0. [online]. 2012. [cited 2014 Feb 05]. Available from: http://www.irc-online.org/content/uploads/IRAC_Mixture_Statement_v1.0_10Sept12.pdf.

Jonsson NN, Hope M. Progress in the epidemiology and diagnosis of amitraz resistance in the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus*. *Vet Parasitol* 2007; 146(3-4): 193-198. PMID:17448604. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.03.006>

Jonsson NN, Mayer DG, Matschoss AL, Green PE, Ansell J. Production effects of cattle tick (*Boophilus microplus*) infestation of high yielding dairy cows. *Vet Parasitol* 1998; 78: 65-77. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017\(98\)00118-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017(98)00118-6)

Kirst HA. The spinosyn family of insecticides: realizing the potential of natural products research. *J Antibiot* 2010; 63(3): 101-111. PMID:20150928. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ja.2010.5>

Kiss T, Cadar D, Spînu M. Tick prevention at a crossroad: new and renewed solutions. *Vet Parasitol* 2012; 187(3-4): 357-366. PMID:22424918. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.02.010>

Klafke GM, Sabatini G, Albuquerque T, Martins JR, Kemp D, Miller RJ, et al. Larval immersion tests with ivermectin populations of the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) from State of Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Vet Parasitol* 2006; 142(3-4): 386-390. PMID:16904265. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.07.001>

Klafke GM, Albuquerque TA, Miller RJ, Schumaker TT. Selection of an ivermectin-resistant strain of *Rhipicephalus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in Brazil. *Vet Parasitol* 2010; 168(1-2): 97-104. PMID:19864067. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2009.10.003>

Klafke GM, Castro-Janer E, Mendes MC, Namindome A, Schumaker TT. Applicability of *in vitro* bioassays for the diagnosis of ivermectin resistance in *Rhipicephalus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae). *Vet Parasitol* 2011; 184(2-4): 212-220. PMID:21978742. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.09.018>

Knowles CO. Structure-activity relationships among amidine acaricides and insecticides. In: Coats JR. *Insecticide mode of action*. Academic: New York; 1982. p. 243-277. PMID:6172481. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-177120-1.50014-X>

Kwon DH, Yoon KS, Clark JM, Lee SH. A point mutation in a glutamate-gated chloride channel confers abamectin resistance in the two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch. *Insect Mol Biol* 2010; 19(4): 583-591. PMID:20522121.

Kwong TC. Organophosphate pesticides: biochemistry and clinical toxicology. *Ther Drug Monit* 2002; 24(1): 144-149. PMID:11805735. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00007691-200202000-00022>

Lanusse C, Lifschitz A, Virkel G, Alvarez L, Sánchez S, Sutra JF, et al. Comparative plasma disposition kinetics of ivermectin, moxidectin and doramectin in cattle. *J Vet Pharmacol Ther* 1997; 20(2): 91-99. PMID:9131534. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2885.1997.00825.x>

Lewer P, Hahn DR, Karr LL, Duebelbeis DO, Gilbert JR, Crouse GD, et al. Discovery of the butenyl-spinosyn insecticides: novel macrolides from the new bacterial strain *Saccharopolyspora pogona*. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2009; 17(12): 4185-4196. PMID:19324553. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2009.02.035>

Li AY, Chen AC, Miller RJ, Davey RB, George JE. Acaricide resistance and synergism between permethrin and amitraz against susceptible and resistant strains of *Boophilus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae). *Pest Manag Sci* 2007; 63(9): 882-889. PMID:17665370. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ps.1417>

Lifschitz A, Virkel G, Imperiale F, Pis A, Lanusse C. Fármacos endectocidas: avermectinas y milbemicinas. In: Botana López LM, Landoni MF, Martín-Jiménez T. *Farmacología y terapéutica veterinaria*. Madrid: McGraw-Hill-Interamericana; 2002. p. 545-558.

Lin H, Chuan-hua X, Jin-jun W, Ming L, Wen-cai L, Zhi-mo Z. Resistance selection and biochemical mechanism of resistance to two acaricides in *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Boiduval). *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 2009; 93(1): 47-52. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2008.11.001>

Liu MY, Plapp FW. Formamidines as synergists of cypermethrin in susceptible and pyrethroid resistant house flies (Diptera: Muscidae). *J Econ Entomol* 1990; 83(6): 2181-2186. PMID:2280046.

Lumaret JP, Errouissi F, Floate K, Römbke J, Wardhaugh K. A review on the toxicity and non-target effects of macrocyclic lactones in terrestrial and aquatic environments. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* 2012; 13(6): 1004-1060. PMID:22039795 PMID:PMC3409360. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/138920112800399257>

MacDonald JM. Flea control: an overview of treatment concepts for North America. *Vet Dermatol* 1995; 6(3): 121-130. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3164.1995.tb00055.x>

Martin RJ, Robertson AP, Wolstenholme AJ. Mode of action of the macrocyclic lactones. In: Vercruysse J, Rew RS, editors. *Macrocyclic Lactones in Antiparasitic Therapy*. Wallingford: CABI Publishing; 2002. p. 125-140. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/9780851996172.0125>

Martins J, Furlong J. Avermectin resistance of the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus* in Brazil. *Vet Rec* 2001; 149(2): 64. PMID:11488352.

Mendes MC, Lima CK, Nogueira AH, Yoshihara E, Chiebao DP, Gabriel FH, et al. Resistance to cypermethrin, deltamethrin and chlorpyrifos in populations of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) from small farms of the State of São Paulo, Brazil. *Vet Parasitol* 2011; 178(3-4): 383-388. PMID:21306827. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.01.006>

McCavera S, Rogers AT, Yates DM, Woods DJ, Wolstenholme AJ. An ivermectin-sensitive glutamate-gated chloride channel from the parasitic nematode *Haemonchus contortus*. *Mol Pharmacol* 2009; 75(6): 1347-1355. PMID:19336526 PMID:PMC2684884. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/mol.108.053363>

Miller RJ, Almazán C, Ortiz-Estrada M, Davey RB, George JE, Pérez de León A. First report of fipronil resistance in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*

- of Mexico. *Vet Parasitol* 2013; 191(1-2): 97-101. PMID:23026557. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.08.011>
- Narahashi T. Toxins that modulate the sodium channel gating mechanism. In: Kao CY, Levinson SR, editors. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences: tetrodotoxin, saxitoxin and the molecular biology of the sodium channel*. New York: The New York Academy of Sciences; 1986. p. 133-151. PMID:2433987.
- Nari-Henrioud A. Towards sustainable parasite control practices in livestock production with emphasis in Latin America. *Vet Parasitol* 2011; 180(1-2): 2-11. PMID:21723040. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.05.026>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development - OECD. Guidance document on the determination of the toxicity of a test chemical to the dung beetle *Aphodius constans*. Environment, Health and Safety Publications, Series on Testing and Assessment [online]. 2010. Available from: [http://search.oecd.org/officialdocuments/displaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono\(2010\)13&doclanguage=en](http://search.oecd.org/officialdocuments/displaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono(2010)13&doclanguage=en)
- Oliveira PR, Bechara GH, Morales MAM, Camargo-Mathias MI. Action of the chemical agent fipronil on the reproductive process of semi-engorged females of the tick *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (Latreille, 1806) (Acari: Ixodidae): ultrastructural evaluation of ovary cells. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2009; 47(6): 1255-1264. PMID:19250957. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2009.02.019>
- Ômura S. Ivermectin: 25 years and still going strong. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2008; 31(2): 91-98. PMID:18037274. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2007.08.023>
- Orr GL, Orr N, Cornfield L, Gole JWD, Downer RGH. Interaction of formamidine pesticides with insect neural octopamine receptors: effects of radioligand binding and cyclic AMP production. *Pestic Sci* 1990; 30(3): 285-294. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ps.2780300305>
- Orr N, Shaffner AJ, Richey K, Crouse GD. Novel mode of action of spinosad: Receptor binding studies demonstrating lack of interaction with known insecticidal target sites. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 2009; 95(1): 1-5. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2009.04.009>
- Pegram RG, Tatchell RJ, de Castro J, Chizyuka HGB, Creek MJ, McCosker PJ, et al. Tick control: new concepts. *World Animal Rev* 1993; 74-75: 2-11.
- Perez-Cogollo LC, Rodriguez-Vivas RI, Ramirez-Cruz GT, Miller RJ. First report of the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus microplus* resistant to ivermectin in Mexico. *Vet Parasitol* 2010a; 168(1-2): 165-169. PMID:19951828. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2009.10.021>
- Perez-Cogollo LC, Rodriguez-Vivas RI, Ramirez-Cruz GT, Rosado-Aguilar JA. Survey of *Rhipicephalus microplus* resistance to ivermectin at cattle farms with history of macrocyclic lactones use in Yucatan, Mexico. *Vet Parasitol* 2010b; 172(1-2): 109-113. PMID:20570047. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.04.030>
- Pohl PC, Klafke GM, Carvalho DD, Martins JR, Daffre S, Silva Vaz Jr, et al. ABC transporter efflux pumps: A defense mechanism against ivermectin in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*. *Int J Parasitol* 2011; 41(13-14): 1323-1333. PMID:22036897. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2011.08.004>
- Prullage JB, Cawthorne WG, Le Hir de Fallois LP, Timmons PR. Synergy between fipronil and amitraz in a *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* tick residual contact test. *Exp Appl Acarol* 2011; 54(2): 173-176. PMID:21243407. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10493-011-9424-x>
- Rauh JJ, Lummis SCR, Sattelle DB. Pharmacological and biochemical properties of insect GABA receptors. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 1990; 11(8): 325-329. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-6147\(90\)90236-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-6147(90)90236-2)
- Raymond-Delpech V, Matsuda K, Sattelle BM, Rauh JJ, Sattelle DB. Ion channels: molecular targets of neuroactive insecticides. *Invert Neurosci* 2005; 5(3-4): 119-133. PMID:16172884. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10158-005-0004-9>
- Rock DW, DeLay RL, Gliddon MJ. Moxidectin. In: Vercruysse J, Rew RS, editors. *Macrocyclic lactones in antiparasitic therapy*. Wallingford: CAB International; 2002. p. 75- 96. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/9780851996172.0075>
- Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Mata MY, Pérez GE, Wagner G. The effect of management factors on the seroprevalence of *Anaplasma marginale* in *Bos indicus* cattle in the Mexican tropics. *Trop Anim Health Prod* 2004; 36(2): 135-143. PMID:14998312. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:TROP.0000012105.19518.80>
- Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Quiñones AF, Fragoso SH. Epidemiología y control de la garrapata *Boophilus* en México. In: Rodríguez-Vivas RI. *Enfermedades de importancia económica en producción animal*. México: McGraw-Hill; 2005. p. 571-592.
- Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Alonso-Díaz MA, Rodríguez-Arevalo F, Fragoso-Sanchez H, Santamaria VM, Rosario-Cruz R. Prevalence and potential risk factors for organophosphate and pyrethroid resistance in *Boophilus microplus* ticks on cattle ranches from the state of Yucatan, Mexico. *Vet Parasitol* 2006a; 136(3-4): 335-442. PMID:16413971. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2005.05.069>
- Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Rodríguez-Arevalo F, Alonso-Díaz MA, Fragoso-Sanchez H, Santamaria VM, Rosario-Cruz R. Prevalence and potential risk factors for amitraz resistance in *Boophilus microplus* ticks in cattle farms from the state of Yucatan, Mexico. *Prev Vet Med* 2006b; 75(3-4): 280-286. PMID:16730819. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2006.04.001>
- Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Rivas AL, Chowell G, Fragoso SH, Rosario CR, García Z, et al. Spatial distribution of acaricide profiles (*Boophilus microplus* strains susceptible or resistant to acaricides) in southeastern Mexico. *Vet Parasitol* 2007; 146(1-2): 158-169. PMID:17349747. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.01.016>
- Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Arieta-Román JR, Perez-Cogollo LC, Rosado-Aguilar JA, Ramírez-Cruz GT, Basto-Estrella G. Uso de lactonas macrocíclicas para el control de la garrapata *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* en el ganado bovino. *Arch Med Vet* 2010; 42(3): 115-123. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0301-732X2010000300002>
- Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Hodgkinson JE, Trees AJ. Resistencia a los acaricidas en *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*: situación actual y mecanismos de resistencia. *Rev Mex Cienc Pecu* 2012; 3(S1): 9-24.
- Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Li AY, Ojeda-Chi MM, Trinidad-Martínez I, Rosado-Aguilar JA, Miller RJ, et al. *In vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of cypermethrin, amitraz, and piperonyl butoxide mixtures for the control of resistant *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in the Mexican tropics. *Vet Parasitol* 2013; 197(1-2): 288-296. PMID:23948559. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.07.018>
- Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Miller RJ, Ojeda-Chi MM, Rosado-Aguilar JA, Trinidad-Martínez IC, Pérez de León AA. Acaricide and ivermectin resistance in a field population of *Rhipicephalus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) collected from red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) in the Mexican tropics. *Vet Parasitol* 2014; 200(1-2): 179-188. PMID:24365245. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.11.025>

- Schnitzerling HJ, Nolan J, Hughes S. Toxicology and metabolism of some synthetic pyrethroids in larvae of susceptible and resistant strains of the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus* (Can). *Pest Sci* 1983; 14(1): 64-72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ps.2780140110>
- Seebeck RM, Springell PH, O'Kelly JC. Alterations in host metabolism by the specific and anorectic effects of the cattle tick (*Boophilus microplus*). I. food intake and body weight growth. *Aust J Biol Sci* 1971; 24(2): 373-380. PMID:5280977.
- Shoop WL, Mrozik KH, Fisher MH. Structure and activity of avermectins and milbemycins in animal health. *Vet Parasitol* 1995; 59(2): 139-156. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017\(94\)00743-V](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017(94)00743-V)
- Sibson GJ. The effects of moxidectin against natural infestation of cattle tick (*Boophilus microplus*). *Aust Vet J* 1994; 71(11): 381-382. PMID:7726764. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-0813.1994.tb00935.x>
- Sindicato Nacional da Indústria de Produtos para Saúde Animal - SINDAN. São Paulo: SINDAN; 2013. Available from: <http://www.sindan.org.br>.
- Soberanes NC, Santamaría MV, Fragozo HS, García VZ. First case reported of amitraz resistance in the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus* in Mexico. *Téc Pec Méx* 2002; 40(1): 81-92.
- Soderlund DM, Clark JM, Sheets LP, Mullin LS, Piccirillo VJ, Sargent D, et al. Mechanisms of pyrethroid neurotoxicity: implications for cumulative risk assessment. *Toxicology* 2002; 171(1): 3-59. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0300-483X\(01\)00569-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0300-483X(01)00569-8)
- Solorio-Rivera JL, Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Pérez-Gutierrez E, Wagner G. Management factors associated with *Babesia bovis* seroprevalence in cattle from eastern Yucatán, Mexico. *Prev Vet Med* 1999; 40(3-4): 261-269. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5877\(99\)00019-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5877(99)00019-7)
- Spindler KD, Spindler-Barth M, Londershausen M. Chitin metabolism: a target for drugs against parasites. *Parasitol Res* 1990; 76(4): 283-8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00928180>
- Stendel W. Experimental studies of the tickicidal effect of Bayticol® Pour-on. *Vet Med Rev* 1985; 2: 99-111.
- Suárez V, Lifschitz A, Sallovitz J, Lanusse C. Effects of faecal residues of moxidectin and doramectin on the activity of arthropods in cattle dung. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 2009; 72(5): 1551-1558. PMID:18192015. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.11.009>
- Sutherst RW, Maywald GF, Kerr JD, Stegeman DA. The effect of cattle tick (*Boophilus microplus*) on the growth of *Bos indicus* x *Bos taurus* steers. *Aust J Agr Res* 1983; 34(3): 317-327. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/AR9830317>
- Tan J, Liu Z, Tsai TD, Valles SM, Goldin AL, Dong K. Novel sodium channel gene mutations in *Blattella germanica* reduce the sensitivity of expressed channels to deltamethrin. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 2002; 32(4): 445-454. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0965-1748\(01\)00122-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0965-1748(01)00122-9)
- Taylor MA. Recent developments in ectoparasiticides. *Vet J* 2001; 161(3): 253-268. PMID:11352483. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/tvjl.2000.0549>
- Trapapa BJ. The campaign against *Boophilus microplus* in Mexico, benefit, problems and prospects. In: Garcia-Vasquez Z. *Animal production and health*. Mexico: FAO; 1989. p. 50-75.
- Turner MJ, Schaeffer JM. Mode of action of ivermectin. In: Campbell WC. *Ivermectin and Abamectin*. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1989. p. 73-78. PMID:2714981. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-3626-9_5
- White N, Sutherst RW, Hall N, Wish-Wilson P. The vulnerability of the Australian beef industry to impacts of the cattle tick (*Boophilus microplus*) under climate change. *Climatic Change* 2003; 61(1-2): 157-190. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1026354712890>
- Zhao Y, Park Y, Adams ME. Functional and evolutionary consequences of pyrethroid resistance mutations in S6 transmembrane segments of a voltage-gated sodium channel. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000; 278(3): 516-521. PMID:11095943. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.2000.3832>
- Zhao X, Yeh JZ, Salgado VL, Narahashi T. Sulfone metabolite of fipronil blocks gamma-aminobutyric acid- and glutamate-activated chloride channels in mammalian and insect neurons. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2005; 314(1): 363-373. PMID:15701711. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.104.077891>