

Revista Brasileira de Cirurgia Cardiovascular/Brazilian Journal of Cardiovascular Surgery

ISSN: 0102-7638 revista@sbccv.org.br

Sociedade Brasileira de Cirurgia Cardiovascular

Atik, Fernando A.; Ribeiro da Cunha, Claudio
Reply to the editor on "Impact of type of procedure and surgeon on EuroSCORE operative risk validation"

Revista Brasileira de Cirurgia Cardiovascular/Brazilian Journal of Cardiovascular Surgery, vol. 29, núm. 4, octubre-diciembre, 2014, p. 668

Sociedade Brasileira de Cirurgia Cardiovascular

São José do Rio Preto, Brasil

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=398941895030



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



DOI: 10.5935/1678-9741.20140121

RBCCV 44205-1606

Reply to the editor on "Impact of type of procedure and surgeon on EuroSCORE operative risk validation"

Dear Mr. Editor:

We appreciated the thoughtful comments by Spiliopoulos et al. regarding the article entitled "Impact of type of procedure and surgeon on EuroSCORE operative risk validation", recently published in the Brazilian Journal of Cardiovascular Surgery^[1].

We agree with their comments on the relevance of this issue due to the public scrutiny and demand for increasingly better quality of care. Moreover, risk stratification models in cardiac surgery are important to adjust outcomes to certain clinical profiles, being therefore useful in patient consent, quality assurance programs, as well as being used in patient selection for controlled randomized trials.

We recognized that an outdated risk model (EuroSCORE I) was used to assess hospital mortality in our study, because that was the most accepted and worldwide used system available at the time for most of the patients studied. As you stated in your letter, our study confirmed some of the limitations that have been previously shown. However, that was not our primary purpose to validate the EuroSCORE I in a Brazilian single cardiovascular surgery center, since other Brazilian cardiac surgery groups^[2,3] had already done so. Certainly, we will commit to validate our results to the new EuroSCORE II system in the nearest future, in order to determine its performance on a non European population.

On the other hand, the primary objective of our study was to demonstrate that, regardless of the risk stratification model used, there are unmeasured factors that significantly influence its validation and performance. Besides the fact that

the patient population is different, hospital protocols may vary among different centers, diverse surgeon's background may influence patient's management, regardless of strict protocols. We proved that there is significant variability in outcomes in the same hospital, using a standardized patient care, adjusting to patient's severity.

Since it is impossible to control all the issues of concern, all risk models tend to be imprecise, subject to error and less than perfect. We then totally agree with Spiliopoulos and colleagues that we, as clinicians, should be extremely careful on interpreting a patient's condition based on a scoring model that will never replace the good individual clinical judgment, which is the foundation of our profession.

Fernando A. Atik, MD; Claudio Ribeiro da Cunha, MD - Instituto de Cardiologia do Distrito Federal, Brasília, DF, Brazil

REFERENCES

- Atik FA, Cunha CR. Impact of type of procedure and surgeon on EuroSCORE operative risk validation. Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc 2014;29(2):131-9.
- Andrade ING, Moraes Neto FR, Oliveira JPS, Silva ITC, Andrade TG, Moraes CRR. Avaliação do EuroSCORE como preditor de mortalidade em cirurgia cardíaca valvar no Instituto do Coração de Pernambuco. Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc 2010;25(1):11-8.
- Mejia OAV, Lisboa LAF, Puig LB, Dias RR, Dallan LA, Pomerantzeff PM, et al. Os escores 2000 Bernstein-Parsonnet e EuroSCORE são similares na predição da mortalidade no Instituto do Coração-USP. Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc 2011;26(1):1-6.