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Abstract
Some of the main investigations on calibration methods of parallel mechanisms are briefly discussed in this work. Initially, the identification of errors in these structures applied to machine tools is presented, later the kinematics and the concept of parallel mechanisms calibration is introduced. Additionally, the main characteristics of the existing methods of calibration are discussed, such as the parametrical approaches, the calibration methods with internal/external measuring devices, innovative calibration methods and works that provide assistance to this aim.
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Resumen
Las investigaciones más relevantes sobre métodos de calibración de mecanismos paralelos se discuten brevemente en este trabajo. Inicialmente se aborda la identificación de errores de estas estructuras como aplicación a las máquinas herramienta, después se introduce la cinemática y el concepto de calibración de los mecanismos paralelos. Adicionalmente, se discuten las principales características de los métodos de calibración existentes, tales como los métodos paramétricos, los métodos de calibración con dispositivos internos/externos de medición y trabajos adicionales que proporcionan ayuda para este fin.

Descriptores: mecanismos paralelos, calibración, máquinas-herramienta, cinemática inversa, cinemática directa.
Introduction

Parallel mechanisms present several advantages over serial mechanisms, such as high flexibility, high stiffness and elevated accuracy. For this reason, the interest on these structures has been increasing over the last few years. As a consequence, the number of works that deal with open problems of these mechanisms type has also grown. Nowadays, parallel mechanisms are starting to be used in industry such as machine tools, pick and place high velocity robots, and flight simulators, among other applications. This is so due to the high accuracy required for their processes. For the same reason, they are also used for medical applications and rehabilitation. A parallel mechanism has the main property of having its end-effector connected to the base through several serial (and/or parallel) kinematic chains. This allows the parallel mechanism to support heavy loads, high velocity operation and show a better repeatability (Merlet, 1997). However, its great number of links and passive joints offer limited performance in terms of accuracy (Wang and Masory, 1993). For this reason, the kinematic parameters of these mechanisms should be identified with the process called kinematic identification (or kinematic calibration). The objective of the robot kinematic calibration is to determine the exact kinematic parameters for improving its accuracy.

In order to be applied as a parallel kinematic machine (PKM) tool, calibration strategies for parallel mechanisms should be defined and consolidated, (Merlet, 2002). Conventional machine tools typically consist on three mutually orthogonal axes, each one controlled by a separate driver in linear guides. For a parallel mechanism of \( n \) degrees-of-freedom, the global pose depends on all its drivers. For this application, the global error at the end of the tool is the main concern. This error could be due to manufacturing tolerances or assembly errors in the parallel mechanism construction that can be used for tool or workpiece positioning. At high speed, control speed and accuracy play an important role in the overall final position.

In this work, a study on parallel mechanism calibration is presented, including some of the main works recently published and a discussion of the advantages and disadvantages of the calibration methods being used. In Section 2 the identification of PKM source errors is outlined, in Section 3 a mechanism kinematic is presented including the introduction of the calibration concept, and finally, beginning in Section 4, an actualized classification of the calibration methods of parallel structures is included.

Errors identification of parallel kinematic structures used as machine tools

In all manufactured machines or mechanisms a deviation from nominal design values occurs due to assembly or manufacture errors. Many works have been focused on error identification with the objective of improving the absolute accuracy of parallel mechanisms and their applications. In the PKMs, the factors listed below may cause positioning and orientation errors:

1. Parametric errors caused by geometrical deviation of the machine parts and errors in their assembly (i.e.
manufacturing process of the machine components, which is known as tolerances;
2. Joint runout caused by geometrical deviation of the joint parts, which is caused by mechanism motion;
3. Elastic deformations of the links and the joints, which are generated by external forces or by displacement of the center of gravity;
4. Elastic deformation of the machine frame supporting the mechanism, which is caused by external forces or displacement of center of gravity;
5. Thermal expansion of the links and the frame, caused by temperature fluctuation.

The abovementioned factors (2-5) can be divided into two groups: mechanism errors and frame deformations. In figure 1a, the positioning error cases related to the tool and the workpiece for a conventional machine tool are shown; these errors also affect the PKMs accuracy. Some studies have been made in order to improve the PKM accuracy, Zhuang and Roth (1993) proposed a measurement method that consists of the separate estimate of parameters for each leg. In a machine tool of hexapod type, Ziegert (1996) attributed the source errors in the model to the uncertainties of the theoretical model, such as coordinates of the base joint center and moving platform, link length in the initial position, as well as tool length. Soons (1997) reported the measurement of the geometrical errors in a PKM, through its kinematic model and experimental measurements using conventional metrology tools. The research reported in (Rudder, 1997) was focused in error modeling, error computation and stiffness analysis.

Parenti-Castelli et al. (1998) emphasizes that kinematic and dynamic behavior of a PKM is strongly influenced by joint geometrical errors. Iowa and Tamaki (2000) reported that the spherical joints distance located at the leg end (which is the leg length) is fundamental for platform accuracy positioning. In (Cui et al., 2005) the kinematic and error modeling using Jacobian matrix method was established for TAU robot (Brogangrdh et al., 2002). In addition, that research introduced the Jacobian approximation method to calculate the forward kinematic problem instead of the Newton-Raphson method. The full size Jacobian matrix is used in order to estimate and identify the model parameters. Error compensation methods for PKMs have been described by Oiwa, (2005). He discussed the joint and link deformations caused by external forces and heat by working with two types of errors that establish the relative positioning between tool and workpiece for conventional machines, the mechanical errors and the frame deformation of the mechanism. Deblaise et al. (2004) studied the Delta robot and took into account errors due to the elasticity of the links.

**Kinematics and calibration of parallel structures**

The best known parallel mechanism is the Gough-Stewart platform and it commonly has been applied as machine tool. This manipulator consists of two rigid bodies, called the base and moving platform, connected to the base by six legs, as shown in figure 1b. Each leg is, in turn, a six degree-of-freedom (dof) serial kinematic chain. These legs provide a sixth dof for any arbitrary pose (position and orientation) of the end-effector relative to the base that corresponds to the operation point, given as a vector \( \mathbf{p} \) and the orientation imbedded in a rotation matrix \( \mathbf{Q} \). Thus, the pose \( \mathbf{x} \) can be expressed as \( \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{Q}) \), which in turn, depends on the variations of each length of the prismatic joint, \( c_i \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, 6 \), which can be measured by internal sensors. Each leg is attached to the base by a universal joint and to the platform by a ball joint. Thus, there are 42 kinematic parameters -36 parameters for joints centers and six nominal lengths of the legs-, (Wang and Masory, 1993). In addition, the main error source in positioning is related to the limited knowledge of the joints center and a fraction of the size is not defined by the sensors. The kinematic models are essential for robot control, and produce the constraints used in calibration. By inverse kinematics, the length \( c_i \) of the \( i \)th leg as a function of the desired pose can be computed as

\[
    c_i = \| \mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{b}_i \| = \| \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{b}_i \| \quad i = 1, \ldots, 6
\]

where \( \mathbf{b}_i \) and \( \mathbf{p}_i \) are the position vectors of the attachment points of the legs on the base and platform, respectively, in a coordinate frame fixed to the base, meanwhile \( \mathbf{p}'_i \) are the position vectors of platform points in a coordinate frame fixed to the platform. For the Gough-Stewart platform, the forward kinematics is more difficult to calculate since one must solve Eq. (1) for \( \mathbf{p}_i \) given \( c_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, 6 \) and the mechanism parameters. Clearly, the parallel mechanism configuration is related to the parameters of the kinematic structure through the equations of the kinematic model. The calibration proposal is the positioning error reduction of the end-effector using a precision identification of the kinematic parameters. It is known that the feasible and economical way to improve the parallel mechanism accuracy is by kinematic calibration. Calibration is crucial to any autonomous manipulator when high accuracy is
required. Additionally, if the precise mathematical model is used as support, it can get an excellent positioning performance. Nowadays, a necessary condition to introduce the PKMs in the industrial context is to develop a fast calibration technique.

The calibration could be achieved measuring several mechanism configurations and identifying its respective kinematic parameters. The number of equations given by measurements is less than the number of unknown parameters. Data measurements are generally produced by a sensor, thus it is necessary to consider the noise associated to this device. The general parallel manipulator calibration includes the following tasks:

1. Platform kinematic modeling in order to know the main source of error;
2. Measurement of platform poses;
3. Error identification of platform kinematic parameters using measurement data;
4. Compensation of the platform accuracy using identified error parameters.

An important part of the calibration process is measuring the actual pose of some parts of the manipulator. A great variety of sensors have been used for this purpose. For some of them extra objects have to be installed on the manipulator, so it is possible to add extra accuracy problems. In accordance with the above four points of calibration tasks, a great variety of calibration algorithms has been developed according to specific requests. In Figure 2, several calibration methods for parallel manipulator calibration are shown, and discussed below.

### Parametrical approaches

The classic methods for the calibration of parallel robots require extra sensor devices for the measurement of the pose of the moving platform and the values of the joint variables. Then, the calibration problem can be formulated to derive a residue, which is the difference between the measured variables and the calculated ones by using the kinematic model.

Parametrical approaches are also called model-based calibration strategies. Hollerbach et al., (1994) concluded an important principle for the calibration of parallel mechanisms: The calibration is possible if the number of joint sensors (including the measurement equipment), referred as the sensor degree, exceeds the mobility system to calibrate. They define the calibration index as the excess of sensors on the mobility of the mechanism. Furthermore, they established that the calibration index represents the number of independent equations by pose available for the calibration.

Some calibration methods are based on the forward kinematics model (FKM) and come up directly from the methods developed for serial mechanisms. Such methods reduce a nonlinear error between the pose measurement of the end-effector and its estimation of measurement values of the joint variables, (Wang and Masory, 1993). However, in general, a single numerical evaluation of the FKM for the parallel mechanism is obtained.

![Figure 2. Calibration approaches of parallel structures](image-url)
This could lead to numerical instabilities of the identification (Daney, 1999), and translated to the case of control, this result means that convergence cannot be guaranteed. Daney et al., (2005) proposed an algorithm based on a constrained optimization method, which allows to choose a set of measurement configurations. In (Daney et al., 2006) the dependency on the parameters of pose of a planar parallel manipulator calibration is eliminated; the FKM in the scheme of calibration is used. Since this model has different solutions, the authors set out two alternatives. One of them makes use of algebra to explain the relation between the set of sensors and the parameters, and the other one diminishes the residual errors of a restricted formulation of the FKM.

For solving the control problem, many investigators are innovating in the subject of structural synthesis, that is to say, they try this type of mechanisms with analytical or semi-analytical FKM. Redundant sensors for position have been used as an advisable way to solve the problem of forward kinematics. By reducing the number of necessary sensors, it is possible to overcome such problem. Nevertheless, for many applications of parallel manipulators, the problem of forward kinematics is a single small part of the control stage, used to obtain knowledge of the current manipulator position, but not in real time. A typical scheme control is represented in figure 3.

The trajectory is generated first in the Cartesian space; then, each Cartesian location is transformed to a position vector into the joint space via the inverse kinematics model (IKM). The control —that is, the heavy part in real time of the process— is obtained in the last space, the FKM frequently is implemented as a man-machine interface routine in the initial phase. Based on the error model, it is possible the mapping from the geometric errors to the pose error of a PKM. However, this mapping error could increase the global accuracy of the structure.

It is well known that for parallel mechanisms, the IKM can easily be derived. Then, the most natural method to develop the identification is to diminish an error between the measurements of the joint variables and their corresponding values, considering the pose measurement of the end-effector through the IKM. Last et al. (2005a) presented an IKM for the HEXA parallel robot which contains an increased number of geometric parameters as compared to the ideal geometric models while still being analytically solvable. Huang et al. (2005) presented an external-calibration-based approach that enables the geometric parameter errors of 6 dof PKM to be identified using a minimum set of pose error measurements.

With simulation results, they found strong correlations between all the parameters in the kinematic calibration of a Gough-Stewart platform. Sato et al. (2004) proposed to use priori knowledge of the kinematic parameters to eliminate their correlation and to give robustness to the calibration. Using this knowledge through simulations, all kinematic parameters are identified in the artefact calibration without divergence.

The method of calibration based on IKM is seen more efficient in a numerical way in many of the identification algorithms for parallel mechanisms, but it is restricted by the necessity of precise measurements of all the poses of the end-effector, (Khalil and Besnard, 1999). In order to reduce the parametrical errors, some studies called parametrical calibrations have been performed.

These calibrations deal with static or kinematic systematic errors and do not allow the inclusion of unexpected disturbances or thermal fluctuation. Nevertheless, such non repetitive or geometrical errors should be compensated before kinematic calibration.

Figure 3. A scheme of the typical control for parallel robots
Calibration with additional internally measuring systems

The self calibration methods of parallel robots generally make use of extra sensors on the passive joints and allow the identification of the workspace. In this method, the values of the kinematic/geometrical parameters with the purpose of diminishing a remainder between the measured values and the calculated ones of the variables of the passive joints, are due to fit.

Approach of redundant sensors

In this approach it is necessary to have one or more sensors on the passive joint in an appropriate way, with the purpose of increasing the number of sensor degrees on the dof, so that the calibration algorithm can be applied. Yang et al., (2002) focus on the calibration of the base and tool. Sensors in the passive joints and the transformation kinematics of the base to the frame of the moving platform are used and calculated. The iterative algorithm of least squares is utilized to identify the errors of the parameters. In Zhuang and Liu, (1996), for calibrating the Gough-Stewart platform, three functions for rotational parametric identification are used by applying IKM and FKM with six encoders. In this same approach, in (Hollerbach et al., 1994) the calibration for a redundant parallel robot of 3 dof is reported. Multiple closed loops in the optimization method were used with least squares. In (Khalil and Besnard, 1999), a method for the kinematic calibration of 6 dof robots using two inclinometers is presented. The calibration model takes into account the error from the angle between the axes of the inclinometer. The estimation of the parameters is obtained using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm, (Marquardt, 1963).

With the use of additional sensors in the passive joints, the control functions, like calibration, supervision of the workspace and position control can be improved. In order to operate the potential of the concept of sensors in the passive joints, in (Hesselbach et al., 2005) calibration algorithms are developed to evaluate the additional information for certain functions of control, determining the necessary resolution of the sensors for a class of parallel robots. In (Zhang et al., 2007) based on the study of the relationship between the projected tracking error of the redundant joint angles, and the error of the sensor zero positions, the calibration of the sensor zero positions of a planar 2 dof parallel manipulator is studied. Two algorithms for the self-calibration of the joint angle offsets are proposed by (Yiu et al., 2003). The calibration is done by utilizing the redundancy sensor information, sampling over the workspace, and optimizing a cost function.

Ecorchard and Maurine (2005) proposed a geometrical self-calibration method for the Delta robot with compensation of the non-geometrical gravity effects, by using redundant sensors on its kinematic structure. For the HEXA parallel robot, Last et al., 2005b reported a technique to calibrate the structure by means of redundant angular sensors added to its passive joints. An important difference to previous work is the fact, that only angular measurements are available from both the actuator encoders as well as passive joints sensors. In order to consider the effect of measurement inaccuracies, they took the noise into account. The disadvantages of this approach are that sensors need high precision, it is not possible to place these sensors on all the passive joints and some kinematic parameters are dependent on the model error. Therefore, the tool position on the platform cannot be calibrated, (Zhuang and Liu, 1996). In addition, it is difficult to add extra sensors on the already existing mechanisms and sometimes almost impossible when ball joints exist.

This approach offers an online calibration, which collects, evaluate data and return corrected parameters automatically to the control stage. In addition, the redundant sensors can be used to get an analytic and time-efficient solution of the direct kinematic problem. Moreover, the additional sensors are integrated to manipulator as durably parts, yet for commercial manipulators they increase the cost.

Singularity based calibration

In (Last et al., 2007) the singularity based calibration is presented and validated within simulated calibration studies. This technique allows parameter estimation without using any calibration equipment, the basic idea is to obtain redundant information from particular characteristics in singular configurations. It has been successfully adopted to a family of 5 dof planar parallel robots. Nevertheless, a parallel mechanism can be calibrated only if it permits singularities of second type in different places of the workspace.

Calibration with external devices

The self-calibration methods with external measuring systems can be divided in four categories: (1) calibration with vision as measurement device, (2) the approach of mobility restriction, (3) the approach of redundant leg, and (4) the approach with adapted device of measurement.
Calibration with vision as measurement device

Vision constitutes a suitable sensor, thus within these methods of calibration, the use of an external camera to obtain measurement of position or orientation can be considered. In (Renaud et al., 2002a) for a PKM, the calibration system by using IKM is formed by a simple camera and a calibration board generated on a LCD monitor. Precisions in the order of 1 micrometer for two translations and 0.001° for each of the three rotations are considered for a displacement of 400 mm. In (Renaud et al., 2002b) a criterion of pose selection for the kinematic calibration of an orthogonal mechanism is used, which necessarily implies to consider the measurement of the error amplification. In (Shaoping and Ming, 2002) a calibration method based on tool transformations is developed by virtue of optical position sensors. The pose measurement using a commercial 5D measurement system is also described.

For the kinematic calibration of parallel mechanisms with linear actuators in the base, in (Renaud et al., 2005) a camera is used without modifying the mechanism. With this system, the calibration can be done on line; the method is developed theoretically and experimentally applied to an 14 mechanism. Renaud et al., (2006a) sets out a device of measurement based on vision for a H4 parallel robot, introduces a monocular measurement device of high precision. The precision of the measurement device is evaluated on the order of 10 micrometers in position and 0.0005° in orientation. For the same robot, in (Daney et al., 2004) the calibration according to the method of the IKM is utilized too. Renaud et al. (2006b) provided a method for the complete identification of the physical model of a H4 robot. The researchers identify the parameters of the robot, describing the geometric parameters and the dynamic ones, with which they explained the effects of the masses, inertias and friction that act on the physical behavior. They used two algorithms of calibration based on vision, and two models, namely, the implicit model and the IKM.

Using a motion capture system in (Van Driel and Carretero, 2005), a kinematic calibration method for the 3-PRS parallel manipulator is presented. For medical application, Deblaise and Maurine, (2005) presented an effective and simple calibration method based on 1D and 3D measurements of a low cost artifact and validated on the Surgiscope, which is a structure based on a Delta robot used in neurosurgery. In addition, Ait-Aider et al. (2006) sets out a method to be applied in the dynamic identification. A camera is used to simultaneously measure the pose of the end-effector of the visual pattern calibrated and its Cartesian speed by using a single view. Chao et al., (2006) presents a calibration strategy according to the IKM method for a 5 dof flexure-based parallel manipulator by means of a camera. The algorithm does not identify directly the errors of geometry parameters, but finds the most appropriate control model taking all the errors into account.

For a Gough-Stewart platform, a technique of indirect calibration by using an omni-directional camera is considered in (Dalley et al., 2006). The tie points of the legs of the robot are considered on the base, which are the kinematic parameters that the control needs. Another form to compensate the low accuracy of the parallel manipulators is the use of a control law that is robust to calibration errors. The former approach is displayed in (Andreff and Martinet, 2006), the kinematic modeling based on vision and projected geometry is made through observation of the legs of a Gough-Stewart platform and is extended to Orthoglide and I4L families. This way of modeling unifies and simplifies the stages of identification and control. The main advantage of this calibration method is the high precision that can be obtained. However, vision-based pose measuring can be considered as highly expensive and some particular knowledge should be taken into account.

Approach of mobility restriction

The second category of calibration with external measuring systems is the approach of mobility restriction. Usually, the number of sensors in a manipulator is equal to the dof. Fixing one or more passive joints, or by partially restricting the dof of the manipulator, the mobility of the resulting system will be under the number of sensors. Consequently, the algorithm of calibration can be made (Khalil and Besnard, 1999). In the previous work the variables of the prismatic joints are used, and only correspond to the configurations where each universal joint or ball joint is fixed by a mechanical key, which fixes the corresponding leg with the fixed base or the moving platform. The simulations show that this calibration method can identify major errors in the kinematic parameters (almost 10% of error on the nominal values).

In (Sung et al., 2006) a method of global and completely observable calibration using a planar table and digital indicators is developed. The planar table is used as a mechanical installation to restrict the movement of the moving platform. The digital indicators are used as a sensor device, detecting if the restricted motion is
satisfied. Consequently, the displacements of the active joints are acquired and applied to the kinematic model to calculate the theoretical motions. A calibration of a 6 dof fully parallel manipulators is presented in (Ryu and Rauf, 2001), the method uses a link of fixed length, having spherical joints at both of their ends, to restrain the motion of the end-effector over spherical surface with arbitrary orientations. With the same method, in (Rauf and Ryu, 2001) the kinematic calibration of parallel Hexa Slide manipulator is presented. Lee et al. (2003) developed a calibration algorithm implemented on a parallel manipulator constructed for a machining center tool. A single constraint plane is employed as a mechanical fixture to constrain the motion, and three digital indicators are used as the sensing devices to determine whether the constrained motion is satisfied.

Rauf et al. (2004) proposes a device to identify all kinematic parameters of a Hexa Slide manipulator. The device consists of a link with U joints on both sides and is equipped with a rotary sensor and a biaxial inclinometer. Numerical analyses of the Jacobian identification reveal that all parameters are identifiable.

However, intrinsic inaccuracies of the device can significantly deteriorate the calibration results. Consequently, a measurement procedure is proposed and cost functions are discussed to prevent propagation of the inaccuracies to the calibration results. Dayong and Junwei (2005) proposed an algorithm as part of a project aimed at developing a calibration method for a spacecraft docking simulator. To implement this algorithm, a calibration equation is built by generating the constraint conditions of the end-effector motion in the workspace using a three dimensional coordinate measuring machine.

The greater benefit of the mobility restriction approach is that neither require of additional sensors nor of metrology equipment; nevertheless, it is difficult to be implemented since it requires a mechanism to restrict the motions of the system.

This approach also undergoes the limitation of the pose. Thus, the machine tool platform cannot be calibrated, just as in the redundant sensor approach. In addition, some errors of parameters related to the immobilized joints can get to be non-observable to the algorithm of calibration due to the mobility restriction (Rauf et al., 2006). Moreover, such methods reduce the workspace and the efficiency of the identification (Besnard and Khalil, 2001). In order to apply this method, two conditions must be fulfilled: that passive joints are used and the possibility of putting a mechanical key on the robot.

Approach of redundant leg

This approach utilizes a redundant leg as the measurement device, it is a passive and unloaded strut separated from the driving mechanisms. In (Hsu and Chen 2004), the error analysis and calibration methodologies for a PKM called a Cartesian guided tripod (CGT) are proposed. The CGT has two kinds of functionally independent legs, the driving functional leg and the integrated Cartesian guiding/metrology functional leg, linked in-parallel to the platform. The platform displacements are guided and measured directly in a Cartesian coordinate system. Additionally, a calibration method that uses a redundant leg with a displacement sensor is presented in (Chiu and Perng, 2004), an end of the leg is joined to the base of the platform through a ball joint, while the other end is a precision adapter that can be joined to the axis or the clamp of the end-effector.

In summary, the implemented additional mechanical devices for calibration have the disadvantage of being expensive, presenting space of limited work, taking a considerable effort to place the end-effector on the additional installation. Besides, it requires different types of facilities for different types of manipulators; but mainly, it is difficult to collect precise measurement data. Nevertheless, this method gives better accuracy than the redundant sensor methods; little load and heat deformations are present on the passive redundant leg. Another merit is that, by putting the redundant leg on the platform centre, the metrology loop is much closer to the cutting tool position for a PKM.

Approach with adapted devices for measurement

Some adapted devices for measurement have been proposed, for example location systems by laser, (Koseki et al., 1998). In (Wei et al., 2001) during simulation of kinematic calibration of 6-PSS parallel manipulator, indirect method by photoelectric length gauge with a ball is used for pose measuring. Chai et al. (2002) proposed using an external laser measuring device to determine the actual accuracy of a Gough-Stewart platform. Daney (2003) presents the modeling and a unified scheme to identify the kinematic parameters of the last platform. The interest of this formulation is that it may be applied whatever information is available on the state of the robot (measurement or constraints) without using the kinematics to obtain the basic system of constraint equations. They experimented and compared three
methods of calibration, using either (or both) external measurement and internal redundant sensors. Additionally, Jeong et al. (2002) present simulation and experimental results for kinematic calibration algorithms using a planar seven-bar 2 dof redundantly actuated parallel mechanism. Tool plate information is acquired using a laser ball bar and the parameters are identified using an optimization algorithm. For the constant joint offsets case introduced by internal forces the accuracy of the tool plate after calibration improved by 25%.

Ota et al. (2002) described a FKM based calibration method for a parallel-mechanism-based milling machine named HexaM that identifies the kinematic parameters using the positioning data measured by a Double Ball Bar (DBB) system. This work also describes a gravity compensation method, in which the parameters are updated gradually according to the travelling plate position. This provides real-time motion control with compensation. Oiwa and Kataoka, (2003) described a method using a DBB system with fixed ball distance for a coordinate measuring machine (CMM) based on a three dof parallel mechanism. The CMM with a touch trigger probe measures the moving ball of the DBB, and calculates position errors of the ball using kinematic parameters. Others CMM were studied in (Junhong et al., 2007) and (Yu et al., 2006), in last the plane equation was measured to gain the position of a 6 dof parallel robot and its error equations were established. Error parameters for the three planes method were obtained in order to implement error measurement and error compensation.

Takeda et al. (2004) proposed the use of a low order Fourier series obtained by transforming the data for circular measurement paths by using a 6 dof in-parallel actuated worktable. The errors of the realized paths were measured using a DBB system. An algorithm for determining adequate measurement paths and an optimal set of a specified number of paths using these indices was also proposed. With the same system, Huang et al. (2005) deal with the kinematic calibration of a 3 dof parallel mechanism. It forms the main body of a 5 dof reconfigurable hybrid robot named TriVariant that is a modified version of the Tricept, achieved by integrating one of its three active limbs into the passive one. The first order error mapping function is formulated to link the measured data and the geometric source errors affecting the compensatable pose accuracy.

In (Kim, 2005), a moving platform is connected to a fixed frame by three PRRR limbs to form the called Cartesian Parallel Manipulator which may be suitable for applications requiring high speed and accuracy. A simple FKM is derived and based on the error model, two calibration methods using full position and length measurements are developed by using a ball-bar. In (Liang Zhi et al., 2005) the calibration of a hybrid 5 dof manipulator based on a 3RFS in-actuated parallel manipulator is studied. A 2 dof serial working table is placed over the moving platform; the proposed method takes this device as a ruler and keeps the remaining end of the static end-effector with respect to the working table at different configurations. Nakagawa et al. (2001) described a method to obtain the kinematic parameters for a hexapod machine tool by using a telescope ball bar device. This method deals with length error of struts, position errors of base and platform joints as kinematic parameters.

For a Gantry hybrid machine tool, based on a 3 dof parallel mechanism and a long motion of the working table, the kinematic calibration methods based on estimation error and local measurement information is presented in (Tang et al., 2005). The first type of calibration method can easily improve the FKM accuracy quickly by estimating the errors trends. The second method includes the position errors and does not include the pose errors of the FKM. A micrometer, a leveling bar, and an optical grating ruler were used to measure the real machine tool errors in the Y and Z directions. Rau et al. (2005) discussed the automation of calibration experiments for a parallel manipulator using a partial pose measurement device. A significant time saving was observed with the proposed automation. Furthermore, for Hexa Slide manipulator, the calibration with partial pose measurements, using a device that measures a rotation of the end-effector along with its position is done by Rau et al. (2006). The device contains a Linear Variable Differential Transformer (LVDT), a biaxial inclinometer, and a rotary sensor.

The position of the Tool Center Point (TCP) of a FKM is traditionally being measured indirectly by means of the position measurement of the drives. Cutting- and acceleration forces cause displacements of the TCP, which cannot be detected from the position measurement of the drives. To improve the position accuracy of the TCP a force free add-on position measurement device is suggested in (Verl et al., 2006). Whereas, the interrelationship between optimal tolerance, model, and pose selection for calibration of the parallel manipulators is discussed by Boye et al., (2006).

The kinematics calibration of a planar parallel manipulator of 5-links, whose potential applications include micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) assembling or bonding is developed in (Ji et al., 2006).
laser interferometer, whose resolution is about 10 nanometers, is adopted for measuring the kinematics model. In (Yu et al., 2007) a method was presented to calibrate a 2 dof parallel mechanism. Instead of measuring all or partial pose of the end-effector, the kinematic parameters of the mechanism were calibrated by measuring a serial of displacements of its end-effector. The universal tool microscope rather than extra sensors measured those displacements. The optimal kinematic parameters were obtained by minimizing the measurements error of the end-effector. This was done by solving an optimization model via nonlinear programming, using Matlab optimization toolbox.

The option of cable-based measuring systems can be considered as a feasible and cheap alternative for the identification of kinematic parameters on parallel structures. They present a good tradeoff between expected accuracy and low cost. At LARM Laboratory of Robotics and Mechatronics in Cassino, Catrasys has been used for the determination of workspace of robotic systems (Ottaviano et al., 2002). Another cable-based measuring system, called Milli-CaTraSys, has been used for pose measuring of a parallel manipulator (Conghui et al., 2009).

Numerical approaches

In (Hollerbach and Nahvi, 1995) the method of least squares is used to obtain a calibration approach. This method can be applied to handle the input and output noise in the calibration procedure. These methodologies are included into the so-called methods of implicit loop by Wampler et al. (1995). Based on the 6-TPS type PKM, a kinematic calibration method was studied by Zhong et al. (2005). Each kinematic structure parameter unit error of PKM was assumed, and then the influence coefficient matrix was constructed between the PKM terminal pose errors and structure errors by numerical methods using the measured data by the FKM.

A work to redefine the mathematical tools that helps calibration was developed in (Daney et al., 2004), demonstrating that the methods based on analysis of intervals can be used for the numerical certification of the calibration of parallel mechanisms.

The method gives an approach certified in the stage sensor for a set of measurements given with related uncertainties, providing a list of intervals for the kinematic parameters. Hence, any solution corresponding to an instance of the configuration satisfies the measurement that belongs to those intervals. In (Daney, 2004) methods of algebraic elimination for a general calibration of Gough-Stewart platform are implemented, emphasizing two approaches: algebraic elimination of variables and monomial linearization, which are compared to the classic technique of numerical optimization. The first one concludes that elimination methods are important alternative to the established methods, and these methods do not require initial estimation, neither hypothesis on distribution of the noise. Numerical calibration methods are characterized by extremely high instrumentation expenditure and possess only local validity.

Conclusions

Some parallel structured machine tools are known as a new generation of multi-axis machining centre for the 21st Century manufacturing. They are mainly composed by parallel mechanisms. These kinematic structures are often claimed to be highly accurate, rigid machines, due to their closed loop structure and no error accumulation characteristics. Unfortunately, these generic thoughts have been challenged in the past few years, due to the growing need of high accuracy. In addition, because of the complex mechanisms their performance development is limited by the existing mathematical algorithms, measurement technique and calibration methods. For this reason, this paper was focused on the errors’ source and calibration methodologies for PKMs. Different reported methods for calibration of parallel manipulators were presented. Moreover, this paper classifies the different robot calibration approaches and compares them to existing strategies. In general, the calibration methods showed the use of measurement devices, which require highly skills operators, are time consuming and expensive by adding redundant sensors, measurement devices or legs. Thus, it is apparent that inexpensive calibration methods are needed for successful applications of parallel mechanisms. Singularity based calibration and cable-based measuring systems promise good beginnings in this direction, taking into count their specific problematic.
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