



Eclética Química

ISSN: 0100-4670

atadorno@iq.unesp.br

Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de
Mesquita Filho
Brasil

Parihar, M. S.; Khan, F.

Stability constants and thermodynamic parameters of cadmium complexes with sulfonamides and
cephapirin

Eclética Química, vol. 33, núm. 1, 2008, pp. 29-34

Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho
Araraquara, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=42915806004>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal
Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

Stability constants and thermodynamic parameters of cadmium complexes with sulfonamides and cephalapirin

M. S. Parihar and F. Khan

Department of Chemistry, Dr. H. S. Gour University, Sagar, M. P. India

** faridkhan58@yahoo.com*

Abstract: Stability constant ($\log K$) and thermodynamic parameters of Cd^{2+} complexes with sulfonamide and cephalapirin were determined by Polarographic technique at $\text{pH} = 7.30 \pm 0.01$ and $\mu = 1.0 \text{ M KNO}_3$ at 250°C . The sulfonamides were sulfadiazine, sulfisoxazole, sulfamethaxazole, sulfamethazine, sulfathiazole, sulfacetamide and sulfanilamide used as primary ligands and cephalapirin as secondary ligand. Cd^{2+} formed 1:1:1, 1:2:1 and 1:1:2 complexes. The nature of electrode processes were reversible and diffusion controlled. The stability constants and thermodynamic parameters (ΔG , ΔH and ΔS) were determined. The formation of the metal complexes has been found to be spontaneous, exothermic in nature, and entropically unfavourable at higher temperature.

Keyword: polarography; stability constant; sulfonamide; cephalapirin; thermodynamics.

Introduction

The investigation of metal sulfonamide compounds has received much attention due to the fact that sulfonamides were the first effective chemotherapeutic agents to be employed for the prevention and cure of bacterial infections in humans [1]. The sulphur containing ligands are well known for their anticarcinogenic, antibacterial, tuberculostatic, antifungal, insecticidal, and acaricidal activities [2]. It has been reported that the biological activity of sulphur-containing ligands gets enhanced on undergoing complexation with metal ions [2- 4]. Cephalapirin is also a cephalosporin antibiotic which has a broad spectrum of activity against gram-negative bacilli and gram-positive cocci [5]. On the other hand, Cd^{2+} is a non-essential heavy metal that is normally present in very low concentrations in our environment [6]. However, due to industrial uses of Cd^{2+} , some

people can be exposed too much higher concentrations [7] as a result of which they suffer from many serious diseases [8,9]. The concentration of Cd^{2+} in blood and urine in human beings can be reduced by ligand therapy [10]. Sulfonamides are used in combination with other drugs as chemotherapeutic agents in bacterial infections and serious diseases in human [11,12]. Therefore sulfonamides alone or in combination with cephalapirin could be effective against cadmium toxicity.

Experimental

All the chemicals were of analytical grade quality and their solutions were prepared in bi distilled water. Sodium salts of all the selected sulfur drugs and cephalapirin (Fluka, Sigma and Aldrich) were used without any additional purification.

pH measurements of the analytes were made on a Elico pH meter (LI – 10) using glass and calomel electrodes and fixed at 7.30 ± 0.01 which was adjusted with dilute solutions of HNO_3 or NaOH as required.

Electrochemical Analysis was performed using a Polarographic Analyzer (Elico, Hyderabad Model CL - 362). The Polarographic capillary was 5.0 cm. long with diameter 0.06 mm with dropping mercury electrode (DME) characteristics $m^{2/3}t^{1/6} = 2.04 \text{ mg}^{2/3}\text{s}^{-1/2}$. All the analytes were deaerated by pure nitrogen gas before recording the current - voltage data. Potassium dihydrogen phosphate – sodium hydroxide buffer was added with the analyte to stabilize its pH.

Results and discussion

A well defined two electron [13] reversible reduction and diffusion controlled wave Cd^{2+} was observed in 1.0 M KNO_3 at pH = 7.30 to 8.50 [14], but pH = 7.30 was selected to study the complex formation in human blood pH. The value of $E_{1/2}^{\text{reversible}}$ for Cd was - 586 mV vs SCE. The nature of current - voltage curve of Cd^{2+} complexes with sulfonamide and cephapirin was also reversible and diffusion controlled.

Stability constant of [Cd – sulfonamide – cephapirin] complexes

In this system, the concentration of Cd^{2+} , KNO_3 and gelatin were 0.50 mM, 1.0 M and 0.001% respectively. Neither cephapirin nor sulfonamide gave their current voltage curves in 1.0 M KNO_3 at pH = 7.30 ± 0.01 at 25 °C. When $[\text{Cd}^{2+}]$ was added with either of the drugs, complex formation was taken place and their current voltage curves were obtained. The concentration of sulfonamide in the analyte varied from 0.50 mM to 30.0 mM at 0.025 M to 0.05 M constant concentration of cephapirin. The half wave potential $E_{1/2}$ values become more negative with the addition of cephapirin to the binary complex [Cd – sulfonamide] confirmed the [Cd – sulfonamide – cephapirin] complex formation. The stability constant of ternary complexes were determined by using Schaap and McMaster [15]

method which confirmed the formation of 1:1:1, 1:2:1 and 1:1:2 metal ligand complexes. The values of stability constant of complexes were given in (Table 1). The data and plots between F_{ij} [X, Y] vs [X] for [Cd – sulfadiazine – cephapirin] complex {where X and Y are sulfonamide and cephapirin and i and j are the stoichiometric numbers for primary and secondary ligands respectively} were given in (Table 2) and (Fig. 1) respectively. The polarograms of [Cd - sulfadiazine – cephapirin] at [cephapirin] = 0.025 M were given in (Fig. 2). It is clear from the polarograms that $E_{1/2}$ values of [Cd – sulfadiazine – cephapirin] increased with increased of the concentration of cephapirin confirmed the ternary complex formation. These ligands offered bonding to metal ion through the sulfonamido nitrogen atom and sulfonyl oxygen atom of SO_2 group [16, 17]. In case of cephapirin, N of the ϵ -lactam ring and O of the carboxylic group might take part in bond formation with Cd making 5 membered ring [18].




Figure 1. [Cd - sulfadiazine - cephapirin] complex.

Table 1. Stability constant values of [Cd – sulfonamide – cephalirin] complex $[Cd^{2+}] = 0.5 \text{ mM}$ $\mu = 1.0 \text{ M KNO}_3$, pH = 7.30 ± 0.01 , Temperature = 25°C

| Primary ligands | $\log\beta_{01}$ | $\log\beta_{02}$ | $\log\beta_{03}$ | $\log\beta_{10}$ | $\log\beta_{20}$ | $\log\beta_{30}$ | $\log\beta_{11}$ | $\log\beta_{12}$ | $\log\beta_{21}$ |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Sulfadiazine | - | - | - | 3.00 | 5.10 | 7.31 | 3.60 | 5.31 | 7.76 |
| Sulfisoxazole | - | - | - | 3.30 | 5.23 | 7.48 | 3.70 | 5.56 | 7.83 |
| Sulfamethaxazole | - | - | - | 4.23 | 6.00 | 8.45 | 4.45 | 6.60 | 8.63 |
| Sulfamethazine | - | - | - | 4.30 | 7.31 | 8.60 | 4.56 | 7.60 | 8.73 |
| Sulfathiazole | - | - | - | 4.46 | 7.53 | 8.68 | 4.63 | 7.75 | 8.86 |
| Sulfacetamide | - | - | - | 4.60 | 7.66 | 8.80 | 4.91 | 8.00 | 9.10 |
| Sulfanilamide | - | - | - | 4.70 | 7.95 | 9.15 | 5.00 | 8.31 | 9.20 |
| Cephapirin | 1.70 | 2.63 | 3.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 2. Polarographic data and $F_{ij}[X, Y]$ values of [Cd - sulfadiazine – cephalirin] complex, $[Cd^{2+}] = 0.50 \text{ mM}$, $\mu = 1.0 \text{ M KNO}_3$, pH = 7.30 ± 0.01 , Temperature = 25°C

| [Sulfa.] X10 ³ | [Cephapirin]=0.025 M (Fixed) | | | | | | [Cephapirin] = 0.050 M (Fixed) | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| | E _{1/2} ^r - V vs SCE | log(i _m /i _c) | F ₀₀ [X,Y] | F ₁₀ [X,Y] X10 ³ | F ₂₀ [X,Y] X10 ⁴ | F ₃₀ [X,Y] X10 ⁵ | E _{1/2} ^r - V vs SCE | log (i _m /i _c) | F ₀₀ [X,Y] | F ₁₀ [X,Y] X10 ³ | F ₂₀ [X,Y] X10 ⁴ | F ₃₀ [X,Y] X10 ⁵ |
| 0.00 | 0.586 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.586 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0.50 | 0.602 | 0.0072 | 3.57 | 2.01 | 157.41 | 204.17 | 0.610 | 0.0072 | 6.53 | 3.22 | 301.20 | 204.17 |
| 1.00 | 0.607 | 0.0145 | 5.38 | 2.81 | 158.43 | 204.18 | 0.615 | 0.0145 | 9.66 | 4.74 | 302.22 | 204.18 |
| 2.00 | 0.617 | 0.0219 | 11.44 | 4.44 | 160.47 | 204.17 | 0.624 | 0.0219 | 20.52 | 7.80 | 304.26 | 204.19 |
| 3.00 | 0.624 | 0.0295 | 20.88 | 6.10 | 162.51 | 204.16 | 0.632 | 0.0295 | 37.63 | 10.90 | 306.30 | 204.18 |
| 4.00 | 0.630 | 0.0371 | 33.81 | 7.81 | 164.55 | 204.18 | 0.638 | 0.0371 | 61.12 | 14.05 | 308.34 | 204.17 |
| 5.00 | 0.635 | 0.0450 | 50.36 | 9.56 | 166.59 | 204.17 | 0.643 | 0.0450 | 91.10 | 17.23 | 310.38 | 204.18 |
| 6.00 | 0.639 | 0.0529 | 70.66 | 11.35 | 168.63 | 204.16 | 0.647 | 0.0529 | 127.70 | 20.46 | 312.43 | 204.19 |
| 8.00 | 0.646 | 0.0611 | 122.96 | 15.05 | 172.71 | 204.17 | 0.653 | 0.0611 | 221.25 | 27.04 | 316.51 | 204.16 |
| 10.00 | 0.652 | 0.0611 | 191.70 | 18.91 | 176.80 | 204.18 | 0.659 | 0.0693 | 342.74 | 33.78 | 320.59 | 204.17 |
| 20.00 | 0.670 | 0.0693 | 816.24 | 40.68 | 197.21 | 204.17 | 0.677 | 0.0693 | 1403.66 | 69.94 | 340.99 | 204.18 |
| 30.00 | 0.681 | 0.0693 | 1998.66 | 66.54 | 217.61 | 204.16 | 0.688 | 0.0693 | 3310.17 | 110.17 | 361.41 | 204.17 |
| log A = 0.409, log B = 3.089, log C = 6.194, log D = 7.31 | | | | | | | log A = 0.692, log B = 3.233, log C = 6.448, log D = 7.31 | | | | | |

Thermodynamic parameters of [Cd - sulfonamide - cephalirin] complexes:

The thermodynamic parameters, free energy change ($\hat{e}G$), enthalpy change ($\hat{e}H$) and entropy change ($\hat{e}S$) were calculated by following relationships [19].

$$\hat{e}H = \frac{2.303 R T_1 T_2 (\log \epsilon_2 - \log \epsilon_1)}{T_1 T_2}$$

$$-\hat{e}G = RT \log \hat{e}$$

and

$$\hat{e}G = \hat{e}H - T\hat{e}S$$

The thermodynamic parameters of the [Cd - sulfonamide - cephalirin] complexes were given in (Table 3). It is clear from the thermodynamic parameters of complexes that:

a) The stability constants ($\log \epsilon_1$) and ($\log \epsilon_2$) decreased with increased of temperature, confirming that complexes are not stable at higher temperature [19, 20].

b) Sufficiently large negative value of $\hat{e}G$ showed that spontaneous formation of the complexes. Spontaneity increased with temperature, except in the Cd^{2+} complex [21].




Figure 2. Polarograms of [Cd – Sulfadiazine – Cephapirin] System, [Cephapirin] = 0.025M

c) Negative values of \hat{H} indicated the exothermic nature of the metal-ligand interaction [21].

d) The \hat{S} values for the ligand complexes are negative, confirming that the complex formation is entropically unfavourable [22].

Comparison of stability of the binary and ternary complexes:

The value of mixing constant $\log K_m$, which compares the stability of binary and ternary

complexes have been calculated by following equation [15].

$$\log K_m = \log \epsilon_{11} - 1/2 [\log \epsilon_{02} + \log \epsilon_{20}]$$

The values of $\log K_m$ were -0.265, -0.230, 0.135, -0.410, -0.450, -0.235 and -0.290 for [Cd – sulfadiazine – cephapirin], [Cd – sulfisoxazole – cephapirin], [Cd – sulfamethaxazole – cephapirin], [Cd – sulfamethazine – cephapirin], [Cd – sulfathiazole – cephapirin], [Cd – sulfac-

Table 3. Stability constants and the thermodynamic parameters of [Cd - sulfonamide - cephalirin] complexes at 25 °C and 35 °C.

| Complexes | Stability constants | | | - ΔH K cal./mole | | | - ΔG K cal./mole | | | - ΔS K cal./mole | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--------------------|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | logβ ₁₁ | logβ ₁₂ | logβ ₂₁ | logβ ₁₁ | logβ ₁₂ | logβ ₂₁ | logβ ₁₁ | logβ ₁₂ | logβ ₂₁ | logβ ₁₁ | logβ ₁₂ | logβ ₂₁ |
| | 25 ⁰ C/ 35 ⁰ C | 25 ⁰ C/ 35 ⁰ C | 25 ⁰ C/ 35 ⁰ C | (35 ⁰ C-25 ⁰ C) for difference of 10 °C | | | 25 ⁰ C/ 35 ⁰ C | 25 ⁰ C/ 35 ⁰ C | 25 ⁰ C/ 35 ⁰ C | 25 ⁰ C/ 35 ⁰ C | 25 ⁰ C/ 35 ⁰ C | 25 ⁰ C/ 35 ⁰ C |
| [Cd - sulfadiazine - cephalirin] | 3.36 3.35 | 5.31 5.00 | 7.76 7.30 | 10.5002 | 13.0203 | 19.3204 | 4.909 4.722 | 7.241 7.047 | 10.582 10.289 | 18.76180 18.76182 | 19.39328 19.39329 | 29.32330 29.32332 |
| [Cd - sulfisoxazole - cephalirin] | 3.70 3.41 | 5.56 5.15 | 7.83 7.35 | 12.1803 | 17.2204 | 20.1604 | 5.046 4.806 | 7.582 7.259 | 10.678 10.359 | 23.94190 23.94192 | 32.34353 32.34355 | 31.82183 31.82185 |
| [Cd - sulfamethoxazole - cephalirin] | 4.45 4.16 | 6.6 6.20 | 8.63 8.15 | 12.3903 | 16.7584 | 20.1604 | 6.068 5.856 | 9.002 8.740 | 11.769 11.487 | 21.21456 21.21458 | 26.03404 26.03406 | 28.16097 28.16099 |
| [Cd - sulfamethazine - cephalirin] | 4.56 4.25 | 7.60 7.15 | 8.73 8.18 | 13.0203 | 18.9004 | 23.1005 | 6.218 5.990 | 10.364 10.077 | 11.905 11.529 | 22.82533 22.82535 | 28.64604 28.64607 | 37.56935 37.56938 |
| [Cd - sulfathiazole - cephalirin] | 4.63 4.25 | 7.75 7.25 | 8.86 8.28 | 15.9604 | 20.8745 | 24.3605 | 6.314 5.990 | 10.568 10.223 | 12.082 11.670 | 32.371 32.37101 | 34.58394 34.58396 | 41.20274 41.20277 |
| [Cd - sulfacetamide - cephalirin] | 4.91 4.55 | 8.00 7.49 | 9.10 8.52 | 15.1203 | 21.5885 | 24.3185 | 6.696 6.413 | 10.909 10.551 | 12.409 12.010 | 28.27084 28.27086 | 35.83595 35.83597 | 39.96354 39.96357 |
| [Cd - sulfanilamide - cephalirin] | 5.0 4.62 | 8.31 7.75 | 9.20 8.58 | 15.9604 | 23.5205 | 25.9986 | 6.818 6.512 | 11.332 10.924 | 12.546 12.094 | 30.67785 30.67786 | 40.90073 40.90075 | 45.14364 45.14367 |

etamide – cephalirin] and [Cd – sulfanilamide – cephalirin] complexes respectively. The negative values of log K_m showed that binary complexes are more stable than their ternary complexes while in case of [Cd – sulfamethaxazole – cephalirin] the positive value indicates that the ternary complex is more stable than their simple binary complexes.

It is clear from the values of stability constants of complexes that sulfadiazine formed the complexes of minimum stability as its complexes showed the lowest values of $E_{1/2}$ in comparison to the other sulfonamide complexes [23]. The stability constants of sulfisoxazole complexes are lesser than sulfamethoxazole complexes is due to the presence of two electron withdrawing CH_3 groups in former than in the latter caused greater steric hindrance [24] in sulfisoxazole complexes than sulfamethoxazole complexes. Similar is the case with sulfamethazine and sulfathiazole complexes. In case of sulfacetamide and sulfanilamide, the former is the N^1 – acetyl-substituted derivatives of sulfanilamide formed complexes with Cd having lesser stability constants than sulfanilamide complexes might be the fact that it has CH_3CO group [24]. The highest values of stability constants of sulfanilamide complexes amongst all other sulfonamide are due to having

the largest shift of $E_{1/2}$ in its complexes [23]. The values of stability constants varied from 1.70 to 9.20 confirmed that either sulfonamides itself or cephalirin or in combination or their metal complexes could be effective against Cd toxicity [25].

Conclusion

It is clear from the study that the shift of $E_{1/2}$ became more negative on increasing the concentration of sulfonamide and cephalirin to $[Cd^{2+}]$ which confirmed the complex formation. The slope varied from 30 ± 2 mV confirmed that the nature of current voltage curves of metal and their complex formation is reversible. The plots between i_d vs $h^{1/2}$ are straight lines passing through origin confirmed that the polarograms were diffusion controlled. Cd^{2+} formed 1:1:1, 1:2:1 and 1:1:2 complexes. The values of stability constants varied from 1.70 to 9.20 confirmed that either sulfonamide or cephalirin alone or in combination could be effective against Cd toxicity [25]. The study was also carried out at 35 °C to determine the stability constant and thermodynamic parameters. The values of thermodynamic parameters confirmed that the complexes are not stable at higher temperature [19, 20].

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Head, Department of Chemistry, Dr. H. S. Gour University, Sagar, for providing the laboratory facilities and M.P. Council of Science and Technology, Bhopal for enabling the Junior Research Fellowship to M. S. Parihar.

Received 17 November 2007

Accepted 10 February 2008

References

- [1] S. Bellu, E. Hure, M. Trape, M. Rizzotto, *Quim. Nova* 26 (2003) 188.
- [2] M. Jain, R. V. Singh, *Bioinorg. Chem. Appl.* 13743 (2006) 1.
- [3] K. K. Chaturvedi, R. V. Singh, J. P. Tandon, *J. Pract. Chem.* 327(1) (1985) 144.
- [4] K. Singh, *Indian J. Chem.* 30A (1991) 283.
- [5] H. G. Robson, M. I. Bowmer, *Antimicrob. Ag. Chemother.* 6 (1974) 274.
- [6] B. Cicik, K. Engin, *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci.* 29 (2005) 113.
- [7] G. S. Shukla, R. L. Singhal, *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 62 (1984) 1015.
- [8] *Principles and Methods of Toxicology*, A. Wallace Hayes, Taylor and Francis Publishing Inc.; Philadelphia, 4th ed., 2001.
- [9] I. H. Bukhari, M. N. Hassan, A. Haleem, M. Bhatti, *Res. J. Agric. & Biol. Sci.* 2 (2005) 190.
- [10] F. Khan, *J. Chin. Chem. Soc.* 52 (2005) 569.
- [11] J. G. Hardman, L. E. Limbird, Goodman & Gilman's, *The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*, The McGraw-Hill Companies, New York, 10th ed., 2001, p.1173.
- [12] C. R. Craig, R. E. Stitzel, *Modern pharmacology with clinical Application*, Lippincott Williams and Wilkins. 6th ed., 2003.
- [13] T. Devries, J. L. Kroon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 75 (1953) 2484.
- [14] L. Meites, *Polarographic Technique*, Interscience Pub., New York, 2nd ed., 1965, p. 62.
- [15] W. B. Schaap, D. L. McMaster, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 83 (1961) 4699.
- [16] S. Pritchett, P. Gantzel, P. J. Walsh, *Organometallics* 18 (1999) 823.
- [17] G. N. Mukherjee, P. Dhar, *J. Indian Chem. Soc.* 64 (1987) 142.
- [18] F. Khan, *J. Chin. Chem. Soc.* 54 (2007) 673.
- [19] F. Khan, L. Tantuvay, *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 27 (2002) 933.
- [20] A. A. Al-sarawy, *Chem. Pap.* 58(2) (2004) 109.
- [21] T. Atalay, E. G. Akgemci, *Turk. J. Chem.* 24 (2000) 89.
- [22] E. G. Akgemci, T. Atalay, *Turk. J. Chem.* 22 (1998) 123.
- [23] R. C. Kapoor, B. S. Aggarwal, *Principles of Polarography*, Wiley Easter Ltd., Delhi (1991).
- [24] M. Calvin, A. E. Martell, *Chemistry of Metal Chelate Compounds*, Prentice Hall Inc., New York, 2nd ed., 1953, p.134.
- [25] M. D. Walker, D. R. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc. (Dalton)* (1974) 1186.