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Abstract: In this study the BEST7 software was employed to quantify different classes of functional groups and to model the proton titration behavior of humic substances. To illustrate the process, the Suwannee River fulvic acid of the IHSS (International Humic Substances Society) was used. Five categories – two classes of phenolic groups (phenol and catechol), two classes of carboxylic groups (benzoic and phthalic) and the combination between them (salicylic) - of oxygenated groups were considered as being responsible for the potentiometric behavior of the sample and were quantitatively determined. The most and the least abundant groups were catechol (3.300 ± 0.010 mmol g⁻¹) and phenol (1.225 ± 0.070 mmol g⁻¹), respectively. The estimated equilibrium constants were also determined and were in good agreement with the literature values for phenol and catechol groups and for benzoic, phthalic and salicylic acids. Distribution diagrams of the species were generated with the software SPE and SPEPLOT.
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Introduction

Humic substances (HS) are environmentally significant because they control the pH balance, mobility of contaminants, nutrient bioavailability, mineral weathering, aggregation, sedimentation and metal transport both in aquatic and terrestrial systems [1-3]. Even so, the nature, size, molar mass, conformation and aggregation processes of HS are still poorly understood. Most HS researchers uphold the theory that they are macromolecular structures because their apparent molar masses can exceed 1,000,000 g mol⁻¹ [4-6]. In recent years, however, the idea of supramolecular associations of smaller HS molecules has been introduced [7, 8] and has been supported by direct analytical observations using dynamic light scattering or flow-field fractionation [9]. In aqueous solutions, under certain pH and ionic strength conditions, HS have also been described as micelles or “pseudomicelles” [7, 10, 11].

Humic and fulvic acids contain both polar and nonpolar substituents and can thus bind both hydrophobic and hydrophilic species [12]. The principal reactive groups in HS are the phenolic and carboxylic and their localization within the HS structures influences their reaction modes [13-15]. For example, two carboxylic groups alongside one another form a multidentate binding site for metals which gives rise to a much more stable complex than that formed by an isolated carboxylic group. Also, a carboxylic can be alongside a phenolic group, or two phenolic groups can be located side by side. These combinations generate different kinds of linkages or interactions [16].
One of the best techniques for analyzing functional groups in HS is potentiometric titration, because in this technique the HS are studied in solution, and the phenomena are therefore closer to those occurring under environmental conditions. However, due to the poorly defined titration curves generated, it is necessary to use programs to process the data in order to obtain the protonation constants and ligand concentrations [17]. The aim of this study is to develop a methodology using the software BEST7, SPE and SPEPLOT to quantify and to predict the speciation of reactive functional groups of any type of HS, as a function of pH. Instead of treating carboxylic and phenolic groups as independent sites, all the above cited possibilities of their localization and consequent interactions are considered. The respective dissociation constants as well as the distribution diagram of each species (speciation as a function of pH) are also presented.

**Experimental**

**Reagents and Solutions**

The Suwannee River fulvic acid (SRFA) (IR101F) was purchased from the International Humic Substances Society (IHSS). The reagents were analytical grade and were used without further purification. Potassium chloride, calcium acetate and barium hydroxide were acquired from Synth. Potassium hydroxide was obtained from J.T. Baker. Potassium acid phthalate and hydrochloric acid were acquired from Merck. Buffer solutions (pH = 4 and 7) were obtained from Carlo Erba Reagenti. All solutions were prepared with double-distilled, CO₂-free water. Potassium chloride was used in all titrations to adjust the ionic strength (m = 0.10 mol L⁻¹). Carbonate-free solutions of potassium hydroxide were used as the titration agent.

**Potentiometric Titrations**

Previous titration, solution of the potassium hydroxide was standardized by titration with potassium acid phthalate. Solutions of SRFA (80.00 mg L⁻¹) were prepared directly in the titration cell, dissolving the proper quantity of SRFA in water, adding 8.00 mL of 0.01 mol L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid and completing the volume with double-distilled water to 50.00 mL. The titrations were carried out in a thermostated (25.00 ± 0.05°C) sealed cell, in an inert atmosphere (argon gas). The titration system is presented in Figure 1. All measurements were done starting pH to ca. 3.0 and in triplicate. Prior to the titrations the electrode was calibrated with dilute 0.01 mol L⁻¹ of HCl to read directly – log [H⁺]. The pH values of the titrations were read with a pH meter (Corning 350) equipped with glass and reference electrodes the latter filled with 4.00 mol L⁻¹ KCl.

**The BEST7 Software**

The BEST7 software, which was employed to resolve the equilibrium data, is a Fortran program used for the determination of stability constants in simple or complex systems containing any number of interactions [17]. It treats the mass balance quantities, including the volume of titrate added, as known parameters, while the pH represents a measured dependent variable that reflects the set of equilibrium protonation constants that most accurately describes the system. Because of the generalized nature of the mass balance equation, BEST7 software can handle much more complex systems, such as those containing several ligands and many metal ions. The inputs for the BEST7 program consist of the total concentrations of each component, the titration variables, the initial estimate of the equilibrium constant for each species present throughout the pH range, and the experimental profiles for pH versus base added. Unknown equilibrium constants can be estimated by

![Figure 1. System utilized in potentiometric titration.](image-url)
comparison with values reported for systems with similar characteristics. The approximate amount of carboxylic acid and phenolic groups, in mmols, is previously determined by the Gran’s function [18]. The software SPE and SPEPLOT were used to generate and plot the species diagrams. The computation was performed with the same mathematical algorithm employed in BEST7 [17].

A first approximation of the total analytical concentrations of oxygenated groups in the sample was obtained with the Schnitzer method [13-15, 19, 20]. Briefly, the method employs ion exchange reactions with Ca(OAc)$_2$ to determine carboxylic acidity (CA), and with Ba(OH)$_2$ to determine total acidity (TA). The difference between these two values is then ascribed to phenolic acidity (PhA).

**Results and Discussion**

From the analytical data published on the IHSS webpage, the presence of nitrogen in SRFA is less than 2%. In fact, no amide or other nitrogen group peaks are detected in its FTIR spectra. For this reason, only the oxygenated functional groups were included in this approach. Five categories of oxygenated groups were considered as being responsible for the potentiometric behavior of the sample: phenol, benzoic, catechol, phthalic and salicylic. These functions simulate the HA and FA acidic properties with carboxylic and phenolic groups within a wide range of pK$_a$ values. To determine the concentrations and the potentiometric constants of these groups in SRFA, the input for the BEST7 program was a calculation matrix consisting of: i) the initial values of the protonation constants of each group - taken from the literature, for single molecules [21]; ii) the initial quantities of oxygenated groups - using the Schnitzer method [19, 20], the carboxylic, total and phenolic acidity values were, respectively; iii) the volume and the molar concentration of the titration agent and, iv) the pH values measured after each addition.

Figures 2 and 3 show, respectively, a typical titration curve and the medium values of concentration found for the five sites considered. Oxygenated groups are homogeneously distributed with the most and the least abundant groups being, respectively, catechol ($3.300 \pm 0.010$ mmol g$^{-1}$) and phenol ($1.225 \pm 0.070$ mmol g$^{-1}$). The respective pK$_a$ values are shown in Table 1 and are in good agreement with those reported for single molecules [21]. Differences can be explained in terms of the polar effects of neighboring groups [22]. Hydrogen bonds between two acid groups, for example, can have a significant effect on the acidity of

![Figure 2](image-url). Typical potentiometric titration curve of the 80.00 mg L$^{-1}$ solution of SRFA. Ionic strength = 0.10 mol L$^{-1}$ with KCl, T = 25ºC.
these groups by stabilizing the carboxylate anion. This stabilization may, in some cases, increase the protonation constant values by around two units [23]. To fit the experimental and calculated data, the BEST7 program calculates the amount of each group and corrects their pK values taking into account potential interactions among them.

In natural waters, in general, the pH values range between ~5.0 and ~8.0, going from fresh to sea waters, but can undergo drastic changes following environmental accidents. In principle, presenting groups with pK values between ~3 and ~13, the HS will buffer pH over a wide range in aquatic systems. However, in practice, their most effective buffering is between pH ~4 and ~7, since that at higher pH values, the reactions of the carbonate system will nearly dominate.

In order to estimate the relative dominance of each group in the entire range of pH values, the SRFA distribution diagram was generated (Figure 4). From this it can be seen that for the phtalic (H_D), the salicylic (H_E) and the benzoic (H_B) acids the deprotonation process begins at very low pH. Above pH 5 the protons associated to the carboxylic oxygen of these species are lost and the monoprotonated (HD and HE) and/or deprotonated (B and D) forms dominate. The cathecol group (H_C), on the other side, is mostly completely protonated all along the acid and neutral pH ranges. Its monoprotonated form prevails only above pH ~9. The phenol group also

![Figure 3. Chemical structures of the oxygenated groups considered and their corresponding concentrations in the SRFA sample, as determined with the BEST7 software.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of deprotonation</th>
<th>Equilibrium Equations*</th>
<th>-log K (Literature values) δ</th>
<th>-log K (Found values)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenolic</td>
<td>[H][A]/[HA]</td>
<td>9.82 ± 0.04</td>
<td>9.95 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzoic</td>
<td>[H][B]/[HB]</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.49 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First cathecol</td>
<td>[HC][H]/[H_C]</td>
<td>9.24 ± 0.04</td>
<td>9.35 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second cathecol</td>
<td>[H][C]/[HC]</td>
<td>13.00 ± 0.10</td>
<td>13.13 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First phtalic</td>
<td>[HD][H]/[H_D]</td>
<td>2.75 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second phtalic</td>
<td>[H][D]/[HD]</td>
<td>4.92 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.47 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First salicylic</td>
<td>[HE][H]/[HE]</td>
<td>2.81 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second salicylic</td>
<td>[H][E]/[HE]</td>
<td>13.40 ± 0.00</td>
<td>13.49 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: HA: phenol; HB: benzoic acid; H_C: cathecol; H_D: phtalic acid and H_E: salicylic acid. A, B, HC, HD and HE are the corresponding deprotonated species. δ Martell and Motekaitis15.

Table 1. Deprotonation data for the oxygenated groups in the SRFA sample.
remains in its protonated form (HA) throughout the acid and neutral pH range, starting deprotonation only above pH ~8. Therefore, under typical environmental pH conditions the oxygenated groups in FA might be predominantly in the chemical forms: protonated phenol (HA), deprotonated benzoic (B), protonated catechol (H,C), monoprotonated phthalic (HD) and monoprotonated salicylic (HE, where only the hydroxyl group is protonated). At extremely low and/or high pH values the dominating species change, changing consequently their buffering capacity vis-à-vis eventual chemical perturbations.

Conclusions

Using the BEST7 program in the interpretation of the potentiometric titration curve it was possible to quantify (in mmol g \(^{-1}\)) the most reactive oxygenated functional groups of the fulvic acid, as well as determine their protonation constants. From this information it can be inferred that under typical environmental pH conditions the oxygenated groups in the studied fulvic acid sample might be predominantly in the chemical forms: protonated phenol, deprotonated benzoic, protonated catechol, monoprotonated phthalic and monoprotonated salicylic (where only the hydroxyl group is protonated). The method was shown to be promising for acidity speciation of HS. This kind of information is critical to estimate the role of humic substances in the transport and fate of xenobiotics both, metallic and organic, in natural environments.
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