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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

Heart failure, primarily in the elderly, is a growing epidemic in today’s world. It leads to high rates of disability and mortality, as well as significant health care expenditures, making it important to assess possible predictors of adverse cardiac events. In Cuba, heart failure mortality is 19.1/100,000 population.

OBJECTIVES

Assess the value of stress–rest protocol gated-SPECT for identifying patients with symptomatic heart failure likely to suffer adverse cardiac events.

METHODS

A study was conducted of 52 patients (mean age 59 years, SD 9; 62% women) with functional capacity II/III (New York Heart Association scale) and left ventricular ejection fraction <40%. Patients were divided into two groups based on coronary heart disease diagnosis: those with coronary heart disease (41), labeled ischemic; and those without (11), labeled nonischemic. All underwent gated SPECT myocardial perfusion scintigraphy with technetium-99m-labeled methoxyisobutyl isonitrile, using a two-day stress–rest protocol, including evaluation of intraventricular synchrony by phase analysis. Patients were followed over 36 months for adverse cardiac effects.

RESULTS

No significant differences were observed between the two groups during the stress test with regard to exercise time, metabolic equivalents or percentage of maximal heart rate during maximal stress. Summed stress, rest and difference scores, however, were significantly different between the ischemic and nonischemic groups: 16.82 (SD 6.37) vs. 7.54 (SD 5.8), p <0.001; 14.43 (SD 6.28) vs. 6.45 (SD 3.77), p = 0.001; and 2.39 (SD 4.89) vs. 1.09 (SD 3.7), p = 0.034. No differences were found in ventricular function, although stress-minus-rest left ventricular ejection fraction was slightly lower in patients with ischemic heart disease (-1.29, SD 5.8) than in patients without ischemic heart disease (1.27, SD 4.31). Dyssynchrony was greater in patients with ischemic heart disease than in those without, primarily during stress (p <0.01). The only variable that showed a possible association with the occurrence of adverse events was <5 metabolic equivalents on the stress test (p = 0.03), while resting phase SD showed only a tendency toward association (p = 0.05).

CONCLUSIONS

Information on myocardial perfusion, functional capacity and intraventricular synchrony obtained from stress–rest gated SPECT may help identify patients with symptomatic heart failure who are likely to develop adverse cardiac events, enabling better management of higher-risk cases and improved allocation of resources.
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INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF) is a growing epidemic worldwide, affecting over 15 million people and accounting for about 5% of all hospital admissions.[1] Globally, ischemic heart disease (IHD) is the main cause of HF (60%–75% of cases).[2] HF prevalence in the adult population ranges from 1% to 2%, highest in the elderly and resulting in considerable disability, mortality and high health care expenditures.[3] Thus, proper diagnosis and prognosis assessment of heart failure is vital to patients, health care providers and health systems. This is also true in Cuba, where HF prevalence is 1.6% in the population aged >15 years (5% in persons aged 65–74 years, increasing to 10.7% at ages ≥75 years).[4]

Myocardial perfusion scintigraphy using gated single-photon emission-computed tomography (gated SPECT) is the only noninvasive imaging technique that provides, in a single study, reproducible overall and regional information on ventricular function, myocardial perfusion and presence or absence of intraventricular synchrony. The prognostic value of perfusion defects found through gated SPECT has been properly validated.[5,6] Phase analysis has been applied in gated SPECT in recent years for assessing intraventricular mechanical synchrony.[7,8] and phase SD and histogram bandwidth have been recognized as the main indicators for measuring intraventricular synchrony.[9]

Several studies have been published on the usefulness of SPECT imaging in assessing HF patients.[5,10–13] Not enough research has been done, however, to enable analysis of the relationship between the extent of perfusion defects found by nuclear techniques and disease course in HF patients with dilated cardiomyopathy, as well as the value for these patients’ prognosis of the dyssynchrony indices from phase analysis (phase SD and histogram bandwidth), taking into particular account changes that exercise may produce in these parameters.

Our group recently completed a study of patients with dilated cardiomyopathy and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) <40% who underwent gated SPECT at rest and were followed for 39 months. The results showed phase SD, ischemic etiology and summed resting score (SRS) (these attained the highest odds ratios: 1.91, 1.66 and 1.55, respectively) to be the best predictors for event occurrence (progression of HF, potentially lethal ventricular arrhythmia, death, or acute coronary syndrome). Only 5 patients who had heart events were nonischemic, whereas 39 (89%) were ischemic.[14]

The purpose of this study was to assess the value of stress–rest protocol gated SPECT—the information it provides on myocardial perfusion, ventricular function and intraventricular synchrony—for identifying symptomatic HF patients who are likely to develop adverse cardiac events.

METHODS

Population

The study universe comprised 52 patients (mean age 59 years, SD 9; 62% women) referred by their attending physicians to the Nuclear Medicine Department of the Cardiology
and Cardiovascular Surgery Institute (ICCCV) in Havana, Cuba, between December 2010 and April 2012. Inclusion criteria were age ≥25 years, with functional capacity II/III (New York Heart Association scale), LVEF <40% and capable of exercise. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy, complete left bundle branch block, pacemaker implanted before the nuclear study, and arrhythmia that could prevent gating.

**Design** Patients were divided into two groups: those already diagnosed with IHD (41 patients, labeled *ischemic*) and those without IHD (11 patients, labeled *nonischemic*). Patients were classified as having HF of ischemic etiology based on a history, in the six months prior to inclusion in the study, of coronary angiography with significant epicardial coronary stenosis (>50% reduction of vascular lumen); acute coronary syndrome with or without ST elevation (STEMI or NSTEMI, respectively); or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.

Upon inclusion in the study, patient data on the following coronary risk factors were obtained from the attending physician’s clinical history: hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, smoking (current or past) and obesity.

**Myocardial perfusion scintigraphy** Gated SPECT was performed in all cases, using a two-day stress–rest protocol. The symptom-limited treadmill stress test (MTM-1500 med, Schiller, Switzerland) was performed the first day. Stress-test variables were presence or absence of angina with stress, ST depression ≥2 mm with stress on electrocardiogram, occurrence of cardiac arrhythmia during stress, percentage of maximal heart rate attained (220 – age), duration of exercise in minutes, and number of metabolic equivalents (MET) attained on maximal exertion. At maximal exertion, a 20 mCi dose of technetium-99m-labeled methoxyisobutyl isonitrile (99mTc-MIBI) was injected and the patient continued exercising for an additional 60–90 seconds.

Post-stress images were taken 45–60 minutes following tracer injection, with the patient remaining supine. A dual-head gamma camera (Nuclide DHV, Mediso, Hungary) was used, equipped with low-energy collimators, high-resolution and parallel holes, with an emission window of 20%, centered on the 140 keV energy peak. A total of 64 projections were obtained (20 seconds per projection), 8 frames/cycle, with a 64 x 64 matrix, in a 180° orbit. The following day, resting images were obtained one hour following injection of 20 mCi 99mTc-MIBI. Tomographic images were reconstructed using filtered back-projection with a Butterworth filter, order 7, and a frequency of 0.25 cycles/pixel. Neither attenuation nor dispersion was corrected.

**Interpretation of scintigraphic images** For visual semiquantitative interpretation, short- and long-axis vertical tomograms were used, divided into 17 segments.[15] Each segment was assessed by consensus of two independent expert observers unfamiliar of the clinical and echocardiographic data, using a 5-point scale: 0 = normal, 1 = slightly reduced uptake (slight defect), 2 = moderately reduced uptake (moderate defect), 3 = severely reduced uptake, 4 = absence of myocardial uptake of the radiopharmaceutical. Scores of 3 and 4 correspond to severe defects. Segments with uptake reduction (perfusion defects on stress) were considered reversible perfusion defects if the score dropped ≥1 point from stress to resting.

Perfusion variables were summed stress score (SSS) and summed rest score (SRS), calculated by the sum of the scores for radiopharmaceutical uptake in the 17 stress and resting segments, and the difference between the two (summed difference score—SDS). Uptake was considered improved if the resting study score dropped ≥1 point relative to stress study. An SDS ≥4 was considered positive (slight: SDS 4–8; moderate: SDS 9–12; severe SDS >12). Transient ischemic dilation on stress, a sign of subendocardial ischemia, was considered present if the ratio of stress-to-resting ventricular volume was >1.2.

Semiquantitative assessment of regional wall motion was performed by visual inspection of gated tomograms in cine-loop format. The left ventricular (LV) myocardium was divided into 17 segments and a 4-point scale was used for segmentary assessment of motion: 0 = normal; 1 = slightly hypokinetic; 2 = moderately hypokinetic; 3 = severely hypokinetic; and 4 = akinetic or dyskinetic. Observer-independent analysis was also conducted to assess ventricular function variables—regional motion, LVEF, and end-diastolic (abnormal if >120 mL) and end-systolic (abnormal if >70 mL) volumes—using Emory Cardiac Toolbox (ECTb) software. ΔLVEF was also considered (difference between post-stress and resting LVEF).

For analysis of intraventricular synchrony, phase-derived indices were used, measured in resting and post-stress gated images by means of ECTb. The method has been well described.[7,8] The variables used to measure intraventricular synchrony were phase SD and histogram bandwidth. Cutoff values representing significant intraventricular dyssynchrony were >43 degrees for phase SD and >135 degrees for histogram bandwidth.[9]

**Adverse events** The patients included in the study were monitored by consultations and telephone calls (to patients or next of kin) at 1, 3, 6, 12, 24 and 36 months for the following adverse events: HF progression, potentially lethal ventricular arrhythmia, death from cardiac cause, death from noncardiac cause, myocardial revascularization, and acute coronary syndrome (STEMI or NSTEMI). Possible association between these events and ergonomic, perfusion, myocardial, ventricular function, and intraventricular synchrony variables was assessed.

**Data analysis** Categorical variables were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies. To identify associations between these variables and dilated cardiomyopathy, the chi-square test or Fisher exact test was used. Continuous variables were summarized as the mean with SD. Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilks tests were used to assess whether variables were normally distributed. The next step depended on whether or not variables were normally distributed: in the case of normal distribution, a Student t test was applied to the comparison groups for paired and unpaired observations, and in cases of non-normal distribution, the Mann-Whitney U test and Wilcoxon signed-ranks test for quantitative variables were used. Chi square was used for qualitative variables.

The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate event-free survival rates, and log-rank was used for comparison of survival curves. A p of <0.05 was considered significant.

**Ethics** The study was approved by the ICCCV scientific council. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and patient anonymity was maintained during data analysis.
RESULTS

Patient characteristics Of the 52 study patients, 41 (78.8%) had ischemic left ventricular dysfunction; 34 (65.4%) had a history of acute myocardial infarction, primarily anteriorly located (18, 34.6%). Although there were more coronary risk factors in IHD patients than in non-IHD, the difference was not statistically significant. The most frequent risk factors in both groups were smoking, hypertension and dyslipidemia.

Treadmill stress test During exercise, 61.5% (32/52) of all patients studied showed no symptoms. Only 15.4% (8/52) experienced chest pain. ST depression on stress occurred in 17.3% (9/52); 8 of these 9 patients were in the ischemic group. During maximal stress, 32.7% (17/52) had arrhythmia (primarily ventricular extrasystoles); 13 of the 17 were in the ischemic group. On stress, no significant differences between the two groups were found in symptoms, ST abnormalities or presence of arrhythmia.

Percentage of maximal heart rate reached during maximal stress was similar in both groups: 92.9 (SD 9.6) vs. 95.2 (SD 9.1); p = 0.06; likewise for exercise time, 6.9 min (SD 1.6) vs. 7.8 min (SD 2.4), p = 0.13.

Table 1: Myocardial perfusion and ventricular function in HF patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Ischemic (n = 41)</th>
<th>Nonischemic (n = 11)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>14.43 (6.28)</td>
<td>6.45 (3.77)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSS</td>
<td>16.82 (6.37)</td>
<td>7.54 (5.8)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>2.39 (4.89)</td>
<td>1.09 (3.7)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TID</td>
<td>1.08 (0.13)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting LVEF (%)</td>
<td>33.7 (4.8)</td>
<td>32.0 (5.07)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress LVEF (%)</td>
<td>32.4 (6.1)</td>
<td>33.27 (7.5)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆LVEF (%)</td>
<td>-1.29 (5.8)</td>
<td>1.27 (4.31)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting EDV (mL)</td>
<td>189 (53)</td>
<td>218 (70)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress EDV (mL)</td>
<td>190 (53)</td>
<td>226 (77)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting ESV (mL)</td>
<td>126 (43)</td>
<td>150 (58)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress ESV (mL)</td>
<td>129 (44)</td>
<td>154 (65)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ΔLVEF: post-stress LVEF – resting LVEF
EDV: end-diastolic volume
ESV: end-systolic volume
HF: heart failure
LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction
SRS: summed rest score
SSS: summed stress score
TID: transient ischemic dilation

Gated SPECT myocardial perfusion scintigraphy Table 1 shows the behavior of myocardial perfusion and ventricular function variables obtained by gated SPECT, according to HF etiology. All summed perfusion scores were significantly higher in ischemic patients. Perfusion defects were severe in 53% of patients, moderate in 25%, and slight in 22%. Slight defects were more common in nonischemic patients (64% vs. 10% in ischemic patients), while severe defects were more frequent in ischemic patients (66% vs. 9% in nonischemic patients).

In contrast, ventricular function variables (LVEF and volumes) were not significantly different, even though nonischemic patients had slightly higher ventricular volumes. ΔLVEF was slightly lower in ischemic patients.

Intraventricular synchrony Table 2 shows values for variables indicative of intraventricular synchrony. Neither phase SD nor histogram bandwidth showed significant differences at rest between the two study groups; however, they were significantly different with stress. Mean values for both variables decreased with stress among nonischemic patients. This was not the case among ischemic patients, who showed an increase, primarily in histogram bandwidth. The difference, however, was not statistically significant.

None of the patients in the study had normal phase SD or histogram bandwidth values. With cutoff values of 43 degrees and 135 degrees, respectively, ischemic patients exceeded the cut-off value more than nonischemic patients; only 14.6% (6/41) of ischemics versus 36.4% (4/11) of nonischemics had phase SD <43 degrees at rest (p = 0.37), compared to 9.8% (4/41) of ischemics vs. 36.4% (4/11) of nonischemics on stress (p = 0.034). With regard to histogram bandwidth, 21.9% (9/41) of ischemics vs. 36.4% (4/11) of nonischemics had values <135 degrees at rest (p = 0.7), compared to 14.6% (6/41) of ischemics vs. 54.5% of nonischemics (6/11) (p = 0.004) with stress.

Table 2: Intraventricular synchrony variables in HF patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Ischemic (n = 41)</th>
<th>Nonischemic (n = 11)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resting PSD</td>
<td>63.4 (19.8)</td>
<td>50.18 (16.98)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress PSD</td>
<td>64.45 (15.93)</td>
<td>47 (11.69)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting HB</td>
<td>197.95 (68.02)</td>
<td>177.9 (77.8)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress HB</td>
<td>213.6 (56.69)</td>
<td>149.9 (49.17)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HB: histogram bandwidth (degrees)
HF: heart failure
PSD: phase standard deviation (degrees)

Followup After three years, 30.8% (16/52) of patients were event free. At two and three years into the study, 92.3% (48/52) were alive (Figure 1). Adverse events occurred more often in the ischemic than the nonischemic group (59.1% vs. 27.3%). Figure 2 shows the three-year survival curve with event-free survival greater among nonischemic patients (57.1% vs. 27.3%). The only variable revealing a significant association with event occurrence was <5 METs on stress test (p = 0.03), while resting phase SD showed only a tendency toward an association (p = 0.05).

HF progression was the most frequent adverse event, occurring in 30.8% (16/52) of patients. Three deaths were recorded (two from cardiac causes), all in ischemic patients. During the study period, two patients developed ventricular tachycardia and four patients had acute coronary syndrome. Four myocardial revascularizations were performed: two surgically and two via percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. When patients were contacted for final followup, two were awaiting coronary surgery and one was awaiting percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.

Abnormal values for ΔLVEF, resting and stress phase SD, resting and stress histogram bandwidth, and METs (<5 METs during stress) were more frequent among patients who developed adverse events during followup (53%, 95%, 94%, 89%, 81% and 53%, respectively), than among those who did not.
DISCUSSION

Although prognostic determinants for dilated cardiomyopathy patients have been studied, the value of stress–rest protocol gated SPECT has not yet been sufficiently established (including evaluation of perfusion, function and intraventricular synchrony) for risk stratification in these patients.

While it is known that resting LVEF is one of the most important variables for estimating HF patient prognosis, it is also true that other factors should be taken into account as well. This study found that IHD patients had more severe perfusion defects and a slightly greater drop in LVEF than patients without IHD. No significant changes in variables that measure intraventricular synchrony were found between resting and stress within each patient group (with or without IHD diagnosis). IHD patients, however, became more asynchronous on stress than those without IHD, compared to resting values. They also showed a higher degree of asynchrony on stress. The two variables that showed a possible association with development of adverse events during followup were low cardiovascular functional capacity with stress (<5 METs) and resting phase SD.

In a study of 167 patients with ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy, Candell-Riera found that an independent predictor of cardiac death in the resting SPECT study was presence of zones of viable tissue in the infarcted area; this was also a predictor on stress SPECT, along with ischemia in noninfarcted areas and exercise duration ≤5 minutes.[6] In our case, the fact that these variables did not show a significant association with adverse event occurrence on followup could be due to the small sample size.

In addition, the lower functional capacity on stress associated with events in our study was also related to shorter exercise time. Intraventricular synchrony variables were not analyzed in the Candell-Riera study. Our group conducted a previous study using resting gated SPECT perfusion scintigraphy on dilated cardiomyopathy patients, which also assessed perfusion, function and synchrony, but did not consider the effect of exercise on these variables.[14] It was found that presence of ischemia, SRS and phase SD were the variables that showed greatest association with adverse event occurrence during followup, which supports our current results concerning abnormal resting-phase SD.

Gated SPECT myocardial perfusion scintigraphy

Myocardial perfusion scintigraphy may contribute to differential diagnosis of ventricular dysfunction based on etiology, given that ischemic perfusion defects in IHD patients are generally diffuse, extensive and severe and follow the distribution of territories corresponding to coronary arteries; and that they coexist with segmental-wall motion abnormalities, usually coinciding with territories having perfusion deficits. Cases of nonischemic etiology, however, show homogeneous radiopharmaceutical uptake or slight to moderate perfusion defects that do not follow a coronary distribution, along with diffuse motion abnormalities.[16]

Such observation are consistent with our results, where all summed perfusion scores were significantly higher in IHD patients. Additionally, slight defects were significantly more frequent in nonischemic patients, while severe defects were more frequent in the ischemic group. Regarding ventricular function, although no significant differences were found, it is noteworthy that ΔLVEF was slightly lower (more negative) in ischemic patients, related to a
greater tendency to decreasing LVEF with stress as a manifestation of myocardial stunning and, therefore, of ischemia.

**Intraventricular synchrony** It has been shown that variables that measure intraventricular dyssynchrony (phase SD and histogram bandwidth) do not vary between rest and stress in the same patient, even when ischemia is present.[12] In general, this matches our results where no significant rest-stress differences were found. However, our IHD patients tended to be more asynchronous on stress. For this reason, it is important to remember that images labeled with technetium-99m compounds are typically taken one hour following stress. Perfusion reflects the moment the radiopharmaceutical is injected because these compounds do not redistribute; however, function and synchrony are measured in real time and therefore reflect one-hour post stress rather than maximal stress. Thus, if images were obtained closer to maximal stress (15 to 20 minutes), a greater difference could be found, representing ischemia-induced dyssynchrony. This has already been raised by some authors, both through use of thallium-201,[17] with images taken 5–10 minutes following stress, and through earlier imaging labeled with technetium-99m compounds.[18]

AlJaroudi found no correlation between defect size (representing extent of ischemia) and change in phase SD and histogram bandwidth between rest and stress.[12] However, this study did find significant differences in phase SD and histogram bandwidth on stress in both groups: ischemic patients were more dyssynchronous than nonischemics, which is consistent with other authors’ findings regarding myocardial ischemia as a producer of dyssynchrony.[17–20]

Ischemic and infarcted segments exhibit sizeable degrees of segmental wall motion abnormalities, which result in abnormal contraction and dyssynchrony.[18,21] In this regard, Chen showed that stress-induced ischemia causes dysynchronous contraction in the ischemic area, while normal myocardium has more synchronous contraction during stress.[17] Hida, in a study of 278 IHD patients, found that although an SSS of ≥9 showed greater sensitivity in detection of multivessel disease, a stress-induced increase in phase SD of ≥4 degrees and a histogram bandwidth of ≥14 degrees had specificities of 84% and 91%, respectively, and accuracy of 80% (the highest of all analyzed perfusion and function variables).[18]

Another study that supports our results was conducted by Vidigal of 143 patients with LVEF ≤50%, in which patients with mechanical dyssynchrony had a greater prevalence of abnormal perfusion, with extensive defects, increased end-diastolic volume and worse LVEF.[20]

**Predictors of events during followup** Ischemic etiology of HF related to presence of dyssynchrony is an important factor to consider as a predictor of adverse events in HF patients.[22] Several authors[10,23,24] have shown that SRS is an independent predictor of mechanical dyssynchrony, which is understandable, considering that ischemic and infarcted segments with segmental wall motion abnormalities lead to a dysynchronous pattern.[25] This coincided with our results in a previous study of dilated cardiomyopathy patients who underwent rest-only scintigraphy,[15] where the greatest ORs for prediction of events were 1.91 (phase SD), 1.66 (ischemic etiology) and 1.55 (SRS); 89% of adverse events on followup occurred in the ischemic group.

This study introduces assessment of physical exertion as a stressor, which is not always possible in these patients because of their marked functional impairment. A limitation in our study was the small sample size, especially in the nonischemic group, influenced by the relatively small number of ventricular dysfunc-

CONCLUSIONS

Information on myocardial perfusion, functional capacity and intraventricular synchrony obtained through rest–stress gated SPECT may help identify patients with symptomatic heart failure who have a greater probability of developing adverse cardiac events, enabling better allocation of medical resources and management of higher-risk cases.
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