

MEDICC Review

ISSN: 1555-7960 editors@medicc.org

Medical Education Cooperation with Cuba Estados Unidos

Vargas, Alicia; Mendoza, Ivis; Uranga, Rolando; González, Alejandro; Martínez, Liliana; Caballero, Iraida; Piedra, Patricia; Saurez, Giselle; Verdecia, Manuel; Cabanas, Ricardo Efficacy and Safety of ior® EPOCIM for Chemotherapy- or Radiotherapy-Induced Anemia in Pediatric Cancer Patients

MEDICC Review, vol. 12, núm. 3, 2010, pp. 27-31

Medical Education Cooperation with Cuba

Oakland, Estados Unidos

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=437542103007



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



Efficacy and Safety of ior®EPOCIM for Chemotherapy- or Radiotherapy-Induced Anemia in Pediatric Cancer Patients

Alicia Vargas MS, Ivis Mendoza MS, Rolando Uranga MS, Alejandro González MD, Liliana Martínez MD, Iraida Caballero MD, Patricia Piedra MD, Giselle Saurez MD, Manuel Verdecia MD, Ricardo Cabanas MD

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Recombinant human erythropoietin (RHuEPO) is an erythropoiesis stimulating agent (ESA) used to treat anemia in patients with total or relative erythropoietin deficit. In cancer patients, it is administered to optimize hemoglobin (Hb) levels, correct anemia and reduce the need for transfusions. Cuba produces a RHuEPO, registered in 1998 as ior®EPOCIM, that is widely used in the national public health system, mainly to treat patients with anemia due to chronic kidney disease (CKD).

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate the efficacy and safety of ior®EPOCIM in pediatric cancer patients with anemia following chemotherapy or radiotherapy. The working hypothesis posed an Hb increase ≥15 g/l in 70% of patients receiving ior®EPOCIM for 8 weeks.

METHODS: A Phase IV, multicenter, open clinical trial was conducted. Participants were 157 patients aged 1–19 years with anemia and cyto-histological diagnosis of cancer in any location. Patients received either 600 U/kg ior®EPOCIM intravenously, once weekly, or 150 U/kg ior®EPOCIM subcutaneously, 3 times a week, for 8 weeks. All patients

had blood tests every week to determine hemoglobin and hematocrit, and reticulocyte and platelet counts. Mean number of transfusions required by patients during the treatment period was compared to the mean number of transfusions received in the preceding 8 weeks. Adverse events (AE) were recorded at the 4th and 8th weeks and classified by intensity and causality.

RESULTS: Hb levels rose ≥15 g/l in 68.8% of patients, and transfusion requirements decreased 17%. The most frequent adverse events were fever (19.3%), vomiting (10.2%) and flu-like syndrome (9.6%). Intensity of AE was predominantly mild. Only 7 AE were classified as very probably related to the product and none of those was severe.

CONCLUSIONS: ior®EPOCIM proved to be safe and effective at the doses and frequencies used in this patient population. As a result, this medication was recommended for use in all pediatric oncology and hematology services in the country.

Keywords: Erythropoietin, recombinant; anemia, cancer, pediatrics, medical oncology, Cuba

INTRODUCTION

Erythropoietin (EPO), a glycoprotein manufactured mainly in the kidney, is the main factor regulating production of red blood cells and is essential for their proliferation, differentiation and maturation in bone marrow.[1,2] Discovery of the human EPO gene in 1985 enabled development and production of recombinant erythropoietin (RHuEPO) for clinical use, transforming anemia treatment, particularly for patients with end-stage renal disease.[3–5] Several clinical studies of dialysis patients receiving RHuEPO showed erythropoiesis stimulation and correction of renal anemia, fewer transfusions and, as a result, improved quality of life.[6–8]

RHuEPO products, including epoetin alpha (Eprex® Epogen®, Procrit®), epoetin beta (NeoRecormon®), darbepoetin alpha (Aranesp) and CERA (Continuous Erythropoietin Receptor Activator) are currently classified and marketed internationally as erythropoiesis stimulating agents (ESA).[9] ESAs have been used effectively to treat anemia associated with a variety of conditions, most frequently chronic kidney disease (CKD), prematurity, and HIV infection. They are also used to increase Hb levels during surgery; following radiotherapy, chemotherapy or transplant; in situations when red blood cell transfusion is ruled out; or to improve autologous transfusion response.[10]

In Cuba, however, patients with anemia due to CKD were unable to benefit from RHuEPO treatment due to the high price of ESAs in the international market. The Molecular Immunology Center (CIM, its acronym in Spanish) therefore began RHuEPO research and development, obtaining a product registered as ior®EPOCIM in 1998 by the national drug regulatory agency CECMED (its ac-

ronym in Spanish).[11] Today, ior®EPOCIM is widely used in patients with anemia due to CKD, at a price the Cuban public health system can afford. All treatment is provided at no cost to patients.

Use of ior®EPOCIM in dialysis patients in Cuba has shown beneficial effects, such as correction of anemia, reduced need for transfusions, higher quality of life, increased capacity for work, and improved cognitive functioning. The product has shown good safety parameters; no severe adverse events associated with its administration have been reported.[12,13]

Malignant diseases are frequently accompanied by anemia, which may be aggravated by cancer treatment or other causes, including malnutrition, hemorrhage, and tumor-cell invasion of bone marrow. Anemia, in turn, may negatively affect quality of life due to accompanying asthenia, fatigue, and other symptoms.[14–16]

RHuEPO administration and red blood cell transfusions are the most widely used treatments for chemotherapy-induced anemia in cancer patients with Hb <100 g/l.[17,18] Studies of RHuEPO administration in adult and pediatric cancer patients have shown correction of anemia, along with reduced need for transfusions and their associated risks, all of which contribute to improving quality of life.[19–21] The few studies of RHuEPO use in pediatric cancer patients with anemia report a 20 g/l Hb increase in 46–75% of patients, as well as a considerable reduction in transfusion requirements.[21–24]

American Association of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) guidelines recommend RHuEPO treatment for cancer patients with chemotherapy-induced anemia and Hb ≤100 g/l, without differentiating

Original Research

between adults and children.[17] The French Cancer Institute's Recommendations for Erythropoiesis Stimulating Agents in the Management of Anemia in Children with Cancer indicate that the decision to treat with ESAs should be made case by case, and caution against systematic administration of ESAs for a prolonged period, while noting there does not seem to be association between ESA use as indicated and significant toxicity in children.[18]

In Cuba in 2008, a Phase IV clinical trial was conducted to assess the efficacy and safety of ior®EPOCIM treatment for anemia in 338 adult patients with radiotherapy- or chemotherapy-induced anemia. Patients received 10,000 U ior®EPOCIM subcutaneously, 3 times a week for 8 weeks. Results showed a 21.7 g/l increase in mean Hb level and a 31.4% reduction in transfusions at conclusion of the study. The most frequent adverse events were pain at the injection site and bone pain: 23.5% and 13.8%, respectively, of total events reported.[25]

Considering the results of that clinical trial, as well as a literature review of studies treating pediatric patients with RHuEPO, and the current international guidelines mentioned above, a clinical trial was conducted to assess the efficacy and safety of ior®EPOCIM in pediatric cancer patients with radiotherapy- or chemotherapy-induced anemia. The working hypothesis posed an Hb increase ≥15 g/l in 70% of patients receiving ior®EPOCIM for 8 weeks.

METHODS

Study Design An open Phase IV non-controlled, multicenter clinical trial was conducted. The study universe was comprised of 157 pediatric cancer patients who fulfilled inclusion criteria and were treated between September 2004 and August 2006 in the oncology or hematology departments of 8 participating hospitals in Cuba.

Inclusion criteria Patients aged 1–19 years with cyto-histological diagnosis of cancer in any location and anemia clinically associated with chemotherapy or radiotherapy, not previously treated with RHuEPO. Anemia was defined as Hb ≤110 g/l for patients aged 1–11 years and Hb ≤120 g/l for patients aged 12–19 years.[26]

Prior to inclusion in the study, written informed consent was obtained from a parent or guardian of each patient, signed in the presence of the clinical investigator in the participating institution and a witness.

Exclusion criteria Known hypersensitivity to products derived from higher cells or human albumin, active hemorrhage or hemolysis, or uncontrolled high blood pressure at time of inclusion.

Suspension criteria Hb ≥140–150 g/l achieved prior to week 8 of treatment; appearance of an exclusion criterion; adverse event implying risk to the patient, at the discretion of the clinical investigator; patient's death.

Ethical Considerations The research protocol was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committees of the participating institutions. The national drug regulatory agency, CECMED, was notified as required for Phase IV clinical trials of a registered product. Helsinki Declaration criteria,[27] International Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research involving Human Subjects,[28] and

Standard Working Procedures of the National Coordinating Center of Clinical Trials (CENCEC, its acronym in Spanish)[29] were followed.

Treatment Patients received either 600 U/kg ior®EPOCIM intravenously, once a week, or 150 U/kg ior®EPOCIM subcutaneously in the right deltoid muscle, 3 times a week, for 8 weeks, consistent with International Guidelines for the use of Erythropoiesis Stimulating Agents.[17] Administration route was assigned by the clinical investigator, according to the patient's chemotherapy schedule. The intravenous route was used in 81 patients, and the subcutaneous route in 76 patients. Dosage for each patient was calculated by the clinical investigator, based on patient's weight and administration route. Patients were hospitalized or ambulatory during treatment, at the discretion of the clinical investigator, taking into account each patient's clinical status.

Hb levels were measured weekly, as well as hematocrit, and reticulocyte and platelet counts. Patients whose Hb dropped below initial levels were given transfusions, at the discretion of the clinical investigator, and continued ior®EPOCIM treatment.

Hb levels were evaluated at the conclusion of week 4 of treatment, and the ior®EPOCIM dose was maintained in patients whose Hb had risen ≥10 g/l above initial values. In patients whose Hb had not increased ≥10 g/l above initial values, the intravenous dose was increased to 900 U/kg weekly, and the subcutaneous dose to 300 U/kg three times a week.

Data collection and analysis A data collection logbook was kept for each patient, completed by the clinical investigator in each participating institution. The patient's clinical evolution was recorded weekly during the 8-week study period, as well as weight, ior®EPOCIM dose, and lab test results (hemoglobin, hematocrit, reticulocyte and platelet count at treatment onset and termination, serum iron levels and transferrin saturation index).

Efficacy was measured against the study hypothesis and transfusions required during the study compared to the number of transfusions in the 8 weeks prior to inclusion in the study.

Hb response during ior®EPOCIM treatment was evaluated by calculating the difference between initial and final mean Hb levels.

Safety was measured by registry and analysis of adverse events (AE), defined as any harmful clinical event in a patient receiving the product under study, not necessarily implying a causal relationship with the treatment. AEs were recorded cumulatively by the clinical investigator at the conclusion of week 4 and week 8.

Adverse events were classified by intensity and causality according to CENCEC's Standard Working Procedure for registering adverse events.[29] The Jones algorithm was also used to classify causality.[30] Intensity was classified as mild, moderate, less severe, or severe; and causality was classified very probable, probable, possible, or remote. In accordance with CENCEC's procedures, only those AE classified Very Probable were considered associated with use of the medication.[29]

Data analysis was performed by "protocol" (only data from patients completing treatment) and by "intent to treat" (data from all patients initially included in the study). Databases were created

in Excel spreadsheets and processed using SPSS version 10.0 statistical software package.

RESULTS

Of 157 patients initially included in the study, 129 completed treatment and 125 were included in the data analysis. After 8 weeks of ior®EPOCIM treatment, 68.8% of patients (86/125) attained an Hb increase ≥15 g/l, and 59.2% (74/125) attained an Hb increase ≥20 g/l (Table 1). In the intent-to-treat analysis, 54.8% of patients (86/157) attained an Hb increase ≥15g/l, and mean Hb rose 20.94 g/l, from 90.14 g/l at study onset to 111.08 g/l at termination.

Table 1: Hemoglobin Increase at Week 8 of ior®EPOCIM Treatment

Hemoglobin Increase	≥15	g/l	≥20 g/l		
nemogiosiii increase	Patients	%	Patients	%	
Yes	86	68.8	74	59.2	
No	39	31.2	51	40.8	
Total Patients	125	100.0	125	100.0	

p= 0.41978 (Pearson's chi-square test)

Treatment was suspended before 8 weeks in 28 patients: 8 withdrew voluntarily; 9 were withdrawn by the clinical investigator because of adverse events; 6 were withdrawn due to irregularities in ior®EPOCIM distribution; and 5 patients died as a result of their disease. Data from 4 patients who completed treatment were not analyzed because final Hb lab results were not available.

The majority of patients completing treatment were male (72.0%) and white (72.8%) (Table 2). Mean age was 6.85 years (age range 1–19 years), and mean weight was 27.2 kg (weight range 9.0–106.0 kg). Mean weight by administration route was 26.0 kg for those receiving ior®EPOCIM intravenously and 28.5 kg for those treated subcutaneously.

Table 2: Patient Characteristics and ior®EPOCIM Administration Routes

Noutes							
Patient Characteristics		Administration Route					
		Intravenous		Subcutaneous			
		No.	%	No.	%		
Sex	Male	55	44.0	35	28.0		
	Female	15	12.0	20	16.0		
Skin Color	White	60	48.0	31	24.8		
	Black	4	3.2	6	4.8		
	Mixed Race	6	4.8	18	14.4		
Diagnosis	Solid Tumor	14	11.2	26	20.8		
	Hematological Malignancy	56	44.8	29	23.2		
Total Patier	nts (n=125)			44.0			

Expected response (Hb increase ≥15 g/l) at 8 weeks was significantly greater in patients receiving ior®EPOCIM subcutaneously (78.2%) compared to those treated intravenously (61.4%) (Table 3).

Table 3: Patients with ≥15 g/l Hemoglobin Increase at Week 8 of ior®EPOCIM Treatment, by Administration Route

Administration Route	Patients			
Administration Route	No. %			
Intravenous (n=70)	43	61.4		
Subcutaneous (n= 55)	43	78.2		
Total (n=125)	86	68.8		

p= 0.04987 (Pearson's chi-square test)

During the study, the number of patients requiring transfusions declined 15.3%, and the number of transfusions decreased 17.1%. In the 8 weeks preceding onset of ior®EPOCIM treatment, 91 patients (58.0% of study universe) received a total of 181 transfusions, compared to 67 patients (42.7% of study universe) requiring a total of 150 transfusions during treatment.

In the 157 patients exposed to ior®EPOCIM treatment, 166 adverse events were reported: 94 in weeks 1–4 and 72 in weeks 5–8. The most frequent AE was fever (19.3%), followed by vomiting (10.2%), flu-like syndrome (9.6%), and weight loss (8.4%) (Table 4). Of total AE reported, 45.2% were categorized Other, appearing in only one patient and, when analyzed, were found mainly associated with the patient's disease.

Table 4: Adverse Events at Week 4 and Week 8 of ior®EPOCIM Treatment*

Adverse Event	Weeks 1-4		Weeks 5-8		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Fever	20	12.0	12	7.2	32	19.3
Vomiting	8	4.8	9	5.4	17	10.2
Flu-like syndrome	8	4.8	8	4.8	16	9.6
Weight loss	8	4.8	6	3.6	14	8.4
Bone pain	4	2.4	5	3.1	9	5.4
Hypertension	3	1.8	0	0.0	3	1.8
Other	43	25.9	32	19.3	75	45.2
Total	94	56.6	72	43.4	166	100.0

^{*} Intent-to-treat analysis of 157 patients initially included in the study

In the causality analysis only 7 AE (4.2%) were classified as having a very probable association with exposure to ior®EPOCIM, none of these severe; 2 fever events were considered mild; 1 bone pain, 1 redness, and 1 vomiting, all moderate; 1 burning sensation and 1 pain at injection site were classified as less severe. Remaining AE were classified as Probable (5.5%), Possible (65.5%) and Remote (24.8%) (Table 5).

Table 5: Adverse Events by Causality after ior®EPOCIM Treatment*

Caucality	Weeks 1-4		Weeks 5-8		Total	
Causality	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Very Probable	5	3.0	2	1.2	7	4.2
Probable	7	4.2	2	1.2	9	5.5
Possible	61	37.0	47	28.5	108	65.5
Remote	21	12.7	20	12.1	41	24.8
Total	94	56.9	71 [†]	43.0	165	100.0

^{*} Intent-to-treat analysis of 157 patients initially included in the study

DISCUSSION

The 68.8% response in the present study was considered clinically acceptable, even though below the 70.0% posed in the working hypothesis. It also falls within the 46%–75% response range for mean Hb increases \pm 2 g/dl (20 g/l) at 8 and 12 weeks of treatment, reported in international studies using epoetin alpha to treat pediatric cancer patients with anemia.[20,22–24]

In a study at St. Jude Children's Research Hospital in the United States, 217 patients aged 5–18 years were randomized to receive 600 U/kg of epoetin alpha intravenously or placebo. On day 29, a 2 g/dl (20 g/l) Hb increase was attained in 56.5% of the epoetin-treated group and 34.9% of the placebo group.[31]

Researchers in Greece reported a 2 g/l Hb increase at 8 weeks in 46% of 50 patients with hematologic malignancies and solid tumors, treated with 150 U/kg, 3 times a week.[24] A higher

[†] Information missing for 1 event at Week 8

Original Research

response was obtained in a study conducted in Spain to evaluate epoetin alpha in 25 patients with different types of solid tumors. They received 150 U/kg of epoetin alpha, 3 times a week, for 3 months and attained a 2 g/dl (20 g/l) Hb increase in 75% of patients.[23]

In a study carried out in Turkey, 34 children aged 1–16 years with solid tumors were randomized to receive 150 U/kg of epoetin alpha or placebo, 3 times a week, for 8 weeks. A significant decrease in the number of patients requiring transfusions and a 20 g/l increase in mean Hb were obtained in the treated group, while there was no change in the control group.[21]

According to these and other published studies, RHuEPO is safe and well-tolerated as used to correct anemia in pediatric cancer patients. None of the most frequent adverse events reported in the present study were mentioned in any of the studies reviewed, and those AE reported were mostly transient, local reactions, such as redness and burning sensation. [21–24,31–33]

Although weight loss was the fourth most frequent AE reported in the present study, it was considered associated with patients' primary disease and not with treatment, as other authors have explained.[34,35]

In the present study, a better response was achieved with subcutaneous administration of ior®EPOCIM than with intravenous administration. Similar results have been reported in adult hemodialysis patients with anemia,[36,37] but we found no other studies comparing response by administration route of RHuEPO in children or adults with cancer. A limitation of the present study was lack of an established, uniform, criteria for assigning administration route, which was left to the discretion

of the clinical investigator, based on patients' chemotherapy schedules and hospitalization conditions in each institution. Further research is needed to develop evidence-based criteria for assigning administration route, and to compare and analyze response to RHuEPO treatment by administration route in pediatric cancer patients.

This study is the first clinical trial using Cuban recombinant human erythropoietin (ior®EPOCIM) to treat anemia in pediatric cancer patients, thereby providing baseline data for future research. It is also contributes to the literature in an area on which few studies have been published internationally. The study was particularly important in Cuba, from a clinical standpoint, as it gave Cuban oncologists and hematologists—especially those in pediatrics—an opportunity to gain new experience in the use of ior®EPOCIM.

Based on the results obtained, introduction of ior®EPOCIM in all pediatric oncology and hematology services in the country was recommended.

CONCLUSIONS

The Cuban ESA, ior®EPOCIM, proved effective in correcting anemia and reducing transfusion requirements in pediatric cancer patients. It also proved to be safe and well-tolerated, as evidenced by the low frequency of adverse events associated with treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the collaboration of all the researchers who participated in the patient inclusion phase of the study and assisted in data collection. Without them, completion of the study would not have been possible.

REFERENCES

- Silvia M, Grillot D, Benito A, Richard C, Nuñez G, Fernández-Luna JL. Erythropoietin can promote erythroid progenitor survival by repressing apoptosis through Bcl-XL and Bcl-2. Blood. 1996 Sep 1;88(5):1576–82.
- Huraib S, Abu-Aisha H, al-Momen A, al-Wakeel J, Memon N, al-Tuwaijri A. Effect of recombinant human erythropoietin on lymphocyte phenotyping and phagocyte activity in hemodialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis. 1997;29:866–70.
- Lin FK, Suggs S, Lin CH, Browne JK, Smalling R, Egrie JC, et al. Cloning and expression of the human erythropoietin gene. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA.1985;92:7850–84.
- Andrade L. Agentes estimulantes de la eritropoyesis. Rev Nefrol Diálisis Traspl. 2008 Jun;28(2):73–82.
- Marsden JT. Erythropoietin measurement and clinical applications. Ann Clin Biochem. 2006;43:97–104.
- Eschbach JW, Abdulhadi MH, Brownie JK, Delano BG, Downing MR, Egrie JC, et al. Recombinant human erythropoietin in anemic patients with end-stage renal disease. Results of a phase III multicenter clinical trial. Ann Intern Med. 1989 Dec 15;111(12):992–1000.
- Ifudu O, Feldman J, Friedman EA. The Intensity of Hemodialysis and the Response to Erythropoietin in Patients with End-Stage Renal Disease. N Engl J Med. 1996 Feb 15;334:420–5.

- Singh A, Szczech L, Tang K, Barnhart H, Sapp S, Wolfson M, et al. Correction of Anemia with Epoetin Alfa in Chronic Kidney Disease. N Engl J Med. 2006;355:2085–98.
- Lappin T, Maxwell AP, Johnston PG. Warning Flags for Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents and Cancer-Associated Anemia. Oncologist. 2007;12:362–5.
- Ng T, Marx G, Littlewood T, Macdougall I. Recombinant erythropoietin in clinical practice. Postgrad Med J. 2003;79:367–76.
- Registro Sanitario de Medicamentos y Biológicos aprobados. Centro para el Control Estatal de la Calidad de los Medicamentos [Internet]. Havana: Ministry of Public Health (CU); [updated 2010; cited 2010 Jun 21]. Available from: www. cecmed.sld.cu/Docs/RegSan/RegSanMed.xls
- Pérez-Oliva JF, Morejón B, Vargas A, Lagarde M, Mendoza I, Viada CE. Equivalencia terapéutica entre ior®EPOCIM y EPO sin albúmina en pacientes con insuficiencia renal crónica en hemodiálisis. Rev Haban Cienc Méd. 2008 Jul-Sep;7(3).
- Pérez JF, Casanova M, García I, Porrero PJ, Valenzuela C, Hernández T, et al. Comparison of two recombinant erythropoietin formulations in patients with anemia due to end-stage renal disease on hemodialysis: a parallel, randomized double blind study. BMC Nephrology. 2005;6:5.
- Estrin JT, Schocket L, Kregenow R, Henry DH. A Retrospective Review of Blood Transfusions in Cancer Patients with Anemia. Oncologist. 1999 Aug;4(4):318–24.

- Caro JJ, Salas M, Ward A. Anemia as an independent prognostic factor for suvirval in patients with cancer. Cancer. 2001;91:2214–21.
- Gordon MS. Managing anemia in the cancer patient: old problems, future solutions. Oncologist. 2002;7:331–41.
- Rizzo JD, Somerfield MR, Hagerty KL, Seidenfel J, Bohlius J, Bennett CL, et al. Use of Epoetin and Darbepoetin in Patients with Cancer: 2007 American Society of Clinical Oncology /American Society of Hematology Clinical Practice Guideline Update. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26:132–49.
- Marec-Berard P, Chastagner P, Kassab-Chahmi D, Casadevall N, Marchal C, Misset JL, et al. 2007 Standards, Options, and Recommendations: Use of Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents (ESA: Epoetin Alfa, Epoetin Beta, and Darbepoetin) for the Management of Anemia in Children With Cancer. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2009 Jul;53(1):7–12.
- Case DC Jr, Bukowski RM, Carey RW, Fishkin EH, Henry DH, Jacobson RJ, et al. Recombinant human erythropoietin therapy for anemic cancer patients on combination chemotherapy. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1993 May 19;85(10):801–6.
- Henry DH, Brooks BJ Jr, Case DC Jr, Fishkin E, Jacobson R, Keller AM, et al. Recombinant human erythropoietin therapy for anemic cancer patients receiving cisplatin chemotherapy. Cancer J Sci Am. 1995 Nov–Dec;1(4):252–60.

- Varan A, Büyükpamukçu M, Kutluk T, Akyüz C. Recombinant human erythropoietin treatment for chemotherapy-related anemia in children. Pediatrics. 1999 Feb;103(2):E16.
- Porter J. Erythropoietin use in Pediatric Oncology. Transfusion Alternatives in Transfusion Medicine. 2002 Feb;4(1):20–5.
- León P, Jiménez M, Barona P, Sierrasesúmaga L. Recombinant human erythropoietin for the treatment of anemia in children with solid malignant tumors. Med Pediatr Oncol. 1998 Feb:30(2):110–6.
- Bolonaki I, Stiakaki E, Lydaki E, Dimitriou H, Kambourakis A, Kalmantis T, et al. Treatment with recombinant human erythropoietin in children with malignancies. Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 1996;13:111–21.
- Informe Final del Ensayo Clínico: Impacto del ior EPOCIM en pacientes oncológicos portadores de anemia post-quimioterapia o radioterapia. Havana: CIMAB; 2008.
- Glader B. Nelson Tratado de Pediatría. 17th ed. Madrid: Elsevier; c2004. Las Anemias; p.1604–6.
- Declaración de Helsinki de la Asociación Médica Mundial. Principios éticos para las investigaciones médicas en seres humanos [Internet]. Helsinki; 1964 [updated 2004 Oct 9; cited 2010 May 26]; [about 15 screens]. Available from: http://www.saber.ula.ve/bitstream/1234567 89/16099/1/declaracion_helsinski.pdf
- Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences. Pautas Éticas Internacionales para la Investigación Biomédica en Seres Humanos. [Internet]. Geneva; 2002 [cited 2010 May 26]; [about 150 screens]. Available from: http://www.cioms.ch/publications/guidelines/pautas_eticas_internacionales.htm
- Procedimientos Normalizados de Trabajo. Havana: Centro Nacional Coordinador de Ensayos Clínicos (CU); 2004.
- Jones JK. Adverse drug reactions in the community health setting; approaches to recognizing, counseling and reporting. Fam Community Health. 1982;5(2):58–67.
- Razzouk BI, Hord JD, Hockenberry M, Hinds PS, Feusner J, Williams D, et al. Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study of Quality of Life,

- Hematologic End Points, and Safety of Weekly Epoetin Alfa in Children With Cancer Receiving Myelosuppressive Chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol. 2006 Aug;24(22):3583–9.
- Abdelrazik N, Fouda M. Once weekly recombinant human erythropoietin treatment for cancer-induced anemia in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia receiving maintenance chemotherapy: a randomized case-controlled study. Hematology. 2007 Dec;12(6):533—41.
- Bennetts G, Bertolone S, Bray G. Erythropoietin reduces volume of red cell transfusions required in some subsets of children with acute lymphocytic leukaemia. Blood. 1995;10:853.
- Ramos EJ, Suzuki S, Marks D, Inui A, Asakawa A, Meguid MM. Cancer anorexia-cachexia syndrome: cytokines and neuropeptides. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2004 Jul;7(4):427–34.
- Global Dysregulation: The Foundation of Involuntary Weight Loss in Cancer Patients. J Support Oncol. 2006 Feb;4(2):89–90.
- Muirhead N, Churchill DN, Goldstein M, Nadier SP, Posen G, Wong C, et al. Comparison of Subcutaneous and Intravenous Recombinant Human Erythropoietin for Anemia in Hemodialysis Patients with Significant Comorbid Disease. Am J Nefrol. 1992;12(5):303–10.
- Jensen JD, Madsen JK, Jensen LW. Comparison of dose requirement, serum erythropoietin and blood pressure following intravenous and subcutaneous erythropoietin treatment of dialysis patients IV and SC erythropoietin. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1996;50(3):171–7.

THE AUTHORS

Alicia Vargas Batista (Corresponding author: alicia@cencec.sld.cu), clinical pharmacist, National Coordinating Center of Clinical Trials, Havana, Cuba.

Ivis Mendoza Hernández, pharmacologist, National Coordinating Center of Clinical Trials, Havana, Cuba.

Rolando Uranga Piña, mathematician, National Coordinating Center of Clinical Trials, Havana, Cuba.

Alejandro González Otero, hematologist, Hematology and Immunology Institute, Havana, Cuba.

Liliana Martínez Cárdenas, pediatrician, José Luis Miranda Pediatric Teaching Hospital, Villa Clara, Cuba.

Iraida Caballero Aguirrechu, oncologist, National Oncology and Radiobiology Institute, Havana, Cuba.

Patricia Piedra Sierra, pharmacologist, Molecular Immunology Center, Havana, Cuba.

Giselle Saurez Martínez, specialist in family medicine, Molecular Immunology Center, Havana, Cuba.

Manuel Verdecia Jarque, oncologist, Sur Provincial Pediatric Hospital, Santiago de Cuba, Cuba.

Ricardo Cabanas Armada, oncologist, Juan Manuel Márquez Pediatric Hospital, Havana, Cuba.

Submitted: October 26, 2009 Approved for publication: June 25, 2010 Disclosures: None