

**MEDICC** Review

ISSN: 1555-7960 editors@medicc.org

Medical Education Cooperation with Cuba Estados Unidos

......

Cruz-Hernández, Jeddú; Hernández-García, Pilar; Lang-Prieto, Jacinto; Yanes-Quesada,
Marelys; Iglesias-Marichal, Ileydis; Márquez-Guillén, Antonio
Controversies in Screening and Diagnosis of Gestational Diabetes: Cuba's Position
MEDICC Review, vol. 18, núm. 3, julio, 2016, pp. 35-39
Medical Education Cooperation with Cuba
Oakland, Estados Unidos

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=437546762010



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



# Controversies in Screening and Diagnosis of Gestational Diabetes: Cuba's Position

Jeddú Cruz-Hernández MD MS, Pilar Hernández-García MD, Jacinto Lang-Prieto MD, Marelys Yanes-Quesada MD MS, Ileydis Iglesias-Marichal MD MS, Antonio Márquez-Guillén MD PhD

#### **ABSTRACT**

Gestational diabetes is the most common endocrine disorder affecting pregnant women and its prevalence is on the rise. Prevalence in Cuba is about 5.8%, and global prevalence ranges from 2% to 18% depending on the criteria applied. Gestational diabetes can lead to adverse gestational outcomes, such as fetal death, preterm delivery, dystocia, perinatal asphyxia and neonatal complications. Prompt, accurate diagnosis allowing early treatment can benefit both mother and child. The disease is asymptomatic, so clinical laboratory testing plays a key role in its screening and diagnosis. Cuba's approach to diabetes screening and diagnosis differs from some international practices. All pregnant women in Cuba are screened with a fasting plasma glucose test and diagnosed using modified WHO criteria. Some international recommendations are to skip the screening step and instead follow the diagnostic criteria of the Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes study. In Cuba, gestational outcomes for women with diabetes (including gestational diabetes) are satisfactory (preeclampsia 5%; preterm delivery 12%; neonatal macrosomia 7.5%; congenital abnormalities 4.3% and perinatal deaths 4.8%). These data do not indicate a need to change established screening and diagnostic criteria.

**KEYWORDS** Gestational diabetes, screening, diagnosis, early detection, early diagnosis, Cuba

#### INTRODUCTION

Gestational diabetes (GD) is the most common endocrine disorder affecting pregnant women and its prevalence is on the rise. Prevalence in Cuba is about 5.8%; global prevalence estimates range from 2% to 18% depending on the criteria applied. [1–3] According to the International Diabetes Federation, 382 million people worldwide had diabetes mellitus (DM) in 2013, and by 2035 that number will rise to 471 million.[4] Cases of diabetes that appear years after gestational diabetes will contribute to this increase.

GD's rise, including in Cuba, responds to trends in certain risk factors in women of childbearing age, such as delayed pregnancy (aged ≥30 years) and overweight.[5] Other risk factors include: history of DM in a first degree family member, personal history of GD, a previous child with neonatal macrosomia, history of unexplained fetal death (especially after week 34 of gestation), pregnancy-induced hypertension, and polycystic ovary syndrome.[5,6,7] In Cuba, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), results are considered a risk factor if they are in the range of 4.4–5.5 mmol/L. Cuba's National Comprehensive Diabetes and Pregnancy Program (PNAIGD) recommends an immediate oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) for pregnant women with FPG results in the risk range.[6,7]

GD, which generally appears by week 24 of gestation, is asymptomatic for the mother but can affect the fetus. The most common

and serious complication is fetal macrosomia, which is associated with traumatic or mechanical injury (shoulder dystocia, bone fracture, cephalohematoma, and brachial plexus or solid organ injury). GD can also result in metabolic disorders (hypoglycemia, hypocalcemia and hypomagnesemia), respiratory ailments (perinatal asphyxia and respiratory distress syndrome), cardiovascular disorders (diabetic cardiomyopathy and cardiopulmonary lability), hematological disorders (polycythemia and hyperbilirubinemia) and sepsis.[8]

Because GD is asymptomatic, clinical laboratory testing plays a crucial role in its screening and diagnosis. In Cuba, these activities are the responsibility of primary health care professionals (mainly family physicians), who thus need to be familiar with GD risk factors and screening for pregnant women at risk. The algorithm consists of an FPG test at the first prenatal visit, another at week 24 of pregnancy, and an OGTT between weeks 28 and 32, applying Cuban diagnostic criteria.[6,7] The purpose of this paper is to describe current international criteria for GD screening and diagnosis, present Cuban criteria and discuss the differences.

## **CONTROVERSIES ABOUT GESTATIONAL DIABETES**

There is debate about several aspects of GD diagnosis, including: number of grams of glucose to administer, number of times to measure blood glucose in the OGTT, specific threshold values for diagnosis and number of measurements needed to determine abnormal results. There are also disagreements about screening approaches, whether screening should be selective or universal, and the specific screening test to use. Discrepancies even exist over how to label the condition of pregnancy-associated hyperglycemia.

Despite some disagreements, world experts do agree on some points, recognizing that GD:

- is a distinct diagnostic entity with specific risk factors;
- should be diagnosed and treated early (by about week 28 of pregnancy);
- · can be treated with insulin;
- is associated with adverse gestational outcomes;
- · can reoccur (in 70% of cases); and
- poses long-term cardiometabolic risk for both mother and child.
   [5,7–9]

**Terminology** GD emerged as a disease entity in 1979 at the First International Workshop Conference on Gestational Diabetes and in the early 1980s there was controversy over what term to use. As well as GD, it was variously called "carbohydrate intolerance in pregnancy" and "gestational diabetes mellitus." The term GD had been used by Danish physician Jorgen Pedersen since 1967, but it was Norbert Freinkel and his collaborators in Chicago who promoted its use after the First International Workshop Conference.[10] GD was included with this name in the classification of DM by the National Diabetes Data Group (NDDG) in the

## **Perspective**

United States in 1979.[11] It became the fourth subgroup in ADA's classification of DM in 1997 (still in effect today).[12]

The Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes (HAPO) study, led by the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG), was completed in 2008. This seven-year prospective observational study was a multicenter (15 centers) and multiethnic (nine countries) program that recruited 23,316 women. Its purpose was to estimate risk for adverse perinatal outcomes depending on varying increases in maternal blood glucose values.[13] After the study's results were published, it was suggested that "diabetes" is not a proper description of the condition of a strong, continuous and graded correlation between rising maternal glucose levels and increased neonatal weight, with its associated problems. For this reason, HAPO used the term "hyperglycemia in pregnancy," reasoning that the hyperglycemia was less severe than in DM but still associated with increased risk for adverse gestational outcomes.[13]

Diagnosis O'Sullivan and Mahan proposed new criteria for assessing glucose intolerance in pregnancy in 1964 through application of an OGTT (Table 1),[14] later modified by Carpenter and Coustan in 1982,[15] based on risk of DM after a GD-complicated pregnancy. O'Sullivan also established the first screening test for GD (known as the O'Sullivan test), which in some places is still in use today. Its application does not require the patient to fast. The test consists of oral administration of 50 g of glucose diluted in water and measurement of blood glucose one hour later. Results are considered abnormal if the level is ≥130 mg/dL (7.2 mmol/L), with a sensitivity of up to 88%; or ≥140 mg/dL (7.8 mmol/L), with a sensitivity of approximately 85%.[16,17]

Table 1: Diagnostic criteria for gestational diabetes

Diagnostic criteria	OGTT positivity threshold [mg/dL (mmol/L)]						
	Fasting	1 h	2 h	3 h			
O'Sullivan and Mahana[14]	110 (6.1)	170 (9.4)	120 (6.7)	110 (6.1)			
NDDG <sup>a</sup> [11]	105 (5.8)	190 (10.6)	165 (9.2)	145 (8.1)			
Carpenter and Coustana [15]	95 (5.2)	180 (10.0)	155 (8.6)	140 (7.8)			
Strict WHO <sup>b</sup> [23]	126 (7.0)	NA	140 (7.8)	NA			
Modified WHOb[6,31]	100 (5.6)	NA	140 (7.8)	NA			
HAPO <sup>b</sup> [13]	92 (5.1)	180 (10.0)	153 (8.5)	NA			

<sup>a</sup>≥2 elevated values are required to diagnose GD

<sup>b</sup>A single elevated value is sufficient to diagnose GD

GD: gestational diabetes

HAPO: Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

NA: not applicable

NDDG: National Diabetes Data Group OGTT: oral glucose tolerance test

Proper application of the O'Sullivan and Mahan OGTT calls for measurement (using the Somogy-Nelson whole-blood reductive method) of initial fasting glucose and 1, 2 and 3 hours after administration of 100 g of glucose.[13] Use of O'Sullivan's screening method for pregnant women, followed by the diagnostic test (O'Sullivan and Mahan's OGTT) for patients whose screening results showed altered levels, is known as the two-step method.[18] In 1979, in light of a new technique of measuring glucose in blood plasma, the NDDG adapted the original O'Sullivan and Mahan threshold values (from whole blood analysis) (Table 1) to the new laboratory method, which involved an increase in threshold values.[10,11]

Subsequent proposals by Carpenter and Coustan—approved in 1997 at the Fourth International Workshop Conference on Gestational Diabetes[19] and in 2000 by ADA[20] and American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) in 2001[21]—introduced changes made possible by advances in clinical laboratory procedures. Glucose could be measured in blood plasma by the enzymatic glucose oxidase method, leading to lower diagnostic threshold values in comparison with NDDG thresholds (Table 1), and consequently, a spike in estimated GD prevalence. For this reason, some countries, such as Spain, never adopted Carpenter and Coustan's diagnostic criteria and instead opted to continue to apply the NDDG criteria.[22] Blood glucose threshold levels in the 3-hour OGTT to diagnose GD have varied at each of these 3 points in history: 1964 (O'Sullivan and Mahan),[14] 1979 (NDDG)[11] and 1997 (Carpenter and Coustan).[15]

Since late 2010, IADPSG and ADA have recommended a 75-g, 2-hour OGTT to diagnose GD using threshold values from the HAPO study, based on increased risk of adverse perinatal outcomes with rising maternal blood glucose. Their current recommendations differ from previous ones in dose (75 g instead of 100 g) and in that blood glucose is measured 3 times instead of 4 (fasting, and at 1 and 2 hours), with a single elevated level (rather than ≥2) required to diagnose GD.[12,19] These criteria were recently approved by WHO,[23] the Endocrine Society,[24] International Diabetes Federation[25] and International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Table 1).[26]

Under these new criteria, estimated GD prevalence is expected to rise, which could lead to increased health care costs.[13] Several countries—including India (Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Group),[27] and the UK prior to 2015 (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, NICE)[28]—recommend strict application of WHO's 1999 diagnostic criteria.[23] NICE, however, currently recommends modified WHO criteria (Table 1).[28]

**Screening** Another source of controversy has been whether screening should be universal or selective (for at-risk pregnancies only) or even whether screening should be performed at all. The Second International Workshop Conference on Gestational Diabetes approved universal screening.[10] At the fourth workshop conference, however, it was proposed that low-risk pregnancies be excluded (selective screening). Low risk was defined as pregnancies that meet the following conditions: aged <25 years, appropriate prepregnancy weight (body mass index <25), belonging to ethnicity or group with low DM prevalence, no history of DM in first-degree family members, and no history of glucose intolerance or adverse obstetrical outcomes. At that time, ADA approved the proposal but ACOG did not, based on the argument that 3% to 10% of GD cases would be missed.[17-19,21] At present most countries do not adhere to universal screening but rather perform mass case-finding, which consists of conducting diagnostic tests (without initial screening) on all pregnant women as recommended by IADPSG[13] and ADA,[20] although its cost-effectiveness remains to be proven.[9,16] Spain, however, continues to follow ACOG recommendations for universal twostep screening.[22]

One concern about use of FPG as a screening test is that it is less sensitive (around 82%) than the O'Sullivan test (88%–99% or 70%–89% using cutoffs of 130 mg/dL and 140 mg/dL, respectively).

[16,17] It has not been determined whether the IADPSG and ADA recommendations for actively searching for GD in all pregnant women is beneficial.[9] The test has moderate sensitivity (82%) that decreases as pregnancy advances, since the fetus consumes large quantities of maternal glucose, accounting for pregnant women's generally low FPG. [7,8,29,30] OGTT administration between weeks 24 and 28 (as currently recommended by IADPSG, ADA and the Latin American Diabetes Association [ALAD]) enables early dagnosis of GD, and as a result, early therapeutic intervention, which theoretically should benefit both mother and child. Treatment is considered early if it begins before week 30 of gestation.[7,9,21,31,32]

In the USA, GD has traditionally been diagnosed through use of a two-step method: first a screening test (the highly sensitive O'Sullivan test), followed by a diagnostic OGTT for women with abnormal screening results.[10,16,18,21] This method is currently recommended by ACOG,[33] but not by IADPSG or ADA, which recommend omitting the screening step and going directly to the diagnostic test between weeks 24 and 28 for all pregnant women.[13,20] Others object that, because two-hour postprandial blood glucose is highly variable and only one abnormal value is required to make the diagnosis, this method results in a higher number of false positives than does the two-step method.[9]

Cuban policy Diagnosis Modified WHO criteria are used in Cuba to diagnose GD (Table 1): ≥2 FPG tests ≥5.6 mmol/L or a single 75-g 2-hour OGTT ≥7.8 mmol/L. [6,7 ] This diagnostic method for GD is identical to the recommendations of the 2007 Consensus Statement on Diabetes and Pregnancy in Latin America issued by the XIII ALAD, which is still in effect today.

[31] It is similar to the current ADA recommendation, derived from the HAPO study, but uses different threshold values (Table 1) and does not include one-hour blood glucose levels (Table 2).[13,20]

Although IADPSG and ADA consider a glucose metabolism disorder diagnosed in the first trimester of pregnancy as preexisting DM,[13,20] in Cuba, any hyperglycemic condition appearing during pregnancy is considered GD, independent of the trimester in which it was detected.[6,7] Since the end of 2010, with the first Cuban consensus on prediabetes, diagnostic criteria for GD have been harmonized with Cuban diagnostic criteria for different categories of glucose intolerance and DM in the general population. As a result, prediabetes and diabetes appearing

Table 2: Cuban and international approaches to GD detection

Table 2: Cuban and international approaches to GD detection										
Detection approach										
Selective				Universal						
NICE			WHO, IDF, FIGO, IADPSG, ADA, ACOG, ALAD, DIPSI, Cuba							
Screening method										
	ommended tic test only)	Screening, followed by diagnostic test as needed			(screen	Two-step (screening and diagnostic test)				
, ,	FIGO, IADPSG, ICE, DIPSI	ALAD, Cubaª				ACOG, Spain				
	Screening test									
Fasting pla	asma glucose	O'Sullivan test				None				
ALA	D, Cuba		ACOG, Spain			WHO, IDF, FIGO, IADPSG, ADA, NICE, DIPSI				
Time window for diagnostic test (OGTT)										
Weeks 24–28				Weeks 28-32						
WHO, IDF, FIGO, IADPSG, ADA, ACOG, ALAD, NICE, DIPSI, Spain  Cuba <sup>b</sup>										
Grams of glucose in OGTT										
100 g				75 g						
ACOG, Spain			WHO, IDF, FIGO, IADPSG, ADA, ALAD, NICE, DIPSI, Cuba							
Number of times blood glucose measured in OGTT										
	One	T	wo	Th	ree		Four			
С	DIPSI	ALAD, N	ICE, Cuba		F, FIGO, G, ADA		ACOG, Spain			
GD diagnostic criteria										
NDDG[11]	Carpenter and Coustan[15]		Strict WHO[23]		Modified WHO[6,31]		HAPO study[13]			
Spain	ACOG	ACOG		DIPSI		E,	WHO, IDF, FIGO, IADPSG, ADA			
Number of abnormal OGTT values needed for GD diagnosis										
1				≥2						
WHO, IDF, FIGO, IADPSG, ADA, ALAD, NICE, DIPSI, Cuba				ACOG, Spain						

<sup>a</sup>Screening followed by OGTT if FPG is 4.4–5.5 mmol/L

<sup>b</sup>Only women at risk for GD; in low-risk pregnancies if FPG is 4.4–5.5 mmol/L

ACOG: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

ADA: American Diabetes Association

ALAD: Latin American Diabetes Association

DIPSI: Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Group India

FPG: fasting plasma glucose

FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics

GD: Gestational diabetes

HAPO: Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

IADPSG: International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups

IDF: International Diabetes Federation

NDDG: National Diabetes Data Group

NICE: National Institute for Heath and Clinical Excellence, UK

OGTT: oral glucose tolerance test

during pregnancy are considered to be GD (Table 2).[6,7,29] This extremely comprehensive criterion means that the following cases are considered to be GD: (a) prepregnancy DM diagnosed during pregnancy, including types 1, 2 or other; and (b) typical GD, which is an asymptomatic disease generally appearing after the 24th week of pregnancy associated with hormonal changes occurring during pregnancy.[7,8,18]

Screening Universal screening for GD with FPG has been in place in Cuba since the 1990s. PNAIGD recommends that all pregnant women have an FPG test at their first prenatal visit (enrollment) and another around week 28 (reassessment consultation); measurements ≥5.6 mmol/L are considered

## **Perspective**

GD; values of 4.4–5.5 mmol/L are considered a GD risk factor and screening tests in this range are immediately followed by the diagnostic test, a 75-g 2-hour OGTT; measurements ≥7.8 mmol/L are considered elevated (modified WHO diagnostic criteria, Table 1). Most experts recommend that screening and diagnosis be conducted between gestation weeks 24 and 28, when the hyperglycemic effect of gestation has already developed).[17,20,24–26,31,32] In at-risk pregnancies in Cuba, FPG is ordered at the first prenatal visit and repeated at week 24; if GD is not detected by weeks 28–32, a 75-g 2-hour OGTT is ordered, not preceded by another screening test, as in the two-step method.[6,7,29,30]

The majority of pregnant women in Cuba are diagnosed with GD between weeks 24 and 27 of gestation and have previously shown signs of impaired FPG in a screening test (4.4–5.5 mmol/L). The rest are diagnosed before week 24 or between weeks 28 and 32, whether or not preceded by a positive screening test.[34] Application of a GD screening test without high sensitivity at week 24 would miss borderline cases, which would inhibit early diagnosis and treatment and adversely affect pregnancy outcomes.

Nevertheless, no Cuban studies have shown conclusively that women diagnosed with GD between weeks 24 and 28 have better maternal and perinatal outcomes than those diagnosed later. Although a small study conducted in 2016 on 302 women with GD found that diagnosis before week 28 was associated with earlier therapeutic intervention, there was no association with gestational outcomes, nor were significant differences found between groups in delivery timing (term or preterm), whether or not delivery was dystocic, one-minute Apgar score or fetal macrosomia.[34]

## FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

International consensus has not yet been reached on several issues related to GD screening and diagnosis, in which clinical laboratory testing plays a critical role. New regional and international meetings are needed to reach agreement on these differences, based on different countries' experiences. Pregnancy outcomes for Cuban women with DM (including GD) are satisfactory, with national rates similar to those elsewhere in the Americas for preeclampsia (5%), preterm delivery (12%), neonatal macrosomia (7.5%), congenital anomalies (4.3%) and perinatal death (4.8%).[35] Thus, while Cuban criteria differ in some respects from international approaches, there is no evidence that a drastic overhaul is needed.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Márquez A, Aldana D, Rodríguez BR, González ME, Lang J, Pérez J, et al. Prevalencia de diabetes gestacional en un área de salud de Ciudad de La Habana. Rev Asoc Latinoamer Diabetes. 1996;4:75–80. Spanish.
- Schneider S, Bock C, Wetzel M, Maul H, Loerbroks A. The prevalence of gestational diabetes in advanced economies. J Perinat Med. 2012 Sep;40(5):511–20.
- Sacks DA, Hadden DR, Maresh M, Deerochanawong C, Dyer AR, Metzger BE, et al. Frequency of gestational diabetes mellitus at collaborating centers based on IADPSG consensus panel-recommended criteria: the Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study. Diabetes Care. 2012 Mar;35(3):526–8.
- International Diabetes Federation. Prólogo. In: Federación Internacional de Diabetes. Atlas de la Diabetes de la Federación Internacional de Diabetes (FID). 6th ed. Brussels: International Diabetes Federation; 2014. p. 7. Spanish.
- Ferrara A. Increasing prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care. 2007 Jul;30 Suppl 2:S141–6.
- Obstetricia y perinatología. Diagnóstico y tratamiento. Havana: ECIMED; 2012. Chapter 50, Diabetes y embarazo; p. 306–21. Spanish.
- Cruz HernándezJ, Hernández García P, Grandía Guzmán R, Lang Prieto J, Isla Valdés A, González Padilla K. Consideraciones acerca de la diabetes mellitus durante el embarazo. Rev Cubana Endocrinol. 2015 Jan–Apr;26(1):47–65. Spanish.
- Duperval P, Cruz J. Recién nacido de madre con diabetes. In: Valdés R, editor. Examen físico al recién nacido. Havana: ECIMED; 2016. p. 86–98. Spanish.
- Coustan DR. Diagnosis of gestational diabetes. Scan J Clin Lab Invest Suppl. 2014;244:27–33.
- Gabbe SG. The gestational diabetes mellitus conferences. Three are history: focus on the fourth. Diabetes Care. 1998 Aug;21 Suppl 2:B1-2.
- Classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and other categories of glucose intolerance. National Diabetes Data Group. Diabetes. 1979 Dec;28(12):1039–57.

- Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care. 1997 Jul;20(7):1183–97.
- International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups Consensus Panel. International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups Recommendations on the Diagnosis and Classification of Hyperglycemia in Pregnancy. Diabetes Care. 2010 Mar;33(3):676–82.
- O'Sullivan JB, Mahan CM. Criteria for the oral glucose tolerance test in pregnancy. Diabetes. 1964 May–Jun;13:278–85.
- Carpenter MW, Coustan DR. Criteria for screening test for gestational diabetes. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1982 Dec 1;144(7):768–73.
- O'Sullivan JB, Mahan CM, Charles D, Dandrow RV. Screening for high-risk gestational diabetic patients. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1973 Aug 1;116:895–900.
- Najimudeen M, Sachchithanantham K. An appraisal of screening methods for gestational diabetes mellitus. Br J Med Medical Res. 2014 May;4(15):2853–65.
- American Diabetes Association. Gestational diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care. 2003 Jan;26 Suppl 1:S103–5.
- Metzger BE, Coustan DR. Summary and recommendations of the Fourth International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. The Organizing Committee. Diabetes Care. 1998 Aug;21Suppl 2:B161–7.
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes –2011. Diabetes Care. 2011 Jan;34 Suppl 1:S11–61.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Practice Bulletins—Obstetrics. ACOG Practice Bulletin. Clinical management guidelines for obstetriciangynecologists. Number 30, September 2001 (replaces Technical Bulletin Number 200, December 1994). Gestational diabetes. Obstet Gynecol. 2001 Sep;98(3):525–38.
- Ricart W, López J, Mozas J, Pericot A, Sancho MA, González N, et al. Potential impact of American Diabetes Association (2000) criteria

- for diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus in Spain. Diabetologia. 2005 Jun;48(6):1135–41.
- World Health Organization. Diagnostic criteria and classification of hyperglycemia first detected in pregnancy. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013. 63 p.
- Blumer I, Hadar E, Hadden DR, Jovanovič L, Mestman JH, Murad MH, et al. Diabetes and pregnancy; an Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013 Nov;98(11):4227–49.
- International Diabetes Federation. Recommended protocol for screening, management and follow up of women with GDM. Brussels: International Diabetes Federation; 2015.
- Hod M, Kapur A, Sacks DA, Hadar E, Agarwal M, Di Renzo GC, et al. The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) Initiative on gestational diabetes mellitus: A pragmatic guide for diagnosis, management and care. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2015 Oct;131 Suppl 3:S184–7.
- Seshiah V, Banerjee S, Balaji V, Muruganathan A, Das AK; Diabetes Consensus Group. Consensus evidence-based guidelines for management of gestational diabetes mellitus in India. J Assoc Physicians India. 2014 Jul;62(7 Suppl):55–62.
- Holt H. NICE's latest guidelines on diabetes in pregnancy: getting the balance right. Practical Diabetes. 2015 Apr;32(3):87–88a.
- Márquez Guillén A, Lang Prieto J, Valdés Amador L, Cruz Hernández J, Guerrero Rodríguez E. Prediabetes y diabetes gestacional. Rev Cubana Endocrinol. 2011 Jan–Apr;22(1):58–60. Spanish.
- Lang Prieto J, Márquez Guillén A, Valdés Amador L. Resúmenes del XIII Congreso de las Asociación Latinoamericana de Diabetes. Estudios Clínicos. Glucemia en ayunas como instrumento en la pesquisa de la diabetes gestacional. Rev Asoc Latinoamer Diabetes. 2007;15(3):130. Spanish.
- Consenso latinoamericano de diabetes y embarazo. Rev Asoc Latinoamer Diabetes. 2008;16(2):55–69. Spanish.
- Pan American Health Organization. Criterios diagnósticos y clasificación de la hiperglucemia detectada por primera vez en el embarazo.

# **Perspective**

- Washington, D.C.: Pan American Health Organization; 2016. 45 p. Spanish.
- Committee on Practice Bulletins-Obstetrics. Practice Bulletin No. 137: Gestational diabetes mellitus. Obstet Gynecol. 2013 Aug;122(2 Pt1):406–16.
- Cruz J, Fregoso C, Lang J, Márquez A. [Pregnancy outcomes in gestational diabetes diagnosed before and after 28 weeks]. Rev Soc Argent Diabetes. 2016;50 (Special Issue Scientific IADPSG Meeting 2016):46. Spanish.
- Pan American Health Organization. Hiperglucemia y embarazo en las Américas: Informe Final de la Conferencia Panamericana sobre Diabetes y Embarazo (Lima, Perú, September 8–10, 2015). Washington, D.C.: Pan American Health Organization; 2016. 76 p. Spanish.

## THE AUTHORS

**Jeddú Cruz-Hernández** (Corresponding author: celsocruz@infomed.sld.cu), physician

with dual specialties in endocrinology and family medicine and a master's degree in comprehensive women's health. Associate professor and adjunct researcher, National Endocrinology Institute (INEN), Havana, Cuba.

**Pilar Hernández-García**, physician specializing in laboratory medicine. Associate professor, Marfán Pediatric Teaching Hospital, Havana, Cuba.

**Jacinto Lang-Prieto**, endocrinologist. Associate professor and researcher, INEN, Havana, Cuba.

Marelys Yanes-Quesada, physician with dual specialties in endocrinology and family medicine and a master's degree in atherosclerosis research. Associate professor and adjunct researcher, Director, Diabetic Care Center, INEN, Havana, Cuba.

**Ileydis Iglesias-Marichal**, physician with dual specialties in endocrinology and family medicine and a master's degree in emergency medicine. Assistant professor and adjunct researcher, Director, INEN, Havana, Cuba.

Antonio Márquez-Guillén, endocrinologist with a doctorate in medical sciences. Full professor and senior researcher, INEN, Havana, Cuba. Head, National Comprehensive Diabetes and Pregnancy Program of Cuba's Maternal—Child Health Program, Ministry of Public Health, Havana, Cuba.

Submitted: January 20, 2016 Approved for publication: June 22, 2016 Disclosures: None