



Semina: Ciências Agrárias

ISSN: 1676-546X

semina.agrarias@uel.br

Universidade Estadual de Londrina
Brasil

Junior, Ademir Zacarias; de Freitas, Julio Cesar; da Silva Zacarias, Francielle Gibson;
Salvador, Rogério; Garcia, João Luis
Investigation of bacterial microbiota and risk factors in dogs with external ocular diseases
from Bandeirantes, Paraná State, Brazil
Semina: Ciências Agrárias, vol. 33, núm. 2, 2012, pp. 3243-3250
Universidade Estadual de Londrina
Londrina, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=445744118019>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal
Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

Investigation of bacterial microbiota and risk factors in dogs with external ocular diseases from Bandeirantes, Paraná State, Brazil

Investigação da microbiota bacteriana e associações de risco em cães com afecções oculares externas atendidos em Bandeirantes, Paraná, Brasil

Ademir Zacarias Junior^{1*}; Julio Cesar de Freitas²;
Francielle Gibson da Silva Zacarias³; Rogério Salvador⁴; João Luis Garcia⁵

Abstract

For the determination of the bacterial etiology of the external ocular diseases and sensitivity to antimicrobials, 38 dogs with external ocular diseases, unilateral or bilateral, and 120 dogs without ocular diseases (control group), were studied between 08/2008 and 07/2009 in the Veterinary Hospital of North Paraná State University, Brazil. The collected samples of the inferior conjunctival sac were incubated at 37°C in an aerobic environment, in blood agar and MacConkey agar, for 120 hours. After the presumptive identification, the bacterial species were identified by the systems APISTAPH (bio-Merieux, Incorporation), API 20 STREP (bio-Merieux, Incorporation) and BACTRAY (Laborclin, Ltd.) and incubated in Mueller-Hinton agar with antimicrobials disks, for sensitivity determination. For the risk factors, the owners answered a questionnaire with epidemiological variables. There was microorganism growth in 46 (73.02%) samples, with isolation of one microorganism in 42 samples and two microorganisms in four. Gram-positive bacteria corresponded to 76% of the isolated, Gram-negative 20% and yeasts fungi 4%. *Staphylococcus* spp totalized 66% of isolated, with *S. aureus* (24%) and *S. intermedius* (24%) the most prevalent. With the exception of *S. intermedius* (91.67%) and *S. epidermidis* (66.67%), the isolated bacterial species presented 100% resistance to the sulfonamide. The *S. aureus* isolated presented 91,67% sensitivity to chloranphenicol, tobramycin and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, and the same percentile of resistance to tetracycline. The *S. intermedius* presented 100% sensitivity to amoxicillin/ clavulanic acid and 91,67% to gentamicin and 75% resistance to tetracycline and ceftriaxone. The associations of risk for external ocular diseases were clinical returns (OR=59,50, 7,29<OR<1305,07, p=0,00000), use of topical antibiotics (OR=19,67, 3,59<OR<142,50, p=0,00003), use of topical corticoids (OR=19,83, 2,08<OR<474,07, p=0,00232) and ocular cleansing (OR=23,00, 6,80<OR<82,90, p=0,00000). The realization of microbiological examinations is essential for the adequate treatment of ocular diseases.

Key words: *Staphylococcus* spp, diagnostic, antimicrobial, antibiotic, conjunctival

¹ Médico Veterinário, MSc., Prof. Assistente, Universidade Estadual do Norte do Paraná, UENP, *Campus* Luiz Meneghel, Setor de Veterinária e Produção Animal. E-mail: zacarias@uenp.edu.br

² Médico Veterinário, Dr., Prof. Titular, Deptº de Medicina Veterinária Preventiva, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, UEL, Londrina, PR. E-mail: freitasj@uel.br

³ Médica Veterinária, Profª Drª Adjunta, UENP, *Campus* Luiz Meneghel, Setor de Veterinária e Produção Animal. E-mail: francielleg@yaho.com.br

⁴ Médico Veterinário, Prof. Dr., Adjunto, UENP, *Campus* Luiz Meneghel, Setor de Veterinária e Produção Animal. E-mail: salvador@uenp.edu.br

⁵ Médico Veterinário, Prof. Dr., Associado, Deptº de Medicina Veterinária Preventiva, UEL, Londrina, PR. E-mail: jlgarcia@uel.br

* Author for correspondence

Resumo

Para a determinação da etiologia bacteriana das afecções oculares externas e perfil de sensibilidade a antimicrobianos, 38 cães com doenças oculares externas, unilaterais ou bilaterais, e 120 cães sem doenças oculares (grupo controle), foram atendidos entre 08/2008 a 07/2009 no Hospital Veterinário da Universidade Estadual do Norte do Paraná. As amostras coletadas do saco conjuntival inferior foram semeadas em ágar sangue e MacConkey e incubadas em aerobiose a 37°C por até 120 horas. Após a identificação presuntiva, as espécies bacterianas foram identificadas pelos sistemas APISTAPH (bio-Merieux, Inc.), API 20 STREP (bio-Merieux, Inc.) e BACTRAY (Laborclin, Ltda.) e semeadas em agar Mueller-Hinton, para determinação da sensibilidade por meio da técnica de difusão de discos. Para as associações de risco, os proprietários dos 158 cães responderam um questionário com variáveis epidemiológicas. Das 63 amostras, houve crescimento de microrganismos em 46 (73,02%), com isolamento de um microrganismo em 42 amostras e de dois microrganismos em quatro. Bactérias Gram positivas corresponderam a 76% dos isolamentos, Gram negativas a 20% e fungos leveduriformes a 4%. *Staphylococcus* spp totalizaram 66% dos isolamentos, sendo *S. aureus* (24%) e *S. intermedius* (24%) as mais prevalentes. Exceto *S. intermedius* (91,67%) e *S. epidermidis* (66,67%), as espécies bacterianas isoladas apresentaram resistência de 100% às sulfonamidas. Os *S. aureus* isolados apresentaram sensibilidade de 91,67% para cloranfenicol, tobramicina e amoxicilina/clavulanato, e o mesmo percentual de resistência para tetraciclina. Os *S. intermedius* apresentaram 100% de sensibilidade para amoxicilina/clavulanato e 91,67% para gentamicina e resistência de 75% para tetraciclina e ceftriaxona. As associações de risco para as doenças oculares externas foram recidivas clínicas (OR=59,50, 7,29<OR<1305,07, p=0,00000), uso de colírios com antibióticos (OR=19,67, 3,59<OR<142,50, p=0,00003), uso de colírios com corticóides (OR=19,83, 2,08<OR<474,07, p=0,00232) e realização de limpeza ocular (OR=23,00, 6,80<OR<82,90, p=0,00000). A realização de exames microbiológicos é essencial para o tratamento adequado das doenças oculares.

Palavras-chave: Bactérias, *Staphylococcus* spp, antimicrobianos, diagnóstico, associações de risco

Introduction

The eye surface is rich in nutrients and, consequently, several microorganisms are found, which constitute the ocular microbiota (ARMSTRONG, 2000). The infectious keratitis and bacterial conjunctivitis are usually enhanced by disruption of the defense mechanism of the ocular surface, which includes the structural integrity and blinking action of the eye lids, the intact epithelium of the conjunctiva and cornea, and the precorneal tear film (WHITLEY, 2000; OLLIVIER, 2003; WANG et. al., 2008).

External ocular diseases can be very severe and clinical signs progress rapidly demanding immediate and intense treatment measures to prevent loss of vision due to extensive scarring or corneal perforation (TOLAR et. al., 2006). Etiologic diagnostic and antimicrobial treatment choice are established by culture and sensitivity testing (GELATT, 2003; SLATTER, 2005; 2007; LAUS, 2009).

Gram-positive bacteria, especially *Staphylococcus* spp are most often identified from animals with external ocular diseases and the prevalence rates may be results of the influence of season, dog breed, geography, climate and previous treatment (PRADO et. al., 2005; 2006; LIN; PETERSEN-JONES, 2007; WANG et. al., 2008).

The purpose of this study was to determine the bacterial microorganisms and their respective susceptibility to antimicrobials in dogs' with external ocular diseases, and identify risk factors associated to external ocular diseases.

Material and Methods

Ocular specimens of 38 dogs (19 males and 19 females) with ocular disorders were collected over a 12-month period (august 2008 to july 2009) at Veterinary Teaching Hospital of North Paraná State University, Brazil. Eye compromising was confirmed by observing unilateral or

bilateral external ocular changes as chemosis, conjunctival hyperemia, conjunctival haemorrhage, mucopurulent ocular discharge, blefarospasm, epiphora or tear deficit, photophobia, corneal edema or corneal vascularization and pigmentation. Sterile swabs were passed direct on inferior conjunctival sac of compromised eye avoiding contact with eyelashes and skin of eyelids. The swabs were transferred immediately to the laboratory and incubated in blood agar and McConkey agar at 37°C in an aerobic environment for 120 hours. Those without bacterial growth and exceeding 120 hours were treated as negative results.

Isolated microorganisms were identified by colony macroscopic characteristics, Gram staining, catalase test, lisostafine oxidase test and coagulase test, according to Koneman (2001). For species identification APISTAPH (bio-Merieux, Inc.), API 20 STREP (bio-Merieux, Inc.) e BACTRAY (Laborclin, Ltda.) systems were used.

Antimicrobial sensitivity profile followed Bauer et al. (1966) recommendations. Colonies were tested to amikacin, amoxicillin/ clavulanic acid, ampicillin, cephalixin, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, chloramphenicol, gentamicin, moxifloxacin, neomycin, norfloxacin, ofloxacin, polymyxin B, sulphonamide, tetracycline and tobramycin. Results interpretation was done according to Koneman (2001).

To obtain epidemiological information, each owner of 38 dogs with external ocular disease responded to a questionnaire prepared with different variables (season, weight, breed, gender, hair coat, feeding, presence of ectoparasites, immunization and worming scheme, street access, presence of contacting animals, neutering and spaying, allergic diseases, previous external ocular diseases, use

of antibiotics, steroids, anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive drugs, ocular cleaning and clinical recurrence). Other 120 healthy dog owners answered the same survey to compose control group. Information obtained in the study case:control (38:120) were treated statistically with chi square and odds ratio (OR) tests in a statistical software (Epi-info 6.4d) (DEAN et al., 2001). Significant differences were considered when $p \leq 0,05$.

Results

Of 38 studied cases, 13 had unilateral ocular disease and 25 bilateral, resulting in 63 specimens. There was positive culture in 46 (73,02%) samples with single isolated in 42 and two isolated in 4 specimens. Gram-positive bacteria were isolated in 38 (76%) specimens, Gram-negative in 10 (20%) and fungal yeasts in 2 (4%) (Table 1).

The antibiotic susceptibility profile revealed 100% resistance to sulphonamide, except for *Staphylococcus intermedius* (*S. intermedius*) (91,67%) and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (*S. epidermidis*) (66,67%). Susceptibility of *Staphylococcus* spp., *Enterococcus* spp., *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (*K. pneumoniae*) and *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) to several antibiotics are described in Table 2. *Enterobacter cloacae* (*E. cloacae*) and fungal yeasts were not tested to antibiotic susceptibility.

Four risk factors were observed in this study: clinical recurrence (OR=59,50, 7,29<OR<1305,07, $p=0,00000$), previous use of topical antibiotic (OR=19,67, 3,59<OR<142,50, $p=0,00003$), previous use of topical corticosteroids (OR=19,83, 2,08<OR<474,07, $p=0,00232$) and ocular cleaning (OR=23,00, 6,80<OR<82,90, $p=0,00000$).

Table 1. Isolated microorganisms from 63 sterile swab specimens of 38 dogs attended at Veterinary Teaching Hospital of North Paraná State University, Brazil, from 08/2008 to 07/2009.

Microorganism	Number of isolations (%)
Gram-positive	
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	12 (24)
<i>Staphylococcus intermedius</i>	12 (24)
<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i>	4 (8)
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	3 (6)
<i>Staphylococcus xylosus</i>	2 (4)
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	4 (8)
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	1 (2)
Subtotal	38 (76)
Gram-negative	
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	4 (8)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	4 (8)
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	2 (4)
Subtotal	10 (20)
Fungus	
Yeasts	2 (4)
Total	50 (100)

Source: Elaboration of the authors.

Discussion

In this study, the isolated microorganisms (73,02%) was similar to other surveys in dogs with corneal ulcers performed in Brazil (74,42%) by Morales et al. (2009) and in Taiwan (70,5%) by Lin and Petersen-Jones (2007), though other surveys had a great prevalence also reported in other brazilian area (100%) by Prado et al. (2006) and China (93,1%) by Wang et al. (2008). In our study, samples were carried out using sterile swabs from conjunctival sac, while Lin and Petersen-Jones (2007), Wang et al. (2008) e Morales et al. (2009) used swabs directly on ulcer surface and Prado et al. (2006) used spatulas for corneal fragments collection. These different sampling methods and places associated with varied clinical lesions may have influenced results in different studies.

In dogs with external ocular diseases there is Gram-positive bacteria predominance, being *Staphylococcus* and *Streptococcus* the most commonly isolated (WHITLEY, 2000). In our study, Gram-positive bacteria represented 76% of isolations, and *Staphylococcus* spp were the most frequent (66%). Kudirkiene, Zilinskas and Siugzdaitė (2006), studied dogs with external ocular diseases in Lithuania and isolated *Staphylococcus* spp in 58% of their samples. Different results were obtained in dogs with corneal ulcers by Prado et al. (2005; 2006) and Lin and Petersen-Jones (2007), 56,7%, 45,2% and 49%, respectively. Morales et al. (2009) isolated *Staphylococcus* in 26,55% of samples from dogs with corneal ulcer previously treated with antibiotics.

Table 2. Results of antimicrobial sensitivity tests of isolated microorganisms from 63 sterile swab specimens of 38 dogs attended at Veterinary Teaching Hospital of North Paraná State University, Brazil, from 08/2008 to 07/2009.

Drug	Sensitivity		Bacteria						
	<i>S.aureus</i> (n=12)	<i>S.intermedius</i> (n=12)	<i>S.lentus</i> (n=4)	<i>S.epidermidis</i> (n=3)	<i>S.xylopus</i> (n=2)	<i>E.faecium</i> (n=4)	<i>E.faecalis</i> (n=1)	<i>K.pneumoniae</i> (n=4)	<i>E.coli</i> (n=4)
Amikacin	S 4 (33,33%)	5 (41,67%)	4 (100%)	2 (66,67%)	1 (50%)	3 (75%)	1 (100%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)
	R 8 (66,67%)	7 (58,33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33,33%)	1 (50%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Amoxicillin/ clavulanic acid	S 11 (91,67%)	12 (100%)	4 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (50%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)
	R 1 (8,33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)
Ampicillin	S 4 (33,33%)	6 (50%)	1 (25%)	2 (66,67%)	1 (50%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	R 8 (66,67%)	6 (50%)	3 (75%)	1 (33,33%)	1 (50%)	3 (75%)	1 (100%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)
Cephalexin	S 6 (50%)	5 (41,67%)	3 (75%)	1 (33,33%)	1 (50%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	3 (75%)
	R 6 (50%)	7 (58,33%)	1 (25%)	2 (66,67%)	1 (50%)	2 (50%)	1 (100%)	2 (50%)	1 (25%)
Ceftioxone	S 7 (58,33%)	3 (25%)	3 (75%)	1 (33,33%)	1 (50%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	1 (25%)
	R 5 (41,67%)	9 (75%)	1 (25%)	2 (66,67%)	1 (50%)	3 (75%)	1 (100%)	2 (50%)	3 (75%)
Ciprofloxacin	S 9 (75%)	9 (75%)	1 (25%)	3 (100%)	1 (50%)	4 (100%)	1 (100%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)
	R 3 (25%)	3 (25%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)
Chloramphenicol	S 11 (91,67%)	7 (58,33%)	3 (75%)	3 (100%)	1 (50%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	1 (25%)
	R 1 (8,33%)	5 (41,67%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)
Gentamicin	S 9 (75%)	11 (91,67%)	3 (75%)	1 (33,33%)	2 (100%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)
	R 3 (25%)	1 (8,33%)	1 (25%)	2 (66,67%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Moxifloxacin	S 6 (50%)	5 (41,67%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)
	R 6 (50%)	7 (58,33%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	4 (100%)	1 (100%)	2 (50%)	4 (100%)
Neomycin	S 10 (83,33%)	8 (66,67%)	3 (75%)	3 (100%)	1 (50%)	3 (75%)	1 (100%)	3 (75%)	2 (50%)
	R 2 (16,67%)	4 (33,33%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	2 (50%)
Norfloxacin	S 9 (75%)	10 (83,33%)	2 (50%)	3 (100%)	1 (50%)	4 (100%)	1 (100%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)
	R 3 (25%)	2 (16,67%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)
Ofloxacin	S 8 (66,67%)	6 (50%)	2 (50%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)
	R 4 (33,33%)	6 (50%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	4 (100%)
Polimyxin B	S 8 (66,67%)	7 (58,33%)	3 (75%)	2 (66,67%)	2 (100%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)
	R 4 (33,33%)	5 (41,67%)	1 (25%)	1 (33,33%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Sulfonamide	S 0 (0%)	1 (8,33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33,33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	R 12 (100%)	11 (91,67%)	4 (100%)	2 (66,67%)	2 (100%)	4 (100%)	1 (100%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)
Tetracycline	S 1 (8,33%)	3 (25%)	0 (0%)	2 (66,67%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)
	R 11 (91,67%)	9 (75%)	4 (100%)	1 (33,33%)	2 (100%)	3 (75%)	1 (100%)	2 (50%)	4 (100%)
Tobramycin	S 11 (91,67%)	7 (58,33%)	3 (75%)	3 (100%)	2 (100%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	3 (75%)
	R 1 (8,33%)	5 (41,67%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)

S.aureus (*Staphylococcus aureus*); *S.intermedius* (*Staphylococcus intermedius*); *S.lentus* (*Staphylococcus lentus*); *S.epidermidis* (*Staphylococcus epidermidis*); *S.xylopus* (*Staphylococcus xylopus*); *E.faecium* (*Enterococcus faecium*); *E.faecalis* (*Enterococcus faecalis*); *K.pneumoniae* (*Klebsiella pneumoniae*); *E.coli* (*Escherichia coli*).

R= resistant; S= sensitive.

Source: Elaboration of the authors.

According Olliver (2003) and Laus (2009), *S. aureus* and *S. intermedius* have high pathogenic potential and represent the most important species of bacteria causing external ocular diseases. The high prevalence (72,72%) of these two species was also isolated in Taiwan (90,48%) by Lin and Petersen-Jones (2007) and China (75,01%) by Wang et al. (2008). In Brazil, Prado et al. (2006) isolated *S. aureus* and *S. intermedius* in 92,92% of the specimens collected from dogs with corneal ulcer. Different prevalence of these bacteria in studies performed in Brazil and other countries probably results from factors as climate, geographic location and season.

Other *Staphylococcus* species as *S. lentus* (8%), *S. epidermidis* (6%) e *S. xylosus* (4%) have also been reported by Andrade et al. (2002) and Wang et al. (2008) of samples of healthy dogs. These species are considered normal members of skin microbiota, are frequently isolated from cutaneous wounds and are less pathogenic than *S. aureus* (HIRSH; ZEE, 2003; QUINN et al., 2005).

Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria from intestinal environment are considered opportunist agents in several infectious processes (HIRSH; ZEE, 2003; QUINN et al., 2005). In this study, the isolation of intestinal bacteria as *Enterococcus* spp (10%), *K. pneumoniae* (8%), *E. coli* (8%) and *E. cloacae* (4%), suggest that environmental contamination and poor hygiene can contributed to the occurrence of these bacteria in ocular diseases.

The knowledge of the antimicrobials sensitivity of microorganisms isolated from the external eye diseases is critical in determining the most effective treatment and avoids the selection of antibiotic resistant bacteria.

Sulfonamide inefficiency in the treatment of external ocular diseases in this study could be confirmed by resistance detected in Gram –positive and Gram-negative bacteria isolated with exception to *S. intermedius* (91,67%) and *S. epidermidis* (66,67%). As the main strategy in ocular diseases is

to eliminate the infection and reduce damage to the ocular surface, sulfonamides, if used, could allow the involvement of the cornea and conjunctiva of dogs surveyed.

The mean sensitivity of *S. aureus* and *S. intermedius*, most important agents causing ocular infections, to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (95,84%), gentamicin (83,33%), norfloxacin (79,17%), ciprofloxacin (75%), chloramphenicol (75%), neomycin (75%) and tobramycin (75%) indicates these antibiotics as appropriate choice of treatment before laboratory results. Similar sensitivity results with both *Staphylococcus* species were found to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (95,55%), ciprofloxacin (89,6%) and chloramphenicol (69,75%) by Lin and Petersen-Jones (2007). The mean resistance of *S. aureus* and *S. intermedius* to tetracycline (83,34%), amikacin (62,5%), ampicillin (58,34%) and ceftriaxone (58,34%) may be considered high, precluding the use of antimicrobials in clinical practice. Similar resistance results for *Staphylococcus* species were found to tetracycline (72,9%) and ampicillin (71%) by Lin and Petersen-Jones (2007).

All *Enterococcus* bacteria isolated were sensitive to norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin and resistant to moxifloxacin. Lin and Petersen-Jones (2007) and Massa et al. (1999) described the isolation of this bacteria in dogs with external eye diseases, but did not evaluate the antimicrobial sensitivity. In dogs with skin wounds, peritonitis or endocarditis by *Enterococcus*, the choice antibiotics are vancomycin and penicillin, not tested in the present study. Sulfonamides are not efficient because this agent has high acquired and natural resistance to this antibiotic (GREENE, 1990; PAPICH, 2008).

The specimens of *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* showed sensitivity to gentamicin, polymyxin and amikacin and the same percentage of ampicillin resistance. Lin and Petersen-Jones (2007) had 67% of *E. coli* isolated from corneal ulcers in dogs showing sensitivity to amikacin and 86% resistant to

ampicillin and tetracycline. There are deficiencies in antimicrobial sensitivity studies of Gram-negative bacteria isolated from external eye diseases in dogs.

Fungal diseases on the ocular surface are rare but may be caused by *Candida* and *Aspergillus* (SAMUELSON; ANDRESEN; GWIN, 1984; MASSA et al., 1999). The predisposing factors for ocular fungal infection are inappropriate use of antibiotics, corticosteroids or immunosuppressive drugs for a prolonged periods, as well as the presence of mycotic blepharitis concomitant with bulbar lesions, which may predispose to yeast infections like *Mallassezia* sp (KONEMAN, 2001; SLATTER, 2005; LAUS, 2009). The presence of yeasts in two dogs of this study may be due to improper use of ocular drugs previously by the owners.

The previous use of eye drops with antibiotics and steroids, administered as inadequate frequency or period, are associated with external eye diseases and can cause clinical recurrence, with selection and multiplication of eye microbiota, slow healing corneal epithelium, suppression of inflammatory mechanisms and enhancement of corneal ulceration (OLLIVIER, 2003; PRADO et al., 2006; TOLAR et al., 2006; LAUS, 2009; MORALES et al., 2009).

In the studied population, the cleaning eye was considered a risk factor and should be done judiciously. Despite the sterile eye solutions are indicated for the removal of ocular discharge, foreign bodies and irritating material on the ocular surface, when stored inappropriately can promote bacterial growth in solution with subsequent recontamination of the cornea and conjunctiva (SLATTER, 2005; LAUS, 2009).

Conclusions

Bacterial culture confirmed Gram-positive bacteria, specifically *S. aureus* and *S. intermedius*, as the most prevalent in external ocular diseases. Sensitivity tests indicated high resistance to sulfonamide excluding this substance to treat

ocular infections. The most indicated antibiotics to treat external ocular diseases caused by *S. aureus* and *S. intermedius* are gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, chloramphenicol, neomycin and tobramycin. Risk factors for external ocular disorders were presence of clinical recurrence, previous use of antibiotic or corticoids eyedrops and cleaning eyes. Microbiological and antibiogram tests are essential for the adequate treatment and cure of the external ocular diseases.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Fundação Araucária and Secretaria da Ciência, Tecnologia e Ensino Superior do Estado do Paraná for providing resources and support to research.

This article was approved by the Ethics and Animal Experimentation Committee of the Londrina State University and registered under number 69/08.

References

- ANDRADE, A. L.; STRINGHINI, G.; BONELLO, F. L.; MARINHO, M.; PERRI, S. H. V. Microbiota conjuntival de cães sadios da cidade de Araçatuba (SP). *Arquivo Brasileiro de Oftalmologia*, v. 65, p. 323-326, 2002.
- ARMSTRONG, R. A. The microbiology of the eye. *Ophthalmic Physiological Optics*, v. 20, n. 6, p. 429-441, 2000.
- BAUER, A. W.; KIRBY, W. M. M.; SHERRIS, J. C.; TURCK, M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, Philadelphia, v. 45, n. 4, p. 493-496, 1966.
- DEAN, A. G.; DEAN, J. A.; COULOMBIER, D.; BURTON, A. H.; BRENDEN, K. A.; SMITH, D. C. *Epi Info version 6.04d: a word processing, database and statistic program for public health on microcomputer*. Atlanta: Centers of Disease Control and Prevention, 2001.
- GREENE, C. E. *Infectious diseases of the dog and the cat*. 2. ed. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders, 1990.
- HIRSH, D. C.; ZEE, Y. C. *Microbiologia veterinária*. 2. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan, 2003.

- KONEMAN, W. K. *Diagnóstico microbiológico*. 5. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Medsi, 2001.
- KUDIRKIENE, E.; ZILINSKAS, H.; SIUGZDAITE, J. Microbial flora of the dog eyes. *Veterinarija Ir Zootechnika*, v. 34, n. 56, p. 18-21, 2006.
- LAUS, J. L. *Oftalmologia clínica e cirúrgica em cães e gatos*. São Paulo: Roca, 2009.
- LIN, C. T.; PETERSEN-JONES, S. M. Antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial isolates from corneal ulcers of dogs in Taiwan. *Journal of Small Animal Practice*, v. 48, n. 5, p. 271-274, 2007.
- MASSA, K. L.; MURPHY, C. J.; HARTMANN, F. A.; MILLER, P. E.; KORSOWER, C. S.; YOUNG, K. M. Usefulness of aerobic microbial culture and cytologic evaluation of corneal specimens in the diagnosis of infectious ulcerative keratitis in animals. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, v. 215, n. 11, p. 1671-1674, 1999.
- MORALES, A.; VALINHOS, M. A. R.; SALVADEGO, M.; LEVY, C. E. Microbiological and clinical aspects of corneal ulcers in dogs. In: WORLD SMALL ANIMAL VETERINARY CONGRESS – WSAVA/ FECAVA/ CSAVA. 34., 2009, São Paulo. *Anais...* São Paulo: [s.n], 2009. p. 195-197.
- OLLIVIER, F. J. Bacterial corneal diseases in dogs and cats. *Clinical Techniques in Small Animal Practice*, v. 18, n. 3, p. 193-198, 2003.
- PAPICH, M. G. Bacterial resistance and its management in the 21st century. In: PROCEEDINGS OF THE WORLD SMALL ANIMAL VETERINARY ASSOCIATION – WSAVA. 32., 2008, Sidney, 2008. *Anais...* Sidney: [s.n.], 2008. Available at: <http://www.ivis.org/proceedings/Wsava/2007/pdf/62_20070402142232_abs.pdf>. Accessed at: 15 jun. 2010.
- PRADO, M. R.; BRITO, E. H. S.; GIRÃO, M. D.; SIDRIM, J. J. C.; ROCHA, M. F. G. Identification and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacterial isolated from corneal ulcers of dogs. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia*, v. 58, n. 6, p. 33-37, 2006.
- PRADO, M. R.; ROCHA, M. F. G.; BRITO, E. H. S.; GIRÃO, M. D.; MONTEIRO, A. J.; TEIXEIRA, M. F. S.; SIDRIM, J. J. C. Survey of bacterial microorganisms in the conjunctival sac of clinically normal dogs and dogs with ulcerative keratitis in Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. *Veterinary Ophthalmology*, v. 8, n. 1, p. 33-37, 2005.
- QUINN, P. J. *Microbiologia veterinária e doenças infecciosas*. Porto Alegre: Artmed, 2005.
- SAMUELSON, D. A.; ANDRESEN, T. L.; GWIN, R. M. Conjunctival fungal flora in horses, cattle, dogs, and cats. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, v. 184, n. 10, p. 1240-1242, 1984.
- SLATTER, D. *Fundamentos de oftalmologia veterinária*. 3. ed. São Paulo: Roca, 2005.
- _____. *Manual de cirurgia de pequenos animais*. 3. ed. Barueri : Manole, 2007. 2v.
- TOLAR, E. L.; HENDRIX, D. V. H.; ROHRBACH, B. W.; PLUMMER, C. E.; BROOKS, D. E.; GELATT, K. N. Evaluation of clinical characteristics and bacterial isolates in dog with bacterial keratitis: 97 cases (1993-2003). *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, v. 228, n. 1, p. 80-85, 2006.
- WANG, L.; PAN, Q.; ZHANG, L.; XUE, Q.; CUI, J.; QI, C. Investigation of bacterial microorganisms in the conjunctival sac of clinically normal dogs and dogs with ulcerative keratitis in Beijing, China. *Veterinary Ophthalmology*, v. 11, n. 3, p. 145-149, 2008.
- WHITLEY, R. D. Canine and feline primary bacterial infection. *Veterinary Clinics of North America*, v. 30, n. 5, p. 1151-1167, 2000.