

Semina: Ciências Agrárias

ISSN: 1676-546X semina.agrarias@uel.br

Universidade Estadual de Londrina Brasil

Lana, Maria do Carmo; Steiner, Fábio; Zoz, Tiago; Frandoloso, Jucenei Fernando; Fey, Rubens

Prediction of boron adsorption on some soils of State Paraná, Brazil Semina: Ciências Agrárias, vol. 34, núm. 2, marzo-abril, 2013, pp. 603-613 Universidade Estadual de Londrina Londrina, Brasil

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=445744120012



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



Prediction of boron adsorption on some soils of State Paraná, Brazil

Adsorção de boro em alguns solos do Estado do Paraná

Maria do Carmo Lana¹; Fábio Steiner^{2*}; Tiago Zoz²; Jucenei Fernando Frandoloso³; Rubens Fey⁴

Abstract

Boron adsorption in soils affects their behavior in the environment and their availability to plants. This study investigated the effect of liming on B adsorption in different soils from Paraná State, and to correlate these values with some physical and chemical properties of the soils. Surface samples (0–0.2 m) of nine soils with different parent material and physicochemical properties were used. Samples with or without lime application were incubated for 60 days. Boron adsorption was accomplished by shaking 4.0g soil, for 24 h, with 20 mL of 0.01 mol L⁻¹ CaCl₂ solution containing different concentrations of B (0, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 16 mg L⁻¹). Sorption was fitted to non-linear form of the Langmuir adsorption isotherm. Boron adsorption increased as concentration increased. Maximum adsorption capacity (MAC) of B ranged from 2.3 to 42.9 mg kg⁻¹ (without lime) and 22.7 to 109.9 mg kg⁻¹ (with lime). The two Arenic Hapludalf have had the highest B adsorption capacity, whereas the sandy-textured Arenic Hapludult (Ult1) had the lowest values. Liming increased the amount of adsorbed B on the soils, except in the Typic Hapludox (Ox4) and Arenic Hapludult (Ult2). The bonding energy (K) decreased with the lime in most soils, indicating that at higher pH values the B is adsorbed more weakly. The soil pH, the organic matter, and the contents of silicon oxide (SiO₂) and aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃) were the soils properties that affecting the B adsorption on Paraná soils.

Key words: Langmuir isotherm, maximum adsorption capacity, liming, boron fertilizer

Resumo

A adsorção de B pelo solo afeta o seu comportamento no meio ambiente e sua disponibilidade para às plantas. Este estudo teve como objetivo avaliar o efeito da calagem na adsorção de B em solos do Estado do Paraná, e correlacionar estes valores com os atributos químicos e físicos do solo. Foram utilizadas amostras da camada superficial, de 0-0.2 m, de nove solos, escolhidas por apresentarem variação no material de origem, as quais contemplaram as Ordens Latossolo, Nitossolo, Argissolo e Neossolo. Para determinar a quantidade de B adsorvido amostras com e sem calagem, após incubadas durante 40 dias, foram mantidas em contato, mediante agitação por 24 h, com solução de CaCl, 0,01 mol L-1 contendo diferentes concentrações de B (0, 1, 2, 4, 8 e 16 mg L⁻¹ de B). O ajuste dos resultados experimentais foi realizado pela forma não-linear da isoterma de Langmuir. A adsorção máxima de B variou de 2,28 a 42,89 mg kg⁻¹ (sem calagem) e de 22,73 a 109,89 mg kg⁻¹ (com calagem), sendo que, os Nitossolos foram os solos que apresentaram maior capacidade de adsorção de B. A calagem aumentou a quantidade de B adsorvido nos solos, exceto no Latossolo Vermelho-Amarelo e no Argissolo Vermelho-Amarelo. A energia de ligação de B no solo diminuiu com a calagem, na maioria dos solos, indicando que em valores de pH mais elevados a B é adsorvida mais fracamente. O pH do solo, a matéria orgânica e os teores de óxidos de silício (SiO₂) e de alumínio (Al₂O₃) foram as propriedades do solo que se correlacionaram diretamente com a adsorção de B.

Palavras-chave: Isoterma de Langmuir, capacidade de adsorção máxima, calagem, adubação boratada

¹ Profa. Centro de Ciências Agrárias, Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, UNIOESTE. Marechal Cândido Rondon, PR. E-mail: maria.lana@unioeste.br

² Profs. do Curso de Agronomia, Faculdades Integradas de Ourinhos, FIO, Ourinhos, SP. E-mail: fsteiner_agro@yahoo.com.br; tiagozoz@fca.unesp.br

³ Dr. Técnico do Laboratório de Fertilidade do Solo e Nutrição Mineral de Plantas, UNIOESTE. Marechal Cândido Rondon, PR. E-mail: juceneiff@hotmail.com

⁴ Dr. Bolsista Pesquisador PNPD-CAPES, UNIOESTE. Marechal Cândido Rondon, PR. E-mail: rubensfey@hotmail.com

^{*} Author for correspondence

Introduction

Boron (B) deficiency in soil poses a serious limitation to the development of several economic-interest crops, due to natural soil's low fertility, removal by crops and inappropriate or excessive use of acidity corrective that contribute to its insolubilization. In addition, the appropriate management of B in soil-plant system is usually difficult because the range of B concentrations in soil solution between those that causes deficiency or toxicity symptoms in plants is relatively narrow. Thus, prediction of B concentration in soil solution is particularly important.

The availability of B depends upon adsorption desorption processes, which are influenced by various physicochemical properties of soils (ARORA; CHAHAL, 2010). The extent of B adsorption in soil depends of solution pH, soil texture and mineral composition (COMMUNAR; KEREN, 2006). Of these, the soil pH has been reported as the main factor affecting the B adsorption in the soil (SALTALI et al., 2005; SOARES; CASAGRANDE; ALLEONI, 2008; CHEN; HO; LEE, 2009), mainly by influencing in the control of the predominant B species in solution and attributes related to its adsorption such as charge balance on colloids surface. Other factors, such as the clay content, aluminium and iron (hydr)oxides, clay minerals, calcium carbonate and organic matter of soil also influence B sorption in agricultural (GOLDBERG; CORWIN; 2005; ARORA; CHAHAL, 2007; GOLDBERG; SUAREZ; SHOUSE, 2008; SHAFIQ et al., 2008; ARORA; CHAHAL, 2010; STEINER et al., 2012). Several possible mechanisms for the chemical combination of B with soils include anion exchange, precipitation of insoluble borates with sesquioxides, sorption of borate ions or molecular boric acid, formation of organic complexes, and fixation of B in the clay lattice (KEREN; BINGHAM, 1985).

Boron adsorption increases with increasing pH and reaches a maximum around pH 9.0 and

decreases with further increase in pH (GOLDBERG, 1997). The maximum development of adsorption sites occurs at a pH equivalent to the dissociation constant (pKa) of boric acid, approximately 9.2. At below pH 7.0, B(OH)₃ predominated, but because the affinity of the clay from this species is relatively low, the amount of adsorption is small. As the pH increased, the B(OH)₄ concentration increased rapidly. The amount of adsorbed B increased rapidly because of the relatively strong affinity of the clays. Further, increase in pH resulted in an enhanced OH-concentration relative B(OH)₄, and B adsorption decreased rapidly due to the competition of OH-for the adsorption sites (GOLDBERG; CORWIN; SUAREZ, 2005).

The agricultural practice that is most often used to raise soil pH is liming. Thus, it is expected that the acidity correction in soil until pH 6.0, as recommended for most crops, increases the B adsorption in the soil. In a clayey Rhodic Hapludox of Mato Grosso State, Brazil, Rosolem and Bíscaro (2007) found that the application of 9.0 Mg ha⁻¹ lime incorporated in the 0–20 cm layer increased seven times the maximum amount of adsorbed B in the first year.

Various models can describe adsorption reactions. Boron adsorption by soils has been described using empirical models such as Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherm equations (GOLDBERG, 2003). These adsorption isotherms take into account intensity, quantity and capacity factors, which are important for predicting the amount of soil nutrient required for maximum plant growth. The Langmuir equation is more attractive for providing coefficients which quantify the maximum adsorption capacity (MAC) and bonding energy (K) and had its successful use on predicting of the B adsorption in different Brazilian soils (ALLEONI; CAMARGO, 2000; SOARES; ALLEONI; CASAGRANDE, 2005; SOARES; CASAGRANDE; ALLEONI, 2008; STEINER et al., 2012).

The present study investigated the effect of

liming on B adsorption in different soils of Paraná State, and to correlate these values with some physical and chemical properties of the soils.

Material and Methods

Surface samples (0–0.2 m) from nine soils of Paraná State, Brazil, were selected for B adsorption studies (Table 1). The physical and chemical properties of the soils were determined by adopting standard procedures, and some properties are shown in Table 2. Soil pH in water was determined potentiometrically in a 1:2.5 (soil:water) suspension using a combined calomel reference glass electrode and pH meter. Organic matter was quantified by oxidation with potassium dichromate in the presence of sulfuric acid, followed by titration with ammonium Fe(II) sulfate (EMBRAPA, 1997). Hot water soluble B was determined by the azomethine-H method (ABREU; ABREU; ANDRADE, 2001).

Basic cations (Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺ and K⁺) were extracted by ion exchange resin and determined by atomic spectrophotometry. Exchangeable absorption Al was extracted by 1 mol L⁻¹ KCl solution and determined by titration with 0.025 mol L⁻¹ NaOH. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) was estimated by the summation method (CEC = Ca + Mg + K). The Fe and Al was extracted using a 9 mol L-1 H2SO4 solution (1:20 soil:solution ratio), and Si was removed with NaOH from the residue of the acid attack. Contents of Fe and Al were determined using flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry and Si was quantified by gravimetry, and expressed in the form of oxides to calculate the weathering index by the molar ratio $Ki = (\%SiO_{2}/60)/(\%Al_{2}O_{3}/102)$ (EMBRAPA, 1997). Particle size analysis was performed by the pipette method (EMBRAPA, 1997), based on decantation speed of different soil particles after dispersion in 0.015 mol L⁻¹ (NaPO₂)_c. NaO/1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH by overnight shaking.

Table 1. Brazilian soil classification, approximate equivalence to soil taxonomy, parent material and sampling site of the nine soils from Paraná State.

Soil	Brazilian soil classification [†]	US taxonomy ^{††}	Parent material	Geographical coordinates
Ox1	Red Latosol	Rhodic Acrudox	Basalt	24°32'S, 54°01'W
Ox2	Red Latosol	Rhodic Hapludox	Shale	25°09'S, 50°09'W
Ox3	Red-Yellow Latosol	Typic Hapludox	Caiuá sandstone	23°48'S, 53°15'W
Ox4	Red-Yellow Latosol	Typic Hapludox	Furnas sandstone	25°06'S, 50°03'W
Alf1	Red Nitosol	Arenic Hapludalf	Basalt	24°36'S, 54°04'W
Alf2	Red Nitosol	Arenic Hapludalf	Shale	25°10'S, 50°09'W
Ult1	Red-Yellow Argisol	Arenic Hapludult	Caiuá sandstone	23°48'S, 53°15'W
Ult2	Red-Yellow Argisol	Arenic Hapludult	Basalt	24°24'S, 54°07'W
Ent	Regolithic Neosol	Typic Usthorthent	Basalt	24°37'S, 54°04'W

According to Embrapa (2006). †† USDA Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2010).

Source: Elaboration of the authors.

To evaluate the effect of liming on B adsorption, soil subsamples received the application of calcium carbonate amount equivalent to increase the base saturation to 70%. These subsamples were maintained at field capacity (0.03 MPa) for 60

days. After this period, soil samples were air-dried, crushed, and sieved to pass a 2-mm mesh screen. Soil pH was determined with an electrode on a 1:2.5 (w/v) soil-to-water solution.

Table 2. Some physical and chemical properties of the soils used.

Soil	pH initial	pH after liming	OM	Al	CEC	В	Clay	SiO ₂	Fe ₂ O ₃	Al ₂ O ₃	TiO ₂	Ki
			g kg ⁻¹	mmol _c dm ⁻³		mg dm ⁻³	g kg ⁻¹					
Ox1	4.6	6.0	22.7	5.5	149	0.16	620	234	198	223	394	1.79
Ox2	3.8	6.6	21.3	7.5	141	0.29	785	101	97	264	101	0.65
Ox3	4.9	7.4	20.3	2.0	129	0.48	250	65	38	75	107	1.47
Ox4	4.2	6.1	29.4	4.5	131	0.51	315	41	33	109	44	0.64
Alf1	5.2	7.3	32.7	1.5	157	0.33	550	209	169	179	437	1.98
Alf2	3.9	6.9	41.8	11.0	161	0.65	780	117	78	220	114	0.90
Ult1	5.2	6.6	9.1	1.5	64	0.39	85	18	24	28	67	1.11
Ult2	3.7	6.4	30.0	33.5	166	0.76	700	206	162	197	34	1.78
Ent	5.1	6.5	15.7	1.5	153	0.47	635	221	196	153	289	2.46

OM: Organic matter. CEC: cation exchange capacity. Ki: weathering index calculated by the molar ratio SiO₂/Al₂O₃. **Source:** Elaboration of the authors.

Adsorption experiments were carried out in triplicate using a batch technique. About 4.0 g of soil was shaken, in polyethylene tubes, for 24 hours at 24±2 °C, with 20 mL 0.01 mol L⁻¹ CaCl₂ solution containing B concentrations of 0, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 16 mg L⁻¹ as boric acid, equivalent to 0, 5, 10, 20, 40 and 80 mg B kg⁻¹ soil, respectively. After shaking, the soil solution was filtered through Whatman N° 42 filter paper (SOARES; CASAGRANDE, 2009). Boron concentration in the filtrate was determined by the Azomethine-H method using a spectrophotometer at 420 nm wave length, as previously described by Abreu, Abreu and Andrade (2001).

The amount of B adsorbed, [B]_{ads}, and the adsorption percentage, %B_{ads}, were calculated by the following ratios, respectively:

$$[B]_{ads} = [(C_0 - C_{eq})V]/m$$
 [1]

$$^{9}/_{ads} = [(C_0 - C_{eq})/C_0] \times 100$$
 [2]

where $[B]_{ads}$ is the amount of adsorbed B after equilibrium (mg B kg⁻¹ soil); C_0 and C_{eq} are the initial added and equilibrium concentrations (mg B L⁻¹), respectively; V = solution volume (mL); and m = mass of the soil sample (g). The amount of B initially present in soil (Table 2), although small,

was discounted in the calculation of the amount of adsorbed B.

Adsorption isotherms ($[B]_{ads}$ vs. C_{eq}) were fitted from the experimental results, and the B adsorption was compared with that estimated by the non-linear form of the Langmuir isotherm:

$$B_{ads} = (K \times C_{eq} \times MAC)/(1 + K \times C_{eq})$$
 [3]

where K is the constant related to bonding energy of B to the soil (L mg⁻¹) and MAC is the maximum adsorption capacity of soil (mg B kg⁻¹ soil). Langmuir isotherm was fitted to the B adsorption results by the Fitfunc program (BARROW, 1987), which uses the non-linear optimization of the least squares and does not require the linearization of the isotherm, that avoids both the introduction of changes in the error distribution and the acquisition of influenced parameters (K and MAC) (SOARES; ALLEONI; CASAGRANDE, 2005).

The experiment was designed to be completely randomized. The effect of liming on MAC and K were compared by F test at the 0.05 level of confidence. Comparison among soils was made based on the maximum adsorption values. Simple linear correlation analysis was performed to detect

the physical and chemical properties of soil that correlated with the constant of Langmuir adsorption (MAC and K) and adsorption after addition of 2.0 mg L⁻¹ B (ALLEONI; CAMARGO, 2000).

Results and Discussion

Soil characterization

The soil pH value ranged from 3.7 to 5.2 (without liming) and from 6.0 to 7.4 (with liming) (Table 2). Organic matter (OM) content was higher than 15 g kg⁻¹ for the majority of samples, except for the Arenic Hapludult (Ult1). The Arenic Hapludalf had the highest contents of OM (41.8 g kg⁻¹). Most of the soil samples had high levels of hot water soluble B (B content $\geq 0.30 \text{ mg dm}^{-3}$) (Table 2). Rhodic Acrudox, Rhodic Hapludox, Arenic Hapludalf, Arenic Hapludult and Typic Usthorthent were very clayey (> 600 g kg⁻¹ clay), while Arenic Hapludalf (Alf1) was clayey (350-600 g kg⁻¹). On the other hand, the Typic Hapludox (Ox3) and Typic Hapludox (Ox3) were medium-textured (150-350 g kg⁻¹ clay) and Arenic Hapludult (Ult1) was sandy (< 150 g kg⁻¹ clay) (Table 2).

Boron adsorption by soils

The amount of adsorbed B increased with increasing concentration of added B. However, the proportion of adsorbed B was gradually less in the more concentrated solutions (Table 3). Similar results have also been reported by other authors (ALLEONI; CAMARGO, 2000; GOLDBERG, 2003; SHAFIQ et al., 2008). The relatively low

increase as the solution becomes more concentrated occurs due to saturation of the adsorbent surface in heterogeneous systems (GOLDBERG; FORSTER; HEICK, 1993).

The amount of adsorbed B ranged from 0.8 to 28.5 mg kg⁻¹ and 1.2 to 42.2 mg kg⁻¹ in soils without and with liming, respectively (Table 3), which are similar to the values normally found in the literature. In tropical soils from São Paulo State, Alleoni and Camargo (2000) using the same B concentrations, found adsorbed B values from 0.6 to 11.1 mg kg⁻¹ soil regardless of liming. In a Rhodic Hapludox of Mato Grosso State, Brazil, Rosolem and Bíscaro (2007) found adsorbed B values of 9.5 and 24.2 mg kg⁻¹ after the first year of application of 1.5 and 9.0 Mg ha⁻¹ lime. In calcareous soils of Pakistan, Shafiq et al. (2008) found higher adsorbed B values from 5.5 to 108.0 mg kg⁻¹.

Liming increased the amount of adsorbed B in the majority soils (Table 3). There was a mean increase in the amount of adsorbed B by soils of 36%. The greatest increase was observed in the Arenic Hapludalf (Alf2) and Arenic Hapludult (Ult1) both with increase of 57%, followed by soils Typic Hapludox – Ox3 (52%), Typic Usthorthent – Ent (47%), Arenic Hapludalf – Alf1 (45%) and Rhodic Acrudox – Ox1 (41%), while that the soils Rhodic Hapludox (Ox2), Arenic Hapludult (Ult2) and Typic Hapludox (Ox4) were little affected by liming, with increases of less than 15%. A mean increase in the order of 33% B adsorption after calcium carbonate application was observed in tropical soils by Alleoni and Camargo (2000).

Table 3. Boron concentration in the equilibrium solution (mg B L^{-1}), amount of absorbed B (mg B kg^{-1} soil), and percentage of adsorbed B in nine soils of Paraná State with and without liming, as a function of added boron.

Soil	Boron	Boron in equilibrium solution		Boron adsorbed in the soil					
5011	added	Without lime	With lime	Without lime	With lime	Without lime	With lime		
		mg B L ⁻¹ -		mg B kg	mg B kg ⁻¹ soil				
	1	0.58	0.41	2.0	2.5	42	59		
	2	1.22	0.84	3.8	5.6	39	58		
Ox1	4	2.80	2.02	5.8	10.1	30	49		
	8	6.41	4.45	7.7	17.4	20	44		
	16	13.35	10.27	12.7	30.0	17	36		
	1	0.52	0.47	2.3	2.3	48	53		
	2	1.15	1.06	4.1	4.6	43	47		
Ox2	4	2,25	2.23	8.4	8.3	44	44		
	8	5.38	4.77	12.4	15.5	33	40		
	16	12.82	10.53	14.4	24.5	20	34		
	1	0.70	0.66	1.5	1.5	30	34		
	2	1.58	1.33	2.1	3.1	21	33		
Ox3	4	3.53	2.72	2.3	5.8	12	32		
	8	7.49	5.51	2.4	11.4	6	31		
	16	15.34	11.79	3.2	19.7	4	26		
	1	0.54	0.50	2.1	2.3	46	50		
	2	1.16	1.13	3.9	4.1	42	43		
Ox4	4	2.42	2.27	7.2	7.6	40	43		
	8	4.96	4.84	12.5	14.4	38	39		
	16	11.03	10.68	21.3	22.3	31	33		
	1	0.36	0.32	3.0	3.2	64	68		
	2	1.18	0.59	3.9	6.5	41	70		
Alf1	4	2.36	1.22	7.8	13.0	41	69		
	8	6.08	2.70	8.9	23.2	24	66		
	16	13.97	6.50	9.5	42.2	13	59		
	1	0.65	0.31	1.8	3.2	35	69		
	2	1.39	0.62	3.0	6.6	31	69		
Alf2	4	2.94	1.35	5.2	12.4	27	66		
	8	6.10	3.25	9.0	21.8	24	59		
	16	13.73	7.88	11.3	39.0	14	51		
	1	0.77	0.74	0.8	1.2	23	26		
	2	1.75	1.56	1.1	2.0	13	22		
Ult1	4	3.61	3.14	1.6	3.8	10	21		
	8	7.53	6.43	2.0	6.9	6	20		
	16	15.53	13.53	2.1	10.8	3	15		
	1	0.34	0.36	3.3	3.1	66	64		
	2	0.69	0.72	6.1	6.1	65	64		
Ult2	4	1.69	1.61	11.2	11.6	58	60		
- ··-	8	3.99	4.12	18.7	18.1	50	48		
	16	9.92	9.88	28.4	30.0	38	38		
	1	0.38	0.06	2.7	4.5	62	94		
	2	0.92	0.26	5.0	8.4	54	87		
Ent	4	2.32	1.04	7.9	13.8	42	74		
L-111	8	5.44	3.18	12.1	23.9	32	60		
	16	13.24	8.84	12.5	35.1	17	45		

Source: Elaboration of the authors.

The Langmuir model (hyperbolic Langmuir adsorption isotherms) fitted well to the values of adsorbed B in the soils with and without liming, across the range of B concentrations (with determination coefficients ≥ 0.97 at P < 0.01) (Table 4). These results were expected since there is no record of deviations from the Langmuir equation at concentrations below 30 mg L⁻¹ of B (ALLEONI; CAMARGO, 2000). The use of lower concentrations is best suited to represent the B amount contained in Brazilian soils (ALLEONI; CAMARGO; CASAGRANDE, 1998). These

authors whilst investigating weathered Brazilian soils used B concentrations in the solution ranging from 0 to 16 mg L⁻¹ and found that the results of B adsorption in soils were well fitted by the Langmuir isotherm. Other authors also pointed out the ability of the Langmuir isotherm to estimate the B adsorption by soils (ALLEONI; CAMARGO, 2000; SOARES; ALLEONI; CASAGRANDE, 2005; COMMUNAR; KEREN, 2006; SOARES; CASAGRANDE; ALLEONI, 2008; ARORA; CHAHAL, 2010; STEINER et al., 2012).

Table 4. Regression equations and determination coefficients (R²) for the non-linear form of the Langmuir isotherm, maximum adsorption capacity of B (MAC), bonding energy of B to the soil (K) in nine soils of Paraná State with and without liming.

G - 11	T insing	Decreesing and the stant	D2	Langmuir constants††		
Soil	Liming	Regression equation [†]	\mathbb{R}^2	MAC	K	
				mg B kg ⁻¹ soil	L mg ⁻¹	
Ox1	Without	$B_{ads} = (3.3784C_{eq})/(1 + 0.2161C_{eq})$	0.97**	16.4 b	0.21 a	
OXI	With	$B_{ads} = (6.4935C_{eq})/(1 + 0.1234C_{eq})$	0.98**	52.6 a	0.12 b	
Ox2	Without	$B_{ads} = (5.5556C_{eq})/(1 + 0.3000C_{eq})$	0.98**	18.5 b	0.30 a	
Ox2	With	$B_{ads} = (4.8780C_{eq}^{4})/(1 + 0.1024C_{eq}^{4})$	0.99**	47.6 a	0.10 b	
Ox3	Without	$B_{ads} = (2.4272C_{eq})/(1 + 0.7306C_{eq})$	0.98**	3.3 b	0.73 a	
OX3	With	$B_{ads} = (2.4096C_{eq}^{4})/(1 + 0.0361C_{eq}^{4})$	0.98**	66.7 a	0.04 b	
Ox4	Without	$B_{ads} = (3.7594C_{eq})/(1 + 0.0865C_{eq})$	0.98**	42.9 a	0.08 a	
OX4	With	$B_{ads} = (4.3668C_{eq}^{4})/(1 + 0.1004C_{eq}^{4})$	0.97**	43,5 a	0.10 a	
Alfl	Without	$B_{ads} = (8.8495C_{eq})/(1 + 0.8496C_{eq})$	0.99**	10,4 b	0.85 a	
AIII	With	$B_{ads} = (11,3636C_{eq})/(1+0,1023C_{eq})$	0.97**	109.9 a	0.10 b	
Alf2	Without	$B_{ads} = (2.8736C_{eq})/(1 + 0.1782C_{eq})$	0.99**	16.3 b	0.18 a	
AllZ	With	$B_{ads} = (10.9890C_{eq})/(1 + 0.1209C_{eq})$	0.98**	90.9 a	0.12 b	
T 1141	Without	$B_{ads} = (1.5337C_{eq})/(1 + 0.6718C_{eq})$	0.99**	2.3 b	0.67 a	
Ult1	With	$B_{ads} = (1.5267C_{eq}^{q})/(1 + 0.0672C_{eq}^{q})$	0.97**	22.7 a	0.07 b	
Ult2	Without	$B_{ads} = (10.4167C_{eq})/(1 + 0.2778C_{eq})$	0.98**	38.5 a	0.28 a	
UILZ	With	$B_{ads}^{ads} = (9.2593C_{eq})/(1 + 0.2030C_{eq})$	0.98**	43.5 a	0.20 b	
Ent	Without	$B_{ads} = (9.0909C_{eq})/(1 + 0.6364C_{eq})$	0.99**	14.3 b	0.64 a	
Ent	With	$B_{ads}^{ads} = (32.2581C_{eq}^{q})/(1 + 0.8046C_{eq}^{q})$	0.97**	40.0 a	0.80 a	

Non-linear equation of the Langmuir isotherm [B $_{ads}$ = (K x C $_{eq}$ x MAC)/(1 + K x C $_{eq}$)]. **: statistical significance at 1% by F test. †† Values represented by the different letters, for each soil show significant differences (F test, P < 0.05).

Source: Elaboration of the authors.

The values of maximum adsorption capacity (MAC) and bonding energy constant (K) estimated by the Langmuir isotherm (Table 3) were similar to those found in studies using a range similar to the B concentration added to the soil. The K ranged from 0.04 to 0.85 L mg⁻¹, while the MAC ranged from 2.3 to 109.9 mg B kg⁻¹ soil. The wide variation in these parameters can be attributed to differences in the physical, chemical and mineralogical properties of the soils, in addition to the increase in pH after liming. In tropical soils, Alleoni, Camargo and Casagrande (1998) and Alleoni and Camargo (2000) found similar K values (0.06–1.16 L mg⁻¹) and lower MAC values (2.5-15.8 mg kg⁻¹). On the other hand, in acric soils of São Paulo, Brazil, Soares, Casagrande and Alleoni (2008) found higher K values (0.22 to 2.13 L mg⁻¹) and MAC (32.5 to 128.6 mg kg⁻¹), which in turn were very close to the results reported by Shafiq et al. (2008) for calcareous soils of Pakistan.

Liming resulted in an increased MAC of B in the majority soils (Table 4). Maximum adsorption ranged from 2.3 to 42.9 mg kg⁻¹ (without liming) and from 22.7 to 109.9mg kg⁻¹ (with liming). The maximum value of MAC, that is, 109.0 mg B kg⁻¹ soil, was observed in the Arenic Hapludalf (Alf1) followed by 90.9 mg kg⁻¹ in Arenic Hapludalf (Alf2), 66.7 mg kg⁻¹ in Typic Hapludox (Ox3), and 52.6 mg kg⁻¹ in Rhodic Hapludox (Ox1) (Table 4). The highest values of the MAC of B found in Arenic Hapludalf soils (Alf1 and Alf2) were due to the higher clay and organic matter contents of these soils. The increase of MAC of B verified after liming is due to the increased of soil pH. Boron adsorption is highly influenced by pH, increasing as the pH increases, attaining a maximum in pH around 9.0 and diminishing abruptly at high pH CORWIN; SUAREZ, (GOLDBERG; However, Rosolem and Biscaro (2007) found that

even by applying relatively high rates of lime, the B adsorption by soil is only significant in the year that the liming was carried out, so that over time a greater amount of B remains in the soil solution under conditions of being readily taken up by plants or even being lost by leaching. Chen, Ho and Lee (2009) observed that soil re-acidification caused an increase in B desorption, increasing the element's content in solution. Indicating that B adsorption by soils submitted to liming is characterized by a rapid and reversible chemical reaction between the adsorbed and soluble B. This suggests that liming cause B deficiency only in soils with initial level near the limit of deficiency.

The bonding energy (K) decreased with the liming in most soils (Table 4). Indicating that at higher pH values the B is adsorbed more weakly. This decrease on bonding energy can be explained by the increased surface charge of soil particles with increasing pH, increasing the repulsion of B and then reducing the bonding energy of these particles.

Effect of soil properties on B adsorption

The MAC of B did not present a statistically significant correlation with most of the soil chemical properties – i.e., clay content, soluble B, exchangeable aluminum, cation exchange capacity (CEC), silicon (SiO₂), iron (Fe₂O₃) and aluminum (Al₂O₃) oxides and weathering index (Ki) (Table 5). These results indicate that these properties, in isolated form, have no effect on MAC of B in Paraná soils. The absence of correlation between the MAC and CEC can be explained due to this variable depends on other soil properties – e.g., organic matter and clay content. The CEC cannot theoretically contribute to adsorption of negatively charged species, such as B(OH)₄-.

Table 5. Correlation coefficients of simple linear regression analysis between parameters of Langmuir adsorption isotherms (MAC and K) and adsorption after addition of 2.0 mg L⁻¹ B and some soil properties with and without liming.

Soil	Maximum adsor (MA		Bonding (K		Adsorption after addition of 2.0 mg B L ⁻¹		
characteristics	Without lime With lime		Without lime	With lime	Without lime	With lime	
Soil pH	0.56	0.83**	0.87**	-0.42	-0.47	-0.11	
Clay	0.11	-0.04	-0.23	0.46	0.52	0.55	
OM	0.52	0.72*	-0.47	-0.24	0.32	0.42	
Soluble B	0.32	0.12	0.32	0.43	0.52	0.21	
Ex. Al	0.16	0.53	0.21	0.18	-0.38	0.30	
CEC	0.36	0.40	0.23	0.34	0.63	0.56	
SiO,	-0.02	0.03	0.28	0.68*	0.60	0.68*	
Fe ₂ O ₃	0.20	0.10	-0.32	0.24	0.54	0.50	
Al_2O_3	-0.04	-0.07	0.30	0.74*	0.69*	0.65*	
Ki	-0.28	0.03	0.65*	0.69*	0.34	0.52	

OM: organic matter. Ex. Al: Exchangeable aluminum.CEC: cation exchange capacity. SiO_2 , Fe_2O_3 and Al_2O_3 : silicon, iron and aluminum oxides, respectively. Ki: weathering index calculated by the molar ratio SiO_2/Al_2O_3 . *: P < 0.05. **: P < 0.01. **Source:** Elaboration of the authors.

Boron adsorption as a function of soil pH has a maximum value between 8.0 and 9.0 (GOLDBERG; SUAREZ; SHOUSE, 2008), which may explain the absence of correlation, in samples without liming, since the initial soil pH reached only 5.2 (Table 2). In limed soils, the pH reached values of 7.4 (Table 2), which allowed the existence of significant correlation with MAC of B (Table 5). These findings show that the isolated effect of the soil pH on B sorption seems to be relatively small in acid soils. Alleoni and Camargo (2000) studied soils with pH varying from 3.5 to 5.5, and also did not obtain correlation with adsorbed B in São Paulo soils. It is important to note that, in the acid range, B is predominantly in the form of boric acid and not in its ionic form B(OH)₄ (GOLDBERG, 1997).

The correlation coefficient between the MAC of B and organic matter content was significant (r = 0.72*) in the limed soil samples (Table 5). This finding corresponds to the results obtained by other researchers (ARORA; BHARDWAJ; SHARMA, 2002; SHARMA et al., 2006; ARORA; CHAHAL, 2010). Organic matter is an important soil factor affecting the availability of B. Humus

extracted from a soil retained significant amounts of B and was considered to play an important role in B adsorption (YERMIYAHU; KEREN; CHEN, 1995). Chaudhary and Shukla (2004) reported that organic carbon exerted a beneficial effect on the B sorption capacity of soils, which could be due to the formation of a complex between dihydroxy-organic compounds and B. The presence of organic materials can also occlude the B reactive adsorption sites on soils (GOLDBERG, 1997). A possible mechanism for B sorption on organic matter is ligand exchange. Moreover, it has been suggested that the formation of the B-diol complexes was associated with the breakdown products of soil organic matter.

Silicon (SiO₂) and aluminum oxides (Al₂O₃) were significantly correlated with the B adsorption after addition of 2.0 mg B L⁻¹ (Table 5). This finding corresponds to the results obtained by other researchers (ALLEONI; CAMARGO, 2000). The higher correlation coefficients are expected with Al oxides than with Fe oxides, due to the high affinity of B with the OH groups of Al (hydr)oxides and the higher specific surface (GOLDBERG; GLAUBIG, 1985).

The bonding energy of B to the soil (K) can provide insights into the mechanism most likely involved in B adsorption. The K values in the samples without liming were significantly correlated with soil pH (r = 0.87**) and weathering index (r = 0.65*; Table 5). In the limed soil samples, the K values correlated positively with silicon oxides (r = 0.68*), aluminum oxides (r = 0.74*) and weathering index (r = 0.69*; Table 5). These findings indicate that the higher the value of these properties greater is the bonding energy of B to the soil.

Conclusions

The amount of adsorbed B by soils increased with increasing applied concentration; however the percentage of B adsorbed was decreased.

Liming increased the amount of B adsorbed in most soils used.

The bonding energy of B to the soil decreased with liming in most soils, indicating that at higher pH values the B is adsorbed more weakly.

The highest values of the maximum adsorption capacity of B were found in soils Arenic Hapludalf (Nitosol) due to the higher clay and organic matter contents of these soils.

Soil pH, organic matter, and oxides of silicon and aluminum were the main soil properties that affected the B adsorption in Paraná soils.

References

ABREU, M. F.; ABREU, C. A.; ANDRADE, J. C. Determinação de boro em água quente usando aquecimento com microondas. In: RAIJ, B. van; ANDRADE, J. C.; CANTARELLA, H.; QUAGGIO, J. A. (Ed.). *Análise química para avaliação da fertilidade de solos tropicais*. Campinas: Instituto Agronômico, p. 231-239, 2001.

ALLEONI, L. R. F.; CAMARGO, O. A. Boron adsorption in soils from the State of São Paulo, Brazil. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, Brasília, v. 35, n. 2, p. 413-421, 2000.

ALLEONI, L. R. F.; CAMARGO, O.A.; CASAGRANDE, J. C. Isotermas de Langmuir e de Freundlich na descrição da adsorção de boro em solos altamente intemperizados. *Scientia Agricola*, Piracicaba, v. 55, n. 3, p. 379-387, 1998.

ARORA, H.; BHARDWAJ, S. S.; SHARMA, B. D. Effect of organic matter on boron adsorption by some soils of Punjab. *Asian Journal of Chemistry*, Ghaziabad, v. 14, n. 2, p. 746-752, 2002.

ARORA, S.; CHAHAL, D. S. Effect of soil properties on boron adsorption and release in arid and semi-arid Benchmark soils. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, New York, v. 41, n. 21, p. 2532-2544, 2010.

_____. Suitability of adsorption isotherms for behavior of boron in soils varying in clay and organic matter content. *Agrochimica*, Pacini, v. 51, n. 4-5, p. 182-193, 2007.

BARROW, N. J. *Reactions with variable-charge soils*. Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 1987. 191 p.

CHAUDHARY, D. R.; SHUKLA, L. M. Boron adsorption and desorption in arid soils of India. *Agrochimica*, Pacini, v. 48, n. 3-4, p. 141-152, 2004.

CHEN, W. T.; HO, S. B.; LEE, D. Y. Effect of pH on boron adsorption-desorption hysteresis of soils. *Soil Science*, Baltimore, v. 174, n. 6, p. 330-338, 2009.

COMMUNAR, G.; KEREN, R. Rate limited boron transport in soils: The effect of soil texture and solution pH. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, Madison, v. 70, n. 3, p. 882-892, 2006.

EMPRESA BRASILEIRA DE PESQUISA AGROPECUÁRIA – EMBRAPA. Manual de métodos de análise de solo. 2. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Embrapa Solos, 1997. 212 p.

_____. Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos. 2. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Embrapa Solos, 2006. 306 p.

GOLDBERG, S. Modeling boron adsorption isotherms and envelopes using the constant capacitance model. *Soil Science Society of American Journal*, Madison, v. 3, n. 2, p. 676-680, 2003.

_____. Reactions of boron with soils. *Plant and Soil*, Dordrechet, v. 193, n. 1-2, p. 35-48, 1997.

GOLDBERG, S.; CORWIN, D. L.; SUAREZ, D. L. Prediction of boron adsorption by field samples of diverse textures. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, Madison, v. 69, n. 5, p. 1379-1388, 2005.

- GOLDBERG, S.; FORSTER, H. S.; HEICK, E. L. Boron adsorption mechanisms on oxides, clay minerals and soils inferred from ionic strength effects. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, Madison, v. 57, n. 3, p. 704-708, 1993.
- GOLDBERG, S.; GLAUBIG, R. A. Boron adsorption on aluminum and iron oxide minerals. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, Madison, v. 49, n. 6, p. 1374-1379, 1985.
- GOLDBERG, S.; SUAREZ, D. L.; SHOUSE, P. J. Influence of soil solution salinity on boron adsorption by soils. *Soil Science*, Baltimore, v. 173, n. 6, p. 368-374, 2008.
- KEREN, R.; BINGHAM, F. T. Boron in water, soils, and plants. *Advances in Soil Science*. New York, v. 1, n. 4, p. 229-276, 1985.
- ROSOLEM, C. A.; BÍSCARO, T. Adsorção e lixiviação de boro em Latossolo Vermelho-Amarelo. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, Brasília, v. 42, n. 10, p. 1473-1478, 2007.
- SALTALI, K.; BILGILI, A. V.; TARAKCIOGLU, C.; DURAK, A. Boron adsorption in soils with different characteristics. *Asian Journal of Chemistry*, Ghaziabad, v. 17, n. 4, p. 2487-2494, 2005.
- SHAFIQ, M.; RANJHA, A. M.; YASEEN, M.; MEHDI, S. M.; HANNAN, A. Comparison of Freundlich and Langmuir adsorption equations for boron adsorption on calcareous soils. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, Washington, v. 46, n. 2, p. 141-148, 2008.

- SHARMA, K. R.; SCRIVASTAVA, P. C.; SRIVASTVA, P.; SINGH, V. P. Effect of farmyard manure application on boron adsorption-desorption characteristics of some soils. *Chemosphere*, Oxford, v. 65, n. 5, p. 769-777, 2006.
- SOARES, M. R.; ALLEONI, L. R. F.; CASAGRANDE, J. C. Parâmetros termodinâmicos da reação de adsorção de boro em solos tropicais altamente intemperizados. *Química Nova*, São Paulo, v. 28, n. 6, p. 1014-1022, 2005.
- SOARES, M. R.; CASAGRANDE, J. C. Adsorção e modelos. In: RIBEIRO, M. R.; NASCIMENTO, C. W. A.; RIBEIRO FILHO, M. R.; CANTALICA, J. R. B. (Ed.). *Tópicos em Ciência do Solo*. Viçosa: Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, p. 71-201, 2009.
- SOARES, M. R.; CASAGRANDE, J. C.; ALLEONI, L. R. F. Adsorção de boro em solos ácricos em função da variação do pH. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, Viçosa, v. 32, n. 1, p. 111-120, 2008.
- STEINER, F.; LANA, M. C.; ZOZ, T.; FEY, R.; FRANDOLOSO, J. F. Boron adsorption in lowland soils from Paraná State, Brazil. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*, Londrina, v. 33, n. 4, p. 1391-1402, 2012.
- YERMIYAHU, U.; KEREN, R.; CHEN, Y. Boron sorption by soil in the presence of composted organic matter. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, Madison, v. 59, n. 2, p. 405-409, 1995.