

Revista de Biología Tropical

ISSN: 0034-7744 rbt@cariari.ucr.ac.cr Universidad de Costa Rica Costa Rica

Ejikeme Odo, Gregory; Didigwu, Nwani Christopher; Effiong Eyo, Joseph
The fish fauna of Anambra river basin, Nigeria: species abundance and morphometry
Revista de Biología Tropical, vol. 57, núm. 1-2, marzo-junio, 2009, pp. 177-186
Universidad de Costa Rica
San Pedro de Montes de Oca, Costa Rica

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=44918836017



Complete issue



Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



The fish fauna of Anambra river basin, Nigeria: species abundance and morphometry

Gregory Ejikeme Odo¹, Nwani Christopher Didigwu² & Joseph Effiong Eyo³
1,3. Department of Zoology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria; divinelovejoe@yahoo.com
2. Dept of Applied Biology Ebonyi State University Abakiliki Ebonyi State, Nigeria

Received 03-IV-2008. Corrected 04-VIII-2008. Accepted 02-IX-2008.

Abstract: The fish yields of most Nigeria inland waters are generally on the decline for causes that may range from inadequate management of the fisheries to degradation of the water bodies. Sustainable exploitation requires knowledge of the ichthyofaunal composition in the water bodies. We did a survey of fish species in Anambra river basin for 22 months. Fish samples were collected using four different gears -hook and line of size 13, caste nets, gill nets, and cages of mesh sizes of 50mm, 75mm, and 100mm each. We recorded 52 fish species belonging to 17 families: 171, 236, and 169 individuals at Ogurugu, Otuocha, and Nsugbe stations respectively. Two families, Characidae, 19.5 %, and Mochokidae, 11.8%, constituted the dominant fish families in the river. The dominant fish species were Citherinus citherius, 9.02%, and Alestes nurse, 7.1%. Other fish species with significant abundance were Synodontis clarias 6.9%, Macrolepidotus curvier 5.7%, Labeo coubie 5.4%, Distichodus rostrtus 4.9%, and Schilbe mystus 4.5%. The meristic features of the two most abundant fish species caught are as follows: Citharinus citharius dorsal fins 20, anal fins 30, caudal fins 21, pectoral fins, 9 and 8 ventral fins, and Alestes nurse 10 dorsal fins, 14 anal fins, 31 caudal fins, 7 pectoral fins and 6 ventral fins. The morphometric features of the two most abundant fish species are Citharinus citharius total length 300mm, standard length 231mm, head length 69mm, body length 101mm, body girth 176 mm, body weight 900mg. Alestes nurse total length 200, standard length 140mm, head length 60mm, body length 80mm, body girth120mm, body weight 400mg. The most abundant animal utilizing the basin was Ardea cinerea(D3) with 22.2% occurrence (D4) and this was followed by Caprini with 13.51%, and Varanus niloticus, 10.04%. The least abundant animals utilizing basin were Chephalophus rufilatus, and Erythrocebus patas, with 0.58% each of occurrence. Rev. Biol. Trop. 57 (1-2): 177-186. Epub 2009 June 30.

Key words: Anambra River, ichthyofauna, biometry, Nigeria, Characidae, Mochokidae.

The fish yields of most Nigeria inland waters are generally on the decline (Jamu and Ayinla 2003). The decline of these fisheries has been attributed to a wide range of causes ranging from inadequate management of the fisheries resources to environmental degradation of the water bodies. For sustainable exploitation of these resources, a crucial management tool is to have a comprehensive understanding of the ichthyofaunal composition of the water bodies. The freshwater food fishes found in Nigeria are about 268 different fish species (Lévesque *et al.* 1992). They inhabit over 34 well-known

freshwater bodies (rivers, lakes, and reservoirs) which constitute about 12% of Nigeria's total surface area put at 94 185 000 ha (Ita 1993). Fish stocks in rivers are generally replenished from their adjacent flood plains after each flood season during which fish breed. Therefore, any natural phenomenon such as drought or artificial activities such as dam construction, which eventually affect the natural cycle of flooding, will certainly undermine fish species diversity both in lakes and wetlands. Considering this fact, therefore, that lakes, wetlands and reservoirs are supplied with fish by their inflowing

rivers, the rivers would be characterized by higher species diversity (Ita 1993). Boulenger (1916) published a list of African freshwater fishes to include 976 species, referable to 185 genera and 43 families. But Welman (1948) produced a list of 181 species of fish that could be found in Nigeria inland waters. The report also revealed that Kaduna and Sokoto-Rima Rivers have 28 and 22 species respectively, in them. Cross River, Ogun, and OsunRivers have 39, 23, and 23 fish species respectively. Ita (1993) reported that an estimated 230 species of fish have been recorded from the rivers of Nigeria but no record is available on the species present in Rivers Echi, Anambra, Oji and Adada, which all empty directly into the ocean via River Niger. Information is scanty on the biometry and relative abundance of the ichthyofauna of Anambra River. For sustainable exploitation of these fishery resources in the river basin, a crucial management tool is would be a comprehensive understanding of the ichthyofaunal composition of the water bodies. This paper provides preliminary data on the composition, biometry, and relative abundance of the ichthyofauna of Anambra River. This forms part of a large and on going study on the fishes of the river basin. The information obtained in this investigation has been used to outline desirable management measures for the species in the basin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Anambra River basin: The Anambra River basin covers an area of approximately 14 014 km² (Awachie & Hare 1977). The River Anambra is approximately 207.4 km in length, rising from the Ankpa hills (ca. 305-610m above sea level) and discharging into the River Niger at Onitsha. There are two main seasons, the dry season (October/November – March) and the rainy season (April – September/October) approximately corresponding to the dry and flood phase, respectively, of the hydrological regime. The water temperature and Secchi disc reading in the river range from 24° C to 31° C and 5 cm to 85 cm, respectively (Odo 2004).

The mean annual rainfall is between 150 cm and 200 cm (Ilozumba 1980) (D5).

Between January 1998 and October 1999, monthly fish species were sampled from Ogurugu, Otuocha and Nsugbe stations of the Anambra River. The fishing gears used were of four different types, i.e. hook and line size 13, cast nets, gill nets and cages of mesh sizes of 50 mm,75 mm, and 100 mm. Preservation of fish samples was in 10% formalin. The surface water temperature of the river was taken with the use of dry mercury thermometer and the pH was monitored using the pH meter on a monthly basis. The dichotomous identification method of fish species determination arranged by Boulenger (1916) and Welman, (1948) and adopted by Oguzie (1997), was used to identify fish species. This was achieved by taking account of the meristic features of the various fishes. These features were dorsal. anal, caudal, pectoral, and ventral fin rays and spines, where present measurements were also taken on sampled fishes to aid the identification process. The morphometric data of each individual were taken. These measurements include total length of the fish (TL), measured from the mid-tip of the snout to the lateral mid base of the caudal fin, head-length (HL), which was measured from the mid-tip of the snout to the postero-dorsal junction of the membranous margin of the gill opening with the body, body depth (BD) and body girth (BG) (D6). The total number of fish caught from the river was recorded; this enabled the determination of the relative abundance of the various species in the river. The main system of classification of the species that were caught in the river was that of Madison (1992) (D8) as adopted by Babatunde and Raji, (1998). Aquatic and domestic wildlife animals sighted during the periods of data collection were counted and recorded. Droppings of domesticated animals utilizing the flood plain were evaluated and identified.

Data Analysis: The faunal similarities at different locations, based on nominal data, were analyzed using the Jackson Index (1989). The relative abundance of taxa that were

common among the stations was calculated using Renkonen similarity (Renkonen 1975), and the Bellinger coefficient (Bellinger 1974) was calculated to evaluate discontinuities in the longitudinal distribution of species richness (d). The Shannon-Weiner index (H) and evenness index (Shannon and Wiener 1963) (E) were used to evaluate species diversity; Hutcheson's t-test was performed to detect the significant difference between general diversity indices. The mean Fulton's condition (K) of ten common and abundant species among the three stations was calculated (Bagenal & Tesch 1978) and compared using unpaired t-test (n₁#n₂); percentage data were transformed before analysis (Zar 1984). The total and relative abundance of aquatic and other animals utilizing the basin were calculated.

RESULTS

The results of the study showed that 52 fish species belonging to 17 families were recorded which comprised 171, 236, and 169 individual specimens at Ogurugu, Otuocha, and Nsugbe stations respectively (Table 1). Two families, Characidae 19.97%, and Mochokidae 11.8%, constituted the dominant fish families in the river (D7). Citharinus citharius 9.02%, and Alestes nurse 7.3 % (D8), were the dominant species respectively. Other fish species with significant abundance were Synodontis clarias 6.9%, Macrolepidotus cuvier 5.7%, Labeo coubie 5.4%, Distichodus rostartus 4.9%, and Schilbe mystus 4.5%. The meristic features of the two most abundant fish species caught were as follows: Citherinus citharius had: Dorsal fins, 20, Anal fins, 30, caudal fins 21, pectoral fins 9 and 8 ventral fins. Alestes nurse had 10 dorsal fins, 14 anal fins, 31 caudal fins, 7 pectoral fins and 6 ventral fins Table 3 (D9). The morphometric features of the two most abundant fish species are Citherinus citharius: total length 300 mm, standard length 231 mm, head length 69 mm, body length 101 mm, body girth 176 mm, body weight 900 mg. Alestes nurse: Total length 200 mm, standard length 140 mm, head length 60 mm, body length 80 mm, body

girth 120 mm, body weight 400 mg (Table 3). All the most abundant and common fish species among the three stations were in good condition (K>1) (Table 6). Members of Ardeidae aquatic animal family were the most abundant and they were followed by Acciptridae while Sirenia were the least occurring in the basin (Table 7) (D10). The most abundant animal utilizing the basin was the *Ardea cinerea* (D11), with 22.2% occurrence and this was followed by *Caprini* sp. with 13.51%, and *Varanus niloticus* (D12) with 10.04%. The least abundant animals utilizing the basin were *Chephalophus rufilatus* and *Erythrocebus patas* with 0.58% of occurrence each (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

catch composition from study showed that Characidae, 19.5%, and Mochokidae, 11.8%, constituted the dominant fish families in the river. Regarding catch and species composition, our results agreed with other studies conducted on a number of rivers in Nigeria. Analysis of catch in the preliminary survey of the fresh water fish of Nigeria by Welman, 1948, revealed that Kaduna and Sokoto/Rima rivers have Mochokidae, 28, and Osteoglossidae, 22, species respectively in them. In Cross River, Ogun and Osun rivers, 39, 23, and 27 fish species were recorded from them. But in this study, 52 fish species were recorded from the river, which comprised 171 236 and 169 individual fish specimens at Ogurugu, Otuocha and Nsugbe respectively .The trend in the development of fish fauna and the sequence of changes in fish populations are complex and variable in south-eastern African rivers. The present fauna in the river is dominated by weed associated mesopredators (Welcomme, 1979). Our results suggest low inter-annual variation in species composition. These may be based on stable phytoplankton populations, nutrient and physicochemical parameters (not studied). Perhaps in-situ manipulations of isolated portions of this system would be needed to demonstrate such interrelations. It is possible that the very low number of fish species recorded at

TABLE 1
Percentage composition of fish caught from Anambra River Basin

Pish species	77.1	Number	() INT (6/)		
Pellonula afelinus Günter 1968 - 9(1.5) 1(0.2) 10(1.7)	Fish species				total No (%)
Gynothrisamento regan 1(0.2) 4(0.9) - 5(0.9) Sierathrissa leonensis Boulenger 1908 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Papyrocranus affer 17(2.9) 4(0.7) -6(1.0) 27(4.6) Xenomystus nigri Gunther 1868 2(0.3) - - 2(0.3) Pantodon bucholzi Peters 1902 1(0.2) 2(0.3) - - 2(0.3) Osteoglosidae	Clupeid				
Siterathrissa leonensis Boulenger 1908 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Papprocranus afer 17(2.9) 4(0.7) -6(1.0) 27(4.6) Xenomystus nigri Gunther 1868 2(0.3) - - 2(0.3) Paniodon bucholzi Peters 1902 1(0.2) 2(0.3) - 3(0.5) Osteoglossidae Heterotis inloicus Muller 1843 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Mormarchus miloticus Cuvier 1829 7(1.2) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 11(1.9) Mormyrus fiume Leach 1818 1(0.2) 4(0.7) 1(0.2 6(1.04) Petrocephalus bane Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Petrocephalus simus Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Characidae Hydrocymus lineatus Bleeker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) - 3(0.5) Hydrocymus lineatus Bleeker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) - 3(0.5) Hydrocymus foreskhali Cuvier 1819	Pellonula afzelinusGünter 1968	-	9(1.5)	1(0.2)	10(1.7)
Papyrocranus afer	Gynothrisamento regan	1(0.2)	4(0.9)	-	5(0.9)
Xenomystus nigri Gunther 1868 2(0.3) - - 2(0.3) Pantodon bucholzi Peters 1902 1(0.2) 2(0.3) - 3(0.5) Osteoglossidae Iteroris inloticus Muller 1843 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 11(1.7) Gymnarchus niloticus Cuvier 1829 7(1.2) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 11(1.9) Mormyridae Mormyridae Wormyridiae 4(0.7) 1(0.2) 6(1.04) Petrocephalus bane Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Petrocephalus simus Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Characidae 1 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Hydrocymus lineatus Bleeker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) - 3(0.5) Hydrocymus foreskhali Cuvier 1849 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocymus foreskhali Cuvier 1849 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes mere Rupple 1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 3(5.6)	Sierathrissa leonensis Boulenger 1908	-	1(0.2)	-	1(0.2)
Pantodon bucholzi Peters 1902 1(0.2) 2(0.3) - 3(0.5) Osteoglossidae Heterotis niloticus Muller 1843 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Mormyridae Mormyrus fume Leach 1818 1(0.2) 4(0.7) 1(0.2) 6(1.04) Petrocephalus bane Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Petrocephalus simus Boulenger 1898 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Characidae Hydrocymus lineatus Bleeker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) - 3(0.5) Hydrocymus brevis Gunther 1864 - 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocymus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocymus forskhali Cuvier 1819 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes Mode Black 1794 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes Doubei Myele 1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0)	Papyrocranus afer	17(2.9)	4(0.7)	-6(1.0)	27(4.6)
Osteoglossidae Heterotis niloticus Muller 1843 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Gymnarchus niloticus Cuvier 1829 7(1.2) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 11(1.9) Mormyridae Werner Leach 1818 1(0.2) 4(0.7) 1(0.2) 6(1.04) Petrocephalus bane Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Petrocephalus simus Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Characidae Wertocephalus simus Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Hydrocynus Isineatus Bleeker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) - 3(0.5) Hydrocynus Isineatus Bleeker 1864 - 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes surse Rupple 1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7)	Xenomystus nigri Gunther 1868	2(0.3)	-	-	2(0.3)
Heteroits niloticus Muller 1843 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Gymnarchus niloticus Cuvier 1829 7(1.2) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 11(1.9) Mormyridae Wormyrus fiume Leach 1818 1(0.2) 4(0.7) 1(0.2) 6(1.04) Petrocephalus bame Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Petrocephalus simus Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Characidae Worden simus Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Characidae Hydrocymus Ineatus Bleeker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocymus Ineatus Bleeker 1864 - 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocymus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7) Hydrocymus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 42(7.1) Hydrocymus forskhali Cuvier 1849 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.1) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Ales	Pantodon bucholzi Peters 1902	1(0.2)	2(0.3)	-	3(0.5)
Gymnarchus niloticus Cuvier 1829 7(1.2) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 11(1.9) Mormyridae Mormyrus fiume Leach 1818 1(0.2) 4(0.7) 1(0.2) 6(1.04) Petrocephalus bane Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Petrocephalus simus Boulenger 1898 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Characidae Hydrocynus lineatus Blecker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) - 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alesses Use Odoe Black 1794 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes nurse Rupple 1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 16(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 6(1.0)	Osteoglossidae				
Mormyridae Mormyrus fume Leach 1818 1(0.2) 4(0.7) 1(0.2) 6(1.04) Petrocephalus bane Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Petrocephalus simus Boulenger 1898 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Characidae Usual Ineatus Bleeker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) - 3(0.5) Hydrocynus brevis Gunther 1864 - 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes nurse Rupple 1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 42(7.1) Macrolepidous (Alestes) Cuvier 1849 12(2.0) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinidae -<	Heterotis niloticus Muller 1843	1(0.2)	8(1.4)	1(0.2)	10(1.7)
Mormyrus fume Leach 1818 1(0.2) 4(0.7) 1(0.2) 6(1.04) Petrocephalus bane Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Petrocephalus simus Boulenger 1898 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Characidae Use of the properties of the propertie	Gymnarchus niloticus Cuvier 1829	7(1.2)	1(0.2)	3(0.5)	11(1.9)
Petrocephalus bane Boulenger 1898 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 1(0.2) 10(1.7) Petrocephalus simus Boulenger 1898 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Characidae Usua Jineatus Bleeker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) - 3(0.5) Hydrocynus brevis Gunther 1864 - 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hepsetus Odoe Black 1794 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes nurse Rupple1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 42(7.1) Macrolepidotus (Alestes) Cuvier 1849 12(2.0) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 6(1.0) 15(2.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinius 2 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo coubie Rup	Mormyridae				
Petrocephalus simus Boulenger 1898 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) 2(0.4) Characidae Hydrocynus lineatus Bleeker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) - 3(0.5) Hydrocynus brevis Gunther 1864 - 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hepsetus Odoe Black 1794 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes nurse Rupple1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 42(7.1) Macrolepidotus (Alestes) Cuvier 1849 12(2.0) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 6(1.0) 15(2.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinus Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8)	Mormyrus fume Leach 1818	1(0.2)	4(0.7)	1(0.2	6(1.04)
Characidae Hydrocynus lineatus Bleeker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) - 3(0.5) Hydrocynus brevis Gunther 1864 - 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hepsetus Odoe Black 1794 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes nurse Rupphe1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 42(7.1) Macrolepidotus (Alestes) Cuvier 1849 12(2.0) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 6(1.0) 15(2.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinidae - 1(0.2) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae - 1(0.2) 3(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae - - 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae - - 8(1.4) 8(1.4)	Petrocephalus bane Boulenger 1898	4(0.7)	5(0.8)	1(0.2)	10(1.7)
Hydrocynus lineatus Bleeker 1861 2(0.3) 1(0.2) - 3(0.5) Hydrocynus brevis Gunther 1864 - 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hepsetus Odoe Black 1794 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes nurse Rupple1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 42(7.1) Macrolepidotus (Alestes) Cuvier 1849 12(2.0) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 6(1.0) 15(2.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinidae - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 16(2.8) 2 2	Petrocephalus simus Boulenger 1898	1(0.2)	-	1(0.2)	2(0.4)
Hydrocynus brevis Gunther 1864 - 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hepsetus Odoe Black 1794 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes nurse Rupple1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 42(7.1) Macrolepidotus (Alestes) Cuvier 1849 12(2.0) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 6(1.0) 15(2.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Phago loricatus Gunther 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) <t< td=""><td>Characidae</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Characidae				
Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819 2(0.3) - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) Hepsetus Odoe Black 1794 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes nurse Rupphe1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 42(7.1) Macrolepidotus (Alestes) Cuvier 1849 12(2.0) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 6(1.0) 15(2.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinus Citharinus Citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Usitichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) <td>Hydrocynus lineatus Bleeker 1861</td> <td>2(0.3)</td> <td>1(0.2)</td> <td>-</td> <td>3(0.5)</td>	Hydrocynus lineatus Bleeker 1861	2(0.3)	1(0.2)	-	3(0.5)
Hepsetus Odoe Black 1794 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Alestes nurse Rupple1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 42(7.1) Macrolepidotus (Alestes) Cuvier 1849 12(2.0) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 6(1.0) 15(2.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 5(0.8) <	Hydrocynus brevis Gunther 1864	-	2(0.3)	1(0.2)	3(0.5)
Alestes nurse Rupple1832 16(2.7) 16(2.7) 10(1.7) 42(7.1) Macrolepidotus (Alestes) Cuvier 1849 12(2.0) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 6(1.0) 15(2.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinidae Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 <td>Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819</td> <td>2(0.3)</td> <td>-</td> <td>1(0.2)</td> <td>3(0.5)</td>	Hydrocynus forskhali Cuvier 1819	2(0.3)	-	1(0.2)	3(0.5)
Macrolepidotus (Alestes) Cuvier 1849 12(2.0) 10(1.7) 11(1.9) 33(5.6) Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 6(1.0) 15(2.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinidae Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagridae Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 -	Hepsetus Odoe Black 1794	1(0.2)	2(0.3)	1(0.2)	4(0.7)
Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757 1(0.2) 8(1.4) 6(1.0) 15(2.6) Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinidae Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Alestes nurse Rupple1832	16(2.7)	16(2.7)	10(1.7)	42(7.1)
Alestes baremosa 4(0.7) 6(1.0) 1(0.2) 11(1.9) Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinidae Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Macrolepidotus (Alestes) Cuvier 1849	12(2.0)	10(1.7)	11(1.9)	33(5.6)
Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868 - 1(0.2) - 1(0.2) Citharinidae Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Alestes deutex Linnaeus 1757	1(0.2)	8(1.4)	6(1.0)	15(2.6)
Citharinidae Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagridae Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Alestes baremosa	4(0.7)	6(1.0)	1(0.2)	11(1.9)
Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809 2(0.3) 14(2.4) 36(6.3) 52(9.0) Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagridae Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Micralestes acutidens Petae 1868	-	1(0.2)	-	1(0.2)
Cyprinidae Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagridae Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Citharinidae				
Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840 3(0.5) 11(1.9) 2(0.3) 16(2.8) Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagridae Bagridae 8 10.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Citharinus citharus Geoffrey 1809	2(0.3)	14(2.4)	36(6.3)	52(9.0)
Labeo coubie Rupple 1832 15(2.5) 8(1.4) 8(1.4) 31(5.4) Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagridae Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Cyprinidae				
Distichontidae Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Ichthyobridae Use of the place of the p	Labeo senegalensis Cuvier 1840	3(0.5)	11(1.9)	2(0.3)	16(2.8)
Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864 13(2.2) 6(1.0) 9(1.5) 28(4.9) Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagridae Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Labeo coubie Rupple 1832	15(2.5)	8(1.4)	8(1.4)	31(5.4)
Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagridae Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Distichontidae				
Ichthyobridae Phago loricatus Gunther 1865 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 4(0.7) Bagridae Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Distichodus rostratus Muller 1864	13(2.2)	6(1.0)	9(1.5)	28(4.9)
Bagridae Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Ichthyobridae				
Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875 2(0.3) 1(0.2) 2(0.3) 5(0.8) Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Phago loricatus Gunther 1865	1(0.2)	2(0.3)	1(0.2)	4(0.7)
Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Bagridae				
Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802 1(0.2) 7(1.2) 5(0.8) 13(2.3) Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809 - 1(0.2) 3(0.5) 4(0.7)	Bagrus bayad macopterus (Boulenger) 1875	2(0.3)	1(0.2)	2(0.3)	5(0.8)
	Chysichthys nigrodigitatus Lacepede 1802	1(0.2)	7(1.2)		13(2.3)
Clarotes laticeps Rupple, 1829 4(0.7) 5(0.8) 7(1.2) 16(2.8)	Chrysichthys auratus Geoffroy 1809	-	1(0.2)	3(0.5)	4(0.7)
		4(0.7)	5(0.8)	7(1.2)	16(2.8)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Percentage composition of fish caught from Anambra River Basin

r: 1	Number	1 3 7 . (0/)		
Fish species	Ogurugu	Otuocha	Nsugbe	total No (%)
Auchenoglanis biscutatus				
Cuvier and Valencinnes 1840	-	2(0.3)	-	2(0.4)
Clariidae				
Clarias anguillaris Linnaeus 1762	5(0.8)	11(1.9)	5(0.8)	21 (3.5)
Clarias lazera Cuvier and Valencinnes 1840	3(0.5)	11(1.9)	2(0.3)	16(2.7)
Heterobranchus bidorsalis Geoffroy, 1818	-	7(1.2)	-	7(1.2)
Malapteruridae				
Malapterurus electricus Forskali 1775	-	4(0.7)	3(0.5)	7(1.2)
Mochokidae				
Synodontis ocellifer	2(0.3)	4(0.7)	-	6(1.0)
Synodontis gambiensis Cuvier 1840	1(0.2)	4(0.7)	1(0.2)	6(1.04)
Synodontis nigrita Cuvier 1840-	1(0.2)	4(0.7)	1(0.2)	6(1.04)
Synodontis clarias Linnaeus 1762	8(1.4)	16(2.7)	6(2.7)	40(6.9)
S. gobroni	-	2(0.3)	-	2(0.4)
S. membranaceus Geoffroy, 1809	1(0.2)	(0.2)	1(0.2)	3(0.5)
S. batensoda Ruppel 1832	4(0.7)	1(0.2)	-	5(0.9)
Schilbeidae				
Physailia pellucida Boulenger, 1901	-	4(0.7)	-	4(0.7)
Schilbe mystus Linnaeus 1762	6(1.0)	12(0.3)	8(1.4)	26(4.5)
Siluranodon auritus Bleeker 1858	-	-	1(0.2)	1((0.2)
Channidae				
Ophyocephalus scurus Guntheri 1861	1(0.2)	1(0.2)	1(0.2)	3(0.5)
Pomadasydae				
Pristopoma jubeleni Cuvier	-	1(0.2)	-	1(0.2)
Ctenopoma kings leyae Cuvier 1864	-	1(0.2)	-	1(0.2)
Centropomidae				
Lates niloticus Linnaeus 1762	3(0.5)	2(0.3)	3(0.5)	8(1.4)
Cichlidae				
Tilapia zillii Gervais 1848	9(1.5)	4(0.7)	5(0.8)	18(3.1)
T. nilotica Linnaeus 1757-	2(0.3)	-	-	2(0.3)
T. galilaea Artedi 1757	3(0.3)	3(0.3)	4(0.7)	10(1.3)
Tetraonontidae				
Tetraodon fahake Steindachner 1870	10(1.7)	-	-	10(1.7)
Total	171	236	169	576(D14)

TABLE 2
Preliminary check-list of aquatic and other animals utilizing Anambra flood plain

Common name	Species
Plover	Charadridae
Wated plover	Venellus senegallus
Little ringed plover	Charadrius dubius
Egret	Ardeidae
Ardea Cattle egret	Ardeola ibis
	Egretta garzetta
Little egret heron	Ardea cinerea
Duck/geese	Antidae
Pygmy goose	Nettapus auritus
	Jananidae
Little trotler	Actophilornils Africana
White-headed vulture	Acciptridae
	Trigonoceps occipitallis
Kite	Milvus migrans
Short-toed eagle	Ciraeceatus gallicus
Fish eagle	Haliaetus vocites
	Artiodactyla
Bushbuck	Tragelaphus scriprus
Buffalo-bush-cow	Syncerns caffer
Red-flanked duiker	Chephalophus rufilatus
Kob	Kobus kobus
	Lagomorphs
Hare	Lepus capensis
	Primate
Red patas monkey	Erythrocebus patas
	Sirenia
African manatee	Trichechus senegalensis
	Reptilia
Monitor lizard	Varanus niloticus
	Chelidae
Artiodactyla	Tortoise
Cattle	Bovidae
	Bos taurus
Goats & Sheep	Capriniae
	Caprini sp.

TABLE 3
Meristic features of fish species caught in Anambra River, Nigeria

	N	D. fin	A. fin	C. fin	P. fin	V. fin
Papyrocranus afer	27	6	70	6	9	-
Alestes nurse	42	10	14	31	7	6
Macrolepidotus Cuvier	33	9	20	21	6	5
Citharinus citharius	52	20	30	21	9	8
Labeo coubie	31	12	10	17	8	6
Distichodus rostatus	28	26	12	20	4	5
Clarias anguillaris	21	70	59	11	4	3
Synodontis clarias	40	7	8	18	9	4
Schilbe mystus	26	6	62	19	8	4
Tilapia zilli	18	15	10	13	11	7

Numerals represent number of fin rays: D. Fin: Dorsal fin; A. Fin: Anal Fin; C. Fin: Caudal Fin; P. Fin: Pectoral Fin; V. Fin: Ventral Fin.

TABLE 4

Morph metric features of fish species caught in Anambra River, Nigeria

	N	TL	SL	HL	BD	BG	WG
Papyrocranus afer	27	571	395	80	90	160	301
Alestes nurse	42	200	140	60	80	120	400
Macrolepidotus cuvier	33	481	401	90	130	180	700
Citharinus citharius	52	300	231	69	101	176	900
Labeo coubie	31	600	501	122	140	191	1001
Distichodus rostatus	28	480	411	96	110	171	1200
Clarias anguillaris	21	800	602	180	171	196	1160
Synodontis clarias	40	240	203	109	190	170	270
Schilbe mystus	26	280	210	80	140	130	240
Tilapia zillii	18	275	201	79	97	200	230

All measurements are millimeters (mm): TL: Total Length; SL: Standard Length; HL: Head Length; BD: Body Length; BG: Body Girth; BW: Body weight (mg).

TABLE 5
Diversity of fish fauna in the Anambra River

Sampled station	Ogurugu	Otuocha	Nsugbe
Number of samples	44	44	44
Number of species	51	52	51
Number of individuals	171	236	169
Species richness (d)	3.19	3.01	2.97
General diversity (H)	0.82	1.10	0.78
Evenness (E)	0.56	0.70	0.49

TABLE 6 Comparison of mean Fulton's condition factors (k^l) for common and abundant fish species, Anambra River

	F: 1		Ogurugu		Otuocha		Nsugbe	
	Fish species	N	Mean ±tsd	N	$Mean \pm tsd$	N	$Mean \pm tsd$	t-value
1	Papyrocranus afer	17	2.04±0.49	4	1.47±0.10	6	2.89±0.31	1.81
2	Alestes nurse	16	1.20 ± 0.30	16	2.71±0.35	10	2.09 ± 0.31	0.49
3	Macrolepidotus cuver	12	2.50 ± 0.33	10	2.50 ± 0.33	11	2.71±0.5	0.45
4	Citharinus cithanus	12	3.02 ± 0.41	14	3.62 ± 0.51	36	3.19 ± 0.62	0.53
5	Labeo coubie	15	1.17±0.45	8	1.91±0.23	8	1.91 ± 0.23	0.20
6	Distichodus rostratus	23	3.01 ± 0.10	6	2.01±0.50	9	2.01±0.50	0.37
7	Clarias anguillaris	5	1.51±0.20	11	$1.78 \pm .32$	5	$1.78 \pm .32$	0.67
8	Synodontis clarias	17	2.3±0.31	16	2.08 ± 0.10	16	2.08 ± 0.10	0.20
9	Schilbe mystus	6	1.89 ± 0.32	12	1.87±0.30	8	1.87±0.30	0.57
10	Tilapia zillii	9	2.60±0.41	4	1.80±0.21	5	$1.80\pm.21$	0.67

TABLE 7
The relative abundance of aquatic and other animals utilizing Anambra river basin

Species	Number (per	Total No (%)		
~F*****	Ogurugu	Otuocha	Nsugbe	
Venellus senegallus	2 (0.39)	6 (1.16)	1 (0.39)	9 (2.32)
Charadrius dubius	0	2 (0.39)	3 (0.58)	5 (0.96)
Ardeola ibis	1 (0.19)	0	5 (0.96)	6 (1.16)
Egretta garzetta	20 (3.4)	10 (1.9)	8 (3.47)	48 (9.27)
Ardea cinerea	30 (5.8)	35 (6.76)	50 (965)	115 (22.20)
Nettapus auritus	0	3 (0.58)	1 (0.19)	4 (0.77)
Actophilornils africana	5 (0.96)	10 (1.9)	2 (0.39)	17 (3.28)
Trigonoceps occipitallis	10 (1.9)	17 (3.28)	4 (0.77)	31 (5.98)
Milvus migrans	12 (2.3)	9 (1.74)	3 (0.58)	24 (4.63)
Ciraeceatus gallicus	0	6 (1.16)	2 (0.4)	8 (1.54)
Haliaetus vocites	1 (0.19)	0	4 (0.77)	5 (0.96)
Tragelaphus scriprus	2 (0.39)	0	6 (1.16)	8 (1.5)
Syncerns caffer	1 (0.19)	0	2 (0.39)	3 (0.58)
Chephalophus rufilatus	0	3 (0.58)	0	3 (0.58)
Kobus kobus	2 (0.39)	2 (0.39)	0	4 (1.16)
Lepus capensis	4 (0.77)	7 (1.40)	11 (2.12)	22 (4.25)
Erythrocebus patas	2 (0.39)	0	1 (0.19)	3 (0.58)
Trichechus senegalensis	11 (2.120)	8 (1.5)	1 (0.19)	20 (3.86)
Varanus niloticus	20 (3.86)	19 (3.67)	13 (2.51)	52 (10.04)
Bos indicus	5 (0.96)	20 (2.12)	15 (2.90)	40 (7.72)
Caprini	26 (5.01)	31 (5.98)	13 (5.98)	70 (13.51)
Tortoise sp.	1 (0.19)	4 (0.77)	0	5 (0.96)
Total	155	192	169	516

Ogurugu and Nsugbe stations must have been as a result of synergistic effects from the various industries. These effects were discharge of municipal wastes and individual pollutants including warm- water effects from power stations. Toxic effects of detergents, petroleum products and household factories have been documented (Omoregie, 1995). In addition to the fish species found in the river, there are some other forms of aquatic fauna. The crab Sudanonantes african occurs in large quantity, as well as snails, crocodiles, and snakes. Both the numbers and distribution of large mammals on the River basin have been greatly reduced due increased human influence such as hunting, burning, (Ndakide 1988, personal communication). Fish eating birds, always the most abundant species, were confined largely to the vicinity of River Anambra and shoreline. Domestic animal populations are on the increase. The moist and easily saturated soil condition for some months of the year might favour growth of herbaceous grasses and forbs which could serve as fodder to the livestock. In fact more than 300 domestic animals were counted during the dry season utilizing the plain. The availability of forage species coupled with perennial fodder shortage for the Nigeria livestock populations indicate that River basin might serve a good dry season grazing areas for both resident animals. This confirms that natural aquatic environment houses a variety of aquatic lives (Kindersley, 1991). The surface temperature undergoes relatively small fluctuations, there was a fairly consistent thermal regime of about 29.5 °C and the pH range was between 6.8 and 7.7. This range fell within the recommended range that support aquatic life including fishes (Boyd, 1979). The water quality of the river can be improved further by controlling and /or prohibiting the discharge of municipal effluents and domestic garbage into the river as well as the use of the riparian zone for crop agriculture. The maintenance of a 50-60 m thick riparian vegetation can act as a

buffer strip to check erosion by acting as sediment break/filter.

REFERENCES

- Awachie, J.B.E. & Hare, L. 1977. The fisheries of the Anambra, Ogun and Oshun river systems in Southern Nigeria. CIFA Technical paper 5, p. 170-184.
- Abatunde, D.O.& Raji, A. 1998. Field Guide to Nigeria Freshwater Fisheries Technology, New Bussa. p. 1-2, 84-92.
- Bagenal, T.B. & Tesch, F.W. 1978. Age and growth. p. 101-136. In T.B. Bagenal (ed.). Methods for the assessment of fish production in freshwater. IBP Handbook No. 3. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford
- Bellinger, R. 1974. The measurement of species Habitat distribution and abundance. Ann. Rev. Ecol System. 5: 285-307.
- Boulenger, G.A. 1916. Catalogue of the Freshwater Fishes of Africa in the British Museum (Natural History). Vol.IV, Trustees, London, 392 p.
- Boyd, C.E. 1979. Water Quality in Warm Water Fish ponds. Agricultural Station, Auburn University, Alabama. 35 p.
- Ilozumba, P.C.O. 1989. Studies on the ecology and biology of Tennisentis niloticus (Meyer 1992) Van Cleane, 1936 Acanthocephala. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria. 204 p.
- Ita, E.O. 1993. Inland Fishery Resources of Nigeria.FAO, CIFA Occasional Paper No. 20, Rome, Italy, FAO. 120 p.
- Jackson, L. 1989. Similarity coefficient, measures of cooccurrence and association or simply measures of occurrence. American Naturalist 133: 436-453.
- Jamu, D.M. & Ayinla, A.O. 2003. Potential for the Development of Agriculture in Africa. NAGA, 26(3): 9-13.
- Kindersley. 1991. The Visual Dictionary of Animals. Eye witness visual Dictionaries Book. First American Edition. p. 20-59.
- Lévesque, C., Paugy, D. & Teugel, C.U. 1992. Fauna ies paisons d'eaoax douse et Samatres de L.A trique de our et, Tome I. ORSTOM/MRAC, Paris, 384 p.

- Maddison, R.E. 1992. The Complete Aquarium Enclopedia of Tropical Freshwater Fish. p116-216.
- Odo, G.E. (2004). Studies on the ecology of macro invertebrate fauna as fish food in Anambra river basin, Nigeria. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria. 192 p.
- Oguzie, F.A. 1997. A KEY TO SOME OF FRESHWATER FISHES OF Nigeria (Adopted from Boulenger and Welman). Dept of Fisheries, University of Benin, Benin City. p. 1-14.
- Omoregie, E. 1995. Acute toxicity of alkybenzen sulphonate (ABS) detergent to the tooth carp Aphysoemion Gardneri. Aquaculture Research 26: 755-758.

- Renkonen, R. 1975. Patterns of species relative abundance and diversity, pp. 81-126. In L. Cody and J. Diamond (eds.). Ecology and Evolution of communities. Harvard University press (Belknap press), Cambridge, EEUU.
- Shannon, C.E. and Wiener, W. 1963. The Mathematical Theory of communication. U. Illinois, Urbana, Illinois. 117 p.
- Welcome, R.L. 1979. Fisheries ecology of Flood Plain Rivers. Longman G, London.
- Welman, J.B. 1948. Preliminary survey of the Freshwater Fisheries of Nigeria. Government, Lagos, Nigeria.
- Zar. J.H. 1984. Biostatistical analysis. Prentice Hall, New Jersey, USA.