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The properties of lyotropic ferronematic liquid crystals based on new Ni, Cu and Zn ionic magnetic fluids are discussed. The efficiency of these new ferrofluids in the lyotropic nematic liquid crystal doping is verified and compared with the conventional surfacted ferrofluid lyotropic doping. It was observed that the structural characteristics of the lyotropics and ferrofluids determine the good formation of the ferronematics.

I Introduction

Liquid crystals can be oriented [1] by electric and magnetic fields or by shear stress. Since the anisotropic part of the diamagnetic susceptibility of these materials is small, high magnetic fields, of about 10 kG, are necessary to orient the liquid crystals. In 1970, Brochard and de Gennes [2] proposed a theory of magnetic suspensions in which liquid crystals could be doped with small magnetic grains. The coupling between the magnetic grains and the liquid crystalline matrix is mainly from mechanical origin and this coupling is responsible for the orientation process [2]. The doping of liquid crystals with ferrofluids [3, 4] (above a critical concentration) reduces the magnetic field required to orient the liquid crystals by a factor 10³.

Ferrofluids or magnetic fluids are colloidal suspensions of small magnetic grains (typical dimension 10 nm) dispersed in a liquid carrier. Two different types of ferrofluids are available: surfacted and ionic ferrofluid. The last one is also named electric double layer magnetic fluids (EDL-MF) [5]. In the surfacted ferrofluids, the grains (usually Fe₃O₄) are coated with surfactant agents (amphiphilic molecules) to prevent their flocculation (steric repulsion: physical barrier) [4]. In polar medium (water), two layers of surfactant are needed to form an external hidrophilic layer. In ionic ferrofluids, the magnetic grains (usually γ-Fe₂O₃; MnFe₂O₄; CoFe₂O₄) are electrically charged to keep the colloidal stable (electric repulsion) [6, 7]. The ionic citrated maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃) has both characteristics (steric and electrostatic repulsion) to prevent the aggregation of the magnetic grains. When a ferrofluid is highly diluted in a solvent, it became unstable and the grains flocculate.

Nematic liquid crystals doped with ferrofluids have been called [2] ferronematic liquid crystals. Following de Gennes’ predictions, many experiments were performed with thermotropic and lyotropic ferronematic and ferrocholesteric (cholesteric liquid crystal doped with ferrofluid) liquid crystals. The attainment of a thermotropic ferronematic is a very delicate task, due to the low solubility of the magnetic fluids in the liquid crystalline matrix. Usually, the liquid crystal and the magnetic fluid separate and a homogeneous solution (as a function of time) is not achieved. The first successful observation of the macroscopic collective behavior of a magnetically doped nematic liquid crystal was obtained in 1983 by Chen and Amer [8]. In their exper-
iment, however, the magnetic grains were much bigger than that present in actual ferrofluids: they used magnetic grains with typical dimensions of microns. On the other hand, the doping of lyotropic liquid crystals (mixture of amphiphilic molecules and a solvent, usually water [1]) with water base surfactant ferrofluids was done for the first time in 1979 by Libbert and Martinet [9], and since then this method has been used [10-14] to investigate the physical-chemical properties of lyotropics. In the most of these experiments the lyotropic liquid crystals were doped with a water base surfactant ferrofluid from Ferrofluidics Corp.

Collective behavior of the liquid crystalline matrix was observed with magnetic fields of about 5 G. The aggregation of the grains, in the presence of magnetic fields, forming needles of about 10 μm long was also observed [10]. Depletion layers, i.e., regions where the magnetic grains are segregated due to topological configurations of the director, [2] were observed [10]. Following de Gennes prediction, the minimum concentrations ($C_m$) of ferrofluid magnetic grains required to orient nematic liquid crystals were determined in samples doped with surfactant ferrofluid [11] and ion liquid ferrofluid of $CoFe_2O_4$ [13]. The knowledge of $C_m$ is important in practical experiments in order to preserve most of the physical properties of the liquid crystalline systems.

Dynamic processes in lyotropic ferrofluidics were also studied [16, 17] using pulsed magnetic fields. In these studies, it was verified that there is a different dynamic behavior between ferrofluidics and usual nematics when samples are subjected to magnetic fields. In usual nematics the relaxation time is proportional to $H^{-2}$ [18] and in ferrofluidics the relaxation time is proportional to $H^{-1}$.

Due to the new features of ferrofluidics, mainly their remarkable response to low magnetic fields, after Brochard and de Gennes' paper [2], other theoretical approaches to the field-director coupling problem were done [19, 20, 21].

Since ferrofluidics (and ferrocholesterics) constitute a new class of complex magnetic fluids with academic and technological interests, it is important to know what type of ferrofluid is more efficient to produce ferrofluidics. The type of ferrofluid used in the doping process depends on the physics we intend to study: low or high magnetic field-director coupling, slow or fast response to external agents, and so on. Recently new ionic ferrofluids (EDL-MF) based on Ni, Cu and Zn ferrites were synthesized [5, 22].

In this paper we discuss the doping of a lyotropic nematic liquid crystal with these new ferrofluids. The properties of these new ferrofluidics are compared with the one of usual lyotropic ferrofluidics obtained using different types of ferrofluids. The efficiency of each of these new ferrofluids in constituting a ferronematic is discussed.

II. Experimental section

II.1 Materials

The lyotropic nematic liquid crystal used is a mixture of potassium laurate (28.74 weight%), decanol (6.64 wt%) and water (64.62 wt%), with an uniaxial calamitic nematic phase $N_c$ [23] between 12 and 35°C. The experiments are performed at 22°C. The nematic phase is identified by means of optical microscopy, conoscoppy and X-ray diffraction.

The ionic water based ferrofluids used for the doping have magnetic grains with a mean diameter of 8.4 nm ($CuFe_2O_4$), 4.4 nm ($NiFe_2O_4$) and 6.3 nm ($ZnFe_2O_4$), and magnetization at saturation of 135 G, 279 G and 0 G, respectively. The ferrofluids used were prepared by chemical synthesis process. Copper, Nickel and Zinc [5, 22] spinel oxide grains are prepared by condensation method from chemical reaction among aqueous solutions of metal oxides in alkaline medium. Grain nature and size are fixed by coprecipitation step. As far as the molar ratio is concerned, the best initial condition for all samples prepared corresponds just to the ferrite stoichiometry, i.e., 0.33. In addition, the particular base which is used, the pH and the temperature are extremely important in the synthesis. The best temperature range for the synthesis is around 100°C. Usually a base excess is needed due to the acidity of the initial mixture. The reagent addition procedure, including the way and speed of mixing, acts directly to determine the average value of the grain size. The fine grains are obtained by poring the mixture as quickly as possible into the base medium under vigorous stirring. Very high ionic strength, as well as base excess, are very important in the synthesis of nickel ferrite grains. Finally, after the magnetic grains being synthesized they were washed in acid medium using a ferric nitrate solution at boiling temperature in order to promote the chemical surface stabilization. Very stable and concentrated magnetic fluids are obtained, after the chemical surface stabilization step, by dispersion in acid (alkaline) medium with suitable counterions, like nitrate or perchlorate (tetramethylammonium cation). Ferrofluids used in this study are acid ferrofluids, i.e., the grains are positively charged. The characterization of the ferrofluids were done [5, 22] by electronic microscopy, X-ray diffraction, magnetization measurements and birefringence measurements.
We used concentrations of magnetic grains above the minimum concentration required to orient the nematic liquid crystals [11, 15] in the preparation of the ferroelectric. The doping was done by carefully diluting a concentrated solution of ferrofluid, which was then introduced into a given volume of nematic with a microsyringe. The fluids were mixed by slow stirring. Following this process, without any precipitation of the magnetic grains, the ferroelectric samples present \((7 \pm 1) \times 10^{13}\) grains/cm³.

II.2 Methods and techniques

One of the techniques used is the crossed polarizers optical microscopy. The experiment consists in to observe the ferroelectric samples in a polarizing light microscope. The ferroelectric sample is encapsulated inside rectangular glass microslides (from MicroDynamics) with the following dimensions: 25 mm (length), 4 mm (width) and 400 μm (thickness). The samples are observed before and after the application of a magnetic field, and the textures are recorded with a CCD camera coupled in the microscope. A magnetic field of about 200 G can be applied to the sample on the microscope stage. In the case of \(N_{C}\) samples, the director (optical axis) orients parallel to the applied magnetic field [12]. The microscope stage allows the observation of the ferroelectric texture with different relative orientations of the director with respect to the light polarizing direction. This technique allows to check the efficiency of the ferrofluid doping when the sample is subjected to a magnetic field and also the eventual presence of clusters of magnetic grains, indicating a spurious agglomeration process.

Another optical technique used is the measurement of the transmittance as a function of the time with samples subjected to magnetic fields. The setup consists of a polarized HeNe cw laser (10 mW), two sources of magnetic fields, an analyzer and a photodetector connected to a computer. Figure 1 shows a sketch of the setup. The sample holders used are microslides, with the same features presented above. The laser beam direction is parallel to the \(z\) axis (normal to the biggest flat surface of the microslide) and its waist at the sample’s position in about 1 mm. The magnetic field \(H\) is a superposition of two independent fields: a static and homogeneous field \((H_1\) oriented along the \(y\) axis) generated by an electromagnet \((10 \leq H_1 \leq 3000 \text{ G})\), and another magnetic field \(H_2\) (oriented along the \(x\) axis) is a pulsed field \((\text{strength is between 0 and 550 G})\) generated by two Helmholtz coils. \(H_1\) is oriented parallel to the longest axis of the sample holder and the direction of the analyzer. A pulse generator (square wave) controls the strength and the time interval of the pulse.

III Results

The lyotropic mixture doped with the water based CuFe₂O₄ ionic ferrofluid did not accept well the doping. At a concentration of \(C_s = (7 \pm 1) \times 10^{13}\) grains/cm³ \((C_s \gg C_m \approx 10^9\) grains/cm³) it was observed a precipitation of the grains. Due to an agglomeration process which takes place, the actual concentration of grains in the solution is different from the initial one. The final solution presented a homogenous brown color. With \(C \sim C_m\) the sample is transparent and no large \((\mu\text{m range size})\) clusters are observed. This fact indicates that at the actual sample \(C_m < C < C_s\). The value of \(C\) can be estimated assuming that, in the worst situation, 50% of the grains aggregate and, in this situation, \(C \approx 3 \times 10^{10}\) grains/cm³. At the presence of a magnetic field of 200 G it was verified the formation of great magnetic grain clusters with about \(10^9\) grains each (Fig. 2). Comparing these results with those of the same nematic sample doped with a water based surfactant ferrofluid (Fe₃O₄ magnetic grains of 10 nm of mean diameter and magnetization at saturation of 100 G), a big difference exists. It was verified that the surfactant ferrofluid diluted easily in the lyotropic liquid crystal. Contrary to the case of the CuFe₂O₄ ionic ferrofluid, grain clusters were not observed. The response to magnetic field is fast and efficient. This response can be evaluated in Figs. 3 (surfated ferroelectric without the magnetic field) and 4 (same sample 5 seconds after the application of a 200 G magnetic field). In the presence of the magnetic field, sample tends to orient in a planar geometry, with the director parallel to the field. The parallel lines observed in Fig. 4 are walls parallel to the applied magnetic field. The same type of dynamic observation done with CuFe₂O₄ ionic ferroelectric sample indicated that the orientation of this ferroelectric (in the presence of the magnetic field) takes much more time (about some hours) when compared to the surfacted ferroelectric sample to achieve

Figure 1. Sketch of the setup used to measure the optical transmittance of the ferroelectric samples subjected to time dependent magnetic fields. \(P, A, L,\) and \(PD\) are the polarizer, analyzer, laser beam directions, and photodetector, respectively. \(x, y,\) and \(z\) are the laboratory frame axes.
the same degree of planar orientation. Figures 5 and 6 show the CuFe₂O₄ ionic ferroelectric sample without the field and 10 min after the application of the magnetic field. Observing these figures, it is possible to verify that there are no significant modifications in the ferroelectric texture. Nevertheless, it was verified a small change in the ferroelectric texture in the vicinity of the sample holder borders. This indicates that although the efficiency of CuFe₂O₄ ferrofluid is not very good to orient lyotropic liquid crystals, it is possible to orient them, but a long time is required if compared to the surfactant ferroelectric results.

Figure 2. Ferroelectric sample of CuFe₂O₄ placed in a microslide 400 μm thick, subjected to a magnetic field of 200 G, observed in a polarized light microscope. The magnetic grain cluster is indicated by the arrow.

Figure 4. Sample of surfactant ferroelectric in microslide 400 μm thick, observed in a polarized light microscope, in the presence of a magnetic field of 200 G. The texture was obtained 5 s after the application of the magnetic field.

Figure 3. Sample of surfactant ferroelectric in microslide 400 μm thick, observed in a polarized light microscope, without the magnetic field.

Figure 5. Sample of CuFe₂O₄ ionic ferroelectric in microslide 400 μm thick, observed in a polarized light microscope, without the application of the magnetic field.

Figure 6. Sample of CuFe₂O₄ ionic ferroelectric in microslide 400 μm thick, observed in a polarized light microscope, in the presence of a magnetic field of 200 G (along the horizontal direction, in the plane of the figure). The texture was obtained 10 min after the application of the magnetic field.
We also studied the formation of NiFe$_2$O$_4$ and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ based ferromagnetics and the same features observed in the CuFe$_2$O$_4$ ferromagnetic were obtained. It was verified that these ferrofluids do not dilute very well in the lyotropic liquid crystal. Some grains' aggregates with typical dimensions of microns can be observed in the texture under polarizing microscope. The actual concentration of grains can also be evaluated as done before and one has $C \sim 3 \times 10^{23}$ grains/cm$^3$. The overall ferromagnetics present a brown homogeneous color, indicating that there are some individual grains in the liquid crystal matrix. Analyzing the behavior of these ferromagnetics subjected to low (of the order of 200 G) magnetic fields, we verify that significant changes do not appear in the ferromagnetic texture in times of the order of a few minutes. Also in this case the walls were formed in the texture when the sample is subjected to the magnetic field.

The response time of the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ ferromagnetic sample to large magnetic fields was quantitatively investigated. Due to the similarities between the Zn and Ni based ferromagnetics behaviors in the presence of small magnetic fields it is expected that the results obtained for the Zn based one can be extended to the Ni based ferromagnetic. The experimental setup used [17] is sketched in the Fig. 1. A constant magnetic field $H_1 = 2750$ G and a pulsed one (during an interval $\Delta t$ of about 100 s) $H_2 = 550$ G are applied to the sample. Figure 7 shows the optical transmittance as a function of the time. It is possible to see that even after 100 s the texture did not achieve a stationary configuration. The transmittance presents an almost linear increase as a function of the time, indicating a weak mechanical coupling of the director to the magnetic grains. As the mechanical coupling strongly depends on the shape anisotropy of the grains (or even small clusters of grains), it is possible that this weak response of the nematic matrix to the magnetic field (which controls the orientation of the grains magnetic moment and, consequently, the grains orientation in the nematic matrix) is due to the presence of almost spherical grains (or grains clusters). After 250 s the switching off of the pulsed magnetic field, the optical transmittance did not return to its initial value. In the case of surfactant ferromagnetics, in the same conditions, the relaxation time is about 90 s [17]. In the ironic ferromagnetic sample studied here, the relaxation time calculated is $(191 \pm 3)$ s. For time intervals $\Delta t < 90$s, during which $H_2$ is present, the transmittance does significantly change, within our experimental accuracy.

As a conclusion, due to this weak mechanical coupling between the nematic director and the grains, the MFe$_2$O$_4$ (M$^{2+}$ = Cu, Ni and Zn) based ferromagnetics respond very badly to small magnetic fields. The mechanical coupling does not depend on the size of the magnetic grains but depends on the grain's shape anisotropy [2]. In the electron microscopy characterization of the grains [5], they appear roughly spherical. A small aggregation (dimers or trimers) could favour the mechanical coupling of the grains with the nematic liquid crystal, but this seems to be not the case with the ironic ferrofluids studied here. This results are significantly different from the one obtained with surfacted and citrated maghemite ($\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$) ironic ferrofluids [17]. In that cases, it was verified that the two types of ferrofluid are efficient to orient the nematic liquid crystals. It was also verified that the surfacted ferromagnetic responds to the magnetic field faster than the citrated ferromagnetic. The differences observed in the surfacted and ironic ferromagnetics could indicate that the amphiphilic molecules of the surfacted ferrofluid are important in the stability of the lyotropic ferromagnetics. The basic units of the lyotropic liquid crystals are micelles (aggregates of amphiphilic molecules). Besides the micelles, isolated amphiphilic molecules exist in the bulk of the nematic phase. As the grains in surfacted ferrofluids are already coated with molecules having the same characteristics of the lyotropic amphiphilic molecules, the magnetic grains could be covered by them, increasing the stability of the ferromagnetic system. The ironic magnetic grains this situation does not happen and could explain why both systems behaves differently in the nematic matrix. Our experience with ferromagnetics (ionic and surfacted) is that they remain stable for several years (at least three years old samples presents the same physical-chemical properties than fresh ones. Based on this fact we believe that there are not chemical reactions between grains and micelles taking place in ferromagnetics.
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