



Brazilian Journal of Physics

ISSN: 0103-9733

luizno.bjp@gmail.com

Sociedade Brasileira de Física
Brasil

Tsui, K. H.; Portella, H. M.; Gomes, A. S.; Shigueoka, H.; de Oliveira, L. C. S.
Integral Energy Spectra of Hadrons Induced by One-Single Nucleon by the Method of Characteristics
Brazilian Journal of Physics, vol. 37, núm. 2A, june, 2007, pp. 419-424
Sociedade Brasileira de Física
São Paulo, Brasil

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=46437312>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal
Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

Integral Energy Spectra of Hadrons Induced by One-Single Nucleon by the Method of Characteristics

K. H. Tsui¹, H. M. Portella¹, A. S. Gomes¹, H. Shigueoka¹, and L. C. S. de Oliveira²

¹ Instituto de Física - Universidade Federal Fluminense, Campus da Praia Vermelha, Av. General Milton Tavares de Souza s/n, Gragoatá, 24.210-340, Niterói, RJ, Brazil.

² Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas CBPF/MCT, Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Received on 12 December, 2006

Hadron energy spectra induced by one-single nucleon are obtained solving diffusion equations by the method of characteristics. Our solutions are a generalization of earlier papers that allow us to calculate hadron fluxes including the energy dependence of the interaction lengths and inelasticities. A comparison with the integral hadron spectra of the so-called “halo events” detected by the Brazil-Japan Collaboration at Mt. Chacaltaya is made, in order to test our solutions. A reasonable agreement between them is obtained, considering the rising with energy of the nucleon inelasticity coefficient.

Keywords: Cosmic Rays; Hadron energy spectra; Method of characteristics; Halo events

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last year, we developed an analytical method [1] that allow us to calculate with a good precision the hadronic and electromagnetic components of cosmic rays in the earth's atmosphere. Our solutions, obtained with a power law primary spectra, permit us to include the scaling violation for the hadron interactions and the energy dependence of the hadron interaction mean-free paths and inelasticities.

For sake of completeness, we looked for another boundary condition in order to test our analytical method. If we choose, for instance, a single nucleon (delta function) as the boundary condition, the nucleon spectra then obtained is an exact solution. They are presented in the usual modified Bessel functions of order 1. Differently of the common solutions found in the literature [2–4], the argument of these functions is variable according to the index of summation. This is a consequence of the energy dependence of the interaction mean-free path and inelasticity. This initial condition is important to describe the flux of the so-called “halo events” detected with nuclear emulsion at mountain altitudes and Extensive Air Showers as well.

The Brazil-Japan Collaboration has detected cosmic-ray events in the energy range $(10^{13} - 10^{17})\text{eV}$ with emulsion chamber exposed at Mt. Chacaltaya. About 20 events have been observed in the visible energy region $E \geq 1000\text{TeV}$. Approximately, half of them [5–8] are associated with an uniform darkened wide area on X-ray films. This area, in the central part, is called “halo” and so these events are called “halo events”. Similar experiments at Pamir [9], Fuji [10] and Kanbala [11] have also observed such events. Recently, a new experiment [12], using a hadron calorimeter associated with emulsion chambers at Mt. Chacaltaya, has reported this kind of events too. Thus, the appearance of a strong concentration of energy and particles as a halo seems to be a common feature in this energy region.

After the eighties, these super-families were also compared with simulated ones using different primary compositions and models for high-energy nuclear interactions [13–15]. These simulations cannot describe fully all events with the same

inputs on primary composition and nuclear collision models. Due to their big values of the hadronic number and energy, some authors [16] suggested that these events can be explained as centauro-like ones.

An important issue in the high energy region concerns to the behavior of the inelasticity, which is defined as the fraction of energy giving up by the leading hadron in a collision induced by an incident hadron on a target nucleon or nucleus. This parameter has been exhaustively studied in several papers, but until now continues to be an open question. Several authors have suggested that the average inelasticity coefficient is an increasing function of the energy [17, 18], whereas others proposed that it is a decreasing one [19–21]. However, high energy cosmic rays, which reflects the nuclear interaction in the energy region covering 1 to 100 TeV, are well fitted with a constant value of the mean inelasticity equal to 0.50 [22, 23]. At higher energies, in which these super-families belong, a constant value for the inelasticity is no longer valid in order to explain experimental data. This is why, we think that our method is important to clarify this particular aspect.

This paper is organized as follows: In section II we obtain the integral hadron energy spectra. The nucleon spectra, in real space, is evaluated for the method of residues arriving to an exact solution. For the meson case, we use a parametrization made by Portella [24] that relates the charged pion-to-nucleon ratio at mountain altitudes. In section III we compare these fluxes with the data of some halo events (P06, Ursa Maior, Andromeda and Mini-Andromeda III) [6–8]. In section IV we discuss the results and make some remarks and conclusions.

II. THE HADRON ENERGY SPECTRA

As described in the Appendix B, the Eq(B.5) represents the Mellin transform of the nucleon flux.

Considering the single incident nucleon with energy E_0 as,

$$N(E, 0) = \delta\left(\frac{E}{A} - \frac{E_0}{A}\right), \quad (1)$$

so the Mellin transform of this initial condition is

$$\tilde{N}(s, 0) = \left(\frac{A}{E_0}\right)^{-s}. \quad (2)$$

By inverting the above Mellin transform, we obtain the nucleon flux in a formal way that reads

$$N(E, t) = \left(\frac{E_0}{A}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-(s+1)} e^{-(\frac{A}{B})^\beta [1-A(s)]t/\lambda_N} ds. \quad (3)$$

The only contribution to the flux comes from the essential pole $s = s_0$ in $A(s)$. To consider this residue, we expand the exponential function in power series to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(s_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{E_0}{A}\right)^{-1} \left\{ \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-1} e^{-(A/B)^\beta t/\lambda_N} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^\beta \frac{t}{\lambda_N} \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^n \int \left[\left(\frac{E_0}{E}\right)\left(\frac{A}{K}\right)^{\kappa}\right]^s \frac{1}{(s-s_0)^n} ds \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

To evaluate the residue, we define the function acting on the $(s-s_0)$ powers inside the integral by $G(s) = \left[\left(\frac{E_0}{E}\right)\left(\frac{A}{K}\right)^{\kappa}\right]^s = g^s$. Since $G(s)$ is analytic in the neighborhood of $s = s_0$, we expand it in a Laurent series about $s = s_0$ so that $\text{Res}(s_0)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(s_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{E_0}{A}\right)^{-1} \left\{ \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-1} e^{-(A/B)^\beta t/\lambda_N} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^\beta \frac{t}{\lambda_N} \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^n G^{(m)}(s_0) \int \frac{1}{(s-s_0)^{n-m}} ds \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By taking $(n-m) = +1$ terms, we pick up the contributions to the essential residue so that

$$\begin{aligned} N(E, E_0, t) &= \left(\frac{E_0}{A}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-(s_0+1)} e^{-(A/B)^\beta t/\lambda_N} \left[\left(\frac{A}{K}\right)^{\kappa s_0} \left(\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^\beta \frac{t}{\lambda_N} \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left[\frac{Z^2(n)}{4}\right]^{n-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $Z^2(n)/4 = (A/K)^{\kappa s_0} (A/B)^\beta (t/\lambda_N) (1/\delta) (\ln g)$. The last equation can be rewritten in terms of the modified Bessel functions of order 1. Thus, results as

$$\begin{aligned} N(E, E_0, t) &= \left(\frac{E_0}{A}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-(s_0+1)} e^{-(A/B)^\beta t/\lambda_N} \left[\left(\frac{A}{K}\right)^{\kappa s_0} \left(\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^\beta \frac{t}{\lambda_N} \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{2}{Z} I_1(Z(n)) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This is semi-divergent. It diverges up to some n th term due to the factors like $(\frac{t}{\lambda_N})^n$. Afterwards, it begins to converge due to the factorials of n . We notice that, with the energy dependence, $g = g(n)$ is a function of the summation index n , so that $Z(n)$ is a function of n through $\ln g$. For the single incident nucleon case, the fraction $\frac{E}{E_0} < 1$ so that g is larger than unity and $\ln g$ is positive. Consequently, $Z^2(n)$ is positive which leads to the modified Bessel function solution. With $\kappa = 0$, $g = \frac{E_0}{E}$ is only a function of E , and $\ln g$ is then independent of n .

The essential residue here plays a very important role because it represents the flux at a given atmospheric depth $\frac{t}{\lambda_N}$. We remark that this case of single incident nucleon can also be solved in real space in terms of probability distributions

under the assumption of constant mean-free path and uniform elasticity [2, 3]. In the Mellin transform space, it can also be solved by residues under the same assumption [4]. In both approaches, the results are in terms of the modified Bessel functions $I_1(Z)$ of order 1. Here, we have generalized the earlier works [2, 3] to the case of energy dependent mean-free path and elasticity. In this case, the argument of the modified Bessel function is now n -dependent, $Z = Z(n)$.

The pion fluxes at mountain altitudes are obtained from nucleon intensities using a parametrization taken from [24] and written as

$$R = \frac{\Pi_{ch}(E, E_0, t)}{\Pi_{ch}(E, E_0, t) + N(E, E_0, t)} = a + bE, \quad (8)$$

where $a = 0.43$ and $b = 0.04 \text{ TeV}^{-1}$ for Mt. Chacaltaya. The integral flux of hadrons is then easily calculated from Eq. (7) and the equation above. It can be written as follows

$$H(\geq E, E_0, t) = \int_E^{E_0} [N(E', E_0, t) + \Pi(E', E_0, t)] dE'. \quad (9)$$

The integral energy spectrum of hadrons for halo events is presented in the fractional form, that is

$$f_h = E_h^{(\gamma)} / \sum E_h^{(\gamma)}. \quad (10)$$

The hadronic visible energy $E_h^{(\gamma)}$ is the energy of hadrons detected by means of electromagnetic showers induced by γ -rays from π^0 decays. It is related to the hadron energy as

$$E_h^{(\gamma)} = \kappa_\gamma E. \quad (11)$$

We used for κ_γ (gamma ray inelasticity) the mean value 0.25 [10].

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In order to make numerical calculations about the integral hadron fluxes, we take into account the nucleon collision mean-free path in the earth's atmosphere decreasing with the energy. We have used the expression $\lambda_N(E) = \lambda_N(\frac{E}{B})^{-\beta}$ with $B = 1 \text{ TeV}$, $\lambda_N = 83 \text{ g/cm}^2$ and $\beta = 0.056$ which are obtained from accelerator and EAS data in the region $1 \text{ TeV} \leq E_{lab} \leq 1000 \text{ TeV}$ [25]. For the pion mean-free path, we assume that $\lambda_\pi/\lambda_N \simeq 1.4$, and that it has the same energy dependence like the nucleon case [32]. In the present calculation we have only one free parameter, $A(\text{TeV})$, which is the normalization factor of the energy in Mellin's transform.

Figure 1 below shows a comparison of our integral hadron fluxes with the data of four halo events detected at Mt. Chacaltaya by the Brazil-Japan Collaboration. In this figure two lines appear representing the inelasticity coefficients, rising (dotted) and decreasing (dashed) with energy. Our calculations are obtained with $0.53 \leq K_N \leq 0.64$ and $0.53 \geq K_N \geq 0.38$ for dotted and dashed lines, respectively. We notice that the solution with inelasticity coefficient rising with energy is the best one, confirming recent results about ordinary families originated from power law spectrum measured at mountain altitudes [1, 33].

IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Using a recently method developed by us, we calculated the nucleon flux at different depths in a wide energy range




FIG. 1: Our numerically calculated fractional energy spectra of hadrons in integral form for decreasing and increasing inelasticities, together with experimental data. The lines are drawn only to guide the eyes. See text for detailed procedures.

started by one single nucleon. We have generalized earlier papers which did not include the energy dependence of the collision mean-free path and of the mean nucleon inelasticity. Our solution is presented in the usual modified Bessel functions of order 1. In our solution, the argument of those functions is variable according the summation index differently of the common solution found in the literature. This fact is related directly with the energy dependence of the two parameters mentioned above.

The meson fluxes are obtained with a parametrization already mentioned in the text. A comparison with the integral hadronic spectra measured at Mt. Chacaltaya for 4 halo events is made. A best fit is obtained for $\langle K_N \rangle$ rising with energy in the range $2 \leq E_h^\gamma \leq 1000 \text{ TeV}$. The above parametrization is calculated considering a breaking of scaling law in the fragmentation region only.

The effect of the energy variation of the interaction mean-free path on the integral hadron flux was also worked out. When the energy increases the collision mean-free path decreases, and the number of produced hadrons is enhanced because the inelastic cross section becomes higher, nevertheless

less these hadrons are in a lower energy range. Therefore, these particles are out of the detector's threshold resulting in a steeper flux. The same effect appears in the discussion of the inelasticity coefficient. When the inelasticity rises with energy the number of produced particles becomes higher. Therefore, particles in the high energy range are in lower number compared with the cases of the coefficient of inelasticity constant or decreasing with energy.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, The Brazil-

ian National Council of Scientific and Technological Developments) and the Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ, The Research Fostering Foundation of the State of Rio de Janeiro).

Appendix A. Method of characteristics for nucleon diffusion equations

From considerations of different fundamental physical processes, the number density flux of nucleons $N(E, t)$ per energy interval dE centered at energy E at a given atmospheric depth t is described by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial N(E, t)}{\partial t} &= -\frac{N(E, t)}{\lambda(E)} + \int_0^1 \int_E^\infty u(\eta) \delta(E - \eta E') \frac{N(E', t)}{\lambda(E')} dE' d\eta \\ &= -\frac{N(E, t)}{\lambda(E)} + \int_0^1 u(\eta) \frac{N(E/\eta, t)}{\lambda(E/\eta)} \frac{1}{\eta} d\eta\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\lambda(E)$ is the energy dependent mean-free path, $\eta(E') = E/E' < 1$ is the elasticity, $u(\eta)$ is the elasticity distribution. Modelling the mean-free path by a power index β [25],

$$\lambda(E) = \lambda_N \left(\frac{E}{B}\right)^{-\beta}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Eq. (A.1) reads

$$\frac{\partial N(E, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_N} \left(\frac{E}{B}\right)^\beta N(E, t) + \frac{1}{\lambda_N} \left(\frac{E}{B}\right)^\beta \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)^{\beta+1} u(\eta) N\left(\frac{E}{\eta}, t\right) d\eta \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where B is the normalization energy of the mean-free path. Instead of introducing mapping operators to the two terms on the right side of Eq. (A.3) to solve it formally in real space [26], we proceed to use the Mellin transform defined by

$$\tilde{N}(s, t) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{E}{A}\right)^s N(E, t) d\left(\frac{E}{A}\right) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$N(E, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \left(\frac{E}{A}\right)^{-(s+1)} \tilde{N}(s, t) ds \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where the energy E is normalized to some reference energy A , so that the transform does not carry dimension of energy to power s . Now, Eq. (A.3) in the transform space reads

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \tilde{N}(s, t)}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{\lambda_N} \left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^\beta \tilde{N}(s + \beta, t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_N} \left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^\beta \int_0^\infty \langle \eta^s \rangle \left(\frac{E/A}{\langle \eta \rangle}\right)^{s+\beta} N\left(\frac{E/A}{\langle \eta \rangle}, t\right) d\left(\frac{E/A}{\langle \eta \rangle}\right)\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.6})$$

With K as the normalization energy of elasticity, we use the following average model of elasticity [20] to power s

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \eta^s \rangle &= \int_0^1 u(\eta) \eta^s d\eta / \int_0^1 u(\eta) d\eta = \frac{1}{(1 + \delta s)} \left(\frac{E'}{K}\right)^{\kappa s} \\ &= \frac{1}{(s - s_0)} \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\frac{A}{K}\right)^{\kappa s} \left(\frac{E'}{A}\right)^{\kappa s} = a(s) \left(\frac{A}{K}\right)^{\kappa s} \left(\frac{E/A}{\langle \eta \rangle}\right)^{\kappa s}\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $s_0 = -1/\delta$. For a uniform elasticity distribution, we have $\kappa = 0$, $\delta = 1$, and $s_0 = -1$. In particular, taking $s = 1$

gives the average elasticity

$$\langle \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{(1+\delta)} \left(\frac{E'}{K} \right)^\kappa \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The equation of the flux transform then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{A}{B} \right)^{-\beta} \frac{\partial \tilde{N}(s,t)}{\partial t} = & -\frac{1}{\lambda_N} \tilde{N}(s+\beta, t) \\ & + \frac{1}{\lambda_N} \left(\frac{A}{K} \right)^{\kappa s} a(s) \tilde{N}(s+\beta+\kappa s, t) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

We notice that, if the energy E in the Mellin transform was not normalized to some reference energy A , then $\tilde{N}(s,t)$, $\tilde{N}(s+\beta, t)$ and $\tilde{N}(s+\beta+\kappa s, t)$ would have different dimensions in energy which would conceal the effects of the energy dependence in mean-free path and elasticity. Here, in Eq. (A.6), they have the same dimension of $N(E, 0)$. The mean-free path factor $(A/B)^\beta$ and the elasticity factor $(A/K)^{\kappa s}$ are working as the weighting factors among different transforms.

We observe that the nucleon cascade equation, Eq. (A.1), has two competing terms on the right side. The first term is the diffusion term that drains the flux $N(E, t) dE$ at E to lower energies E' . The second term is the attenuation term that fills the flux at E by higher energies E' . Since the mean-free path scaled by Eq. (A.2) vanishes as E/B goes to infinity with $\beta > 0$, the first term would dominate the equation and the spatial gradient of the flux would be very negative at high energies. As for the elasticity $\eta = E/E' < 1$, it goes to zero at a given E as E' goes to infinity. For the average elasticity $\langle \eta \rangle$ of Eq. (A.8) to have the same limit at a given E as E'/K becomes infinite, κ has to be negative.

Appendix B. Method of Characteristics

To solve Eq. (A.9), we notice that both β and κ are much less than s , so that one way to solve this equation is by iterations. Some researchers define two operators in the transform space to represent the two finite difference terms on the right side of Eq. (A.9) to solve it formally by operators [27]. Following the property $\beta, \kappa < s$, we choose to make a Taylor expansion of the two terms in $\tilde{N}(s, t)$ to get a first order differential equation. For nucleons we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_N \left(\frac{A}{B} \right)^{-\beta} \frac{\partial \tilde{N}(s,t)}{\partial t} + [\beta - A(s)(\beta + \kappa s)] \frac{\partial \tilde{N}(s,t)}{\partial s} \\ = -[1 - A(s)] \tilde{N}(s, t) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$A(s) = \left(\frac{A}{K} \right)^{\kappa s} a(s) = \left(\frac{A}{K} \right)^{\kappa s} \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{1}{(s-s_0)}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

This partial differential equation is equivalent to the following set of ordinary differential equations which describes the trajectory of the coordinate point (s, t, \tilde{N}) in the functional space parameterized to ξ [28]

$$\left(\frac{A}{B} \right)^\beta \frac{dt}{\lambda_N} = \frac{ds}{[\beta - A(s)(\beta + \kappa s)]} = -\frac{d\tilde{N}}{[1 - A(s)]\tilde{N}} = d\xi. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

This method of characteristics to solve first order partial differential equations was used in superradiant free electron lasers [29, 30]. Solving for the equality between dt and ds ,

$$\left(\frac{A}{B} \right)^\beta \frac{dt}{\lambda_N} = \frac{ds}{[\beta - A(s)(\beta + \kappa s)]} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

we get a trajectory between the variables t and s through the parameter ξ , $t = t(s, \beta, \kappa)$, which is the characteristics of the partial differential equation, Eq. (B.1). Considering uniform elasticity, $\kappa = 0$, $\delta = 1$, we have

$$A(s) = a(s) = \frac{1}{s+1},$$

$$\left(\frac{A}{B} \right)^\beta \frac{t}{\lambda_N} = \frac{1}{\beta} [\ln(\frac{s}{s(0)}) + (s - s(0))],$$

where $s(t) > s(0)$. Following Landau and Rumer [31] in their pioneering and landmark paper of electromagnetic cascade, s in the transform space, in our case here, also bears the meaning of shower age parameter. By recognizing the Mellin transform, with integer s , as also the s th energy moment of the distribution function $N(E, t)$, Landau and Rumer constructed a scheme to uncover many physical properties of the electromagnetic cascade by using functional analysis on $\tilde{N}(s, t)$ itself without doing the inverse transform. They noticed that $\tilde{N}(s, t)$ represented actually real physical parameters when and only when s was an integer.

To get the transform of the flux, we could solve the equality of $d\tilde{N}$ with $d\xi$, or with ds , or with dt . Since the boundary condition of \tilde{N} is given in terms of s at $t = 0$, we choose to solve with dt

$$\left(\frac{A}{B} \right)^\beta \frac{dt}{\lambda_N} = -\frac{d\tilde{N}}{[1 - A(s)]\tilde{N}}$$

$$\tilde{N}(s, t) = \tilde{N}(s, 0) e^{-\mu(s)t/\lambda_N} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where $\mu(s) = (A/B)^\beta [1 - A(s)]$. The factor $(A/B)^\beta$ in Eqs. (B.4 and B.5) represents the relative weight of the mean-free path to the elasticity effect.

-
- [1] K. H. Tsui *et al*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. and Part. Phys. **31**, 1275 (2005).
 - [2] N. Arata and F. M. O. Castro, Braz. J. Phys. **18**, 261 (1988).
 - [3] N. G. Boyadzhyan, A. P. Garayaka, and E. A. Mamidzhanyan, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **34**, 67 (1981).
 - [4] J. Bellandi Filho *et al*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **18**, 1559 (1992).
 - [5] C. M. G. Lattes *et al*, ICRC **7**, 2275 (1971).
 - [6] N. M. Amato, N. Arata, and R. H. C. Maldonado, Il Nuovo Cimento **10C**, 559 (1987).
 - [7] J. A. Chinellato, PhD Thesis University of Campinas, Brazil (1981).
 - [8] S. Yamashita, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **54**, 529 (1985).
 - [9] Pamir Collab., Proc. of the 20th ICRC **5**, 383 (1987).
 - [10] M. Akashi *et al*, Il Nuovo Cimento **67A**, 221 (1982).
 - [11] J. R. Ren *et al*, Proc. of the 20th ICRC **5**, 375 (1987).
 - [12] H. Aoki *et al*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. and Part. Phys. **30**, 137 (2004).
 - [13] L. N. Capedevielle *et al*, KFK Report **4998** (1992).
 - [14] N. N. Kalmikov and S. S. Ostapchenko, Yad. Fiz. **56**, 105 (1993).
 - [15] K. Werner, Phys. Rep. **232**, 87 (1993).
 - [16] N. M. Amato *et al*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. and Part. Phys. **20**, 829 (1994).
 - [17] J. D. Deus, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 2334 (1985).
 - [18] A. B. Kaidalov and K. A. Ter-Martyrosian, Phys. Lett. B **117**, 247 (1982).
 - [19] A. B. Kaidalov and K. A. Ter-Martyrosian, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **40**, 135R (1984).
 - [20] G. N. Fowler *et al*, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 870 (1987).
 - [21] G. N. Fowler *et al*, Phys. Rev. C **40**, 1219R (1989).
 - [22] A. Ohsawa and K. Sawayanagi, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 3128 (1992).
 - [23] Z. Włodarczyk *et al*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. and Part. Phys. **21**, 281 (1995).
 - [24] C. R. A. Augusto *et al*, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 012003 (1999).
 - [25] A. Ohsawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **92**, 1005 (1994).
 - [26] H. M. Portella, PhD Thesis - CBPF, Brazil, , 94 (1999).
 - [27] H. M. Portella, F. M. O. Castro, and N. Arata, J. Phys. G: Nucl. and Part. Phys. **14**, 1157 (1988).
 - [28] H. M. Portella, H. Shigueoka, A. S. Gomes, and C. E. C. Lima, J. Phys. G: Nucl. and Part. Phys. **27**, 191 (2001).
 - [29] J. Bellandi Filho *et al*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **83**, 58 (1990).
 - [30] R. Courrant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, Vol. II, Chapter II (Interscience, New York) (1962).
 - [31] K. H. Tsui, Optics Commun. **90**, 283 (1992).
 - [32] K. H. Tsui, Phys. Fluids B **5**, 3808 (1993).
 - [33] L. Landau and G. Rumer, Proc. R. Soc. **166A**, 213 (1938).
 - [34] H. M. Portella *et al*, J. Phys. A **31**, 6861 (1998).
 - [35] H. M. Portella, L. C. S. Oliveira, and C. E. C. Lima, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19**, 3583 (2004).