



Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmacognosy  
Research

E-ISSN: 0719-4250

editor@jppres.com

Asociación de Académicos de Ciencias  
Farmacéuticas de Antofagasta  
Chile

Dourado, Douglas; Barreto, Camilla; Fernandes, Rafaela S.; Blanco, Ian M.R. ; Oliveira,  
Danilo; Pereira, Neila; Leite, Mateus F.

Development and evaluation of emulsifying systems of the material grease from Brazilian  
flora

Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmacognosy Research, vol. 3, núm. 5, septiembre-octubre,  
2015, pp. 130-140

Asociación de Académicos de Ciencias Farmacéuticas de Antofagasta  
Antofagasta, Chile

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=496050276003>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in [redalyc.org](http://redalyc.org)

[redalyc.org](http://redalyc.org)

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative



# Development and evaluation of emulsifying systems of the material grease from Brazilian flora

[Desarrollo y evaluación de sistemas emulsionantes a partir de grasas de la flora brasileña]

Douglas Dourado<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Camilla Barreto<sup>1</sup>, Rafaela S. Fernandes<sup>1</sup>, Ian M.R. Blanco<sup>1</sup>, Danilo Oliveira<sup>3</sup>, Neila Pereira<sup>1</sup>, Mateus F. Leite<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Research of Medicines and Cosmetics, LAPEMEC. Federal University of Bahia. UFBA, Salvador, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Molecular Interactions and Chemical and Photochemical Reactivity, IMRCP. University Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France.

<sup>3</sup>Multidisciplinary Institute for Health, Federal University of Bahia, UFBA, Vitoria da Conquista, Brazil.

\*E-mail: [dougydourado@gmail.com](mailto:dougydourado@gmail.com); [douglas.dourado@ufba.br](mailto:douglas.dourado@ufba.br)

## Abstract

**Context:** Oils and butter of seed from Brazilian biodiversity are extending the range of innovative products for cosmetics development. They have a fat potential similar to skin composition, leading to the improved performance of these product.

**Aims:** Improve the emulsions spreadability through prior screening of grease composition and studying the viscosity, and the emulsions accelerated stability.

**Methods:** Emulsions were formulated using oils from semiarid plants from Bahia: *Syagrus coronata*, *Pachira retusa*, and *Pachira aquatica*, so as to compare them with oils already standard in the production of cosmetics. Spreadability and stability tests were made comparing the results. The same criteria were used with Amazon seed butter: *Virola surinamensis*, *Butyrospermum parkii*, *Astrocaryum murumuru*, *Theobroma cacao* and *Theobroma grandiflorum*. For the emulsions screening and performance, a system was developed for oil/ butter, following tests of accelerated stability, viscosity, and spreadability.

**Results:** The combined system of spreadability was optimized using screening. Emollients containing oleic and palmitic acids, and light chain fatty acids obtained good spreadability. The oil emulsion containing *Pachira retusa* and *Virola surinamensis* butter had a higher viscosity.

**Conclusions:** With high content of fatty acids such as oleic, palmitic or the light chain fatty acids obtain an appropriated appearance, texture, and spreadability for cosmetic use. Thus, oils with a low fatty acid content may be combined with butter that have a high fatty acid content and vice-versa. Analyzing and strategically combining grease composition, one can optimize the performance of cosmetic formulations.

**Keywords:** Raw material grease; screening; spreadability; viscosity.

## Resumen

**Contexto:** Los aceites y mantecas de semillas de la biodiversidad brasileña están ampliando la gama de productos innovadores para el desarrollo de los cosméticos. Estos tienen una grasa potencial similar a la composición de la piel, dando lugar a la mejora del rendimiento de estos productos.

**Objetivos:** Mejorar la capacidad de extensión de las emulsiones mediante el cribado previo de la composición de grasa y el estudio de la viscosidad y la estabilidad acelerada de las emulsiones.

**Métodos:** Las emulsiones se formularon utilizando aceites de plantas semiáridas de Bahía: *Syagrus coronata*; *Pachira retusa*; *Pachira aquatica* y mantecas de semillas de la Amazona: *Virola surinamensis*, *Butyrospermum parkii*, *Astrocaryum murumuru*, *Theobroma cacao* y *Theobroma grandiflorum*. Para el cribado y el rendimiento de las emulsiones se desarrolló un sistema aceite/manteca, seguido de pruebas de estabilidad acelerada, viscosidad, y extensibilidad.

**Resultados:** Los emolientes que contenían ácidos oleico y palmítico, y ácidos grasos de cadena ligera obtuvieron buena extensibilidad. La emulsión de aceite de *Pachira retusa* y manteca de *Virola surinamensis* tuvo una viscosidad más alta.

**Conclusiones:** Con un alto contenido de ácidos grasos oleico, palmítico o los ácidos grasos de cadena ligera se obtienen apariencia, textura y extensibilidad adecuadas para uso cosmético. Por lo tanto, los aceites (contenido bajo de ácidos grasos) se pueden combinar con la manteca (alto contenido de ácidos grasos) y viceversa. Analizando y combinando estratégicamente la composición de grasa se puede optimizar el rendimiento de las formulaciones cosméticas.

**Palabras Clave:** Cribado; extensibilidad; materia prima grasa; viscosidad.

## ARTICLE INFO

Received | Recibido: April 19, 2015.

Received in revised form | Recibido en forma corregida: August 16, 2015.

Accepted | Aceptado: August 16, 2015.

Available Online | Publicado en Línea: September 15, 2015.

Declaration of Interests | Declaración de Intereses: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding | Financiación: This study was supported by projects ETENE/FUNDECI (Nº 4053 Banco do Nordeste, Bahia, Brazil).

Academic Editor | Editor Académico: Gabino Garrido.



## INTRODUCTION

Innovative research in the cosmetic industry has been growing, as consumers become more demanding, concomitantly seeking the continuous improvement of the products on offer to meet their needs.

The replacement of synthetic emollients by emollients derived from natural sources such as fixed oils and butter was a vast improvement for the qualities of cosmetic products. These emollients can positively influence sensory performance, as well as creating greater spreadability, wetting, and long-term stability, and a high replacement of fatty acids in the skin. It becomes evident how the emulsion's oil phase characteristics are due to cosmetic properties such as low viscosity, and good penetration (Morais, 2006). Kim et al. (2008) reported that oils containing palmitic and oleic fatty acids result in better permeation and spreading.

In the Brazilian Northeast there are many species of vegetation known to be natural producers of emollients, such as *Pachira retusa* (Chestnuts Chapada), and occurs in areas of the Cerrado and Caatinga (semi-arid). Its chestnuts produce a fixed oil yield of 55.6% (Pereira et al., 2013). *Syagrus coronata* (Licuri) is another oilseed species from Bahia, belonging to the *Arecaceae* family, which has 115 genera and 1550 species (Noblick, 1986). The 24.93% of fixed oil was extracted from its seeds through cooking (Trevizam et al., 2014). *Pachira aquatica* (Maranhão Chestnut) is another species known in that region; it occurs in many areas from Mexico to the Brazilian North (Silva et al., 2010). Further, there are other areas in Brazil where *Pachira retusa* can be found, as reported by Matos et al. (2010). It has been corroborated through mapping the species of vegetation existent in Aracaju, the main city in the Northeastern state of Sergipe. The historical process of reforestation of Brazil can explain this fact. According to Lorenzi (1992), the French landscaper Glaziou, cultivated *Pachira aquatica* because it is a species, which adapts well in reforestation projects. Oilseeds such as those cited above can give formulations, qualities desirable to consumers. Combining these oils with

Amazonian vegetable butter it is possible to optimize the performance of the parameters of cosmetic formulations such as viscosity and spreadability. Finally, prior knowledge through screening of the raw grease material to be able to constitute strategic combinations of these oil/vegetable butter emulsifier systems is necessary.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Materials

Prior screening of raw grease material was determined by literature profiles of the fatty oils and vegetable butter used (Pinto, 1963; Noblick, 1986; Instituto de Estudos Amazônicos e Ambientais, 1993; Amazon Oil, 2005; Pereira, 2008; Polizelli et al., 2008; Neto et al., 2009; Silva et al., 2010; Pereira et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2014). Screening was used to make combinations of grease materials (butter and vegetable oils), with prior knowledge of their compounds and state of saturation, for proper planning of the formulation.

The vegetable butter and *Macadamia integrifolia* oil were obtained through a sustainable cooperative in the Caatinga region, COOPES (Cooperative Production in Piemonte of the Diamantina region, Brazil). The *Pachira retusa* oil was obtained by extraction using a Soxhlet device (Pereira et al., 2013). Other oils used were provided by a sustainable cooperative COOPFITOS (cooperative producers of medicinal plants, phytotherapies, and phytocosmetics of Manaquiri, Brazil).

### Development of formulations

Simple emulsions were formulated O/A containing only semi-arid oils: (1) *Pachira retusa* (Mart.) Fern.Alonso (*Malvaceae*), (2) *Pachira aquatica* Aubl. (*Malvaceae*), (3) *Syagrus coronata* (Mart.) Becc. (*Arecaceae*), and oils already in use in the industry: (1) *Caryocar brasiliense* A. St.-Hil. (*Caryocaraceae*), (2) *Macadamia integrifolia* Maiden & Betche (*Proteaceae*), (3) *Orbignya speciosa* (Mart.) Barb.Rodr. (*Arecaceae*) and (4) *Astrocaryum tucuma* Mart. (*Arecaceae*). The formulations containing vegetable butters: (1) *Virola surinamensis* (Rol. ex Rottb.) Warb. (*Myristicaceae*), (2) *Butyrospermum parkii* (G.Don) Kotschy (*Sa-*

potaceae), (3) *Theobroma grandiflorum* (Willd. ex Spreng.) K.Schum. (Malvaceae), (4) *Theobroma cacao* L. (Malvaceae), and (5) *Astrocaryum murumuru* Mart. (Arecaceae) were tested as emollients, emulsion. A control emulsion also was used (see F1 in Table 1). Table 1 below lists the formulations that were used in this study.

For their preparation, the phase inversion method (EIP) by Santos et al. (2005) was used. Both phases were heated to 75°C. The aqueous phase was poured into the oily one with continuous stirring. Each formulation was made in triplicate.

### Stability tests

Accelerated stability studies were performed in triplicate for the parameters: organoleptic characteristics, pH, centrifugation, vibrate, and freeze/thaw cycle (Brasil, 2004).

#### Organoleptic features

Aspects of coloring, odor, and coalescence of emulsions were observed in a post preparation timeframe of, 30, 60, and 90 days.

#### pH determination

The pH determination was based on the method proposed by Borghetti and Knorst (2006), using samples diluted in distilled water (1:10 w/v), homogenized and submitted to reading. A temperature of 25 ± 1°C on the pH meter was calibrated beforehand, (Model. pH 300, ALPAX, Brazil) with pH 7.0 and 4.0 solutions. Regarding the timeframe, the test was performed 30, 60, and 90 days after the preparation of the emulsions. After 90 days, they were submitted to a freeze-defrost cycle.

#### Spin and vibration tested

A small proportion of the emulsions were subjected to centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 30 minutes (centrifuge, Excelsa Baby model 208 N, Brazil) and then to vibrate with a vortex, Mod. Lab Dander Bay (IKA, Brazil) as per the specifications of ANVISA (Brasil, 2004).

### Temperature test

The freeze-defrost cycle to room temperature (25°C) was performed in triplicate at 30, 60 and 90 days as per ANVISA specifications (Brasil, 2004).

### Check the spreadability profile of emulsions

The emulsions were subjected to *in vitro* spreading to achieve maximum spreadability, appropriately superimposing weights calculated using the formula (Knorst 1991; Milan et al., 2007):

$$E_i = d^2 \times \pi / 4$$

Where:

$E_i$  = sample spreadability for weight  $i$  (mm),  
 $d$  = average diameter (mm<sup>2</sup>).

It was correlated by screening the oil and butter grease composition to demonstrate its influence on spreadability. For the vegetable oils and butter that performed best, simple emulsifying systems were formulated using oil/vegetable oil separately for comparison with emulsions containing emollients. All formulated emulsions were subjected to the spreadability test after preliminary confirmation of stability.

### Viscosity

From a pharmaco-technical viewpoint, developing rheological parameter studies (viscosity, plasticity and elasticity) are important, so as to ensure the adequate system flow required for therapeutic efficacy or adequate functioning of cosmetic products (Woolfson, 2000). Viscosity is a fundamental parameter, which describes the properties of the fluid flow. It can be defined as the internal friction of the fluid between its layers, or the resistance that it requires to achieve flow (Braseq, 2010).

The emulsion's viscosity can be measured by a viscometer, or using a rheometer with a rotational viscometer (Loch et al., 2011).

From the previous test, emulsions that had the best profiles were analyzed by the rheometer (Brookfield Digital Rheometer, model DV-III, Brazil). This analysis allowed the establishment of correlations between the spreadability profile and the saturation of fatty material and viscosity.

**Table 1.** Composition of developed formulations (% O/W).

Ingredients	F1	F2	F3	F4
Lanette ®	5.0 ± 0.5	5.0 ± 0.5	5.0 ± 0.5	5.0 ± 0.5
Cetiol V	5.0 ± 0.5	5.0 ± 0.5	5.0 ± 0.5	5.0 ± 0.5
Vegetable oil	-	5.0 ± 0.5	-	2.5 ± 0.5
Vegetable butter	-	-	5.0 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.5
BHT	0.0025 ± 0.0005	0.0025 ± 0.0005	0.0025 ± 0.0005	0.0025 ± 0.0005
Nipazol	0.0125 ± 0.0005	0.0125 ± 0.0005	0.0125 ± 0.0005	0.0125 ± 0.0005
Nipagin	0.0125 ± 0.0005	0.0125 ± 0.0005	0.0125 ± 0.0005	0.0125 ± 0.0005
Glicerine	3.75 ± 0.5	3.75 ± 0.5	3.75 ± 0.5	3.75 ± 0.5
Distilled water	QS.50	QS.50	QS.50	QS.50

Data represent the mean ± SD of at least n = 3.

F1 (Control Formulation), F2 (Vegetable oil formulation), F3 (Vegetable butter formulation), F4 (Vegetable oil/butter formulation). BHT: butyl hydroxytoluene.

## Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistic was used analyzing the averages and standard deviations for fat profiles and oils, and the butter's physicochemical properties were ascertained. The data was processed through the Tukey test, 5% significance ( $p < 0.05$ ) for an adequate comparison of the averages obtained. The Friedman nonparametric treatment was applied to confirm the emulsion's spreadability (Borges, 2003).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Using the literature, the grease composition of each emollient was established, which allowed the prior screening of vegetable oils and butter used (Tables 2 - 3).

It was observed that the *Virola surinamensis* and *Butyrospermum parkii* butter had a better result in the cosmetic performance screening when analyzing the scattering of these emulsions displayed with these butters (Table 4-A). Regarding the vegetable oils, the ones with the best scattering profiles were the semi-arid oils made with *Caryocar brasiliense*, *Syagrus coronata*, *Pachira retusa*, and *Pachira aquatica* (Table 4-B). According to the spreadability (Table 4A-C) and screening profile, it can be inferred that the lauric acid (C12:0), and short chain fatty

acids present in the *Syagrus coronata* oil favored good spreading of the emulsions produced from it. The analysis also showed that oils composed of high levels of oleic acid (C18:1) and palmitic acid (C16:0), as well as *Virola surinamensis* butter in which myristic acid (C14:0) was dominant resulted in good stable emulsions in terms of scattering and fluidity. According to Kim et al. (2008), oil grease compositions containing oleic and palmitic acids tested in the screening of raw grease materials (Tables 6 and 7), show a good level of permeation for a cosmetic emulsion. Complementing this reference, it has been shown that lauric acid (C12: 0), and short chain fatty acids present in *Syagrus coronata* oil favored good spreading of the emulsions formulated from it, the average spreadability scores being 11.398 mm<sup>2</sup>, followed by emulsifying systems containing oils: *Caryocar brasiliense*, *Pachira retusa* and *Pachira aquatica* (Table 4-B). These emulsions proved adequate against the freeze-defrost cycle. Regarding the organoleptic aspects, the emulsions presented characteristic odor, staining, and homogeneous phases. After centrifugation and vibration tests, a separation phase in the emulsion containing *Caryocar brasiliense* oil could be observed. The other emulsions remained stable, and as such were resubmitted to *in vitro* spreading, with variation occurring within the interval  $p < 0.05$  as foreseen by the statistical

tests. The best vegetable oil and butter spreadability profiles were selected and previously planned by screening grease composition to examine the occurrence of cosmetic performance optimization and interaction between different levels of trans fatty acids present in each raw material. As such, simple emulsifying systems of combined oil/vegetable oil were formulated: (1) *Pachira retusa*/*Viola surinamensis*, (2) *Pachira*

*retusa*/*Butyrospermum parkii*, (3) *Pachira aquatica*/*Viola surinamensis*, (4) *Pachira aquatica*/*Butyrospermum parkii*, (5) *Syagrus coronata*/*Viola surinamensis*, (6) *Syagrus coronata*/*Butyrospermum parkii* and all the tests applied to emulsions with separate natural emollients were applied. Table 4-C shows the spreadability profiles of emulsions compared to the combined emulsions with oils isolated.

**Table 2.** Viscosity of emulsions optimized at 25°C.

Emulsion	Medium viscosity(Cp)
<i>Pachira aquatica</i> / <i>Butyrospermum parkii</i>	21.050 ± 210.33 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Pachira aquatica</i> / <i>Viola surinamensis</i>	21.063 ± 116.96 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Pachira retusa</i> / <i>Butyrospermum parkii</i>	21.020 ± 171.78 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Pachira retusa</i> / <i>Viola surinamensis</i>	68.006 ± 205.34 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Syagrus coronata</i> / <i>Butyrospermum parkii</i>	20.615 ± 150.47 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Syagrus coronata</i> / <i>Viola surinamensis</i>	23.890 ± 270.82 <sup>a</sup>
Control emulsion	15.500 ± 50.12 <sup>c</sup>

Different letters symbolize significant differences (p < 0.05) by mean of the Tukey test. Data represent the mean ± SD of at least n=3. Control emulsion composition appears in Table 1 (F1).

**Table 3.** Comparison of rates of saturation and maximum spreadability.

Emulsions	Saturated Oil (%)	Unsaturated Oil (%)	Saturated butter (%)	Unsaturated butter (%)	Maximum spreadability (mm <sup>2</sup> )
<i>P. aquatica</i> / <i>V. surinamensis</i>	48.0 ± 0.28 <sup>c</sup>	51.1 ± 0.32 <sup>b</sup>	98.2 ± 0.22 <sup>a</sup>	1.9 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup>	9 585.1 ± 74 <sup>c</sup>
<i>P. retusa</i> / <i>V. surinamensis</i>	63.5 ± 0.23 <sup>b</sup>	29.3 ± 0.41 <sup>d</sup>	98.2 ± 0.22 <sup>a</sup>	1.9 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup>	9 371.6 ± 36 <sup>c</sup>
<i>P.aquatica</i> / <i>B. parkii</i>	48.0 ± 0.28 <sup>c</sup>	51.1 ± 0.32 <sup>b</sup>	44.0 ± 0.15 <sup>c</sup>	56.0 ± 0.22 <sup>b</sup>	7 584.6 ± 36 <sup>f</sup>
<i>P.retusa</i> / <i>B. parkii</i>	63.5 ± 0.23 <sup>b</sup>	29.3 ± 0.41 <sup>d</sup>	44.0 ± 0.15 <sup>c</sup>	556.0 ± 0.22 <sup>b</sup>	8 987.5 ± 41 <sup>c</sup>
<i>S.coronata</i> / <i>B. parkii</i>	97.0 ± 0.31 <sup>a</sup>	4.5 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup>	44.0 ± 0.15 <sup>c</sup>	56.0 ± 0.22 <sup>b</sup>	8 237.2 ± 56 <sup>c</sup>
<i>S.coronata</i> / <i>V. surinamensis</i>	97.0 ± 0.31 <sup>a</sup>	4.5 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup>	98.0 ± 0.22 <sup>a</sup>	1.9 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup>	8 737.2 ± 110 <sup>d</sup>
Control emulsion	-	-	-	-	7 500.0 ± 100 <sup>d</sup>

Different letters symbolize significant differences (p < 0.05) by mean of the nonparametric (Friedman) and Tukey test. Data represent the mean ± SD of at least n = 3.



**Table 4.** Spreadability (Ei) of vegetable butters and/or oils by methodology of Knorst (1991). **(A)** Spreadability of vegetable butters. **(B)** Spreadability of vegetable oils. **(C)** Spreadability of emulsions combined oil butters.

Plants	Mass (kg)						
	0.44	0.87	1.30	1.75	2.34	3.10	4.02
<b>A) Spreadability of vegetable butters (mm<sup>2</sup>)</b>							
<i>A. murumuru</i>	3 422 ± 47.8 <sup>a</sup>	5 605 ± 59.2 <sup>a</sup>	6 359 ± 32.7 <sup>c</sup>	6 936 ± 40.8 <sup>c</sup>	7 235 ± 31.3 <sup>d</sup>	7 772 ± 49.6 <sup>c</sup>	8 328 ± 56.2 <sup>a</sup>
<i>B. parkii</i>	4 299 ± 75.4 <sup>a</sup>	5 874 ± 36.7 <sup>c</sup>	6 863 ± 56.3 <sup>c</sup>	7 462 ± 37.3 <sup>d</sup>	8 409 ± 28.6 <sup>a</sup>	8 987 ± 69.1 <sup>a</sup>	9 935 ± 36.3 <sup>d</sup>
<i>T. cacao</i>	4 475 ± 61.1 <sup>a</sup>	5 806 ± 50.5 <sup>a</sup>	6 573 ± 55.0 <sup>f</sup>	6 936 ± 25.0 <sup>c</sup>	7 694 ± 64.4 <sup>a</sup>	8 167 ± 57.0 <sup>a</sup>	8 737 ± 20.4 <sup>a</sup>
<i>T. grandiflorum</i>	4 416 ± 47.0 <sup>a</sup>	5 605 ± 7.6 <sup>d</sup>	6 717 ± 64.5 <sup>f</sup>	7 159 ± 29.5 <sup>c</sup>	7 850 ± 10.0 <sup>c</sup>	8 328 ± 21.2 <sup>a</sup>	8 820 ± 25.2 <sup>a</sup>
<i>V. surinamensis</i>	4 534 ± 49.7 <sup>a</sup>	7 085 ± 117.1 <sup>a</sup>	7 772 ± 70.2 <sup>a</sup>	8 491 ± 70.9 <sup>a</sup>	8 987 ± 66.7 <sup>a</sup>	9 499 ± 64.6 <sup>d</sup>	10 024 ± 39.2 <sup>c</sup>
Control	1 287 ± 28.1 <sup>b</sup>	1 884 ± 106.6 <sup>e</sup>	2 289 ± 36.6 <sup>a</sup>	2 595 ± 51.1 <sup>a</sup>	2 873 ± 31.3 <sup>a</sup>	3 018 ± 65.4 <sup>a</sup>	3 066 ± 50.9 <sup>a</sup>
<b>B) Spreadability of vegetable oils (mm<sup>2</sup>)</b>							
<i>A. tucuma</i>	1 288 ± 91.6 <sup>a</sup>	1 885 ± 61.2 <sup>b</sup>	2 826 ± 56.8 <sup>c</sup>	3 472 ± 100.5 <sup>b</sup>	3 847 ± 75.4 <sup>b</sup>	4 241 ± 58.9 <sup>d</sup>	4 534 ± 110.7 <sup>e</sup>
<i>C. brasiliense</i>	3 902 ± 75.4 <sup>c</sup>	6 429 ± 58.9 <sup>e</sup>	7 462 ± 120.7 <sup>c</sup>	8 409 ± 73.6 <sup>e</sup>	8 987 ± 44.8 <sup>e</sup>	9 585 ± 66.9 <sup>f</sup>	10 024 ± 41.3 <sup>e</sup>
<i>M. integrifolia</i>	3 018 ± 28.2 <sup>b</sup>	4 475 ± 106.6 <sup>e</sup>	5 087 ± 36.6 <sup>d</sup>	5 343 ± 51.1 <sup>d</sup>	5 974 ± 31.3 <sup>d</sup>	6 429 ± 65.4 <sup>d</sup>	7 235 ± 50.9 <sup>e</sup>
<i>O. speciosa</i>	3 847 ± 47.8 <sup>c</sup>	4 961 ± 59.2 <sup>d</sup>	5 473 ± 32.7 <sup>d</sup>	5 874 ± 40.8 <sup>d</sup>	6 359 ± 31.3 <sup>d</sup>	6 789 ± 49.6 <sup>d</sup>	7 085 ± 56.2 <sup>e</sup>
<i>P. aquatica</i>	4 241 ± 75.4 <sup>d</sup>	5 214 ± 36.7 <sup>e</sup>	5 874 ± 56.3 <sup>e</sup>	6 359 ± 37.3 <sup>d</sup>	7 159 ± 28.7 <sup>e</sup>	7 462 ± 69.1 <sup>e</sup>	7 850 ± 36.3 <sup>e</sup>
<i>P. retusa</i>	4 013 ± 55.6 <sup>d</sup>	5 739 ± 70.8 <sup>e</sup>	6 429 ± 55.2 <sup>e</sup>	6 936 ± 91.6 <sup>d</sup>	7 462 ± 61.2 <sup>e</sup>	8 167 ± 56.8 <sup>e</sup>	8 820 ± 100.5 <sup>e</sup>
<i>S. coronata</i>	5 214 ± 64.2 <sup>e</sup>	7 310 ± 63.8 <sup>d</sup>	8 491 ± 55.6 <sup>f</sup>	9 241 ± 89.5 <sup>g</sup>	9 935 ± 33.4 <sup>f</sup>	10 746 ± 62.1 <sup>f</sup>	11 398 ± 74.6 <sup>g</sup>
Control	1 287 ± 64.2 <sup>b</sup>	1 884 ± 63.8 <sup>b</sup>	2 289 ± 55.6 <sup>a</sup>	2 595 ± 89.5 <sup>a</sup>	2 873 ± 75.4 <sup>b</sup>	3 018 ± 36.7 <sup>c</sup>	3 066 ± 56.3 <sup>c</sup>
<b>C) Spreadability of emulsions combined oil/butters (mm<sup>2</sup>)</b>							
<i>P. aquatica</i> (Oil)	4 241 ± 88.2 <sup>a</sup>	5 214 ± 92.3 <sup>b</sup>	5 874 ± 36.6 <sup>b</sup>	6 359 ± 51.1 <sup>d</sup>	7 159 ± 31.3 <sup>e</sup>	7 462 ± 65.4 <sup>e</sup>	7 850 ± 50.9 <sup>e</sup>
<i>P. aquatica</i> / <i>B. parkii</i>	3 737 ± 75.4 <sup>a</sup>	4 715 ± 36.7 <sup>a</sup>	5 214 ± 56.3 <sup>b</sup>	5 874 ± 37.3 <sup>b</sup>	6 359 ± 28.7 <sup>d</sup>	6 917 ± 69.1 <sup>d</sup>	7 585 ± 36.3 <sup>e</sup>
<i>P. aquatica</i> / <i>V. surinamensis</i>	4 654 ± 51.3 <sup>a</sup>	5 214 ± 66.7 <sup>b</sup>	6 359 ± 74.3 <sup>d</sup>	7 010 ± 34.9 <sup>e</sup>	7 850 ± 33.6 <sup>e</sup>	8 655 ± 60.5 <sup>f</sup>	9 585 ± 74.8 <sup>g</sup>
<i>P. retusa</i> (Oil)	4 013 ± 63.6 <sup>a</sup>	5 739 ± 26.9 <sup>b</sup>	6 429 ± 58.3 <sup>d</sup>	6 936 ± 75.9 <sup>d</sup>	7 462 ± 88.2 <sup>e</sup>	8 167 ± 54.4 <sup>f</sup>	8 820 ± 94.7 <sup>f</sup>
<i>P. retusa</i> / <i>B. parkii</i>	5 087 ± 73.4 <sup>b</sup>	6 501 ± 63.5 <sup>d</sup>	7 159 ± 86.1 <sup>e</sup>	7 772 ± 89.6 <sup>e</sup>	8 087 ± 32.5 <sup>f</sup>	8 328 ± 41.6 <sup>f</sup>	8 988 ± 41.3 <sup>f</sup>
<i>P. retusa</i> / <i>V. surinamensis</i>	4 475 ± 75.4 <sup>a</sup>	6 079 ± 56.7 <sup>d</sup>	6 936 ± 56.3 <sup>d</sup>	7 616 ± 37.3 <sup>e</sup>	8 167 ± 28.7 <sup>f</sup>	8 491 ± 69.1 <sup>f</sup>	9 072 ± 36.3 <sup>g</sup>
<i>S. coronata</i> (Oil)	5 214 ± 28.2 <sup>b</sup>	7 310 ± 106.6 <sup>e</sup>	8 491 ± 36.6 <sup>f</sup>	9 241 ± 51.1 <sup>g</sup>	9 935 ± 31.3 <sup>g</sup>	10 746 ± 65.4 <sup>g</sup>	11 398 ± 50.9 <sup>h</sup>
<i>S. coronata</i> / <i>B. parkii</i>	3 137 ± 64.2 <sup>a</sup>	4 550 ± 63.8 <sup>a</sup>	6 118 ± 55.6 <sup>d</sup>	6 290 ± 89.5 <sup>d</sup>	6 862 ± 75.4 <sup>d</sup>	7 600 ± 36.7 <sup>e</sup>	8 237 ± 56.3 <sup>f</sup>
<i>S. coronata</i> / <i>V. surinamensis</i>	3 737 ± 91.6 <sup>a</sup>	5 150 ± 61.2 <sup>b</sup>	6 218 ± 56.8 <sup>d</sup>	6 790 ± 100.5 <sup>d</sup>	7 462 ± 75.4 <sup>e</sup>	8 087 ± 58.9 <sup>f</sup>	8 737 ± 110.7 <sup>f</sup>
Control	1 287 ± 55.6 <sup>c</sup>	1 884 ± 70.8 <sup>c</sup>	2 289 ± 55.2 <sup>b</sup>	2 595 ± 91.6 <sup>b</sup>	2 873 ± 61.2 <sup>b</sup>	3 018 ± 56.8 <sup>a</sup>	3 066 ± 100.5 <sup>a</sup>

Different letters symbolize significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) respect to the Control in non parametric (Friedman) test. Data represent the mean ± SD of at least  $n = 3$ .

**Table 5.** pH of emulsions with butter and/or oils until 90 days and cycle freeze/defrost (G/D). (A) pH of emulsions with oils. (B) pH of emulsion with butters. (C) pH of emulsions combined oil/butter.

Plants	Days					G/D
	1	15	30	60	90	
<i>A. murumuru</i>	5.8 ± 0.19 <sup>a</sup>	5.6 ± 0.19 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 ± 0.23 <sup>c</sup>	5.3 ± 0.31 <sup>e</sup>	5.3 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.3 ± 0.09 <sup>a</sup>
<i>B. parkii</i>	5.5 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 ± 0.08 <sup>e</sup>	5.4 ± 0.25 <sup>c</sup>	5.4 ± 0.27 <sup>b</sup>	5.3 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>
<i>T. cacao</i>	6.1 ± 0.10 <sup>b</sup>	5.8 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	5.7 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 ± 0.25 <sup>c</sup>	5.5 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	5.7 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>
<i>T. grandiflorum</i>	5.8 ± 0.25 <sup>c</sup>	5.6 ± 0.16 <sup>a</sup>	5.5 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 ± 0.22 <sup>b</sup>	5.5 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	5.6 ± 0.28 <sup>b</sup>
<i>V. surinamensis</i>	6.0 ± 0.25 <sup>c</sup>	5.8 ± 0.18 <sup>b</sup>	5.6 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	5.5 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	5.6 ± 0.23 <sup>b</sup>
Control	6.0 ± 0.25 <sup>c</sup>	5.6 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.8 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	5.9 ± 0.14 <sup>a</sup>	5.9 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>
<i>A. tucuma</i>	5.5 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	5.2 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.5 ± 0.23 <sup>b</sup>	5.4 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.3 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>
<i>O. speciosa</i>	5.9 ± 0.11 <sup>b</sup>	5.8 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	6.0 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	6.2 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>	6.0 ± 0.12 <sup>d</sup>	5.9 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>
<i>P. aquatica</i>	5.9 ± 0.21 <sup>b</sup>	5.8 ± 0.2 <sup>b</sup>	5.7 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	5.5 ± 0.25 <sup>c</sup>	5.6 ± 0.14 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 ± 0.23 <sup>b</sup>
<i>P. retusa</i>	6.4 ± 0.18 <sup>d</sup>	6.3 ± 0.25 <sup>d</sup>	6.1 ± 0.08 <sup>d</sup>	6.2 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	6.0 ± 0.10 <sup>b</sup>	6.4 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>
<i>S. coronata</i>	6.5 ± 0.15 <sup>d</sup>	6.2 ± 0.13 <sup>d</sup>	6.1 ± 0.11 <sup>d</sup>	6.2 ± 0.21 <sup>b</sup>	6.4 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	6.2 ± 0.21 <sup>b</sup>
Control	6.0 ± 0.16 <sup>d</sup>	5.6 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 ± 0.16 <sup>a</sup>	5.8 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.9 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	5.9 ± 0.21 <sup>b</sup>
<i>P. aquatica/B. parkii</i>	5.8 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	5.6 ± 0.16 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	5.3 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	5.3 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.3 ± 0.23 <sup>b</sup>
<i>P. aquatica/V. surinamensis</i>	6.1 ± 0.19 <sup>b</sup>	5.8 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>	5.7 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 ± 0.18 <sup>a</sup>	5.5 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>
<i>P. retusa/B. parkii</i>	5.5 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	5.4 ± 0.30 <sup>e</sup>	5.4 ± 0.20 <sup>a</sup>	5.3 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 ± 0.14 <sup>a</sup>
<i>P. retusa/V. surinamensis</i>	6.0 ± 0.16 <sup>b</sup>	5.8 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	5.6 ± 0.27 <sup>b</sup>	5.4 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.5 ± 0.21 <sup>b</sup>	5.6 ± 0.22 <sup>b</sup>
<i>S. coronata/B. parkii</i>	5.5 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.3 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	5.6 ± 0.18 <sup>a</sup>	5.3 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 ± 0.23 <sup>b</sup>	5.5 ± 0.22 <sup>b</sup>
<i>S. coronata/V. surinamensis</i>	5.8 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	5.6 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.5 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.5 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>	5.6 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>
Control	6.0 ± 0.17 <sup>b</sup>	5.6 ± 0.24 <sup>b</sup>	5.7 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.8 ± 0.10 <sup>b</sup>	5.9 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	5.9 ± 0.16 <sup>a</sup>

Different letters symbolize significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) by mean of the Tukey test. Data represent the mean ± SD of at least  $n = 3$ .



**Table 6.** Fatty acids composition (%) prior screening of vegetable oil.

Fatty acid	<i>S. coronata</i>	<i>C. brasiliensis</i>	<i>P. retusa</i>	<i>M. integrifolia</i>	<i>P. aquatica</i>	<i>O. speciosa</i>	<i>A. tucuma</i>
Capric acid	15.0 ± 0.25 <sup>d</sup>	-	-	-	-	5.5 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>	-
Caproic acid	-	-	0.2 ± 0.08 <sup>m</sup>	0.2 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-
Caprylic acid	27.3 ± 0.34 <sup>d</sup>	-	-	-	-	5.5 ± 0.16 <sup>b</sup>	-
Lauric acid	39.5 ± 0.65 <sup>d</sup>	-	-	-	-	43.0 ± 0.35 <sup>a</sup>	-
Linolenic acid	-	-	8.5 ± 0.21 <sup>c</sup>	2.6 ± 0.12 <sup>e</sup>	0.5 ± 0.34 <sup>f</sup>	-	3.8 ± 0.21 <sup>b</sup>
Linoneic acid	-	1.0 ± 0.36 <sup>e</sup>	1.6 ± 0.38 <sup>i</sup>	1.9 ± 0.4 <sup>e</sup>	11.3 ± 0.25 <sup>d</sup>	2.6 ± 0.38 <sup>b</sup>	-
Myristic acid	8.1 ± 0.46 <sup>c</sup>	-	-	-	-	16.0 ± 0.63 <sup>d</sup>	-
Oleic acid	4.5 ± 0.72 <sup>a</sup>	54.9 ± 0.52 <sup>a</sup>	19.2 ± 0.72 <sup>a</sup>	58.2 ± 0.24 <sup>f</sup>	39.3 ± 0.63 <sup>d</sup>	15.0 ± 0.51 <sup>d</sup>	56.4 ± 0.52 <sup>a</sup>
Palmitic acid	4.0 ± 0.61 <sup>b</sup>	40.5 ± 0.45 <sup>a</sup>	60.0 ± 0.61 <sup>c</sup>	8.9 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup>	44.9 ± 0.41 <sup>a</sup>	9.0 ± 0.74 <sup>a</sup>	26.4 ± 0.61 <sup>d</sup>
Palmitoleic acid	-	-	-	23.0 ± 0.2 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	0.2 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>
Stearic acid	4.2 ± 0.40 <sup>a</sup>	1.9 ± 0.35 <sup>e</sup>	3.3 ± 0.40 <sup>b</sup>	4.3 ± 0.15 <sup>e</sup>	3.1 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup>	13.5 ± 0.48 <sup>d</sup>	3.5 ± 0.37 <sup>e</sup>

Different letters symbolize significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) by mean of the Tukey test. Data represent the mean ± SD of at least  $n = 3$ .

**Table 7.** Fatty acids composition (%) prior screening of vegetable butter.

Fatty acid	<i>T. cacao</i>	<i>T. grandiflorum</i>	<i>A. murumuru</i>	<i>V. surinamensis</i>	<i>B. parkii</i>
Araquidic acid	0.6 ± 0.15 <sup>b</sup>	7.9 ± 0.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.1 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	-	-
Beenic acid	0.1 ± 0.02 <sup>d</sup>	0.7 ± 0.32 <sup>b</sup>	-	-	-
Capric acid	-	-	2.1 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.0 ± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	-
Caproic acid	-	-	-	-	-
Caprylic acid	-	-	2.7 ± 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	-	-
Lauric acid	-	-	51.6 ± 0.72 <sup>a</sup>	19.8 ± 0.25 <sup>a</sup>	-
Linolenic acid	0.1 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.2 ± 0.02 <sup>d</sup>	0.1 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	-	6.1 ± 0.34 <sup>a</sup>
Linoneic acid	1.8 ± 0.10 <sup>c</sup>	2.4 ± 0.26 <sup>b</sup>	3.1 ± 0.33 <sup>b</sup>	-	-
Myristic acid	0.1 ± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.1 ± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	25.8 ± 0.10 <sup>d</sup>	68.1 ± 0.31 <sup>e</sup>	-
Oleic acid	24.7 ± 0.32 <sup>a</sup>	38.8 ± 0.48 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 ± 0.23 <sup>a</sup>	0.9 ± 0.24 <sup>b</sup>	50.0 ± 0.53 <sup>e</sup>
Palmitic acid	38.3 ± 0.51 <sup>a</sup>	11.2 ± 0.28 <sup>a</sup>	6.0 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.2 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	4.1 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>
Palmitoleic acid	0.7 ± 0.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.4 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	-	-	-
Stearic acid	33.5 ± 0.40 <sup>a</sup>	38.1 ± 0.45 <sup>a</sup>	2.9 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	5.0 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	40.1 ± 0.26 <sup>e</sup>

Different letters symbolize significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) by mean of the Tukey test. Data represent the mean ± SD of at least  $n = 3$ .

The pH values obtained showed a slightly acidic pH range about skin, with a composition of 4.5 to 6 according to Brooks and Idson (1991) and 4 to 7 by Taylor (1995). The batch was then measured in triplicate at 15, 30, 60, and 90 days at a room temperature of 25°C, after the pH of the emulsion preparation. After the 90-day cycle, the emulsions were subjected to low-temperature cycle freeze/defrosted, and the respective analyzed pHs (Table 5-A-B).

Even subject to combined emulsion systems, systems containing the semi-arid oils (*Syagrus coronata*, *Pachira retusa*, and *Pachira aquatica*), maintained a pH compatible with formulations for skin (Table 5-C).

The oils studied have in their fat composition unsaturated omega 3, 6 and 9 acids that allow proper skin hydration, and a high degree of spreadability. According to Rieger (1987), the epidermis presents an oil balance between saturated/unsaturated fats of 1.2. Among the certificate oils, the *Pachira retusa* oil (2.1) and *Pachira aquatica* oil (0.94) have the closest saturation band relationship to that of the epidermis. Despite having optimized the combined emulsifying systems, *Virola surinamensis* butter has a high content of the saturated/unsaturated relationship (51.36). However, *Butyrospermum parkii* butter presented 0.79% in the same relation. Analyzing these screening saturation profiles, the combination *Pachira retusa*/*Butyrospermum parkii* and *Pachira aquatica*/*Butyrospermum parkii* gives a desirable cosmetic performance, as already confirmed by experiment. *Butyrospermum parkii* butter has 56% unsaturated fatty acids compared with 44% saturated fatty acids in its composition. The *Pachira aquatica* oil and that of the *Pachira retusa* present for saturated fatty acids 48 and 63%, and for unsaturated 51 and 29%, respectively. It is possible through screening, however, to calibrate an emollient with a greater saturated percentage, with one that contains a reduced percentage. The aim of this is to obtain a ratio close to 1.2 (saturation index/introduction of the skin).

Only the optimized emulsions were analyzed for viscosity (Table 2). It was observed that the emulsion containing *Pachira retusa* oil combined

with *Virola surinamensis* butter showed a higher viscosity. One infers from this that the combination of palmitic and myristic fatty acids mainly is responsible for this character of the emulsion. Correlating this with the values of Table 3, there is not a direct relationship with spreadability. It was expected because the higher the viscosity, the less likely it is to spread. However, in terms of fatty acids, it appears that myristic acid as a constituent of *Virola surinamensis* butter interferes with the viscosity of emulsions. It occurred when high viscosity emulsions were combined with this vegetable butter. Regarding emulsion control, there were consistent results since the accretion of emollients directly influences the emulsion's viscosity.

If a screening of the saturation profile (Tables 8 and 9) is made, comparing it with spreadability (Table 4-A-C), it appears that the more saturated profiles contain vegetable oil as observed with the *Virola surinamensis* butter in all its combinations. Therefore spreadability will be greater, being differentiated by the interactions of oil emulsifier greases present in the same system. Regarding viscosity, it was noted that the *Pachira retusa* emulsion containing oil and *Virola surinamensis* butter has a high percentage of oil saturation/unsaturation butter 63.47/1.91, and even butter saturation/unsaturation oil 98.2/29.28 applying the same relation to the emulsion containing the *Syagrus coronata* oil combined with butter of *Virola surinamensis*. However, the data can only be indicative. It is necessary to analyze the composition by screening of the grease content of each emollient and the interactions of these greases.

## CONCLUSIONS

Screening grease material can be a strategy used in the development of cosmetic formulations. Vegetable oils and butter that present a high saturated fatty acid content, with a low molecular weight, grant one an emulsion with good spreadability. The screening can also help in a preliminary study of viscosity since viscosity is not related to spreadability. The combination of vegetable oils, which have a high content of short chain fatty acids, with a vegetable butter rich in

oleic acid, and vice-versa, could be the adequate combination for the optimization of the spreadability of emulsions. However, the screening strategy allows one to directly formulate systems based in the analysis of fatty profiles, so as to minimize the time and cost for the research, development and innovation in large-scale production. Furthermore, the fixed oils existing in Brazilian oilseeds from Bahia, such as the *Pachira retusa*, *Pachira aquatica* and *Syagrus coronata* should be applied as raw materials valuable in the development of cosmetic emulsions.

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to BERACA (Sao Paulo, Brazil) to provide the Ucuúba butter and cooperatives to provide the oils.

This study was supported by projects ETENE-FUNDECI (Nº 4053 Banco do Nordeste, Bahia, Brazil).

**Table 8.** Relationship between saturated fatty acid/unsaturated fatty acid from vegetable butter.

Butters	Saturated (%)	Unsaturated (%)	Saturated/Unsaturated (%)
<i>A. murumuru</i>	91.2 ± 0.31 <sup>a</sup>	8.7 ± 0.21 <sup>d</sup>	10.5 ± 0.52 <sup>d</sup>
<i>B. parkii</i>	44.0 ± 0.15 <sup>c</sup>	56.0 ± 0.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.8 ± 0.37 <sup>f</sup>
<i>T. grandiflorum</i>	58.3 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>	40.6 ± 0.41 <sup>e</sup>	1.4 ± 0.61 <sup>f</sup>
<i>T. cacao</i>	72.7 ± 0.35 <sup>b</sup>	27.3 ± 0.25 <sup>e</sup>	2.7 ± 0.60 <sup>f</sup>
<i>V. surinamensis</i>	98.1 ± 0.22 <sup>a</sup>	1.9 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup>	51.4 ± 0.27 <sup>b</sup>

Different letters symbolize significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) by mean of the Tukey test. Data represent the mean ± SD of at least  $n = 3$ .

**Table 9.** Relationship between saturated fatty acid: unsaturated fatty acid from vegetable oil.

Oils	Saturated (%)	Unsaturated (%)	Saturated/Unsaturated (%)
<i>A. tucuma</i>	25.6 ± 0.14 <sup>e</sup>	74.4 ± 0.22 <sup>a</sup>	0.3 ± 0.36 <sup>g</sup>
<i>C. brasiliensis</i>	42.3 ± 0.45 <sup>b</sup>	54.9 ± 0.25 <sup>c</sup>	0.8 ± 0.70 <sup>g</sup>
<i>M. integrifolia</i>	13.1 ± 0.37 <sup>d</sup>	85.9 ± 0.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.2 ± 0.58 <sup>f</sup>
<i>O. speciosa</i>	82.5 ± 0.25 <sup>c</sup>	17.6 ± 0.24 <sup>b</sup>	4.7 ± 0.49 <sup>f</sup>
<i>P. aquatica</i>	48.0 ± 0.28 <sup>e</sup>	51.1 ± 0.32 <sup>c</sup>	0.9 ± 0.60 <sup>h</sup>
<i>P. retusa</i>	63.5 ± 0.23 <sup>c</sup>	29.3 ± 0.41 <sup>b</sup>	2.2 ± 0.64 <sup>f</sup>
<i>S. coronata</i>	97.0 ± 0.31 <sup>a</sup>	4.5 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	21.6 ± 0.36 <sup>b</sup>

Different letters symbolize significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) by mean of the Tukey test. Data represent the mean ± SD of at least  $n = 3$ .

## REFERENCES

- Amazon Oil (2015) <http://www.amazonoil.com.br/> [Consulted January 10, 2015]
- Borges LC, Ferreira DF (2003) Power and type I error rates of Scott-Knott, Tukey and Student-Newman-Keuls's tests under residual normal and non normal distributions. *Rev Mat Est* 21(1): 63-67.
- Borghetti GS, Knorst MT (2006) Desenvolvimento e avaliação da estabilidade física de loções O/A contendo filtros solares. *Rev Bras Cienc Farm* 42(4): 531-537.
- Braseq. Brasileira de Equipamentos Ltda. (2010) Viscosidade e Reologia: Noções Básicas. [http://www.fcf.usp.br/Ensino/Graduacao/Disciplinas/Exclusivo/Inserir/Anexo\\_s/reologia%20brookfield.pdf](http://www.fcf.usp.br/Ensino/Graduacao/Disciplinas/Exclusivo/Inserir/Anexo_s/reologia%20brookfield.pdf) [Consulted January 15, 2015].
- Brasil (2004) ANVISA, Guia de estabilidade de produtos cosméticos. [http://www.anvisa.gov.br/cosmeticos/guia\\_series.htm](http://www.anvisa.gov.br/cosmeticos/guia_series.htm) [Consulted January 15, 2015]
- Brooks GG, Idson B (1991) Skin lipids. *Int J Cosmetic Sci* 13(2): 103-113.
- Instituto de Estudos Amazônicos e Ambientais (1993) Manual de Plantas Amazônicas. Curitiba.
- Kim MJ, Doh, HJ, Choi MK, Chung SJ, Shim CK, Kim, DD, Kim, JS, Yong, CS, Choi, HG (2008) Skin permeation enhancement of diclofenac by fatty acids. *Drug Deliv* 15(6): 373-379.
- Knorst MT (1991). Desenvolvimento tecnológico de forma farmacêutica plástica contendo extrato concentrado de *Achyrocline satureioides* (Lom) DC. *Compositae* (Marcela). Dissertação de Mestrado, departamento de Ciências Farmacêuticas. Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brasil.
- Loch CM, Marco MG, Schwedersky MB, Haas SE (2011) Avaliação físico-química e determinação do comportamento reológico de emulsões de cetoconazol 2% comercializados em farmácias magistrais no município de Erechim/RS. *Rev Bras Farm* 92(4): 299-305.
- Lorenzi H (1992) Árvores Brasileiras: manual de identificação e cultivo de plantas arbóreas nativas do Brasil, 2 edicao. São Paulo, Brasil: Editora Plantarum Ltd.
- Matos ECA, Nascimento JE, Mariano DLS, Oliveira AL (2010) Arborização do bairro centro da cidade de Aracaju, Sergipe, e seus organismos associados. *Rev Bras Arbor Urban* 5(4): 22-39.
- Milan ALK, Milao D, Souto AA, Corte TF (2007) Study of hydration skin by the cosmetics emulsions for xerosis and their stability by rheology. *Rev Bras Cienc Farm* 43(4): 649-657.
- Morais GG (2006) Desenvolvimento e avaliação da estabilidade de emulsões O/A com cristais líquidos acrescidas de xantina para tratamento da hipodistrofia ginoide (celulite). 2006.158f. Dissertação de Mestrado, departamento de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade de São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brasil.
- Neto RJ, Carvalho, AS, Jesus DS, Duarte FJB, Veloso MC (2009) Extração e Caracterização do Óleo da Amêndoa do Licuri (*Syagrus coronata*). 32<sup>a</sup> Reunião Anual da Sociedade Brasileira de Química, Fortaleza, Brasil, June 30-32.
- Noblick LR (1986) Palmeiras das caatingas da Bahia e as potencialidades econômicas. Simpósio sobre a Caatinga e sua Exploração Racional. EMBRAPA, Brasília, Brasil.
- Pereira NP (2008) Estudo fitoquímico do óleo da semente de [*Camomilla recutita* (L.) Rauschert] Camomila, com avaliação de propriedades físico-químicas, biológicas e funcionais em emulsões. Dissertação de doutorado, departamento de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Brasil.
- Pereira NP, Blanco IMR, Ramos YJ, Druzian JI, Conceicao EKS, Guedes MLS (2013) Extraction, determination of the fatty profile and potential application of oil from seeds of *Bombacopsis retusa* (Mart. & Zucc.) A. Robyns. *Acta Sci Technol* 35(3): 573-579.
- Pinto PG (1963) Características físico-química e outras informações sobre as principais oleaginosas do Brasil. Boletim Técnico, Ministério da Agricultura, Recife.
- Polizelli PP, Facchini FDA, Cabral H, Bonilla RGO (2008) A new lipase isolated from oleaginous seed from *Pachira aquatica* (Bombacaceae). *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 150(3): 233-242.
- Rieger, MM (1987) Skin lipids and their importance to cosmetic science. *Cosmet Toiletries* 102(7): 45-49.
- Santos, ODH, Miotto, JV, Moraes, JM, Rocha, PF, Oliveira, WP (2005) Attainment of emulsions with liquid crystal from Marigold oil using the required HLB method. *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology* 26(2): 243-249.
- Silva BLA, Bora PS, Azevedo CCS (2010) Caracterização química parcial das proteínas das amêndoas da Munguba (*Pachira aquatica* Aubl.). *Rev Inst Adolfo Lutz* 69(3): 333-340.
- Silva EPO, Castro LH, Biaggio RM, Beltrame MJ (2014) Estudo das características físico-químicas e classificação de fito-ingredientes na espécie *Astrocaryum murumuru* (Murumuru). VIII Encontro Latino Americano de Pós-Graduação - Universidade do Vale do Paraíba, Paraíba, Brasil.
- Taylor P (1995) Ostwald ripening in emulsions. *Colloids Surf A* 99(2-3): 175-185.
- Trevizam CJ, Correia D, Duarte VTR (2014) Otimização do processo de extração do óleo de licuri (*Syagrus coronata*). *Rev Engenho* 10: 1-19.
- Woolfson AD, Malcolm RK, Campbell K, Jones DS, Rushell JA (2000) Rheological, mechanical and membrane penetration properties of novel dual drug systems for percutaneous delivery. *J Control Release* 67 (2-3): 395-408.