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Abstract

The behaviours of four foundation pilings ($\varphi=0.41\,\text{m}$) constructed in Foz do Iguaçu, Paraná (PR), Brazil and subjected to slow loading tests were analysed. The results were compared with results from three-dimensional numerical modelling using the finite element method, which facilitates simulation of the elasto-plastic behaviour of soil. The local subsoil comprises varied stratigraphies; it is composed of a residual soil surface layer followed by weathered rock and bedrock, which are a few meters deep. The massif geotechnical parameters were determined through correlations obtained from field tests, whereby the values for cohesion, angle of friction, modulus of deformability and uniaxial compressive strength in the different subsoil layers were estimated. The load tests were interrupted at 3000 kN and displaced by less than 5 mm in the working load (1500 kN). The pilings were subjected to lateral friction work...
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1. Introduction

The subsoil stratigraphic composition can aid in elucidating the behaviour of a foundation element that is subject to a specific force. However, delimitation may be uncertain among the various layers that comprise a massif, and geotechnical parameters for such materials will aid in correctly determining the beginning and end of a layer, especially for soil followed by a rocky massif.

According to Rocha (1977), uniaxial strength and cohesion are the most appropriate characteristics for establishing a boundary between soils and rocks. According to this author, the angle of friction is an ineffective parameter for distinguishing between such materials because a band is superimposed between higher soil values and lower rock values.

The lower strength limit established by specific classification does not eliminate the difficulty in determining a clear boundary between very soft and hard rocks as well as stiff and cohesive soils because the materials situated in this transition domain can behave as soils or rocks depending on the stress application conditions (Hencher, 1993).

To predict the lateral strength of a piling embedded in rock, the constitutive rupture model must incorporate coupling between lateral friction and normal displacement models in its analyses (Pease & Kulhawy, 1984; Seidel & Haberfield, 1995) as well as facilitate description of the behaviour of lateral strength from initial loads through complete mobilisation, which leads to a rupture. The models require numerical accuracy for parameters that are not typically evaluated in engineering. It is common to use parameters, such as rock cohesion (c), angle of friction (j) and uniaxial compressive strength (qu), that are generated through Equation 1 and Table 1 (Horvath et al., 1980; Williams & Pells, 1981; Amir, 1986; Rowe & Armitage, 1987; Kulhawy & Phoon, 1993).

\[
q_{ul} = q_u = 2 \cdot c \cdot \tan(45^\circ + \frac{j}{2})
\]  

For the soil and weathered rock surface layers, estimates for strength parameters can be generated through empirical and semi-empirical correlations, which produce estimated values for cohesion, angle of friction and modulus of elasticity as functions of depth, as shown in Equations 2, 3, 4 and 5.

\[
q = 28^\circ + 0.4 \cdot N_{SPT} \quad \text{(Godoy, 1983)}
\]

\[
q = \sqrt{20 \cdot N_{SPT} + 15^\circ} \quad \text{(Teixeira, 1996)}
\]

\[
c_u = 10 \cdot N_{SPT} \quad \text{(kPa)} \quad \text{(Teixeira & Godoy, 1996)}
\]

\[
E_i = K \cdot N_{SPT} \cdot 3.4 + 130 \quad \text{(where K = 3.5) (kgf/cm²)} \quad \text{(Trofimenkov, 1974)}
\]

| RQD (%) | Rock property
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uniaxial resistant strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 - 70</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 - 100</td>
<td>(0.33-0.80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Reduction of force parameters for a rock mass (Kulhawy & Goodman, 1987).

2. Estimation of geotechnical parameters

To estimate the geotechnical parameters for the local subsoil, various sounding experiments were performed and are shown in Figure 1; the average depth value is shown in Figure 2. Based on these experiments, it was found that the subsoil is composed of a 3 to 5 m thick surface layer of moderately stiff clay followed by a 2 to 3 m thick weathered rock layer. A substrate that was limiting for the sounding experiments is found after the second layer and is composed of basaltic rock with above-average fracturing and regular to good recuperation with RQD values between 70 and 90% depending on the sounding location.

The sounding profiles shown have a coefficient of variation (CV) with N_SPT values as a function of depth (Figure 2), wherein elevated variability is shown between 5 and 7 m deep. An abrupt increase in the N_SPT values was also observed 5 m deep; further, the soundings were limited by a percussion advance...
From the cohesion values, an essential parameter was generated for use in the numerical analyses, the adhesion factor ($\alpha$). This parameter facilitates the characterisation of an element for the interface between the foundation element and its surroundings (soil and rock). Therefore, the graph in Figure 6 proposed by Tomlinson (1957) was used.

The values of the geotechnical parameters for the different layers that comprise the subsoil are shown in Table 2. The estimates related to the rocky massif geotechnical properties were generated in accordance with Bieniawski (1989). However, a greater or lesser value is attributed to the respective parameters for the rock as a function of its RQD.
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**Table 2**

Parameters adopted for each material use in numerical modelling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>$\psi$</th>
<th>$\nu$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$E_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>10-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weathered rock</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>50-150</td>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.70-0.25</td>
<td>50-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock (basalt)</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>200-400</td>
<td>35-50</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>10,000-70,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\gamma$ - Specific weight (kN/m³); $c$ - cohesion (kPa); $\psi$ - Angle of friction (°); $\nu$ - Poisson Coefficient; $\alpha$ - adhesion factor; and $E_i$ - Modulus of elasticity (MPa).

3. Foundation pilings

The foundation pilings (nominal diameter = 0.41 m) were constructed in accordance with the NBR6122/10 and ABEF manual (2006) guidelines. In Table 3, the final length of each piling is presented with the respective embedding length for each layer (soils, weathered rock and bedrock).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Piling</th>
<th>Embedding length by layer (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B41</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B56</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B55</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: not detected.

4. Load tests

Four slow maintained load (SML) tests were performed in accordance with the ABNT NBR12131/2006 guidelines (i.e., the loads were performed in equal and successive stages at no greater than 20% of the expected working load for each piling tested). The aforementioned load tests were performed where the new campus for the Federal University of Latin-American Integration (Universidade Federal da Integração Latino-Americana – UNILA) is under construction. To minimise variations in the massif properties and limitations, the load tests were performed during August 2012.

5. Numerical modelling

Modelling was performed based on ¼ of the problem in question given the symmetry along the piling axis, and thus, 10 m x 10 m rectangular section blocks were generated with variable depths as a function of the length of the analysed piling, including at least 10 m below the piling point. The dimensions used were determined based on the tests performed to ensure that the surrounding conditions used at the ends of the problem can be considered immovable or that they have small displacements; consequently, the dimensions cannot influence the results. An elasto-plastic model was used, which varies as a function of the applied stress following a non-linear model. The finite element mesh was composed of triangular elements with quadratic interpolation that were extruded at each meter of depth.

The properties attributed to each different layer of soil and rock follow the Mohr-Coulomb criteria (i.e., the values for the specific weight ($\gamma$), cohesion ($c$), angle of friction ($\phi$), modulus of deformation ($E$) and Poisson coefficient ($\nu$) were inserted). For the materials with fragile behaviour (Parabolic Model), such as the concrete and mortar, values for compressive strength, traction ($R_t$), specific weight, modulus of deformation and Poisson coefficient were used.

The CESAR v.5 software from Ittechsoft was employed in the analyses, which facilitated the use of a contact element that facilitated the definition of specific properties at the threshold between materials with distinct behaviours or properties that were altered by the execution process. In this case, the specific properties $E$, $c$, $\phi$ and $R_t$ were used in the analyses.

6. Results

Next, the experimental results generated by performance of the four load tests and finite element numerical analyses are presented. Figures 7, 8, 9 and 10 show the load test results for each piling and graphs that compare the results from the experiments and numerical simulations using the software. The numerical analyses comprised tests for a 3000 kN load employed in the field (maximum load for the load test), and the respective loading stages were observed.

From Figure 7, a geotechnical rupture is observed in piling 7, which showed settling greater than 50 mm with the working load (1500 kN) equal to 4.13 mm. In the same figure, the numerical analysis using FEM-3D indicates a geotechnical rupture and settling for the 1500 kN load ($Q_{working}$) at 6.79 mm.

The curves shown in Figures 8 (piling 15), 9 (piling 18) and 10 (piling 13) did not characterise a geotechnical rupture given the low displacement values.
produced by the maximum load (3000 kN). However, these figures indicate that the settling values demonstrated using FEM-3D were 1.15, 1.93 and 1.32 mm compared with the experimental settling values produced by the load tests at 0.96, 1.90 and 1.37 mm, respectively, for pilings 15, 18 and 13.

The settling values from the load test and numerical analyses for the working load (1500 kN) are shown in Table 4.

### Table 4
Settling values generated by the load tests and numerical modelling for the 1500 kN load.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Piling</th>
<th>L/ϕ</th>
<th>LT (mm)</th>
<th>FEM-3D (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 15</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 13</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 07</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>6.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LT: Load Test; FEM-3D: Three-dimensional Finite Element Method.
The settling values for the working load are compared in Figure 11, which shows the L/φ relationships equal to 22 (piling 18), 30 (piling 7), 51 (piling 13) and 54 (piling 15). The numerical model showed variation ranging from 1.5%, 64.4%, -3.6% and 19.3%, respectively. According to Figure 12, the settling behaviour as a function of variation in the relationship with rock embedding (Lr/φ) is shown. Notably, when the Lr/φ relationship increases, the settling tends to decrease.

The numerical analyses verified the enhanced impact of the pilings embedded in layers of material with different rigidity values (E) on the load distribution behaviours at different depths, as shown in Figures 16, 17, 18 and 19.

Figure 13 shows uniformly low dissipation of lateral friction from the top of piling 15 through approximately 7 m deep in the soil. However, beginning at this depth, the slope increases for the line of load dissipation through its complete exhaustion at 15 m deep in a layer composed of weathered rock and bedrock. Based on this depth, the lateral friction is negligible, and the tip strength was not verified. From Figure 14, it is confirmed that the load distribution along the piling 7 shaft is dissipated through complete exhaustion at the tip region, which contributes only 2% to the final load value (stage 10).

In Figure 15 (piling 13), dissipation by lateral friction was observed through 57% of the total piling length; it was most effective beginning at 5 m deep. It is emphasised that the shaft is embedded in the layer of weathered rock and bedrock in this region.

Figure 16 shows the behaviour of piling 18, which is the only piling not embedded in the material with elevated rigidity (bedrock). In this case, the load distribution as a function of depth demonstrates that it is different from the other pilings. The 16% contribution by the tip is shown through the maximum load value. Note that the section of piles no. 13 and 15 that is driven in bedrock provides little lateral friction resistance, since the load was previously dissipated in the upper layers composed of soil and modified rock. This demonstrates that the length of these piles could have been reduced.
The distribution of lateral friction for the working and maximum loads is shown in Figures 17 to 20. The analyses show that a large portion of the lateral friction was absorbed in the weathered rock layer (07, 13 and 15), which generated friction values greater than 270 kPa. For these pilings, a small contribution of the portion embedded in rock is noted. Piling 18 showed a different behaviour; notably, there is no weathered rock, including in the tip load.

7. Conclusions

The tests performed and numerical analyses employed provide sufficient information to discern the behaviour of foundation pilings in rock. Notably, delimitation of the layers that comprise the subsoil must be evaluated geologically using the shear strength parameter values.

The predicted numerical model for the load-settling curve was satisfactory, even for the pilings embedded in materials with an elevated modulus of rigidity and compressive strength. The geotechnical parameter estimates from the formulas based on the field tests facilitated determination of the behaviour for the load-settling curve with good precision through the numerical model.

Distribution of the load as a function of depth generated using the numerical analyses was significantly affected by the portion of the shaft embedded in high-rigidity materials (bedrock) because its dissipation depends on cohesion (c) and rigidity (E).

The portion of the tip strength is approximately zero for the pilings in materials with rock characteristics (i.e., high rigidity). The settlements measured using the load tests and numerical modelling were directly influenced by embedding in rock. The rocky substrate has more influence as a support element for the upper layers and induces more absorbance of the applied load.
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