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Abstract

A review of iron oxide mineralogy and its relationship with rock magnetic properties is presented. Magnetic processes and models of a large area around the El Morro, Brazil mine in the Jacupiranga alkaline-carbonatitic deposit is discussed. Rock magnetic properties and opaque mineral observations suggest that titanomagnetite is the main magnetic phase. The magnetic anomaly suggests ore bodies of an east-west general strike. The largest body is in the northern part of the area. It measures at least 5 by 2 km. All bodies are located in an aeromagnetic domain of semi-ellipsoidal shape and northeast-southwest general strike. It is proposed that the anomalous zone is continuous to the north and that the whole area of economic interest may extend roughly 8x4 km in the north-south direction. We modeled two N-S and E-W profiles and the best fit corresponds to several large irregular bodies. The most important mineralized bodies are located beneath the northward continuation of the magnetic high; this inference is supported by gravimetric data. Other bodies are located under the magnetic low and extend to the south. The best fit of calculated to observed anomaly is obtained by using mostly induced magnetization.
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Introduction

A very significant issue in paleomagnetic studies relates to the inference on the magnetic remanence carrier, and how the rocks became magnetized. We review present knowledge on the importance of natural magnetic phases, how to identify them, how they were formed, and what their magnetic behavior is. A brief description of some geologically important magnetic phases is described. Useful magnetic characteristics of important minerals may be found in Table 6.1 of Tauxe (2009).

Iron is by far the most abundant transition element in the solar system. A large amount of paleomagnetic studies depend on the magnetic iron-bearing minerals: the iron-nickels (particularly important for extraterrestrial magnetic studies); the iron-oxides such as magnetite, maghemite, and hematite; the iron-oxyhydroxides such as goethite and ferricydrite; and the iron-sulfides such as greigite and pyrrhotite.

Rock magnetic studies of host rocks and ore minerals yield information on the composition, concentration, and microstructure of the magnetic mineral fraction. This is important because of quantitative interpretation of observed geomagnetic anomalies. This information is also needed for the investigation of problems related to the magnetic history of rocks and anomalies in the geomagnetic field, and to problems in geochemistry and geophysics. Information of rock magnetic properties can restrict the range of possible models, particularly when magnetic properties are systematically obtained as part of complementary data from geological boreholes and other geophysical information. Thus, rock magnetic parameters are essential for a better understanding of the relationships of geology and rock magnetism and magnetic anomalies. This relation is complex because of the dependence of magnetic signature with respect to a rock unit, lithology, structure and geologic history.

Great advances in data acquisition, processing, satellite navigation, and image processing of high-resolution aeromagnetic surveys, resulted in a critical need for a better appreciation of these relationships. Aeromagnetic surveys supply information on sources at all depths within the crust: they have been widely used for decades in mineral and petroleum exploration. Software now allows for advanced modeling. Magnetic interpretation has the potential for modeling rock bodies; however, a major restrictive factor has been the non-uniqueness of solutions of models. The two most important constraints on magnetic models are reliability of magnetic properties and of petrophysical data, and the known geological structure. Without a solid constraint, the non-uniqueness in potential field data limits the amount of information and knowledge we can retrieve from magnetic surveys. Given the amount of money spent on acquisition of magnetic data, and the use of magnetic surveys in exploration, detailed studies of rock-magnetic properties will strongly be needed to support modeling of magnetic anomalies.

At present, it is recognized that rock magnetic information assists in optimizing magnetic anomaly interpretations, especially for magnetite-bearing ores and related rocks. The intensity of remanent magnetism varies greatly in rocks and Fe-ores, and it may exceed the intensity of induced magnetization implying high Q-ratio values (Alva-Valdivia et al., 1991, 1995, 1998, 2000, 2001, 2003a, b; Direen et al., 2008; Henkel, 1994; Schmidt, et al., 2007 and references therein; Skilbrei et al., 1991). Sometimes, scattered paleomagnetic directions from exposed outcrops suggest the effect of lightning strikes and imply extremely high values of magnetization. Several authors have focused on different aspects, e.g., relationships between petrology, observed aeromagnetic anomalies and physical properties to define geological and structural units and the mapping of these units to establish a correspondence between magnetic petrology and rock-magnetic properties.

The El Morro Mine in Brazil contains iron oxide-phoscoritic ores, which do not appear to have been formed by the same mechanism in all localities. Some of them, nevertheless led to units with similar appearances. These deposits present different field relationships, textural characteristics, and trace element compositions, according to their formation mechanism. It is important to examine in detail these features of Fe-ores in order to discriminate between the various mechanisms proposed for their origin. This may also provide an important exploration tool for locating associated mineral deposits other than iron, and it could contribute to a better understanding of the systems in which these deposits were formed. By high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) we were able to determine the presence of magnetic nanoparticles and their physical-chemical characterization (Alva-Valdivia et al., submitted).

The aim of this paper is (1) to review oxide minerals and their relationship to rock magnetic properties and magnetic anomaly modeling, as a source of information for constraining models of mineralized body sources from observed magnetic anomalies; and (2) to explain this information may be used in exploration-exploitation programs planning when supported by results of microscopy and rock-magnetism in the Jacupiranga (Cajati) complex. This can
provide more reliable models to evaluate factors like ore-type, ore-grade, grain-size, mineralogy, size, depth, shape and attitude of the ore bodies.

**Fundamentals of iron oxides**

*Magnetic mineralogy of igneous rocks: historical chronological perspective*

This topic has been studied in detail during the last 40 years. Haggerty (1976a,b) provided an excellent review of this topic. We will leave out the discussion of sedimentary rocks case, but it is relevant to mention that the complexities discussed for igneous rock should be also valid for sedimentary rocks. In general, the complexity of composition of iron-titanium oxides is reflected in their magnetic signatures. This complexity arises from their variation of chemical composition and complexity of grain-size spectra and domain states. The variation in composition is related to an almost infinite range of possibilities in mineral chemistry which includes minerals of the spinel and ilmenite in combinations as pure and/or oxidized ulvöspinel-magnetite solid solutions, as well as with Mg, Cl, Al or Mn substitutions in iron minerals present in rocks.

It is well known that titanomagnetites (TM's) occurring in nature deviate in structure and behavior from the ideal TM's or even from their synthetic representatives. Real TM's contain iron and titanium, and also non-stoichiometric cation species. It seems that real minerals were derived from ideal ones by substitution and/or by oxidation or reduction (Al$^{3+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$ and other cations normally replacing Fe$^{3+}$ or Fe$^{2+}$). Similarly, oxidation of ideal TM's result in cation/anion ratios becoming less than $\frac{3}{4}$ (stoichiometric). O'Reilly (1984) has described parameters which specify a deviation from stoichiometry and degree of oxidation. These considerations have been extended to titanomaghemite and members of the titanohematite series (O'Reilly, 1984).

Deutsch et al. (1981) and Radhakrishnamurty et al. (1981) showed that TM's tend to form stable single-domain and superparamagnetic states normally observed in basalts. The crystallization mode in igneous rocks affects strongly the nature of magnetic minerals. High or low temperature geologic events are reflected in corresponding types of oxidation leading to high or low non-stoichiometry. The cooling regime, the presence or absence of water, and the diffusion rates of the new minerals being generated, affect strongly the nature of these minerals. Suitable oxidation indices have been devised for describing the effects of deuteric oxidation in igneous rocks (Wilson and Watkins, 1967; Ade-Hall et al., 1968; and Wilson et al., 1968).

The end products of hydrothermal regional alteration or weathering are different from those of high temperature (Ade-Hall et al., 1971; Vincenz et al., 1975). For example, iron oxides or oxyhydroxides generated by serpentinization or iddingsitization are quite different from minerals produced by deuteric oxidation. The phenomenon of oxidation of oceanic basalts is basic for understanding the magnetism of the oceanic crust. Preliminary results are puzzling, that low temperatures seem to produce phases in marine basalts similar to those normally generated by deuteric oxidation in surface rocks (Vincenz, 1987).

Cooling of magma introduces stresses within the rock and lead to variable strains, which result in fracturing, crushing, introduction of cracks, which affect the micro- to nanostructure and composition of magnetic materials. Crushing from secondary geological events leads to a reduction of natural remanent magnetization and produces changes in its direction (Bruckshaw and Vincenz, 1954). There are few observations of such effects. Petersen et al. (1979), observed the formation of ‘shrink cracks’ in larger grains of TM's. These were generated by low temperature oxidation, which leads to a decrease of the lattice constant, a reduction in iron content and a change in the magnetic properties of the grains. According to O'Reilly (1984), magnetic behavior depends on several factors, but always on composition. Sometimes it only depends on composition but more often also on microstructure or concentration, or both. Haggerty (1976b) found that substitution of Cr, Mg, Mn or Al in TM's solid solutions in igneous rocks enhances the microstructural complexities. Magnesium, aluminum and chromium TM's include Mg$_2$TiO$_4$, hercynite (FeAl$_2$O$_4$), magnetite (Fe$^{3+}$O$_4$), and ilmenite in combinations as pure and/or oxidized ulvöspinel-magnetite solid solutions, as well as with Mg, Cl, Al or Mn substitutions in iron minerals present in rocks.

**Introduction of aluminum, magnesium or manganese in the maghemite lattice convert maghemite to hematite by a crystallographic transition effect of temperature decreasing the Curie temperature. However, it does not affect spontaneous magnetization, at least not in the case of aluminum. This makes the transition to hematite more difficult, i.e., maghemite is given greater stability (Stacey and Banerjee, 1974). The expected difference in Curie temperatures of magnetite and magnesium ferrite with a random cation distribution is about 6% (O’Reilly, 1984). However, with few exceptions (Petersen et al., 1979; Deutsch et al., 1981), the effects...**
of substitution of iron by Al, Mg, Cr or Mn in TMs are not well known and require studies in both synthetic and natural phases. O'Reilly (1984) suggests that non-stoichiometry may have a more important influence on the variations of Curie temperatures than variation in cation distribution.

Ferrous-ferric iron oxide (IO) transformations

Most geophysical applications related to iron and its oxides are based on the magnetic properties of iron-containing rocks and minerals. Ferrous iron oxide is paramagnetic and ferric iron oxide is antiferromagnetic, but only ferric oxide can be part of ferri- and ferromagnetic minerals, excluding magnetite. This means that IO transformations can give origin to a magnetic anomaly, which could be measured by geophysical prospecting methods.

It is believed that electric currents in the molten outer core cause Earth’s magnetic field by induction (e.g., Merrill and McFadden, 1995). This process generates the main magnetic field of the Earth (Geocentric Axial Dipole, GAD); however, an anomalous magnetic field is being generated by the magnetic properties of rocks and minerals composing the Earth’s crust and upper mantle.

The stability of ferric iron decreases with depth within the crust and upper mantle. Depending on the geothermal regime and oxidation conditions, there is a depth at which IO changes will take place. Below that depth, ferric-iron-containing minerals will no longer have strong magnetic properties. On the other hand, every ferromagnetic mineral has a Curie point ($T_C$), above which it is no longer ferromagnetic. Thus there are two possibilities for losing magnetic properties by rocks and minerals: reaching the Curie point, and IO changes.

Iron in rocks and minerals

Iron and its oxides have peculiar properties. They produce different magnetic properties in all kinds of magnetic materials (antiferromagnetic, paramagnetic, ferrimagnetic, and ferromagnetic). The most abundant ferrimagnetic and ferromagnetic minerals contain ferric iron oxide ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$), and most rocks contain these minerals. The main role in paleomagnetism is related to the magnetic properties of minerals that can preserve natural remanent magnetization (NRM). Magnetic properties of rocks and stability of their NRM are strongly dependent on the composition, grain-size, and amount of magnetic minerals. Rocks carrying magnetism usually contain a solid solution of magnetic minerals, which are sensitive to the type of magnetic mineral, oxidation processes, cation ordering, subsolidus exsolution, etc.

Tauxe (2009) describes the main solid solution series and oxidation processes between them. Two solid-solution series are particularly important in paleomagnetism: the ulvöspinel-magnetite series and the ilmenite-hematite series. Both TM's and hemoilmenites crystallize at about 1,300 °C. Above 600 °C, there is a complete solid solution between magnetite and ulvöspinel and above about 800 °C between hematite and ilmenite. A change in temperature and/or pressure could alter the stability of rocks and minerals and lead to alteration of their NRM. Numerous studies (e.g., Muxworthy and Dunlop, 2002) show that the magnetic properties of minerals are strongly dependent on grain-size. Usually, magnetic grains are divided into single-domain (SD), pseudo-single-domain (PSD), and multidomain (MD); and a separate theory was developed for each grain size (Dunlop, 1995; Dunlop and Özdemir, 1997). Exsolution is important in paleomagnetism for two reasons. First, the different compositions have very different magnetic properties. Second, the lamellae effectively reduce the magnetic crystal size, which we know has a profound influence on the magnetic stability of the mineral.

Composition in minerals is normally plotted on ternary diagrams such as shown in Figure 1. The oxides for these species are FeO (wüstite), $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (hematite or maghemite, depending on structure), and TiO (rutile). Every point on the triangle represents a cation mixture or a solution that adds up to one cation.

Each solid arrow in Figure 1 (titanomagnetite and hemoilmenite) denotes increasing substitution of titanium into the crystal lattices of magnetite and hematite, respectively. The amount of Ti substitution in TM’s is denoted by "x," whereas substitution in the hemoilmenites is denoted by "y." Values for $x$ and $y$ range from 0 (magnetite or hematite) to 1 (ulvöspinel or ilmenite).

Titanomagnetites (TMs; $\text{Fe}_{x-1-y}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_y$)

Titanomagnetites are usually the dominant carriers of magnetism in most basaltic rocks (e.g., Haggerty, 1976a, 1976b). Magnetite and TM’s are generally complex solid solutions of different spinel compounds, among which magnetite ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$) and ulvöspinel ($\text{Fe}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_6$) are dominant. At higher temperatures, ulvöspinel containing ferrous iron oxide is more stable; and at lower temperatures, the magnetite component of the solid solution becomes more stable because of IO transformations (Pilchin and Eppelebaum, 1997, 2004, 2006), which makes the ferrous-iron-containing ulvöspinel less stable.
TM's can occur as primary minerals in igneous rocks. Magnetite, as well as various members of the hemoilmenite series, can also form as a result of high temperature oxidation. In sediments, magnetite often occurs as a detrital component, or a bacteria product, or is authigenically formed during diagenesis. Substitution of Ti$^{4+}$, which has no unpaired spins, has a profound effect on the magnetic properties of the resulting titanomagnetite. Ti$^{4+}$ substitutes for a trivalent iron ion. In order to maintain charge balance, another trivalent iron ion turns into a divalent iron ion. The end members of the solid solution series are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{magnetite} & \quad \text{ulvöspinel} \\
\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 & \quad \text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_4
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
x = 0 & \quad x = 1
\end{align*}
\]

Ulvöspinel is antiferromagnetic because the A and B lattice sites have the same net moment. When $x$ is between 0 and 1, the mineral is called a titanomagnetite. If $x$ is 0.6, for example, the mineral is called TM60 (green dot in Figure 1).

The profound effect of titanium substitution on the intrinsic properties of titanomagnetite is illustrated in Figure 2. Because Ti$^{4+}$ has no unpaired spins, the saturation magnetization decreases with increasing $x$ (Figure 2a). The cell dimensions increase with increasing $x$ (Figure 2b). As a result of the increased cell dimension, there is a decrease in Curie temperature (Figure 2c). There is also a slight increase in coercivity (not shown).

**Figure 1.** Ternary diagram for iron-oxides. The solid lines are solid-solution series with increasing titanium concentration ($x$). The dashed lines with arrows indicate the direction of increasing oxidation ($z$). (Redrawn from Butler, 1992).

**Figure 2.** Variation of intrinsic parameters with titanium substitution in the titanomagnetite lattice. $X$ is the degree of substitution from 0 (no Ti) to 1 (100% substitution). a) Variation of the magnetization expressed as Bohr magnetons per unit cell. b) Variation of cell lattice size. c) Variation of Curie temperature. (Data compiled by O'Reilly, 1984).
Titanohematites ($\text{Fe}_{2-y}\text{Ti}_y\text{O}_3$)

Hematite occurs widely in oxidized sediments and dominates the magnetic properties of red beds. It occurs as a high-temperature oxidation product in certain igneous rocks. Depending on grain size, among other things, it is either black (specularite) or red (pigmentary). The substitution of Ti into the lattice structure of $\alpha\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ has an even more profound influence on magnetic properties than with magnetite. For $y = 0$ the magnetization is spin canted antiferromagnetic, but when $y = 0.45$, the magnetization becomes ferrimagnetic (Figure 3a). For small amounts of substitution, the Ti and Fe cations are distributed equally among the cation layers. For $y > 0.45$, however, the Ti cations preferentially occupy alternate cation layers. Remembering that the Ti$^{4+}$ ions have no net moment, we can imagine that antiparallel coupling between the two sub-lattices results in ferrimagnetic behavior, as opposed to the equal-and-opposite style of antiferromagnetism.

Titanohematite particles with intermediate values of $y$ have interesting properties from a paleomagnetic point of view. There is a solid solution at high temperatures, but as the temperatures drop, the crystals exsolve into titanium-rich and titanium-poor lamellae. Figure 3 shows the variation in saturation magnetization and Néel temperature with Ti substitution. For certain initial liquid compositions, the exsolution lamellae could have Ti-rich bands alternating with Ti-poor bands. If the Ti-rich bands have higher magnetizations, yet lower Curie temperatures, than the Ti-poor bands, then the Ti-poor bands will become magnetized first. When the Curie temperature of the Ti-rich bands is reached, they will become magnetized in the presence of the demagnetizing field of the Ti-poor bands; hence, they will acquire a remanence that is antiparallel to the applied field. Because these bands have higher magnetizations, the net NRM will also be antiparallel to the applied field, and the rock will be self reversed. This is fortunately very rare in nature.

Oxidation of (titano)magnetites to (titano) maghemites

Many minerals form under one set of equilibrium conditions (say, within a cooling lava flow) and are later subjected to a different set of conditions (seafloor alteration or surface weathering). They will tend to alter so as to come into equilibrium with the new set of conditions. The new conditions are often more oxidizing than the original ones, and compositions tend to move along the dashed lines in Figure 1. The degree of oxidation is represented by the parameter $z$.

Although a solid solution of magnetite and ulvöspinel exists in principle, intergrowth of these two minerals is actually quite rare in nature because the TM’s interact with oxygen in the melt to form intergrowths of low Ti magnetite with ilmenite. This form of oxidation is known as deuteric oxidation.

Low-temperature oxidation will tend to transform a single-phase spinel (titanomagnetite) into a new single-phase spinel (titanomaghemite) by diffusion of Fe$^{2+}$ from the lattice structure of the (titano)magnetite to the surface, where it is converted to Fe$^{3+}$; titanomaghemite is a “cation-deficient” inverse spinel. The inset in Figure 4c shows a magnetite crystal in the process of becoming maghemite. The conversion of the Fe$^{2+}$ ion means a loss in volume, which results in a

Figure 3. Variation of properties with Ti substitution in the titanohematite series. a) Variation of saturation magnetization. b) Variation of Néel temperature. (Modified from Nagata, 1961, and Stacey and Banerjee, 1974).
characteristic cracking of the surface. There is also a loss in magnetization, a shrinkage of cell size, and, along with the tightening unit cell, an increase in Curie temperature. These trends are shown for TM60 in Figure 4. Maghemitization results in a much-reduced Verwey transition (Figure 5).

The (titanom)aghemite structure is metastable and can invert to form the isochemical, but more stable, structure of (titanom)hematite, or it can be reduced to form magnetite. The two forms of Fe₂O₃ are distinguished by the symbols γ for maghemitite and α for hematite. Inversion of natural maghemitite is usually complete by about 350 °C, but it can survive until much higher temperatures (for more details, see Dunlop and Özdemir, 1997). Also, it is common that the outer rim of the magnetite will be oxidized to maghemitite, whereas the inner core will remain magnetite.

Chemical equilibrium between solid solutions of TM's and titanohematites is consistent with results of several studies of consecutive lava flows in Mexico, showing that the main magnetic mineral in these lavas are Ti-poor TM's associated with exsolved ilmenite (e.g., Alva-Valdivia, 2005). Analysis of the Leg 30 samples from a single thick sill shows abundant coarse TM's with fully developed ilmenite exsolution lamellae (Dunlop et al., 1982). Gaspar and Wyllie (1983) and Alva-Valdivia et al. (2009) point to the coexistence of magnetite and ilmenite (with low MgO and MnO content) with equilibrium temperatures between 570 and 615 °C in carbonatite of the Jacupiranga Complex, Brazil.

**Figure 4.** Variation of intrinsic parameters with oxidation in TM60. z is the degree of oxidation. a) Variation of the magnetization. b) Variation of cell lattice size. c) Variation of Curie temperature. [Data compiled by Dunlop and Özdemir, 1997.] Inset: A magnetite crystal (~ 30 μm) undergoing maghemitization. Because of the change in volume, the crystal begins to crack. (From Gapeyev and Tsel'movich, 1988, in Dunlop and Özdemir, 1997).

**Figure 5.** Effect of maghemitization on Verwey transition. a) Saturation remanence acquired at 10 K observed as it warms up for 37 nm stoichiometric magnetite. b) Same, but for partially oxidized magnetite. (Data from Özdemir et al., 1993).
At high temperature in a magma chamber in the upper mantle, ferric iron oxide should be unstable, and the main component of solid solutions of TM's should be ulvospinel. Dyar et al. (2004) report that different techniques of microscopy and magnetic data suggest that ultra-fine hematite lamellae are magnetically ordered, and their resultant remanent magnetic anomalies may contribute significantly to magnetism on terrestrial planets, even though without present-day magnetic fields. Magnetite would be formed primarily during the cooling process at the time of magma uplift, its solidification, and final cooling. The oxidation of Fe₂TiO₄ into magnetite during cooling creates solid solutions enriched in magnetite with a decrease of the cooling rate, because it would then be under favorable conditions for IO changes for a longer period of time, obviously with low oxygen fugacity within the rising magma. Since both TM's and titanohematite are solid solutions involving either ferrous or ferric iron oxides, respectively, IO changes could possibly play an important role in their stability and transformations. IO changes involving ulvospinel would increase the content of ferric iron oxide in the solid solution. This process would also increase the TC of TM's or generate a new component with a higher TC. This means that at a slow cooling rate of basalts, there is more chances that a magnetite-rich component will be formed.

**IO changes and effect in rock magnetism**

As magnetic and paleomagnetic studies illustrate during heating and cooling cycles significant changes occur in magnetic properties. These changes may involve the formation of new magnetic substances, change of Curie point, increasing magnetic moments of rock, etc. A large number of studies show changes in rock magnetization during heating at temperatures in the range of 200° to 500°C (e.g. Acton et al., 2000; Hill, 2000; Otofuji et al., 2000; Kechra et al., 2003; Matzka et al., 2003; Shau et al. 2004; Zhu, 2004, etc.). We also observed changes in the size and number of magnetic nanoparticles related to heating at distinct temperature ranges (Rivas-Sanchez et al., in prep.).

In many cases, the samples exhibit bimodal blocking-temperature spectra. Such bimodal blocking-temperature spectra with blocking temperatures in the range of 200–580 °C were reported in rocks from different regions (e.g., Orlický and Funaki, 2002, and Shau et al., 2004). Some results demonstrate changes in both the value of TC, and the quantity of magnetic minerals within the rock during experiments of heating-cooling cycles at temperatures in the range of 350–580 °C (e.g., Vlag et al., 2000). Some authors find a temperature range rather than at an exact value of blocking temperatures (e.g., Dunlop and Özdemir, 2001). Many researchers believe that a special role in rock magnetism belongs to maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃) and/or titanomaghemite (e.g., Matzka et al., 2003). However, even though maghemite has very strong magnetic properties, it is metastable and would be easily converted to antiferromagnetic hematite. The temperature range of the stability of maghemite is usually within 200–350 °C (e.g., Alva-Valdivia et al., 2001; Gendler et al., 2005).

Large differences in TC and blocking temperature could be related to IO transformations and re-magnetization processes. Processes of heating, cooling, reheating, and further cooling could take place in different regions and during different processes (repeated intrusions or extrusions, repeated metamorphic processes, hydrothermal events, etc.). Such processes can change both the magnetic phase and content in the rocks of a region. Even though magnetite has a TC between 575° and 580 °C, this does not mean that all rocks containing magnetite formed above the TC and acquired magnetization at this TC during cooling. Magnetite could be formed by IO changes at any temperature within the range of IO changes (between 570° and 200 °C), yet the newly formed magnetite would still have the same properties and TC as would a magnetite formed under different temperature conditions. Clearly, magnetic minerals cannot acquire a remanent magnetization prior to formation of the magnetic phase. Also, a magnetic phase formed above its TC cannot gain magnetization until the temperature is reduced to its blocking temperature. TM's, formed at high temperature, cannot acquire magnetization until cooling to the blocking temperature. Rapid cooling can prevent rocks from significant IO changes. Thus the amount of magnetite would depend on the cooling rate, unlike for rocks formed under different conditions.

IO transformations could take place during either cooling or heating processes. They would start when the temperature within the cooling rock decreases below the upper boundary of the temperature range of IO changes, and they would continue until the temperature drops below that of the lower boundary of the temperature range of IO changes. IO changes would also take place during the heating of a rock as soon as its temperature rises above the lower boundary of the temperature range of IO transformations. IO changes would start just above 200 °C and change the magnetic properties of the rock. This is consistent with the well-known presence of broad temperature tails above and below the blocking temperature within which changes in the composition of a magnetic phase and its magnetic characteristics take place (Dunlop...
and Özdemir, 2001). This may mean that the magnetic phase of a rock was either formed close to the blocking temperature, or that the blocking temperature does not control IO changes.

**Magnetic anomalies**

*Magnetic anomalies and geological mapping*

Geologic reconnaissance of a region is the scientific basis for resource exploration (petroleum, solid minerals, groundwater) all over the world. Among the diversity of rock types found in the Earth’s crust, many exhibit magnetic properties, whether the magnetization is induced by the present-day geomagnetic field, or a remnant magnetization was acquired at some time in the geological past, or both. Mapping the patterns of magnetic anomalies ascribed to rock magnetism has proved to be a very effective way to explore large areas of geology at a low cost per unit area. The fact that most sedimentary rocks and surface-cover formations (including water) are effectively nonmagnetic means that the anomalies are attributable to the underlying igneous and metamorphic rocks (the so-called “magnetic basement”), even where they are not accessible to observation at the surface. Thus aeromagnetic surveys can indicate the distribution of bedrock lithology and structures virtually everywhere. Interpretation of magnetic anomaly patterns can map hidden geology and give direction to the exploration process. In igneous and metamorphic (“hard rock”) terranes, outlines of promising areas for the occurrence of ore bodies can be delineated for closer follow-up studies.

**Mineral exploration**

The amplitude, shape, and internal configuration of magnetic anomalies may be used to indicate the chance of finding certain ore types. Initially, aeromagnetic measurements were used for direct prospecting of magnetic iron ores and in indirect detection of certain classes of magmatic-hosted Ni-deposits, kimberlitic pipes for diamonds, and so forth. Hydrothermally altered areas in magnetic environments are often detectable as low magnetic zones and may be prospected for Au and Pb. The largest concentrated source of magnetic anomalies in terms of magnetic moment is the Kursk low-grade magnetic iron-quartzite ore formation in Ukraine that can be measured even at satellite altitudes.

Most major satellite anomalies are, however, attributable to provinces of relatively magnetic rocks, such as Northern Fennoscandia, of which the Kiruna magmatic iron ore is only a small part. Most ore deposits within the crystalline basement are, either in themselves or through their host rocks, accompanied by magnetic anomalies. These anomalies are often used further in the closer evaluation of the extent and geometry of a deposit and in assessing the mineral potential of other comparable geological formations. At the reconnaissance stage of mineral assessment, area selection and prospecting geological mapping can be driven in large part by interpretation of detailed aeromagnetic anomaly maps. These provide a reliable picture of the underlying subsurface, including the location and extent of geological units and their lithology, structure and deformation.

**Magnetic mineralogy**

The physical link between geological formations and their magnetic anomalies is constituted by the magnetic properties of rocks (Clark and Emerson, 1991). These are often measured, for example, in connection with Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) analyses, paleomagnetic studies, aeromagnetic surveys, geological mapping, and mineral exploration.

International paleomagnetic databases comprise the remanent magnetic properties acquired in the geological past. Magneto-mineralogical studies reveal that by far the most common magnetic source mineral of Precambrian shield areas is magnetite. Most of the digital petrophysical data for the continents were collected, in the Fennoscandian Shield, by the Nordic countries (Korhonen et al., 2002a,b).

Similar data sets for other provinces are required to understand how adequate this information represents more globally crustal rocks. The results from Fennoscandia show that, when plotted on a diagram of induced magnetization against density (Figure 6), the samples form two populations, A and B. Population A represents the paramagnetic range of susceptibilities defined by Curie’s law. Compositional variation of Fe- and Mn-oxides correlates with density, the denser, more basic (mafic) rock lithology being more magnetic than acid (silicic) ones by up to an order of magnitude. However, this population is only capable of causing anomalies less than about 25 nT.

A mostly acid second population (B) represents the ferrimagnetic range of susceptibilities, mainly with a large range of variation in the abundance and grain size of magnetite. This population is two orders of magnitude more magnetic than the average of the first population (A). Rocks of population B represent mostly sources of local, induced magnetic anomalies. Average susceptibilities vary typically from 0.04 to 0.02 SI units, but much larger variation can be found from one formation to another.
Another important parameter is the relative proportion of ferromagnetic (population B) rocks to the effectively “nonmagnetic” paramagnetic (population A) rocks in any given area. For example, it is only a few percent in the magnetic “low” of central Fennoscandia but almost 100% in the northern Fennoscandian “high”. Overall in Fennoscandia the average value is about 25%. In oceanic areas, by contrast, it approaches 100%.

Spatial contrasts in magnetic rock properties that give rise to the local magnetic anomalies encountered in mineral exploration are attributable to factors such as: (a) the aforementioned bimodal nature of magnetic mineralogy (populations A and B); (b) the effects of magnetic mineralogy and grain size; (c) the history of magnetization and demagnetization; and (d) the variation between induced and remanent magnetization. These are related in turn to geological causes such as initial rock lithology, chemical composition, oxygen fugacity, and metamorphic history.

The ratio of remanent to induced magnetization varies typically from 0.1 to 20, corresponding to rocks containing coarse-grained fresh magnetite (most susceptible to induced magnetization) via altered and fine-grained magnetites to pyrrhotite (with a very stable remanent magnetization). Figure 6b shows the results for

Figure 6. (a) Bulk density and induced magnetization in the Fennoscandian Shield (redrawn from Korhonen et al., 2002a). The lower population (A) contains the majority of rock samples, and represents the paramagnetic range of susceptibilities defined by Curie’s law. A second population of rocks (B), mostly acid in chemistry, represents the ferrimagnetic range of susceptibilities, due mainly to variations in the abundance and grain size of magnetite. This population is two orders of magnitude more magnetic than the average of the first population (A). (b) Induced and remanent magnetization in the Fennoscandian Shield (redrawn from Korhonen et al., 2002b). For the relatively few rock samples (D) that depart from a low level of magnetization (C), the Q-ratio is mostly less than 1.0, indicating the predominance of induced magnetization over remanent. A few exceptions are, however, highly magnetic. (Courtesy of Geological Surveys of Finland, Norway, and Sweden and the Ministry of Natural Resources of the Russian Federation).
induced and remanent magnetization from the Fennoscandian Shield. For the relatively few rock samples (population D) that depart from a low level of magnetization (population C), the Q-ratio is mostly less than 1.0, indicating the predominance of induced magnetization over remanent as a source of magnetic anomalies. A few exceptions are, however, highly magnetic (above 1.0 A m\(^{-1}\)). For increasingly large source bodies, variations in the direction of all local remanent magnetizations cause the net remanent magnetization to sum up more slowly than the consistently oriented induced magnetization. Hence the effects of remanent magnetization are relatively more important in magnetic anomalies measured close to source bodies (such as on the ground) than farther away (from an aircraft or satellite). This effect is even more noticeable at magnetizations above 1 Am\(^{-1}\), where Q-values tend to approach or even exceed 1.0.

The Jacupiranga alkaline-carbonatitic complex is located in southeastern Brazil (48° 09' W and 24° 41' S; Figure 7). It belongs to the Jacupiranga Ultramafic Alkaline Complex, first described by Melcher (1954) as an ellipsoid intrusive body with axis NNW oriented (10.5 x 6.7 km), covering approximately 65 km\(^2\), of Early Cretaceous age (131 My, Ruberti et al., 2000). Similar to the Juquiá Complex, Jacupiranga is emplaced in Precambrian basement, and consists of silicate and carbonatitic rocks. One silicate rock is widely known as “jacupirangite”. Among carbonatitic phases, there are different compositions (calciocarbonatites to magnesiumcarbonatites) forming independent plugs, dykes and dyke swarms.

**El Morro Mine, Cajati, Brazil: A case study**

*Geology and Mineralization*

Figure 7. Location of the El Morro Mine, southeastern Brazil.
The orebody is basically composed of carbonatite. It represents at least five magmatic events. Main mineralized geological settings can be recognized as carbonatitic portions (both of calcic and dolomitic composition) separated by peculiar features as well as other lithological ore types, with remarkable minor portions of phoscoritic composition. An important 20-30 m wide faulting zone crosses the orebody with SE-NW direction and splits the carbonatite. The contact between carbonatite and jacupirangite shows a remarkable reaction zone between jacupirangite host rock and intrusive carbonatitic liquid (Bonás, 2001).

**Magnetic Measurements**

A rock-magnetic study was conducted to identify the magnetic carriers responsible for the magnetization and obtain information about their magnetic stability (Alva-Valdivia et al., 2009).

Natural remanent magnetization intensity, NRM ($M_r$), density, susceptibility ($k$) at room temperature and the Königsberger ratio $Q$ (calculated for the present geomagnetic field) were reported by Alva-Valdivia et al. (2009) (Table 1). Königsberger ratios indicate the relative importance of remanent and induced magnetization. Main differences in $M_r$ and $k$ are due to two factors, distinct initial volume content of magnetite, and development of weakly magnetic or non-magnetic phases created during alteration of the rocks. Induced magnetization vs. density and remanent vs. induced magnetization results are showed in Figure 6a and 6b, respectively, by red stars.

Carbonatite-phoscoritic ore (MM1, MM2, MM3) present low to medium NRM's and bulk susceptibility values (0.004 – 6 A/m; 1.3 – 47.3 x 10^{-3} SI, respectively), which reflect the magnetite content (Alva-Valdivia et al., 2009). This is clearly exposed in the $Q$ ratios (0.01 – 6.81). Also, the magnetic carriers in the carbonatite-pyro xenite (MM2, MM3) and intrusive rocks (ijolite, MM8) are associated with low $Q$ values. This could suggest a predominance of multidomain (MD) carriers. Sites MM2 and MM8 have the lowest susceptibilities (1.28 and 1.06 x 10^{-3} SI, respectively) associated with low NRM intensities, resulting in low $Q$ ratios. For all of these sites, the NRM's have low to intermediate coercivity and low to medium directional stability under AF demagnetization.

Relatively high $Q$ ratios (~ 6–11) for Jacupirangite-pyro xenite (MM4, MM5, MM6) may indirectly support a thermo remanent origin for the magnetization. As noted in the last section, the dominant carriers are Ti-poor TMs, with some deuteric oxy-exsolution products. The pyroxenite samples have the highest NRM intensity values (up to 27 A/m, site MM5) and the highest susceptibilities (up to 161 x 10^{-3} SI, site MM6), with high $Q$ ratios, suggesting a wide range of magnetite grain sizes carrying NRM.

**Magnetic Anomaly Interpretation**

An aeromagnetic survey was completed in El Morro area in 1978. It was constituted by 10 north-south flight lines 10 km long, separated by 1 km and a 150 meters flight altitude, and one control line 10 km long, east-west direction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>N/R</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Inc</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>A95 M_r (A/m)</th>
<th>k(10^{-3})SI</th>
<th>M_s (A/m)</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Density (kg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MM1</td>
<td>7/2</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>-35.8</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.038</td>
<td>47.25</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM2</td>
<td>7/3</td>
<td>356.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM3</td>
<td>8/4</td>
<td>256.9</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM4</td>
<td>8/2</td>
<td>223.0</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>17.602</td>
<td>148.30</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM5</td>
<td>6/2</td>
<td>182.5</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>17.651</td>
<td>161.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM6</td>
<td>6/2</td>
<td>328.5</td>
<td>-25.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>17.651</td>
<td>161.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM7</td>
<td>6/2</td>
<td>328.5</td>
<td>-25.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>17.651</td>
<td>161.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM8</td>
<td>8/3</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>-15.3</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N/R, number of samples used/rejected for site mean calculation; Dec/Inc, and $M_r$ direction and intensity of natural remanent magnetization; K and A95, confidence parameters; $M_r$, NRM; $k$, initial susceptibility; $M_s$, induced magnetization; $Q$, Königsberger factor using $H=0.23569$ Oe=18.76 A/m.
A residual magnetic field map (Figure 8) was provided by the Geological Staff of the Mine. The final cell size of the anomaly grid is 200 x 200 m. We reduced to the pole the total field magnetic anomalies, to remove the skewness of the anomalies (Baranov, 1957; Baranov and Naudy, 1964). The transformation makes the anomalies overlie vertically the sources and makes it possible to correlate the magnetic anomalies with other types of geophysical anomalies (e.g., gravity) and geological information to aid in their interpretation.

**Qualitative interpretation.** The magnetic anomaly reduced to the pole and 300 m upward continued (Figure 9) suggests that there are at least three deep tabular bodies of general east-west strike, at the northern, southern and central parts. Several other data processes were applied to infer the possible shape, geometry and position of the bodies that produce the magnetic anomaly, which could be helpful during the modeling process.

The reduced to the pole magnetic map (Figure 9) shows a circular magnetic anomaly, formed along of its borders by elongated positive anomalies, ranging in magnitude from 228 nT at the SSW up to 2,034 nT at the northern area. Moreover, the central part of the anomalous area is formed by several magnetic low elongated anomalies ranging from -479 nT at the southern part up to -3,058 nT at the central-eastern area. This map shows also two distinct anomaly types: some are normally dipolar for the southern hemisphere (i.e. magnetic high/low to the north/south, respectively); and others are monopolar-type anomalies at the southern area.

The most important anomaly is located at the northern portion showing a typical dipole with a magnetic intensity 3,663 nT and a dipolar...
distance of 2,070 m (between maximum and minimum). Its size is approximately of 5,000 m in NE-SW strike and 2,500 m in NW-SE strike. This composed magnetic anomaly comprises four magnetic maximum, particular magnetic intensity values of 954 nT to the west, passing by 1,572 nT at the center, and up to 1,187 nT to the east. They show an average distance of 5 km along WNW-ESE strike and 1,550 m along the N-S direction.

The border of the central-eastern area is represented by an anomaly, showing two magnetic highs of 891 nT and 1,394 nT from north to south. It covers an area of 2,800 m and 1,210 m longitude in the NNE-SSW and E-W strikes. The western sector shows two positive anomalies of 626 nT and 913 nT to the south and north, respectively.

Figure 9. Aeromagnetic anomaly map of the reduced to the pole. White lines are the selected profiles for modeling.
In general, the configuration of the reduced to the pole map shows a large anomalous area produced clearly by the ferromagnetic minerals contained in the rock present. The dimension of this area is of 8.5 km in the N-S direction and approximately 9 km in E-W direction.

The radial averaged power spectra (RAPS), indicates that the tops of the sources lie between 200 and 300 m approximately (Figure 10). A low-pass filter and upward continuation of magnetic data (300 meters) (Figure 11) were used to deduce the geometry of bodies with depth and allow us to infer that the main body (to the north) has a strike almost east-west, and that other two bodies tend to disappear with depth.

Quantitative interpretation. The reduced to the pole anomaly was modeled through a N-S (A-A', L-2140 white line) and an E-W profile (B-B', T-9110 white line) (Figure 9). The biggest body, located at the northern part of the studied area, has a minimum size of 5 x 2 km. All the bodies (dipolar magnetic anomalies) are located in the same semi-ellipsoidal aeromagnetic domain of northeast southwest general strike. Also, the low-pass filter (LPF) (Figure 11) shows that the anomalous zone is extended to the north and that the area of interest for ore is associated with one body 8.3 km aligned north-south direction and 4.0 km east-west direction.

The model corresponding to the north-south profile (A-A') suggests distinct mineralized ore bodies of non-uniform shape and with depths up to ~ 800 m (Figure 12).

The magnetic anomaly corresponds in general to a large normally polarized dipole oriented almost north south and situated over two major mineralized bodies. This dipolar anomaly is characterized by a low/high sequence and a peak-to-peak amplitude of about 4,200 nT. The geometry and magnetic properties of the sources associated with the anomalies were modeled using the GM-SYS™ software; the respective routine utilizes a Marquardt inversion algorithm (Marquardt, 1963) to linearize and perform the calculations. GM-SYS™ implemented an algorithm for magnetic data processing developed by the USGS in the computer program SAKI (Webring, 1985). Polygonal bodies of different magnetizations can be adjusted to the observed anomalies.

Magnetic properties of rocks and ores (Alva-Valdivia et al., 2009) sampled were used to constrain the interpretation. We analyzed several
polygonal models with various combinations of magnetic properties, and the best fit corresponds to several large irregular bodies. The contribution most important for the model A-A', comes from body 4 and 6 (Figure 12, Table 2). Body 4 probably corresponds to the actual position or surroundings of the El Morro Mine, and body 6, located beneath the magnetic high, continues deep and northward. On the other hand, bodies 3 and 5 are located under the magnetic lows, and extend to the south. Bodies 1, 2, 3 and 7, smaller and with less magnetic contribution, were assumed to fit the details of the profile (Figure 12). Model B-B', almost orthogonal to the A-A' profile (Figure 13, Table 3), shows one maximum positive value to the east and three continuous magnetic lows (negative) to the west. For this anomaly, the most important contribution comes from body 3, including the highest susceptibility and one of the highest remanent magnetization (Figure 13). Other important bodies contributing to the anomaly are 5, 6, 8 and 9. Finally, the rest of the bodies were used to fit the best possible the observed anomaly. The rock-magnetic parameters for all the proposed bodies are within the range of those measured in our samples, which seem reasonably for fresh rock at depth.
the range of those measured and quite similar to the reported properties of the mineralized bodies. The magnetic susceptibility values proposed in our model are also into the range of those measured. This means that almost all the remarkable proposed bodies can be mineralized. The calculated curves show almost no deviation from the observed ones. The small differences may be explained since there are many small outcrops of Fe-ore. Therefore, we believe our models are expressive of the main source bodies that produce the magnetic anomaly.

### Discussion and Conclusions

Relatively high Q ratios (≥5) for Jacupirangite-pyroxenite (Alva-Valdivia et al., 2009) may indicate a TRM origin of the magnetization. The principal carriers are Ti-poor TMs, with some deuteric oxy-exsolution products. These rocks have the highest NRM intensity and susceptibility values, resulting in high Q ratios, suggesting a wide range of magnetite grain sizes carrying the NRM.

#### Table 2. Magnetic data for the bodies utilized during the quantitative interpretation of profile A-A'.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body No.</th>
<th>Susceptibility SI</th>
<th>Remanence (A/m)</th>
<th>Inc (º)</th>
<th>Dec (º)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0113097</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0100531</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0376991</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.502654</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0000125</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.125637</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0125663</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The modeling processes have been partly constrained resulting in a model that approach to the geological reality in size, depth and geometry. The models (that produced an acceptable fit) incorporate the susceptibility, remanent intensity and direction of remanence values of Tables 2 and 3. The values of remanence proposed are in the range of those measured and quite similar to the reported properties of the mineralized bodies. The magnetic susceptibility values proposed in our model are also into the range of those measured. This means that almost all the remarkable proposed bodies can be mineralized. The calculated curves show almost no deviation from the observed ones. The small differences may be explained since there are many small outcrops of Fe-ore. Therefore, we believe our models are expressive of the main source bodies that produce the magnetic anomaly.

#### Figure 12. Observed magnetic anomaly and quantitative model of profile A-A'. Local geomagnetic field parameters are: declination D=354º, inclination I=-26º, and total magnetic intensity F=23,569 nT. We used several bodies that extend along-strike perpendicular to this profile (see Table 2).
The magnetic anomaly analyses included several processes and modeling attempts. Accordingly, we inferred: bodies of a general east-west strike (Figure 8) and a largest body at the northern part of the area studied of at least 5 × 2 km in size. This large ore deposit has been found and confirmed recently by J. C. Gaspar of the Vale Mining Company (pers. comm.). All of these bodies are located in the same northeast-southwest semi-ellipsoidal aeromagnetic domain. It is also proposed that the mineralized anomalous zone extends to the north and that the whole interest area for ore probably covers an area roughly 8 × 4 km in the north-south direction.

Processing of the magnetic data helped to infer the shape, geometry and position of the bodies that produce the magnetic anomaly. These characteristics are considered critical during the modeling process (Figures 9 and 10). We selected two almost orthogonal profiles (Figure 9, white lines), proposing distinct mineralized ore bodies of non-uniform shape that reach

---

**Table 3.** Magnetic data for the bodies utilized during the quantitative interpretation of profile B-B’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body No.</th>
<th>Susceptibility SI</th>
<th>Remanence (A/m)</th>
<th>Inc (°)</th>
<th>Dec (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0113097</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0000125</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1256637</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0012692</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0408532</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.03834</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0000125</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0220037</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0402249</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0069240</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0125663</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
depth up to 800 meters (Figure 12 and 13). We analyzed several polygonal models with various combinations of magnetic properties, and the best fit corresponds to several large irregular bodies. The most important mineralized bodies are located beneath the magnetic high that extends northwards, a result also supported by a gravimetric model (Shukowsky et al., 2003), other bodies are located under the magnetic low that extends to the south (El Morro mine). To constrain the interpretation, we used the magnetic properties of the rock-units and ores sampled. The model that produced the best fit require susceptibility and remanent magnetization intensity values within the range of measurements than those measured and more similar to the mineralized ores. This is acceptable as we are trying to adjust the anomaly looking for the mineralized bodies. If so, almost all the bodies may be mineralized. The ambiguity of the modeling processes has been constrained, to be closer to the geological reality of size, depth and geometry of the proposed bodies. The computed curve shows almost no deviation from the observed one. Hence, we suggest that the models represent a reasonable image of the main source mineralized bodies that produce the magnetic anomaly.
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